

The Hindu Origins Of Judaism

THE HINDU AND VEGETARIAN ORIGINS OF JUDAISM

The Earliest Jews Named their Children and their Cities
and Locations in General
after the Names of Hindu Deities Commanding Vegetarianism.
The Ancient Hebrew Language as Revelation

Numerous scholars of ancient Hebrew have stated directly as well as implied that the Hebrew language itself is a revelation, a revelation that the use of the language cannot obliterate. The ancient Hebrew words contain basic significances that cannot be denied, no matter how the words are used. And the fact is that the words themselves demonstrate that Hinduism is the origin of Judaism, and that the original Jews shared the same pantheon as the Hindus.

Though those rewriting the original Jewish scriptures could insert new norms, radically different from those that originally existed, the language they used to do so nonetheless contradicts what they did. How so? The names given to Hebrew children in the earliest days of Judaism, the names given to their villages, of their religious feast days, are the names of Hindu personalities or deities who denounced animal sacrifices.

The scriptures of the Old Testament in general are full of the names of well known Hindu deities and personalities, such as Uma, Bala and Kali, consorts of Shiva. The warrior Arjuna from the Bhagavadgita is there. The Old Testament pervasively contains the name of the Hindu deity Ramah who is also the epic hero in the Hindu epic, the Mahabharata. Numerous Hindu names such as Isaiah and Isaac contain the Isa root. Isa is a name of Shiva. And Oshea, or Hosea, is a transliteration of Osseo, another name of Shiva. The name of Kali, the mate of Shiva is used to describe Kal Israel, or all Israel, and is the the root of Kal Law, meaning bride. The earliest Israelites regarded themselves as brides of their deity, much as Christian monastics regard themselves as the brides of Christ. Asura (Asherah) simply meant Almighty God in the earliest days of Hinduism. The Asherah shrines destroyed by the heretical cattleman cult were the vegetarian shrines of Hindu deities. Kana (Chanah) is a shortened form of Kannan, the Tamil Hindu name of Krishna). Kannan is also the source for the land of Canaan. Shiva also known as Sheba, Seba, Saba and Sheva exists throughout the Old Testament. And concepts such as that of the divine name, or divine utterance, Naamah, also pervaded ancient Judaism as we can see by the fact that places and people are named after Naamah. The veneration of Shiva was called Sabeanism, Saba, Seba, and Sheba being names of Shiva. All the above Hindu deities teach vegetarianism and compassion for all creatures, though minor sects in India still claim that their carnivorousism is sanctioned by Shiva and Kali in their terrible aspects.

Sabeanism: The Universal Religion in Ancient Times

Members of these minor sects in ancient times were exiled to the western hemisphere, and ironically had their day of prominence in the human and animal sacrificing sects of the Aztecs. The Aztecs and Maya shared deities, and Kundalini, a term familiar to all those

practicing yoga, is the name of a mother vegetation goddess among the Aztecs. The name Shiva was name was translated as Sui va or Zuiva. The names of Shiva Is, Isa, Isana, and Oseo were transliterated into Ix (pronounced eesh), Itza, Itzamma, and Oc. Temples of sacrificed were named teocalli and cities such as Caliquen were named after Cali and the Kanna deity of both the Tamil Hindus and Israelites. The Cali in California, a land previously owned by Mexico, is likewise derived from this goddess' name. The name of the Maya is in fact derived from the Goddess Maya, originally a goddess of creation and later a goddess of the illusory. Maya is a consort of Shiva. Quetzalcoatl, the Plumed Serpent, culture hero, and avatar, forbid animal sacrifices as well as human sacrificed, and therefore he and his vegetarian following were persecuted by the Aztecs.

THE ORIGINAL VEGETARIAN BIBLE

THE EXISTENCE OF THESE VEGETARIAN DEITIES AND PERSONALITIES

MESHES PERFECTLY WITH THE VEGETARIAN COVENANT OF GENESIS 1: 29-30.

The fact that Jews long after the Exodus continued to name their children and locations after Hindu deities supports Esdras' contention in 2 Esdras, that the earliest patriarchs taught the same thing as the later prophets, namely, vegetarianism. Coupled with the recognition that the tradition described as Tsabaism, Sabeanism, or Shaivism was the dominant culture in the ancient world, along with the vegetarian covenant that is indisputably affirmed in the first chapter of Genesis, along with the fact that the lineage of Noah created the vegetarian cultures of Ancient Ethiopia, Kush, and Sheba, we have more than sufficient grounds to demonstrate that it was the vegetarian tradition that ruled the earliest days of Judaism, and not the carnivorous tradition demanding animal sacrifices. The Jewish vegetarian tradition is firmly established in the very first chapter of Genesis, especially in Genesis 1: 29-30, which commands vegetarianism for all creatures, not just humans, and which thereby defines the moral and dietary parameters of paradise, as a non-violent place where the sacredness of all creatures is affirmed, and where no creatures are harmed. Even orthodox Jewish rabbis admit that God's original dietary covenant commanded vegetarianism, and they claim that the covenant sanctioning carnivorism occurred after the Deluge. It will, I contend, easily be seen that the passages sanctioning carnivorism after the Deluge are among the most sloppily rewritten and contradiction filled scriptures in the Old Testament.

The victors write not only history, but the scriptures,
in order to suit their own immoral standards.

There is of course a long line of biblical students and scholars who have stated the same thing, that scriptures all over the world have been revised by those in power. Constantine exiled dissenting bishops to assure that the bishops assembled to put together what we now know as the New Testament would contain teachings favorable to Rome, teachings for example affirming that God sanctioned the most horrible institution of all time to humans, namely slavery itself, an institution which anyone with an iota of understanding and compassion would understand would be totally contrary to the notion of an all-loving God, or to the teaching that one should "love your neighbor as your self." The fact that orthodox carnivorous Christians,

Jews, and Muslims would accept both of these teachings as divine and not see the contradictions--this fact in itself--indicates the deep degree of mental and moral deficiency that is contributed to by the eating of flesh. There is absolutely no way that slavery and loving one's neighbor as one's self can be seen as not contradictory. The slave is property of another person. One who is loved as one's neighbor is an equal.

Once one has examined the overwhelming amount of evidence demonstrating the Hindu origins of Judaism, then numerous other passages in the Old Testament that are universally acknowledged as being filled with contradictions or problems may be seen for what they are, obvious revisions of the original Vegetarian Bible posited by Abegg, Wise and Cook in their edition of the Dead Sea Scrolls. It is not as difficult as some think to prove conclusively that this was so, that an original Vegetarian Bible was revised to sanction the ulterior motives of the rich to to derive profit from the animal sacrifices and power from the institution of slavery.

Shiva and the Yeshiva

THE YESHIVA IS THE NAME OF A JEWISH SCHOOL OR ACADEMY
WHERE ONE STUDIES JUDAISM.
THE SOURCE OF THE WORD IS OBVIOUS.

Among the more commonplace contradictions in orthodox Judaism is the name itself of the Jewish Yeshiva, which is a school or academy where one studies Judaism. The name Yeshiva is a rather direct reference to Shiva, though this is not acknowledged by the Jewish orthodoxy.

The Rich and Powerful Rewrite History and Scriptures in order to Make it
Appear that Their Own Evils are Sanctioned by Deity.

Some of the correlations which follow, between Sheba and the Shabbath or between Saba and the Sabbath, between Kal Israel and Kali the mate of Shiva, between Gan Eden and the ideal world of the Gana or Jain, to give just a few examples, will seem extremely obvious to the truly objective reader, who, if still a little naive, will no doubt wonder why these truths were not unearthed or made public knowledge before. But for the objective personality who understands that some use their free wills to commit more evil than good, there is no need to wonder. The victors write history and the scriptures in order to sanction their own immorality and evil towards other creatures, which is demonstrated by industry's exploitation of nature and its creatures, and by animal sacrifices. All such exploitation was originally forbidden in the Vegetarian Bible. Part of that Vegetarian Bible clearly exists in the Ethiopic Book of Enoch, for example, which forbids all killing, whether of animals or humans, and all exploitation of creatures and the environment--mining, for example, is forbidden. The Book also forbids artifice of any kind: cosmetics are forbidden. The Book forbids, except to Enoch, the writer of the Book, all writing as well. We are to accept creation or Nature as it exists. To attempt to improve on the Creator is the sin. Nature is God's will. Those who attempt to subdue and have dominion over any being or any thing in nature are the heretics against the Divine Will which demonstrates itself through creation or nature.

ASURA (ASHERAH) WAS A HINDU NAME OF ALMIGHTY GOD
PROMOTING HARMONY AMONG ALL CREATURES,
THAT IS, AN UNFALLEN AND PARADISAL STATE. THE DESTRUCTION OF THE
ASHERAH IN THE OLD TESTAMENT
DOCUMENTS THE REBELLION OF A CATTLEMAN CULT
PROMOTING CARNIVORISM AND ELITISM
WHO REMOVED THE TRUE TEACHINGS OR ORIGINAL JUDAISM,
THAT IS, THE VEGETARIAN BIBLE,
AND REPLACED THOSE TEACHINGS WITH SCRIPTURES SANCTIONING
THE SUBDUING AND DOMINATING AND KILLING OF OTHER BEINGS.

The heretical rebellion against the Asherah, the Asura, was paralleled in New Testament times by those who subverted the vegetarianism and egalitarianism of Jesus and of his hand-picked disciples and earliest followers. Orthodox Christians, especially the ministers and priests of Orthodox Christianity, are quick to forget that "Epistle to the Hebrews," which describes Jesus' mission And it also parallels what happened to the true tradition of Islam once the Sunnis overcame the Shiites. The name Shiites is simply a variation of Shaivites (Shivites) or Sabeans. Muhammad even praises the Sabeans in the Koran. People in power such as wealthy cattlemen and slave owners were threatened by the egalitarianism and vegetarianism of the earliest Jews, just as the Roman and Jewish establishment were threatened in the times of Jesus by the Nazarenes who criticized the animal sacrifices, by Jesus who literally chased the animals to be sacrificed out of the temple, and by the zealot friends of Jesus who attacked and killed slave holders, both Jewish and Roman ("I have come to free the captive," said Jesus), who raided Roman arsenals and stole their weaponry, and who burned the temple of Records in Jerusalem where the records of the debts owed by the poor to the rich were stored. So too the rich and powerful Arabs of Muhammad's time exploited the opportunity to usurp a true religion with one favoring their particular economics.

The establishments of the rich have always been threatened by the those around them who seek to eradicate the class differences between humans and who seek to build a society based on literally loving your neighbor as your self, that is, as an equal. The Jewish Essenes, who were also vegetarian, were such an egalitarian group, a group in which no woman or man was given more food or clothing or a better shelter. All things were owned communally. Most orthodox scholars argue that the Essenes existed for only two or three hundred years before the time of Christ. But there are other sources, including the texts of the Essenes themselves, that claim that the Essenes went back to the original days of Judaism. Some Essene texts claim that the Essenes existed in the time of Shem, the son of Noah. Once it is realized that all the variations of the names used to designate the Essenes, such as Essenes, Jessaeans, Osseans, and Esaoi, were derived from the names of Shiva, the Osseans from Osseo, Essenes and Jessaeans from Asani or Isana, and Esaoi from Isa, then the Essenes' claims make sense and fall into place perfectly in Jewish history. Just as renunciation of the world and renouncing the caste system was a part of Shiva's life, so too were they part of the life of the Essenes, who lived apart from orthodox Jewish society, who detested human slavery as well as the brutality of the animal sacrifices, and who were indeed the pure remnant of Judaism remaining true to the vegetarian covenant of Genesis 1: 29.

It is understandable that those of the Jewish faith who are youthful are not yet knowledgeable about the suppressed history of their faith. For them it is simply a matter of not knowing the history of one's religion, and not a conscious act of suppression. To those who claim to be scholars of Judaism, however, and to the rabbinical elite, this must be said: you are either deficient in the knowledge of the ancient history of your own faith or else you have consciously suppressed that history in order to protect the false scriptures sanctioning the evil ways of the rich.

Either way, the truth is now being told, by Sword of Truth, by Hinduism Today, by the writers of Viewzone magazine, as well as by scholars of Hinduism. The fact that students in India have become re-excited by Sanskrit inevitably means that studies such as this one you are about to read will become more commonplace in the future, for just as Sanskrit is the mother of Hebrew and the Semitic languages in general, so too was the religious culture of India the mother of Judaism, and, as we shall see, the ancient Hebrew language itself tells a radically different story than that which is told by orthodox Judaism. Ancient Hebrew is filled with names with a Sanskrit origin, names essential to Judaism such as Jah and Jehovah, and El Shaddai.

A Note to the Reader

To those who are already aware of the eastern origins of Judaism, it may appear that I am belaboring a point below, or unnecessarily over-demonstrating my case in showing the prominence of the East in ancient Jewish thought and culture, that is in the minds of the original Jews. So be it. At this point in time, when only a small minority of people are aware of Judaism's eastern or Indian origins, it is better to document fully than it is to understate one's case. The following definitions all confirm that the Jews held the East in high esteem, as a source of their spiritual heritage, as the place from which their original ancestors came.

THE EAST AS HOLY IN ANCIENT HEBREW INDIA IS THE EAST REFERRED TO

The front of one's body to be facing the east.

"They that went before" the aboriginal Jews, came from the east.

The east as a source of physical and spiritual light,
as a sanctuary, as the place of angels, saints, and holy ones,
as the place of Judaism's origins.

All the following definitions of ancient Hebrew words are from the Hebrew/Chaldee Dictionary in James Strong's Exhaustive Concordance to the Old and New Testament.

6918 Qadowsh or Qadosh, kaw-doshe; from 6942; sacred (ceremonially or morally); as noun, God (by eminence), an angel, a saint; a sanctuary; holy (One), saint.

6919 Qadach; a primitive root meaning to inflame, burn, kindle.

One may briefly observe here that fire was prominent in Indian religion, as in other religions, as a symbol of divinity and of religious inspiration. Tongues of fire are attributes of both Agni and Shiva.

6921 qadiym or qadim, from 6923; the fore or front part; hence (by orientation) the East; (often adverb, eastward, for brevity the east wind):east (-ward, wind).

6922 qaddiysh; corresponds to 6918--holy (One) saint.

The following discussion of Qedem or qedmah show that the East, i.e. India and environs, were thought of as the front, the forepart. And that the East was associated with antiquity, and with what was ancient about the Israelites. And these associations with the East were considered to be eternal and everlasting.

6924 qedem or qedmah: the front, of place (absolutely the fore part, rel. the East) or time (antiquity);often used as an adverb (before, anciently, eastwardly): aforetime, ancient (time), before, east (end, part side, -ward), eternal X ever-(lasting); forward, old, past.

6926 qidmah means the forward part or relating to the East as well.

Notice the following definitions also deal with the precedence of the East, and the East as a place of beginnings, inspiring the Hebrews to name their children after the East.

6927 qadmah, priority in time; adverbially: before, afore, antiquity, former (old) estate.

6929 qedmah means precedence; and Kedemah is a son of Ishmael.

6930 qadmown means eastern and east.

6931 qadmowniy or qadmoniy, means anterior in time, oriental, i.e. of the east; ancient...they that went before, east, (thing of) old.

"They that went before" is a generic description of Judaism's ancestry. This could hardly be a more specific confirmation that the roots of Israel and its religion were in the East, i.e. India and its environs. Here as in entry 6935, the Adam Kadmon of the Cabala has historical reference.

6932 Qedmowth, means beginnings and Kedemoth is a place in eastern Palestine. So we see the Israelites naming both children and places after their beginnings, which are associated with the East.

6933 qadmay means first.

6934 Qadmiyel: Presence of God. The name of three Israelites.

6935 Qadmoniy: the same as 6931, ancient, that is, aboriginal; Kadmonite; the name of a tribe in Palestine; Kadmonites.

6942 qadash: a primitive root meaning to be, to make, pronounce or observe as clean, ceremonially or morally; ... consecrate, dedicate...hallow... keep holy, purify, sanctify.

6944 qodesh: a sacred place or thing; sanctity: holiness...saint, sanctuary.

The above verification of the prominence of the East in ancient Judaism, reenforces the materials presented in Viewzone.com, Hinduism Today and Sword of Truth. These sites show that the Jewish people were originally from different parts of India, as shown by the duplication or virtual duplication in Canaan/Palestine and environs, of place names in India, that Tsabism or Sabeanism pervaded the areas associated with Old Testament history. Website <http://www.equalsouls.org> shows a number of things that are common between orthodox Judaism and Vaishnava Hinduism.

Shiva is the Lord of the Sabbath

Shiva as Lord of Yoga, Fulfilling the Seven Chakras

Shiva meant Seven throughout the Ancient World.

Zechariah the Acharya and the Number Seven

How the Sabbath Got its Name:

Names of Shiva in the Old Testament

Relevant to the Sabbath: Sheba, Seba, Saba, Tsaba. The Ancient Hebrew word Shabbath, meaning Sabbath,

Has its roots in Sheba meaning seven, and Shaba.

[To be studied in the pages to come:

Sabaoth, meaning the military lord of hosts

Has its root in Saba, which also transliterates as Sheba and Seba.

The Pillars erected to God in the Torah are named masseva or masseba.

Both variants used the name of Shiva or Siva.]

People raised in the Judeo-Christian tradition accept the name God as if it were the first and genuine name by which God was known to the ancient Hebrews. It was not. It is acknowledged even by the orthodox that Deity was addressed by various names, such as Baal, Adonai, Jah, Jehovah, El, El Shaddai, and El Kana. And we also know that divinity was not necessarily addressed as a singular entity as we see in the name Elohim which refers to a number of deities. In the common translations available to the public, however, the original male deity of Judaism is never addressed as Sheba, a transliteration of Shiva, for obvious reasons. It would disclose the original deity of Judaism as being the Hindu Shiva and the original Jews as being Sabians, that is, followers of Saba aka Sheba and Seba, all of which are names of Shiva. The deity Shiva venerated by mainstream Hindus commands vegetarianism as

a diet, compassion for animals, and does not respect castes or classes. He therefore does not at all fit in with what has become orthodox Judaism and Christianity, religions, or, more properly speaking, sects, which promote animal sacrifices as a diet, and elitist societies of rich and poor. The term, elitist societies of rich and poor, may be regarded as a euphemism for slavery in one degree or another. Slavery is an institution which is portrayed as acceptable in both the Old and New Testaments as well as in the Koran. As we shall see, point by point in this study, the original vegetarian and egalitarian values of Judaism were radically different from what orthodox Judaism has become.

Orthodox Jews ignore the root of the name of their place of study, the Yeshiva.

Shiva is known in the Old Testament as Sheba, Seba and Saba, Tsaba, as well as by other names.

Shiva aka Sheba is the Lord of the Shabbath (Hebrew) and Sabbath (English). One easily sees the connection between the word Saba, which is interchangeable with Seba and Sheba, and the English word Sabbath. The etymology of the word Shabbath or Sabbath has been scrupulously ignored, as has, for example, the term yeshiva, meaning a school or academy for students of Judaism. The word is of course is a rather direct reference to Shiva, though it is not acknowledged to be so by the orthodoxy. When the relevant names of Shiva are aligned with the Hebrew and English designations of the seventh day, it is rather easy to see the etymological connection.

Sheba: Shabbath in ancient Hebrew

The name Saba is easily seen to be the root of Sabbath in English

Sheba is an easily seen root of Shabbath, especially when we realize that ancient Hebrew is comprised of consonants, just as Saba is easily seen as the root of the English word Sabbath. As we examine the ancient Hebrew terms and names connected with the Sabbath, however, we will see that these correlations are not simply superficial or coincidental, but that they provide specific documentation of the Sabeian tradition that gave birth to original Judaism.

Most of us are quite familiar with the term Sabbath day, the seventh day of rest which we understand as being derived from the sequence of days in Genesis: God creates the world in six days and rests on the seventh. And we are also familiar with the fourth commandment "Remember thou keep holy the Sabbath day." But we usually aren't informed as to the source or etymology of the word itself, Sabbath, or in Hebrew Shabbath.

7676 Shabbath in Hebrew is described as meaning intermission, the Sabbath, and every sabbath. It is a form of 7673.

7673 Shabath is a primitive root meaning to repose, to desist from exertion.

Understanding that the Shabbath or Sabbath is the seventh day, when we examine the roots of the Hebrew words Shabbath and Shabath, we come across a number of definitions that

make more sense from a Hindu perspective than they do from a Jewish one, since they refer to a yogic process, which is now associated with Hinduism and somewhat with Buddhism and the Hopis, but not Judaism.

The Sabbath and Shiva as Lord of Yoga "Sevening One's Self"

An examination of the names Sheba and Shaba, words which may be seen as roots of Shabbath (in English the Sabbath), deal with sevening one's self, and thereby reveal the ancient devotion that the original Jews had to Shiva as the Lord of Yoga, one who has "sevened himself," that is fulfilled his seven chakras. In the Hindu system of Yoga, which tradition has it was begun by Shiva himself, there are seven centers, the root chakra or center, corresponding to one's sexual energy, the power chakra above it, the solar plexus chakra above it, the heart chakra, the throat, the third eye and the seventh chakra at the top of the head.

The practitioner of Yoga, or for that matter, any human seeking spiritual perfection, is to deal with resolving, controlling or balancing sexual desires (the first center), resolving conflicts of power (the second center), controlling appetite addictions and using food for purification (the third and solar plexus center), controlling one's emotional attachments (the fourth and heart center), expression of one's will (the fifth and throat center), reception to the infusion of divinity through meditation (the sixth center in the third eye), all of which, when finally achieved, allow one to connect with divinity through the highest chakra in the top of the head. This is the briefest of summaries dealing with the chakra system and not meant in the slightest to detract from its profundity, a profundity, which, though mysterious, was recognized throughout those parts of the ancient world that gave birth to Judaism. Libraries and the internet will have material explaining the Yogic process in detail.

We can easily see moreover how the term Shabbath or Sabbath is related to numerous other words which reflect the seventh day aspect of the word. Namely: the word shaba and the words sheba (pronounced sheh' bah) and shibah (pronounced shib-aw') which are the feminine and masculine forms of the same word. The ancient Hebrew words Shaba and Sheba both refer to aspects of the Hindu Shiva as Lord of Yoga.

7650 shaba, a primitive root; to be complete; to seven oneself.

The latter part of the above definition, to seven oneself may be interpreted in numerous ways, among them that seven is part of a natural cycle, and that the seventh day completes or perfects the cycle, were it not for the word oneself, which brings the completion of the seven part cycle to a personal level. On the personal level the phrase to seven oneself makes little or no sense in the history of the orthodox Jews, but makes perfect sense in the history of Hinduism. The phrase refers to the ideal of the Yogic process, to eliminate all major negativity in one's spiritual centers and to activate their positive potentiality. Shiva is the Inventor of Yoga, and the Lord of Yoga. Shiva has nourished to the full his seven spiritual centers or chakras, and is now a fulfilled being elevated to divinity. It is Shiva who is being referred to as a divine model for human behavior, for in fulfilling the potentiality of each of his seven chakras, Shiva has sevened himself; he is a complete being.

Shiva was known throughout the world as
the God of Seven

Whereas the verb Shaba refers to the activity of becoming complete in one's self, the following definition of Sheba, a frequently used noun and root in the Old Testament Hebrew, means seven, but seven as the sacred full one. In other words, the nominative case refers to the same occurrence: that of being in the state of having fulfilled one's self, one's spiritual centers, and once again seven, the number of the chakras, is mentioned. Sheba means seven. The Shabbath is the seventh day. Sheba is merely a transliteration of Shiva, sometimes spelled as Sheva.

7651 sheba (fem) or shibah (masc), seven (as the sacred full one); as an adverb, seven times; a week.

To anyone who is not familiar with the Hindu system of Yoga featuring the development of one's seven spiritual centers, the above definition of seven as the sacred full one would be rather ambiguous, but to the Hindus and Buddhists, to the ancient Maya and Aztecs, who also revered the number seven and worshiped Shiva and Kali, and to the Hopis (though Hopis limit the centers to five), it is a system which meshes with their own. [The Aztec pantheon even has a vegetation goddess known as Kundalini (See Michael Jordan's Encyclopedia of Gods).]

Shiva is associated with the number seven over and over again in Hinduism, as is the God of Judaism, and Sheba in Hebrew means seven. The link between the Hindu deity Shiva and the number seven includes the fact that Shiva is Lord of the seven worlds, he lives in the place of seven rivers on earth and in Shivaloka, the highest of the seven worlds, he is Lord of Yoga, having mastered his seven spiritual centers, and his name means seven. Zechariah Sitchin in Lost Realms says that the name Elisheva in Canaan mean "my God is Seven." p. 82.

SHIBAH, meaning Seven in Genesis: 26: 33

Isaac's servants in the above scripture name the well shibah, meaning seven, to honor their God, Sheba, or Shiva, and thereby also to show that the wells are "owned" or cared for by Isaac (God laughed), the root of whose name is Isa, one of Shiva's other names. The well therefore has been named after Shiva as Isaac himself has been named after Isa.

Let us let the above definitions show us the relationship of our own English Seven to the German Sieben, and the Hebrew forms of the word, such as Seba and Sheba, words which go back to a Sanskrit source. Perhaps we can get somewhat of an idea of how influential central essential concepts of divinity are, and how spin-off material is related to the phonetic structure and mental significance of the original concept.

Insofar as the Sabbath was named after the seventh day of creation and Creation is the Creator's Harvest, we can see how the root of the word Sabbath is related with the following words meaning plenty, abundance and satisfaction.

The Lord of the Sabbath is the Lord of Creation,

and therefore the Lord of Plenty, the Harvest, Abundance.

7646 saba or sabea (defined the same) to sate, fill to satisfaction, have plenty of, satisfy with, suffice.

Saba is the name of God to the Ethiopian Sabeans, who erected pillars and offered vegetation to him. The Hebrew Seba and Sheba are transliterations of Siva and Shiva. It is indisputable that Saba, Seba, Sheba, and Sheva, all Hebrew words, are transliterations of Shiva's name and/or attributes. Like the Dravidians in India, the Ethiopians also worshipped Krishna as Cainan or Kanneh, and Rama as Ramman or Rimmon. For verification that these were the names of the Ethiopian deities, look up Sheba, Seba, Saba, in James Hasting's Dictionary of the Bible (1919 edition). Some Indian scholars affirm that Ethiopia may in fact be the mother culture of India, and given the evidence that the oldest human bones thus far found are Ethiopian, this would indeed be even more evidence to add to the fact that the Sabeian religion was the first universal religion of the world. What is being conjectured is that the migrating Ethiopians became the aboriginal Shaivites who were later invaded by the Aryans.

7647 sabea, copiousness, abundance, plenteous.,

7649 sabea, satisfied in a pleasant or disagreeable sense, full of, satisfied with.

Sheba or Shiva, Lord of the Sabbath
was known as the Lord of Creatures, and Protector of Cattle,
The seven day cycle of creation and rest in Genesis 1
is a description of the Lord of the Sabbath, Sheba, or Shiva.
He was the Deity who commanded the vegetarian covenant of Genesis 1: 29-30.

"And God said `Behold I have given you every plant yielding seed which is upon the face of the earth, and every tree with seed in its fruit; you shall have them for food. And to every beast of the earth and to every bird of the air and to everything that creeps on the earth, everything that has the breath of life, I have given every green plant for food.'"

Mainstream Hindus for millennia have worshipped Shiva as the compassionate Lord of Creatures, or Shiva Pasupati. Thus, this same Shiva or Sheba, after whom the Shabbath was named, was also the compassionate deity commanding vegetarianism for all creatures, not just for humans. In other words, the vegetarian covenant which commands that all creatures eat plants and not other creatures is a logical covenant commanded by Shiva. We should also realize, that after the fall, in "Genesis" cattle are singled out as those who will suffer. The rewriter of the Torah was obviously countering the previous influence of Shiva, or Sheba, Lord of the Sabbath and Protector of Cattle.

Shiva in the Pillar of Fire in the Hindu Lingam Purana
Is the same God in the Pillar of Fire that guides Moses.
Sabaoth, meaning the Lord as a Man of War, comes from Saba,
a Name of Shiva
Shiva as the Destroyer and as Hara is Pervasive

in the Old Testament.

The pillar of fire that guides Moses and the Israelites to their freedom is none other than a manifestation of the pillar of flame that is described in the Hindu Lingam-Purana. The relevant ages of composition of the Old Testament and the published form of the Lingam Purana are irrelevant, since the later work is acknowledged to be about ancient events. In the Hindu work Brahma and Vishnu are arguing which of them can truly be called creator of the universe, when a huge pillar of fire comes out of the ocean. They wish to see the dimension of the pillar. So Brahma in the form of a gander flies up to see the top and Vishnu in his boar form dives down to search out the bottom, but both are unsuccessful in finding the top or bottom, the limits, of the pillar of fire. Suddenly the pillar opens and Shiva is seen sitting comfortably within. He tells Brahma and Vishnu both that he, Shiva, is the creator of both of them and of the universe.

Having established that Tsabaism was the religious milieu which gave birth to Judaism, and that Saba is one of the names of Shiva, we therefore arrive at the source of the name Sabaoth in the Jewish scriptures. The following definitions are from Strong's Hebrew Dictionary in his Exhaustive

Concordance. Tsaba is described as a primitive root by Strong, signifying its use among the earliest Jews, and thereby reinforcing the conclusion of historians that Tsabaism was pervasive in the areas in which Judaism arose. The fact that the earliest Jews named their warriors after their God

demonstrates the devotion of the original Jews to Saba, Tsaba, or Shiva. Similarly, they named their nation after Kali, Shiva's consort (Kal Israel) and after Uma (Uma means nation in ancient Hebrew).

6633 tsaba, a primitive root, to mass (an army or servants):--assemble, fight, perform, muster, wait upon, war.

6635 tsaba or tsevbah, from 6633, a mass of persons, especially organized for war (an army), a campaign, army, battle, company, host, service, soldiers, waiting upon, war (fare) or tsabah, (feminine).

Saba is the God of the Sabaoth, the Military Lord of Hosts.

Shiva the Destroyer and the Sabaoth are the Same Entity

And Shiva as the destroying Lord of Hosts is seen in the pillar of fire or cloud.

"And in the morning watch the Lord in the pillar of fire and of cloud looked down upon the host of the Egyptians, and discomfited the host of the Egyptians, clogging their chariot wheels so that they drove heavily; and the Egyptians said, "Let us flee from before Israel; for the Lord fights for them against the Egyptians." Ex. 14: 24.

In the next chapter of Exodus, Shiva is described shortly thereafter as Sabaoth, the God of war:

"The Lord is a man of war..." Ex. 15: 3.

The fact that Shiva of the Hindus and Moses of the Jews are both intimately connected with the imagery of the pillar of fire or pillar of cloud is not accidental. As we shall see in another part of this study, Moses name is built on Mah (how great or mighty) and Osheah (Liberation). Mosheh is a contraction of Mah and Osheah meaning the great liberator, which is also the way Shiva is regarded. One of Shiva's names is Oseo, and Oseo is the root of numerous Old Testament names, such as Hosea, and Osee, and, Strong affirms, the Isa or Yesha names as well.

The LORD guided them by a pillar of cloud during the day and a pillar of fire at night. That way they could travel whether it was day or night. Ex 13:21

And the LORD did not remove the pillar of cloud or pillar of fire from their sight. Ex: 13: 22

Then the angel of God, who had been leading the people of Israel, moved to a position behind them, and the pillar of cloud also moved around behind them. Ex 14: 19

The cloud settled between the Israelite and Egyptian camps. As night came, the pillar of cloud turned into a pillar of fire, lighting the Israelite camp. But the cloud became darkness to the Egyptians, and they couldn't find the Israelites. Ex 14: 20

Then the LORD came down in a pillar of cloud and called out his own name, "the LORD," as Moses stood there in his presence. Ex 34: 5

The Tabernacle was set up, and on that day the cloud covered it. Then from evening until morning the cloud over the Tabernacle appeared to be a pillar of fire. Nu 9: 15

Then the LORD descended in the pillar of cloud and stood at the entrance of the Tabernacle. "Aaron and Miriam!" he called, and they stepped forward. Nu 12: 5

And the LORD appeared to them in a pillar of cloud at the entrance to the sacred tent. De: 31: 15

SHIVA AS THE DESTROYER:

In the Hindu trinity, Brahma is the Creator, Krishna the Preserver, and Shiva the Destroyer. When focussing on Shiva as the Destroyer, let us remember that Shua and Shiva in the Old Testament are sometimes used interchangeably. Historically we may explain it at least in part from the fact that in ancient Egypt Shu was the father of Seb, so that the Shu or Shua root and the Seb or Seba roots may be regarded as literally being of the same family, Seb being the son of the father deity Shu. Pillars were erected to Shu in Egypt just as pillars were erected to Seba or Saba. Note the numerous Shiva root words and Shu words that relate to destruction. Entrees number 7724 and 7723, besides signifying destruction also signify evil, which may seem contradictory until we remember that the meanings in the concordance are also a

thumbnail history of Judaism, and that some parts of that history were contributed to by the enemies of the way of Shiva, who would be only too glad to cast shame or negativity on his name. Nonetheless, the intent of this chapter, to show the association of the name of Shiva, or Saba, with destruction, is reinforced.

7738 shavah, to destroy

7724 sheva, from 7723, to destroy.

7722 show' , show'ah, and sho'ah, to rush over; a tempest; by implication, devastation; desolate, desolation, destroy, destruction, storm, wasteness.

7723 shav' or shav, from the same as 7722 in the sense of desolating; evil (as destructive), ruin. Looking at the root shav as being from the same as 7722, therefore we can conclude that the word Shuities in the Old Testament is a variant of the Sabeans, or Sebaite, that is, Shaivites (i.e., devotees of Shiva).

The Theosophical Dictionary defines KSHA as loss, destruction of the world, and as the fourth incarnation of Vishnu, as the man-lion.

Remembering that ch and sh are sometimes interchangeable, we can see that the following words are related to the shab or shav root, which we have seen are connected with the Shaba or Saba and Shiva root, and that they also relate to destruction.

2254 chabal, khaw-bul, to wind tightly, to bind, to destroy

2255 chabal, to ruin, destroy, hurt.

7740 shavah means to destroy.

7582 Sha' ah means to rush, to desolate, be desolate, waste.

7584 Sha' avah means a tempest (as rushing); desolation.

7588 Sha' own, uproar, destruction.

THE NAME OF SHIVA AS HARA, THE DESTROYER,
IS PERVASIVE IN ANCIENT JUDAISM.

Abram/Abraham made his Home among the Sabeans of Haran for many years, not because they were opposed to his views, but because he shared their views and was comfortable in their presence, because originally Jews worshiped Sheba, and honored the seventh day as the day of their God Sheba or Saba. So now that we have adequately witnessed how thoroughly pervaded the ancient Hebrew language was by Shiva and Hara roots meaning destruction, we will have a much greater sense of what Haran meant to Abraham and

his wife Sarah, whom many scholars have either likened to or identified with Brahma and his mate Sarasvati. Haran was a center for Sabeans, for Saivites, and had Abram/Abraham been repulsed by the Sabeans, he certainly would not have made his nest with the heathens. The point is, Abraham too was a Sabeian, and a pillar is erected on the grave of his wife Sarah, just as pillars are erected by Saivites throughout the world.

Following is a list of facts showing how influential the term Hara, meaning Shiva as the destroyer, was to the ancient Jews.

1. Hara is the root of the name of the ancient city of Harappa, home of devotees of Shiva.
2. Hara is root of Haran, the home of Abraham.
3. Hara is the root of Beth Haran, an ancient house of Israel.
4. Hara is the root of a number of ancient Hebrew words signifying destruction in one form or another.
5. Har is the root of a number of ancient Hebrew words meaning mountains or hilly, and mountains were the preferred habitat of Shiva.

Kaillaisa is the mountain known to have been frequented by Shiva. Kallai is part of the ancient Hebrew vocabulary, and refers to a mountain. Kallai is quite obviously a direct reference to Kali (spelled variously), Shiva's consort, and her name is joined with that of Shiva as Isa, in order to designate or memorialize the mountain on which they lived.

The word Hara in ancient Hebrew means both mountain or hill and is also the root of words meaning destruction, coming from Hara, the name of Shiva as the destroyer. The fact that the name Kallai in the Hebrew Dictionary is so close to Kaillaisa, the mountains Shiva loved, and that Saba was an actual personage in the history of the Sabaeans both suggest that Canaan and Ethiopia themselves may have been places where Shiva lived. Haran, the home of Abraham, was a known center of Sabeans, that is, Saivites, as was the ancient city of Harappa in India, which was also a center of Shiva worship, as admitted even in the Time-Life series book on India. Both of these cities were named after Shiva as Hara the destroyer.

Strong's Exhaustive Concordance shows that the House of Hara, or Shiva the Destroyer existed in the earliest days of Judaism. Entry number 1028 is Beth Haran, which is described as a place east of the Jordan.

Below are a number of Har and Hara words denoting mountains or hills, which is where Shiva liked to live. The fact that Hara is a region in Mideia, where Moses married Zipporah, a region that even early Christian Church fathers regarded as an extension of India, further reinforces the fact that in his religious beliefs Moses as a Hindu, a Hodu who led the exit of the Hodus, the Ex-Hodus or Exodus.

2022 Har a mountain or range of hills.

From 2042 harrar, an unused root meaning to loom up, a mountain, hill.

2025 harel, mount of God.

2039 Haran from 2022, mountaineer, the name of two men. Haran.

2024 Hara is defined as mountainousness, and as a region in Media.

Ancient Hebrew has a number of words having the har or hara root that mean destruction in one form or another.

2026 harag, to smite with deadly intent, destroy out of hand, kill, murder, put to death, slaughter, slay.

2034 Haricah, from 2040, something demolished, ruin.

My comment: Haricah appears to me the logical source of the English word hurricane, especially since Shiva himself is historically is connected with wind and storms.

2040 Harac to pull down or in pieces, break, destroy, beat down, ruin, thrown down utterly.

Shiva has been called the Erotic Monk,
Because he lived as a recluse in the mountains, but had
children as well. Hara and Asceticism

In Sanskrit the Vihara is a place inhabited by Buddhist priests or ascetics, a Buddhist or Jaina temple or convent, a rock temple or cave. Here we can surmise a the connection with the rock dwellings of the Nabateans, who had some political clout just prior to and during the time of Jesus. Viharaswamin in Sanskrit designates the male or female superior of a convent or monastery.

The strict teaching of the Nabateans stated that one should not till the earth because of the sacredness of the animal and plant life there. In other words, they believed that humans were to live in harmony with creation, and not, as "demon cults" believe, that the earth and its creatures are to be subdued and had dominion over, as stated in Genesis 1: 26-28, verses which were in all likelihood inserted into the chapter in an attempt to soften or modify the strict vegetarian covenant that followed, stating not only that all humans, but all creatures, were to eat vegetation.

The Nab root of the word Nabatean relates to prophecy, and once again, we see the connection between purity and prophecy, seen in Daniel, Ezra, and in the habit of fasting in the wilderness, common to Moses, Isaiah and the other late prophets, Jesus, Muhammad, and to the Rechabites. The Nab root also exists in a word meaning fruit. This suggests that the innocence involved in the eating of fruit, a diet which does not consist in the death of the tree that bore the fruit, was considered one of the ways of allowing prophetic vision to enter one's

self. Here, along with the renunciate tradition associated with Shiva, and the Nabateans, we have the Jewish Rechabites, who built no dwellings, did not cultivate the earth, and drank no wine. Beth Rechabowth was one of the early houses of Israel and meant house of streets, suggesting that Judaism, like Hinduism and Buddhism had numerous renunciates living in the wilderness and connected with each other by the trails they called streets.

Variations of Har contain notions of deity or creation which suggest the the matriarchal divine woman was more a part of the divine equation in early history of Judaism which was, of course, twisted by the orthodox patriarchy.

Hari: Conception and Divine Creation

Hari, Hareh, and Hara in Ancient Hebrew

2029 Harah to be or become pregnant, conceive.

2030 Hareh or Hariy, from 2029; pregnant; be a woman with child.

The term Hariy as Hari is used in chants of Hari Krishna, Hari Rama, Hari Bo. The term Hari has a long and involved history connected with the divinities of Hinduism, such as Vishnu and Indra, and that the Remover and Fiery aspects of Vishnu and Indra also connect with the deity Shiva. Hari is born of Sambhuti, and regarded as the destroyer and flame of time, and the seven rays of the sun, aspects connected with Shiva as the Destroyer and the source of tongues of flame. Haris may refer to a class of gods or to one of the seven rays of the sun, and the number seven is intimately connected with Shiva as Lord of yoga and the seven worlds.

Shiva and Time

Places Named After Shiva

Shabbath is the 7th day. Its roots are seen in Sheba, a transliteration of Shiva, and Shaba meaning to be complete, to seven one's self.

Nissan is the first month and has the issa root in it, or from another perspective, a variant or a shortened form of the name of isana. Issa and Isana are both names of Shiva.

Ciyvan, or Sivan, is the third Hebrew month.

Shebat is the 11th month.

Shavu ot and T'ub Shevat are both named after Shiva.

And Tisha Beav, is apparently named after isha or isa, a name of Shiva.

Places Named after Shiva:

Palestine, Philistine, Hindustan

Palestine, Philistine, Hindustan. One of Shiva's names is Sthana or Sthenu. See the page titled: "Jah is a Sanskrit Word." One of the definitions of Jah is Sthana. The root is present in Pakistan, Afghanistan, Uzbekistan,

Beersheba

Beersheba, the well of Shiva, the well of Seven, was named after Sheba or Shiva, by Isaac's servants. The root of Isaac's name, whether Is or Isa, is derived from Isvara or Isa, both of which are names of Shiva.

Shaveh

The Valley of Shaveh. Pillars were erected to Shiva there.

Kala means Time and is a Name of Shiva

Kala or Kalleh is a place in Palestine. One of Shiva's names is Kala, or "to make perfect," an interpretable attribute of time, as in for example, "Time heals all wounds."

3615 kalah to end, to cease, to be finished, perish, to complete, prepare, consume.

3617 kalah, a completion, completely, also destruction, consume, consummation, end riddance

These definitions of Kala also apply to Shiva as the destroyer, as well as to his mate, Kali, who is also a Destroyer. Cal Law, meaning both Bride and All Israel, refers to Cali, the mate of Shiva, after whom the nation named itself. Chali, a city in Palestine is named after Kali, the mate of Shiva.

OM KALAKALAYA NAMAHA is the name of a Sauvite mantra or a chant to Shiva. Kala means black, and is identified with Time. The Theosophical Glossary on the net states that Maha Kala in Sanskrit mean "Great Time". Mahakala is a name of Siva as the "Destroyer", and of Vishnu as the "Preserver".

Haran or Harran

Haran, Abraham's Sabeian home for many years, is named after Shiva as Hara, the Destroyer.

Beth Haran

Beth Haran, one of the early houses in Israel, is named after Hara as well.

El Shaddai and Shiva as Sada
Bethesda is Beth Shaddai.

The unabbreviated name of Bethesda is Beth Shaddai. El Shaddai is a name of Shiva, Sada among Hindus refers to the eternal nature of Deity, or Deity as Immutable. Sada means ever or always in Sanskrit.

Rama in the Old Testament

Numerous people as well as places were named after Rama. "Make not mention of other gods, they shall not be heard on your lips." Ex: 23: 13 Once again, if the Jews were to heed the command purportedly given by God in "Exodus," then the Jews would not have named their children and the cities after supposedly false gods and goddesses. The only conclusion to be derived by the objective scholar is this: originally the Jews were Hindus. They named their cities and their children after Kale, Uma, Rama and Kana and Sheba because deities of the Hindu pantheon were in fact their gods. Once again the commentary by Levine and others is relevant: the Hebrew Language itself is a revelation that the use of the language cannot negate, and what the ancient Hebrew language reveals is that the original Jews were Sabeans, and Vaishnavas, worshipping Shiva and Krishna as Kana. They were Sakti worshippers who named Kal Israel, all Israel, after Kali. They also named the people and the nation of Israel after Uma the consort of Shiva. And they honored the purity of the Jains, by originally accepting the Jain or Gana version of life after death, by adopting the name of Gana to describe Gan Eden.

1. Early patriarchs of Judaism were named after Hindu personalities and members of the Hindu pantheon, entities such as Rama, Shiva, Asura, Naamah, Arjuna and Asura. Jacob names his son Asher after Asherah, who is Asura of the Hindus. Abraham himself names his son Shuah after Shu, the father sky god of Egypt who fathered Seb, the Lord of the Earth, who is none other than Seba or Shiva. The stelae and pyramids of Egypt were called matsteba, which is simply the local version of the Hebrew masseva or matseba, the name for pillars of erected to God in the Old Testament. Both in Egypt and in Palestine the pillars were named after Seb or Seba, that is, Shiva. So too, the influence of Rama, like that of Shiva and Kana (Krishna) was pervasive, as is seen by the numerous people and places named after Rama. Long after the command in Exodus not to utter the names of false gods the Jews continued to name their children after the deities of the Hindu (and Egyptian) pantheon. As any complete concordance will verify, the name of Rama is seen frequently in the Old Testament.

2. Abraham's original name was Abram, which is Ab-Ram, which, whether interpreted to mean the father abba of Ram or simply of Ram, meaning from the source of Ram, is a reference to the Hindu deity and hero of the Ramayana. In ancient Hindu times and today Rama was and is considered a divine personality.

Sabaeans venerated Rama, who is known in the Old Testament as Rimmon, Raamah, Ram and Rahman. Abraham's original name, Abram, or Ab-Ram, means more than Strong's

Hebrew Dictionary meaning of high father, ram meaning high and ab meaning father in Hebrew. For Ram was worshiped by the Sabeans in Harran, and the name of Ram or Rama was venerated: he was the epic hero experiencing the adventures of the Ramayana and he was a deity in the Hindu pantheon. So Ab-Ram very significantly meant Father-Ram or fathered by Ram, that is, of the lineage of Ram, and the name was a sign of one's spirituality, of one's spiritual allegiance to a deity worshipped by Shaivite and Vaishnava Hindus. Rama was vegetarian.

3. The father of Moses is named Amram, Am-Ram, which combines the sacred Aum or Om sound with the name of Ram.

4. Ram, Rama, Raamah, Ramah, Ramath, Rahman, Ramath, Rameses or Raamses, Rimmon, Amram, Abram, Abiram, Jehoram, Hadoram, Joram, Piram, Hiram, Hiram, Huram and Horam are all Old Testament names.

5. The root Ram is used as a frequent prefix, such as in Ramahthites, Ramathim-Zophim, Ramath, such as Ramath-Lehr, Ramath-Mizpah, Ramath-Mizpeh, Ramoth-Gilead, and Ramoth Negev.

6. Pomegranates and bulls were sculpted on Solomon's Pillars. Pomegranates were a fruit connected with Rama and the bull was associated with Shiva, who had a sacred bull named Nandi. Moreover, Hiram, Solomon's architect, has a name which means the divine He-Ram, Hu being the divine He and Ram being Ramah.

He also made two rows of pomegranates that encircled the latticework to decorate the capitals over the pillars. 1Ki 7: 18

Each capital on the two pillars had two hundred pomegranates in two rows around them, beside the rounded surface next to the latticework. 1Ki 7: 20

7. Significantly in the "Book of Job" the final questioner of Job is the young Elihu from the family of Ram. Hu is a sacred word to the Hindus. Hu in Sanskrit designates Jehovah, the God of the Jews, as well as the word Jehudhi itself, which means Jew. The astute reader and literary critic realizes that the final chapter of Job is a phoney ending attempting to discredit the numerous elaborate narratives against the animal sacrifices that precede the final chapter. Job's name means enemy or adversary for a good reason. The original version of the Book of Job was an extended diatribe against the animal sacrifices as well as against the unjust patriarchal system that promoted them.

8. A natural lingam of Siva exists 15 miles north of Jerusalem. It is also 6 miles from Jiba, which is Jiva of the Hindus. At Rimmon there are remnants of a stone representing the seven-branched candelabra, the minora, which represents the seven chakras of the spirit-body. The names Ramah and Sheva are more than once mentioned together in the Old Testament.

9. In Ethiopia Rama was worshipped as Ramman, and in Egypt the name was the root of Rameses.

10. Rahmann is also an important name in Islam. Though now it is considered the Islamic orthodoxy to mean an aspect of Allah, it was originally used to designate a separate and independent entity worthy of veneration, thus showing that the religion of Islam went through a transition similar to that of Judaism: the original vegetarian and egalitarian purity was countered by an elitist patriarchal system promoting animal sacrifices for the profit of the patriarch cattlemen. The original Jews first worshipped a pantheon, but the people of Israel were then debriefed, or conditioned by the revised scriptures written by the scribes of the cattlemen, much as Constantine in New Testament times ignored the original Ebionite Gospel and substituted scriptures which conformed more readily to orthodox Roman standards. The Sacred Stone of the Muslims, the Kaaba, is, as many writers have said, a Shiva Lingam. And the name of the Shiites who claim that the Sunnis corrupted the original vegetarian faith of Islam, may be seen as a version of Shivite. That is, they were originally followers of Shiva.

Hari Krishna, Hari Rama is a commonly known chant of contemporary Hindus. Krishna aka Vishnu and Rama have been the material of chants since time immemorial.

THE RAM NAME PERSISTED IN ORTHODOX JUDAISM

The names of the North African philosopher Rambam, or Maimonides (1135-1204) and Ramban (1194 - 1270), who is regarded as the most prominent Spanish talmudist, shows how the name Ram persisted in orthodox Judaism, even though the original significance of the term was lost.

Rama in Samuel's Time

Ramah during Samuel's day was not just a place mentioned in passing, but a center of activity for Samuel. Samuel's name is a combination of Sambu, one of Shiva's names, and El, signifying Almighty God. Why, if the Jews of Samuel's time regarded the names of other gods as not to be uttered, did they not change the name of the city of Ramah? Except to those addicted to the notion that orthodox Judaism is a true religion, the answer is obvious: they didn't change the name of the city, because Ramah was named after a deity that they venerated.

Also Gibeon, Ramah, Beeroth, Jos 18: 25

including all the villages as far south as Baalath-beer (also known as Ramah of the Negev). This was the inheritance of the families of the tribe of Simeon. Jos 19: 8

Then the boundary turned toward Ramah and the fortified city of Tyre and came to the Mediterranean Sea at Hosah. The territory also included Mehebel, Aczib, Jos 19: 29

Adamah, Ramah, Hazor, Jos 19: 36

She would hold court under the Palm of Deborah, which stood between Ramah and Bethel in the hill country of Ephraim, and the Israelites came to her to settle their disputes.

Jud: 4-5

We will find a place to spend the night in either Gibeah or Ramah." Jud 19: 13

There was a man named Elkanah who lived in Ramah in the hill country of Ephraim. He was the son of Jeroham and grandson of Elihu, from the family of Tohu and the clan of Zuph. 1Sa 1: 1

The entire family got up early the next morning and went to worship the LORD once more.

Then they returned home to Ramah. When Elkanah slept with Hannah, the LORD remembered her request, 1Sa 1: 19

Then Elkanah and Hannah returned home to Ramah without Samuel. And the boy became

the LORD's helper, for he assisted Eli the priest. 1Sa 2: 11

Then he would return to his home at Ramah, and he would hear cases there, too. And Samuel built an altar to the LORD at Ramah. 1Sa 7: 17.

Finally, the leaders of Israel met at Ramah to discuss the matter with Samuel. 1Sa 8: 4.

Then Samuel went home to Ramah, and Saul returned to his house at Gibeah. 1Sa 15: 34

So as David stood there among his brothers, Samuel took the olive oil he had brought and poured it on David's head. And the Spirit of the LORD came mightily upon him from that day on. Then Samuel returned to Ramah. 1Sa 16: 13?

So David got away and went to Ramah to see Samuel, and he told him all that Saul had done to him. Then Samuel took David with him to live at Naioth. 1Sa 19: 18.

When the report reached Saul that David was at Naioth in Ramah...1Sa 19:19

Finally, Saul himself went to Ramah and arrived at the great well in Secu. "Where are Samuel and David?" he demanded. "They are at Naioth in Ramah," someone told him. 1Sa

19: 22

David now fled from Naioth in Ramah and found Jonathan. "What have I done?" he

exclaimed. "What is my crime? How have I offended your father that he is so determined to kill me?" 1Sa 20: 1

Now Samuel died, and all Israel gathered for his funeral. They buried him near his home at Ramah. Then David moved down to the wilderness of Maon. 1Sa 25: 1

Meanwhile, Samuel had died, and all Israel had mourned for him. He was buried in Ramah, his hometown. And Saul had banned all mediums and psychics from the land of Israel. 1Sa 28: 3

King Baasha of Israel invaded Judah and fortified Ramah in order to prevent anyone from entering or leaving King Asa's territory in Judah. 1Ki 15: 17

As soon as Baasha of Israel heard what was happening, he abandoned his project of fortifying Ramah and withdrew to Tirzah. 1Ki 15: 21

Then King Asa sent an order throughout Judah, requiring that everyone, without exception, help to carry away the building stones and timbers that Baasha had been using to fortify Ramah. Asa used these materials to fortify the town of Geba in Benjamin and the town of Mizpah. 1Ki 15: 22

Shimei from Ramah was in charge of the king's vineyards. Zabdi from Shepham was responsible for the grapes and the supplies of wine. 1Ch 27:27

In the thirty-sixth year of Asa's reign, King Baasha of Israel invaded Judah and fortified Ramah in order to prevent anyone from entering or leaving King Asa's territory in Judah. 2Ch 16:1

As soon as Baasha of Israel heard what was happening, he abandoned his project of fortifying Ramah. 2Ch 16:5

Then King Asa called out all the men of Judah to carry away the building stones and timbers that Baasha had been using to fortify Ramah. Asa used these materials to fortify the towns of Geba and Mizpah. 2Ch 16:6

The peoples of Ramah and Geba - 621 Ezr 2:26

The peoples of Ramah and Geba - 621 Ne 7:30

Hazor, Ramah, Gittaim, Ne 11:33

They are crossing the pass and are staying overnight at Geba. Fear strikes the city of Ramah. All the people of Gibeah - the city of Saul - are running for their lives. Isa 10:29

This is what the LORD says: "A cry of anguish is heard in Ramah - mourning and weeping unrestrained. Rachel weeps for her children, refusing to be comforted - for her children are dead." Jer 31:15

The LORD gave a message to Jeremiah after Nebuzaradan, captain of the guard, had released him at Ramah. He had found Jeremiah bound in chains among the captives of Jerusalem and Judah who were being sent to exile in Babylon. Jer 40:1 NLT

"Blow the ram's horn in Gibeah! Sound the alarm in Ramah! Raise the battle cry in Beth-aven ! Lead on into battle, O warriors of Benjamin Ho 5:8 NLT

"A cry of anguish is heard in Ramah - weeping and mourning unrestrained. Rachel weeps for her children, refusing to be comforted - for they are dead." Mt 2:18 NLT

THE NAME OF RAM INVOLVES HEIGHTS IN ANCIENT HEBREW

Like the names Sheba (Shiva), Hara, and Kana, the name Rama was so ingrained in the ancient Hebrew language that the name itself and its abbreviated forms were used to designate moral and emotional heights as well as actual physical heights.

1027 Beyth ha-Ram, house of the height, a place east of the Jordan, Beth-aram.

3413 Yeremay, elevated, Jeremai, an Israelite

3414 Yirmeyah or Yirmeyahuw, from 7311 and 3050, Jirmejah, the name of nine or ten Israelites. Jeremiah.

The Name Jeremiah

Je Ram lah Jeremiah's name itself is a short chant, or part of a longer chant, which one hears in Shaivite or Vaishnava Hindu circles. One hears Je Ram in chanting circles.

7311 ruwm, to rise or raise in various applications, bring up, extol, give, go up, to promote.

7312 ruwm or rum, elevation or elation, haughtiness.

7313, ruwm, room, extol, lift up, set up.

7314 ruwm, altitude, height

7315 rowm, rome, elevation aloft, on high.

7316 Ruwmah, height, a place in Palestine. Rumah.

7317 rowmah, feminine of 7315, elation, proudly, haughtily.

7318 Rowmam, exaltation, praise, be extolled.

7319 Rowmemah, from 7426, exaltation, praise, high

7426 Ramam, raw-mam, to rise, exalt, get up, lift up, mount up.

7416 rimmown or rimmon, pomegranate, the tree (from its upright growth), the fruit

7417 Rimmownow, the name of a Syrian deity, also the name of five places in Palestine.

The term Aramaic, referring to the Aramaic language, has been deduced to have derived from the immense influence of the figure and name of Rama.

SHIVA'S NAMES INCLUDE IS, ISH, ISA

These Designations are the Root of Many Hebrew Words.

The Legacy of Divinity Names.

Naming One's Children and one's Villages after Divinity

Is a common rite in Hindu Brahmanic, Vaishnava,

Shivaite and Jain cultures

And in fact two other names of Shiva, Ishvara and Isa, are also the root source for a large number of other words in ancient Hebrew. If one looks in any Bible concordance one will find many names with the above, IS, ISA, ISH and ISHA used as prefixes, suffixes, or roots. Examples are the name Isaac itself, in Hebrew Jischak, given to his son by Abraham who was devoted to the Hindu pantheon, which included the deities Ram and Isa (Shiva), and who shows his ongoing devotion to these Hindu deities by naming his children after them. The name of the Jewish nation itself, Israel, Is-rael, has in its IS root the name of Shiva as well. [The name Israel may in ancient times have been actually derived from Is-Sarah-El. Sarah means to prevail or to contend with. This fact is more in keeping with the Hindu origins of Judaism which honored matriarchs as well as patriarchs, as we can tell by their calling the nation Uma and by calling Israel Kal Israel.] The list of Isa and Is names and words is large indeed, and includes the prophet Isaiah, as well as numerous other names such as Iscah, Iscariot, Ish, Ishbah, Ishbak, Ishbubenob, Ishbosheth, Ishi, Ishiah, Ishijah, Ishma, Ishmael, Ishmaiah, Issachar, Isshiah, Ishui, Ishmerai, Ishod, Ishpan, Ishtob, Ishuah, Ishuai, Ishui, Ismachiah, Ismaiah, Ispah.

These numerous names show that the ancient Israelites like the Hindus conferred names upon their children containing the name of the divinity Shiva as Isa or Is. The name of David's father Jesse, like the name Jesus (change the J in each name to an I), is another form of Isa, and Issa is in fact the name by which Jesus is still known to the Tibetans.

Those who would argue that the Yesh or Yishay in Hebrew and its variant lyshay, that is the IS, ISA or ISHA words, only mean to be extant, ignore the long history of descriptions of Divinity as That Which Is, the Ground of Being, and as Pure Being. That Which Exists is certainly a universal manner of describing divinity, as any reliable history of mysticism, religion or theology will confirm.

The Process of Transliteration

The main Sanskrit name of the deity, Shiva (or Siva) has been transliterated into the Hebrew as Sheva, Sheba, Seba, and Saba. Look up any of these names by consulting traditional sources such as Strong's Exhaustive Concordance, James Hastings' Dictionary of the Bible or any unabridged concordance or dictionary source and they will lead you to numerous other words in ancient Hebrew which use these names as a root such as Bathsheba, Beersheva, Joseph, Elisabeth, Jehosephat, Jehoshabeath, Jehoshaphat, Jehosheba. By noticing both the changes from s to sh and from ph to b to v, for example, one is noticing the process of transliteration, the vowel and consonant changes that occur when translating from one language system to another. Jo-seph means the name of seven, and Eli-sheva means God is seven, or as Sitchin affirms in Lost Realms: "My God is seven. Look up the words Shaveh

and Shavshah and they will be seen to reflect aspects of the ancient Hebrew words Sheba or Sheva.

As a relevant aside, showing how the process of transliteration has occurred in history, one can also see the process of transliteration in the following words used to mean Seven: Sanskrit Shiva=Hebrew Sheba=German Sieben=English Seven.

The fact that all of these names contain the name of divinity or an aspect of divinity shows how deity centered the ancient Israelites were. Just as the seventh day of repose of the Hebrews, the Sabbath, was named after a form of Sheba (or Shiva), so too was the eleventh month, Shebat. It's all the same deity, simply with different names. Shiva is in fact known as the Lord of a Thousand Names.

The Numerous Initiation Names of Ancient Jews
Confirm their relationship with Shiva.

Moreover, the fact that the way of Shaivite Hinduism was one of the original catalysts for the formation of Judaism in the region of Canaan-Palestine is attested to by the numerous Hebrew names which have their root in the Hindu pantheon as well as words with a Sanskrit source, which reveals the all pervasiveness of the consciousness of God. among the ancient Jews. Many Hebrew names, we shall see, with an overflowing cornucopia of proof, as we demonstrated with the Is, Isa and Isha names shown above, are simply combinations of Vaishnava or Shaivite words meaning God, which would in a sense make the person's very name a reminder of a divinity. Hindus initiate their members by giving them a name and a mantra. Names such as Joram or Horam, Eli-sabeth, Jo-seph are compounds comprised of two words signifying one aspect or another of divinity. Some names themselves can be discerned as barely disguised Hindu mantras compressed into a single name. Je Ram, for example, two names for God, are frequently chanted by Hindu groups. The name Je-rem-iah contains three Deity words. Je and iah (or jah) signify Jehovah. Rem is a transliteration of the Hindu deity Ram or Rama. The name Elisabeth, for example is Eli, and sabeth, a root which, like Sabbath, has its source in the Saba name of Shiva.

ISOCOGICS: Isa, Ishvara, Isana,
And commentary on Israel, Isaiah,
and the numerous word compounds using Is and Ish as a prefix.

The use of the name Isa as a root of numerous Hebrew names and locations is easily seen: Is-rael is defined variously as he who wrestled with, he who contended with God, and he who prevailed. However, it is acknowledged that the origins of Judaism into an antiquity which honored matriarchs as well as patriarchs, a fact which orthodox patriarchs in typical chauvinist fashion are not likely to acknowledge. I would like readers to be aware of the following, namely the possibility that the original name Israel itself may have acknowledged the female-male aspect of divinity:

ISRAEL
IS SARAH EL

8280 sarah, saw-raw, to prevail, have power

Virtually all the names in Hebrew beginning with Is--and their number is quite large as we saw above--designate people who were named after the creator, Is, Ishvara, Isa. The name Elisha = El + Isha and combines the name of deity as the Almighty, El, with Isha, a name of Shiva. Numerous people, as we have shown even in the brief list above, were named after Shiva, have the root seba, or shab, or sab or sheb, and the names of Isaiah and Issa-Jesus-Jesus all reflect the connection with Shiva as Isa.

The Ish root, which in ancient Hebrew denotes among other things, to be extant, male and champion, also suggests a divinity-pervaded or divinity-favored being, and therefore is simply another form of the Is grouping of meanings. "To be Extant" may be regarded as another form of the affirmation: "I am who am." This has been commonly understood, for example, by the writers of the Vedas, the Old and New Testament writers, by Meister Eckhardt and by innumerable mystics and saints in history.

The term Isocogics is from the Greek and describes the branch of study that is preliminary to studying theology. IS is a term for divinity that has existed from ancient times. In Egypt the mate of Isis (double Is), is Osiris, who garlanded himself with snakes and rode a mule; Shiva (Isa-Ishvara-Isana) too garlanded himself with snakes and rode his bull Nandi. More relevant to the focus of this study, however, Is carries the notion of divine entity in Hebrew just as it does in Sanskrit as in Isvara.

The numerous Hebrew names with the Is prefix (and, it can be asserted, with the Ish prefix as well) like those with the el prefix suffix, meaning God, and carrying the iel or jah suffix, meaning of God or of Jah [or Jehovah], like the Ram, Asura, and Shiva names, show how thoroughly pervaded with the sense of the divine Judaism was in its earliest days before the cattlemen patriarchs invaded and destroyed the shrines of Asherah and Shiva, killed and dispersed their vegetarian devotees, and started the bloody cult of a Jehovah who ostensibly demanded sacrifices as atonement for sins. These numerous names show that the ancient Israelites like the Hindus conferred names upon their children containing the name of the divinity Shiva as Saba, Isa, or the Is of Isvara.

The name of David's father Jesse, like the name Jesus (change the J in each name to an I), is another form of Isa, and Issa is in fact the name by which Jesus is known to the Tibetans. When God renames Jacob Israel, Is-rael, or Is sarah el, the namegiving process involved in that event also repeats the tradition of the Hindu tradition of giving new initiates a spiritual name which usually contains a name or names of divinity. To name one's children, one's villages, and even one's concepts of time, after the creator or after aspects of the creator shows how deity centered the ancient Israelites were.

Vegetarians in Old Testament Times

The Ethiopic Book of Enoch Condemns Animal Sacrifices.

Genesis 1: 26-28 are Demonic Scriptures Inserted by Businessmen

To Sanction Animal Sacrifices.

VEGETARIANS IN OLD TESTAMENT TIMES

A Brief Survey Jeremiah

"And I will doubly recompense their iniquity and their sin, because they have polluted my land with the carcasses of their detestable idols, and have filled my inheritance with their abominations." 16: 17-18.

I will set shepherds over them who will care for them, and they shall fear no more, nor be dismayed, neither shall any be missing... 23: 1-4.

In earlier more accurate versions of the Old Testament, there were no doubt many more explicit denunciations of animal sacrifices and the meat industry, such as the following:

Zechariah

"Thus said the Lord my God: `Become shepherd of the flock doomed to slaughter. Those who buy them slay them and go unpunished; and those who sell them say, "Blessed be the Lord, I have become rich"; and their own shepherds have no pity on them." 11: 4-5.

Zecharia's name itself may etymologically be broken down into Zayin, or Z and acharya, that is Z acharya. This may be seen clearly by consulting the Peshitta Aramaic web site. An archarya is a Hindu teacher, one who knows the rules. Once again the Hindu origins of Judaism are affirmed.

Isaiah

"Hear the word of the Lord you rulers of Sodom! Give ear to the teaching of our God, you people of Gomorrah! What to me is the multitude of your sacrifices? says the Lord; I have had enough of burnt offerings of rams and the fat of fed beasts; I do not delight in the blood of bulls, or of lambs, or of he-goats." 1: 10-11.

"...even though you make many prayers, I will not listen; your hands are full of blood. Wash yourselves, make yourselves clean; remove the evil of your doings from before my eyes; cease to do evil, learn to do good; seek justice, correct oppression... 1: 15-17.

"A voice cries: `In the wilderness prepare the way of the Lord, make straight in the desert a highway for our God. Every valley shall be lifted up, and every mountain and hill be made low; the uneven ground shall become level, and the rough places a plain. And the glory of the Lord shall be revealed, and all flesh shall see it together. (emphasis mine)'" 40: 3-5.

"He who slaughters an ox is like him who kills a man." 66:3.

Contrast the above with Paul's "Is it for oxen that God is concerned?" 9: 9, Romans, or with the statements falsely attributed to Jesus which state "are you not more than many sparrows," and not more than the ox rescued from the pit.

Nahum

The Lion as Representing the Carnivore

Like other scribes of Old Testament times and the Essenes, Nahum uses the lion as a symbol of carnivorousness. Strangling animals, such as fowl I presume, was common among some Jews, though it was technically forbidden by law.

"Where is the lion's den, the cave of the young lions, where the lion brought his prey, where his cubs were, with none to disturb? The lion tore enough for his whelps and strangled prey for his lionesses; he filled his caves with prey and his dens with torn flesh....Behold, I am against you, says the Lord of hosts, and I will burn your chariots in smoke, and the sword shall devour your young lions; I will cut off your prey from the earth, and the voice of your messengers shall no more be heard." 2: 11-13.

Amos

"I hate, I despise your feasts, and I take no delight in your solemn assemblies. Even though you offer me your burnt offerings...I will not accept them and the peace offerings of your fatted beasts I will not look upon. 5: 21-22.

"Woe to those who lie upon beds of ivory and stretch themselves upon their couches, and eat lambs from the flock, and calves from the midst of the stall..." 6: 4.

Micah

Sacrificing Animals, Carnivorousness, is Idol Worship.

Destroying the Temples of Animal Sacrifice in Jerusalem and Samaria

"What is the transgression of Jacob? Is it not Samaria? And what is the sin of the house of Judah? Is it not Jerusalem? Therefore I will make Samaria a heap in the open country, a place for planting vineyards; and I will pour down her stones into the valley and uncover her foundations. All her images shall be beaten to pieces, all her hires shall be burned with fire, and all her idols I will lay waste..." 1: 6-7.

"With what shall I come before the Lord, and bow myself before God on high? Shall I come before him with burnt offerings, with calves a year old? Will the lord be pleased with thousands of rams....Shall I give my firstborn for my transgression, the fruit of my body for the sin of my soul? He has showed you, O man what is good; and what does the Lord require of you but to do justice, and to love kindness, and to walk humbly with your God?" 6: 6-8.

Daniel

Daniel's vision of the tree of life of Genesis

"The visions of my head as I lay in bed were these: I saw, and behold, a tree in the midst of the earth; and its height was great. The tree grew and became strong, and its top reached to heaven, and it was visible to the end of the whole earth. Its leaves were fair and its fruit abundant, and it was food for all. The beasts of the field found shade under it, and the birds of the air dwelt in its branches, and all flesh was fed from it." 4: 10-12.

"Then Daniel said to the steward whom the chief of eunuchs had appointed over Daniel, Hanani'ah, Mish a el and Azariah, "Test your servants for ten days; let us be given vegetables to eat and water to drink. Then let our appearance and the appearance of the youths who eat the king's rich food be observed by you, and according to what you see deal with your servants." So he hearkened to them in this matter and tested them for ten days. At the end of ten days it was seen that they were better in appearance and fatter in flesh than all the youths who ate the king's rich food. So the steward took away their rich food and the wine they were to drink and gave them vegetables." 1: 11-16.

The king tests Daniel and his vegetarian friends and...

"In every matter of wisdom and understanding he found them ten times better than all the magicians and enchanters that were in all his kingdom." 1: 20.

Joel

The Lion as a Symbol of the Carnivore

That All Flesh is to be the Recipient of Salvation

Is a Concept Held by Hindus and the Original Jews,
But Not by Orthodox Judaism.

In the "Book of Joel" the people of Judaism have not only been overcome by carnivorous nations, but have chosen to adopt their ways, and consequently suffer nature's displeasure, for the ways of nature are controlled by God.

"For a nation has come up against my land, powerful and without number; it's teeth are lions' teeth, and it has the fangs of a lioness. It has laid waste my vines, and splintered my fig trees; it has stripped off their bark and thrown it down; their branches are made white....The cereal offering and the drink offering are cut off from the house of the Lord....The fields are laid waste, the ground mourns; because the grain is destroyed, the wine fails, the oil languishes." 1: 6-10

Joel, typical of the late prophets, sees the devastation as karmic, as coming from the fact that the Jews have adopted the ways of their carnivorous conquerors, and Joel echoes the same message of the other prophets and of the vegetarian Moses whom we see clearly in the Dead Sea Scrolls, a

message which states: remain vegetarian, even when those around you are not.

"Behold, I am sending to you grain, wine and oil, and you shall be satisfied... 2: 19.

"Fear not, you beasts of the field, for the pastures of the wilderness are green; the tree bears its fruit, the fig tree and the vine give their full yield." 2: 22.

And Joel succinctly sees the same vision of peace for all animal creation that Isaiah sees, and that exists in Revelations, namely peace for all creation:

"And it shall come to pass afterward, that I will pour out my spirit on all flesh..." 2: 28.

Once again, seeing all flesh, that is, all creatures flesh and blood, as recipients of salvation is a Hindu concept and was the belief of the original Jews, but it is not the belief of orthodox Judaism.

Hosea

"For I desire steadfast love and not sacrifice, the knowledge of God, rather than burnt offerings. But at Adam they transgressed the covenant; there they dealt faithlessly with me." 6: 6-7.

Commentary: Saying 85 of the Nag Hammadi "Gospel of Thomas" states "Adam came from great power and great wealth, but he was not worthy of you. For had he been worthy he would not have tasted death." The "Gospel of Philip" states that Adam fell because he ate from the "Tree of Animals." The "Gospel of Philip" also says "This world eats corpses" but Jesus did not. Eating the corpse of a sacrificed animal, Adam tasted death.

"They love sacrifice; they sacrifice flesh and eat it; but the Lord has no delight in them." Hosea 8: 13.

"For there is no truth in their mouth; their heart is destruction, their throat is an open sepulchre..." Psalm 5: 9.

A Vegetarian Moses

Health and Longevity as Proof of Virtue:

One cannot preach carnivorousism

and preach longevity and health at the same time.

Pliny in section 151 of Apologia Pro Judaeis affirms that the Essenes commonly had centenarians among them.

Students of Buddhism know that when Buddha described the longevity of his vegetarian flock he described their life expectancy as being merely that of a hundred years (for example in the Dhammapada), and he did this over and over again.

Psalm 90 from the Old Testament, however, commenting on the life expectancy of the orthodox carnivorous Jew, describes it as "three-score and ten" and occasionally eighty. Neither Buddha nor the author of Psalm 90 was focussing on the longevity of their people with the express purpose of comparing the longevity of their own culture with that of another culture. They were each simply describing a fact of life in their respective cultures, and the facts speak for themselves. Who wrote Psalm 90? Moses, who lived to be 120 years old!, which in itself virtually proves what later prophets and commentators have said, that Moses' real words were changed in order to fit the desires of evil men seeking to profit from animal sacrifices.

"I call heaven and earth to witness against you this day, that I have set before you life and death, blessing and curse; therefore choose life that you and your descendants may live, loving the Lord your God, obeying his voice, and cleaving to him; for that means life to you and length of days..." Moses, Deuteronomy 30: 19-20.

Though it is "The Words of Moses" in the Dead Sea Scrolls that document a vegetarian Moses and directly align him with the vegetarian tradition, even the Old Testament's orthodox Moses asserts that longevity was a proof of virtue, which in itself is dramatic evidence that the original Moses, who lived to be 120, was vegetarian. Moses' age of 120 years was not uncommon among the vegetarian Essenes. Moreover, even sections of the notorious "Pentateuch" are barely revised versions of the original vegetarian scriptures. For example, certain scriptures dealing with the Israelites' craving for flesh, and their eating of the quail which brings on them the plague, clearly suggests that their craving for flesh is evil. Even in "Leviticus" (which, like most of the Pentateuch, would make a very effective horror movie) God's words are sometimes more like the words of Essenes and late Jewish prophets than they are like the Jehovah-turned-demon who commands the animal sacrifices.

Apocrypha

The works called the Apocrypha of the Old Testament are much like the gnostic and heretical gospels of the New Testament. They often contained scriptures that were disliked by the orthodox. Specifically, of course, apocryphal works often denounced brutality towards animals and the animal sacrifices.

Sirach

Sirach succinctly summarizes the difference between the human-oriented perspective of orthodox Jews, Christians and Muslims, and the creation-oriented attitude of Hindus and Buddhists.

"The compassion of a man is for his neighbor, but the compassion of the Lord is for all living beings." 18: 13.

Baruch

Baruch's truthfulness like that of the real Ebionite Jesus no doubt infuriated the Jewish orthodoxy, which is doubtlessly the reason his scriptures were judged to be apocryphal. Baruch links the sorrow-filled history of orthodox Judaism, a history of misery which persists to this day, with its insistence on conducting animal sacrifices, which he equates with demon-worship.

"Take courage, my people, O memorial of Israel! It was not for destruction that you were sold to the nations, but you were handed over to your enemies because you angered God. For you provoked him who made you by sacrificing to demons and not to God." 4: 5-7.

"He who prepared the earth for all time filled it with four-footed creatures." 3: 32.

The Values in the Ethiopic Book of Enoch are the Values of Hinduism,
Jain Buddhism and Buddhism,
not the Values of what we call Orthodox Judaism.
That is Why the Book of Enoch
Was Relegated as Apocryphal by Orthodox Jews.
Enoch and Noah both totally denounce animal sacrifices and industry
As do the Shauvite, Vaishnava, and Renunciate Traditions of India.

In his study of the Enoch literature on the Internet James C. VanderKam, a man recognized for his knowledge of the Enoch material and who is described as being the world's foremost authority on "Jubilees" asks: was God justified in sending the universally destructive flood? And, puzzled by the value system expressed in the Book of Enoch, he asks: And where is the Torah? In other words, where are the values of the Torah? It is in fact a very legitimate and good question. And, if it had come from the mouth of a vegetarian, I would take the question to be rhetorical and/or sarcastic. For it is very obvious that the Book of Enoch, like Genesis 1: 30, regards all creatures as being sentient and as having wills of their own, and flesh and blood living beings with values. Genesis 1: 30 is a very deliberately ignored scripture, because it reflects an attitude that strikes a lethal blow at the very heart of the orthodoxy of Judaism, the religious parent of orthodox Christianity and orthodox Islam.

God Commands All Creatures to be Vegan
Other Creatures are Portrayed as Sentient
And as Having Wills of their Own.

"And to every beast of the earth and to every bird of the air and to everything that creeps on the earth, everything that has the breath of life, I have given every green plant for food." 1: 30.

This verse is perhaps one of the least discussed scriptures in the Old Testament, because it in fact crushes the philosophical and religious foundations of orthodox Judaism, as well as the foundation of all religions that claim that only humans have souls and therefore

meaningful lives. Genesis 1: 30 shows God well aware of not only the sentience but the will power of all creatures. In other words, creatures are not portrayed as instinctual automatons, which is the way orthodox religions and industrialists want them to be portrayed, so that we will--hopefully in their minds--not be bothered by oppressing, enslaving and killing these creatures.

VanderKam quotes the following rationale for the flood. Notice that the earth's creatures are described as "all flesh" which would include humanity as well: "the earth was corrupt, for all flesh had corrupted its ways upon the earth" (6:12) Therefore the universal destruction, for universally, flesh and blood creatures of all types were acting in evil ways.

In other words, to answer VanderKam's question, Was God justified in sending the universally destructive flood?, the All-Just Deity did not turn its back on justice by destroying all creatures on land. All creatures have free will and the power to discriminate between what is good and what is evil, and also to attract to themselves the very karma they exude. We had begun to discover personality in other creatures more fully during the latter half of the twentieth century. Jane Goodall's observations on the personal nuances of the primates she lived with are mind-boggling. Some of the primates ate grubbs. Others were strict vegetarians. Very beautifully so, the author of Genesis, the late Jewish prophets and the Essenes and the real Ebionite Jesus, who is seen clearly only in the Gospel of the Nazirenes, kept alive this recognition, not only of the uniqueness and sacredness of all creatures, but of the fact that they have sentience and free will.

Businessmen Rewrote Scriptures
To Sanction Animal Sacrifices and Slavery,
And Today Businessmen Control
the Curriculums of our Educational Systems,
In Order to Marginalize Human Compassion towards Other Creatures.

But the businessmen of Judaism, Christianity and Islam had to squelch such consciousness, had to describe it as foolish. So orthodox persons, some even dubbing themselves intellectuals, spout the most non-intellectual and nonsensical stuff about other creatures: "If animals were intelligent they'd be speaking to us, they'd invent tools, they'd live in houses, they'd start civilizations." No, they wouldn't, is the answer. The intelligent creatures live with creation as it was made. Nature is the Creation of the Divine. Industry is the creation of Fallen Humanity.

And then we have absolutely inane utterances coming from supposedly brilliant individuals, Descartes saying animals are like clocks, Thomas Aquinas saying animals have no souls. And businessmen of every carnivorous creed saying that the other creatures are here for us to use, to subdue, to have dominion over, even to eat. These very persons, and the traditions they represent, are the proof positive of what nonsense can come from the individual brain or the collective brain of a culture feeding itself cholesterol.

To say in one breath that God is all-compassionate and in the next breath that God wishes us to exploit and kill other animals is not really to be logical, to be moral, or really, even to be sane.

But it is and has been the teaching of orthodox Judaism, Christianity, and Islam for thousands of years. We know that cholesterol hurts our hearts. But the cholesterol junkie in denial really doesn't want to look at what's obvious, that cholesterol hurts and hinders the brain as well.

Mainly, human-oriented humans are refusing to admit that the lives of other creatures have value in themselves. To orthodox Jews, other animals have value only insofar as they may be used by humans. Eating flesh is a cause of human merriment, says the orthodox Jew. Never mind that the orthodox Jew's merriment came at the price of the other animal being bludgeoned, or cut in half, or decapitated or suffocated.

To Live Harmoniously with Other Creatures
(Hinduism-Jainism-Buddhism)
Versus

To subdue and have dominion over other creatures
(Orthodox Judaism, Christianity, and Islam)

So it was no doubt businessmen who very strategically inserted Genesis 1: 26-28 right before Genesis 1: 29-30 in an attempt to detract from the very clear and forthright Vegetarian Covenant.

"And God said `Behold I have given you every plant yielding seed which is upon the face of the earth, and every tree with seed in its fruit; you shall have them for food. And to every beast of the earth and to every bird of the air and to everything that creeps on the earth, everything that has the breath of life, I have given every green plant for food.'" 1: 29-30.

The first verse is the "Vegetarian Covenant (for humans) which even orthodox Jewish Rabbis have admitted was the Dietary Law for all humans until after the Deluge, when God according to the Old Testament gave humans permission to eat all manner of flesh, and when God said that now all animals would live in dread, or in fear or terror of humanity. However, quoted constantly by the orthodox are the following notorious scriptures, which industrialists and slaughterhouses and vivisection labs and hunters quote constantly, Genesis 1: 26-28, which are quoted even more often than God's words to Noah after the Deluge, in order to justify the exploitations of industry and the meat industry in particular.

Then God said "Let us make man in our image, after our likeness: and let them have dominion over the fish of the sea, and over the birds of the air, and over the cattle, and over all the earth, and over every creeping thing that creeps upon the earth. So God created man in his own image, in the image of God he created him; male and female he created them. And God blessed them, and God said to them, "Be fruitful and multiply, and fill the earth and subdue it; and have dominion over the fish of the sea and over the birds of the air and over every living thing that moves upon the earth." Genesis 1:26-28.

Verses 26 through 28 are examples themselves of the logic of Fallen Humanity, and these verses are proof themselves of the Fall, the disassociation from the original harmony, a time in which all creatures were seen as "good" and none was to eat any other, to a condition in which humans may oppress and kill other beings and eat them. Although some orthodox

Rabbis assert the real sanction for flesh-eating occurred later, after the Deluge to Noah, the fact is that some apologists for carnivorousism use these scriptures even more often than God's purported covenant of carnivorousism with Noah and his lineage.

AFTER THE FALL:
SOME ANIMALS ARE SUBDUED AND DOMINATED,
(AND KILLED AND EATEN.)

Before the fall, all animals were blessed and their creation was seen by God as good. After the fall, which most of the late Jewish prophets, the Book of Enoch, and the Gospel of Philip, to name just a few works, say was the institution of animal sacrifices, God says to the serpent: "cursed are you above all cattle, and above all wild animals." 3: 14.

BARUCH ATTACKS GENESIS 1:26-28,
the notion that we may subdue the earth
and have dominion over its creatures.

Everyone interested in religion must read Baruch, whose scriptures are designated as apocryphal. In beautiful lines making me think of Keats' "Ozymandias," Baruch attacks those Jews who subdue the earth and had dominion over other creatures and asks:

Where are the rulers of the nations, they who lorded it over the wild beasts of the earth, and made sport of the birds of the heavens: they who heaped up the silver and the gold in which men trust; of whose possessions there was no end? They schemed anxiously for money, but there is no trace of their work: they have vanished down into the nether world... 16-17

Scriptures Inspired by Demons,
The Fallen Angels of the Book of Enoch,
Angels Sanctioning Brutality towards God's Creatures
And the Disease-Promoting Diet of Carnivorousism.

The outlaw demonic scriptures, Genesis 1: 26-28, show a movement away from a vision of universal harmony among all creatures, to a demonic vision asserting that some creatures may be subdued and dominated, which is a condition of oppression and slavery for the creatures who are subdued and dominated, a condition of evil. It can easily be seen, through using methods of literary criticism examining the context and tone of these scriptures, that these scriptures are obvious revisions or inserts. Thematically and tonally they are misfit scriptures in a eulogy expressing praise to the Creator and dwelling on the goodness of all creatures. These "outlaw" scriptures, Genesis 1: 26-28, with their admonition to have dominion and subdue, are an obvious insertion meant to subvert the command or covenant to be vegetarian, and were purposely placed immediately before the first powerful statement of God's vegetarian covenant, Genesis 1: 28-30, a passage which describes the Tree of Vegetation that will feed all creatures equally with its vegetation. The insertion, verses 26 through 28, interferes with the tone and theme of the eulogy to God and creation, interrupts its flowing movement, and is a definite blemish on an otherwise beautiful and inspiring poem.

Verses 26 through 28 Were Inserted or Written by Businessmen

Those who refer to these outlaw, misfit scriptures, do so in order to find a scriptural basis to oppress, enslave, exploit, and slaughter animals, and even to enslave and exploit humans. And it is these people, these who subdue other animals and kill them, and who even subdue other humans as slaves or as wage slaves, who are the chief transgressors against the Creator who created all creatures, all creation, and saw that it was good. And they comprise the majorities in orthodox Judaism, Christianity and Islam as well as in some forms of Brahmanic Hinduism which promote carnivorousism and the caste system; these groups are the heretics, the rebels against God's law. God's law is equality. It is the elitist, the rich, the industrialists, and those in governments who support such evils who are demonic. There are, we know vegetarian and egalitarian minorities within each of these faiths. These groups are the pure remnant remaining true to the original ideals of their religions.

Demonic Elitism

Elitism and Egotism: Believing that one's group has the only version of the truth, whether it be the Old Testament, the New Testament, the Koran or the Laws of Manu. Who is so foolish and ignorant as to say That humans have had no hand In revising these works? Only the demonic, those of Mammon, Who wish the world to think they They have a divine sanction for animal sacrifices, for the animal oppression that exists in industry, or for the enslavement of other humans or the caste system.

False religions are those which claim that their God is so special that "he" will only associate with the likes of themselves. These demonic creeds--I call them religions only because we have been historically conditioned to regard them as such--are houses divided among themselves, and, elitists that they are, they order their reality to be divided between the chosen people, themselves, and the infidel or pagans, all others. However, when I read Hindu and Buddhist texts, what comes through is a recognition by them that God is in all traditions.

I always wondered why the Eastern religions didn't directly attack the carnivorousism of the portrayals of Moses, Jesus and Mohammed in their respective scriptures. Did they know something I didn't? Yes, they did: whether intuitively or through historical deduction they knew that the origins of Judaism, Christianity and Islam were vegetarian and egalitarian. They knew, because it was their cultures that started these pure traditions that were later corrupted.

It was obvious to me when reading the Old Testament account of Noah and the Arc and the Deluge, that the orthodox Old Testament's version of what occurred was a cover-up for what actually happened, and when I read the Ethiopic Book of Enoch, this was confirmed: God cleansed the earth of an evil culture that fed on animal sacrifices, that mined the earth,

disregarding the creatures living in that earth, and that they made weapons of war and destruction with their metals. And women and perhaps men as well became unnatural through using cosmetics as well.

Who is the God of the Ethiopic Books of Enoch and Noah?

Shiva Pasupati, Lord of Creatures and Protector of Cattle

The Ethiopic Book of Enoch sees the deluge as retribution for the evils of animal sacrifices, mining and creating weapons to create bloodshed with the metals, with the angelic beings mating with women, and with women using cosmetics. In fact, as Hastings' Dictionary of the Bible notes, everything that we call civilization in general is condemned. The morality of the Book of Enoch is quite clear and plain: leave creation alone, do not disturb any of the creatures, do not shed their blood, do not eat them, do not disturb the earth by mining, do not pretend to be something you are not by using cosmetics. Trees are constantly described in the book of Enoch, trees for fragrance, trees for food, trees for spices.

Shiva, the Lord of the Trees, and Tu B'shevat, Feast of the Trees

The Jews minimally retained this special attention to trees, at least in their Feast of the Trees, Tu B'shevat. Who is the God of the Ethiopic Book of Enoch which contains the account of the Fall of the Angels and the Deluge? None other than Shiva, who was Sheba, Seba and Saba to the Ethiopians, and whose Hindu name is not even disguised in Tu B'shevat (my underlining), just as it is not disguised in Shavu ot, the Jewish Feast of Weeks and the Christian Pentecost. Both holidays are, as one can infer from the names themselves, dedicated to Shiva, whose attributes in Hinduism include emanating tongues of fire and being Lord of the Trees.

This view of humanity's transgressions against other creatures and among themselves coincides rather well with Isaiah's classic denunciation of animal sacrifices, a denunciation which links the animal sacrifices with Sodom and Gomorrah. The Apocryphal "The Martyrdom of Isaias" portrays Isaias as leaving Jerusalem and Bethlehem and as living in the mountains with others who have renounced the evils of orthodox Judaism. They live off cooking roots and herbs. Called back into civilization by the rulers of his day, the rulers tempt Isaias to recant or at least revise his denunciations of the Jewish orthodoxy. And Isaias, true to his heroic form, and he, like Jesus, indeed was heroic both in expression and in action, tells the ruler to be damned. For that act, Isaias is martyred, sawn in half. This is the method of his Ascension, a martyrdom that had occurred in the Jewish past, and that was to occur numerous times in the future among those who opposed the animal sacrifices of the orthodox Jews. The Maccabees, Jesus, his brother James, Stephen, Polycarp, and numerous other people described in Greek and Roman histories were to share the same martyrdom.

Hey--Businessmen don't like being challenged. They don't like to have the fact pointed out that their animal-sacrificing ancestors killed vegetarians and rewrote the scriptures to sanction their immoral but profitable bloody atrocities.

There is no such thing as carnivorous religion.

Since being brutally slaughtered is no good for the animal, and eating cholesterol is no good for you, how can murdering animals and eating their corpses be something that you would morally or nutritionally pursue?

Carnivorous religion is a fiction made up by those wishing to profit from selling the slaughtered corpses of animals to eat, or from oppressing, displacing and killing creatures through industry. Both of these are forbidden by the Ethiopic Book of Enoch, and both of these were condemned by the original Jews.

The Vedic Sabeian Religion was Universal.

Devotion to Kali existed throughout the World.

Kali in the Western Hemisphere

The Origin of the Name Maya

Names of Shiva in the Yucatan Cale is the name of a place in Guatemala, where the Lineage of the Maya still exists.

Cali is the root of Coatlique of Mexico,

Caliquen in Mexico, the Carolinas, and Florida,

and California, once the possession of Mexico.

The name of Kali, one of the most prominent of Hindu goddesses, is seen in the Yucatan city named Culiakan (a name which combines the names of Kali and Cain) and in the southern part of Turtle Island, that is North America, as well. In the travels of De Soto described in Discoverers of America by Charles Norman, De Soto receives a letter describing a provence called Cale in what is now called Florida (a Cale exists to this day in Guatemala, home of Maya) and he and his troops march to a place called Caliquen, which may simply be a more northern transliteration of Culiacan to the south. California, which was previously the possession of Mexico, contains the name of Cali or Kali.

Kundalini was a Goddess of the Aztecs

Kundalini is the name of a female vegetation goddess among the Aztecs. Kundalini is an Aztec mother goddess in Mexico from the classical Mesoamerican period. She is the spirit of the earth in human form and responsible for the production of food from the earth. The earth is regarded as sacred by her, and not to be owned by any one person, but to be utilized for the entire community. She is regarded as the mother of vegetation deities. [A Periphrasis of page 139, Encyclopedia of Gods, by Michael Jordan, Facts on File Books, Facts On File, Inc. 11 Penn Plaza, New York, N.Y. 10001].

Students of Yoga know that the word Kundalini refers to the serpent power that travels up the chakras. Yoga was, tradition has it, the invention of Shiva. The twin kundalini serpents are powerfully portrayed in the statue of the beheaded Coatlique of the Yucatan. Out of her neck come two serpents, the Ida and Pingala serpents of the Kundalini power. Coatlique is Kali with the typical Aztec accretions of the t and the que sounds. Adding the T sound to words was commonly done in the Yucatan: for example, Isa became Itzas and Isana became Itzamma.

The name Kali is also used to name locales in Florida, the Carolinas, Mexico. And once one understands that the vegetarian plumed serpent culture extended northward even to the

serpent mounds of Adenas Ohio, as well as into Baja California, that Mexico once owned California, and that the Maya were a dominant people in the Yucatan, then one can more easily understand that the name of Cali of the Hindu and Maya pantheon is seen also in the naming of Cali-fornia. Remembering that the Sabean/Vaisnava/Sakti religion was literally universal, here is a list of place and people names that show that universality:

Cali, Caliquen, Culiacan, Coatlique, Calles, Coligua, California

We see the profound influence of Cali's name in histories of the European invasion of Turtle Island, that is, North America, such as in Charles Norman's Discoverers of North America, which describes in Florida a province called Calles, and a place called Caliquen. Coligua as well was a village in Florida. Pillars were erected to the main deity in Florida and in the area around Fort Caroline as well in the Yucatan. The totem pole and the carved posts of the natives are themselves but a plant based variation on what was done in stone in the serpent garlanded columns of the Yucatan. In both places they signified the kundalini power of Sui va or Zuiva, both of which names are easily seen as transliterations of Shiva.

Sui va was also addressed as Itzamma, an obvious transliteration of Isana, and well as Itzas, which is a transliteration of Isa. Shiva, like his consort Parvati, was like other Hindu deities, addressed by numerous names. Culiacan, a well known city in Mexico during the European invasion of their area, combines Kali's name with that of Cain or Can. The Cali in California, a state once owned by Mexico, home of the Maya, is derived from this female Deity.

Frank Waters in Mexico Mystique lets us know that the Plumed Serpent culture was well known in Turtle Island, i.e. North America, as well as in the Yucatan, and that its influence can be seen also farther north in the Adenas Serpent Mound in Ohio. The Plumed Serpent is also a symbol found in Alabama, Georgia, and Oklahoma, and in Baja California, which has a 16 foot long rock painting of the Plumed Serpent. One must always be reminded, because we have been taught such a distorted view of the religious history of the Yucatan by our JudeoChristian culture, that the Plumed Serpent Culture was one which forbid animal sacrifices as well as human sacrifices, and which was therefore overthrown by an Aztec culture which practiced both. The teocallis were the temples of sacrifice of the Aztecs. And Chichilticalli means Red House in Aztec. The influence of India was not only pervasive in the Eastern hemisphere, but in the Western hemisphere as well, as is affirmed by Matlock, by scholars of India, as well as by Theosophist historians.

The Name Callisthenes in 2 Maccabees Combines Kali and Sthenu, a name of Shiva.
[We derive our word calisthenics from a combination of Cali and Sthenu, a name of Shiva.]

The name of an Iranian tribe in the present is Kali.

Cali place names have survived in Iraq even into the twentieth century, even after the Muslim conquest of the area.

Callirhoe is a City near Harran.

The Caliphate of ancient times was originally named after Cali.
just as the Khanate was named after Khan,

who is El Kana of the Jews, Kanneh of the Ethiopians,
Cain or Can in the Yucatan,
and Kane to the Hawaiians and Polynesians. The Dravidian Danu were seafarers who went as far as Ireland,
where Calleach was worshipped in ancient times. The Hidden History of the Western Hemisphere

Kali, Maya, and Shiva in the Western Hemisphere

THE ORIGIN OF THE NAME MAYA

Maya, like Kali and Uma, is a Mate of Shiva
Just as the Names of Shiva's Mates, Kali and Uma, reflect the nation of Israel,
So too did the people, the nation, of the Yucatan
call themselves by the name of Shiva's mate Maya.

THE NAMES OF SHIVA IN THE YUCATAN

We see the universality of Shiva worship in the Yucatan and further north and south as well.
Among the Mayans we see transliterated versions of Shiva's various names. The main male deity is known as Zuiva or Su iva,
both of which are obvious transliterations of Shiva.
Shiva's Hindu Isa name, in Mayan is Itzas.
Shiva's Hindu Isana name in Mayan is Itzamma.
Even the name lx , pronounced eesh,
appears to be a diminutive form of Itzas and Itzamma,
much as the Is and Ish root and their variations are used
in so many ancient Jewish names.

(The Is in Israel means God, for example.)

And the unnamed deity # 7 with an elephant head
is the Maya version of Ganesha, the son of Shiva and Parvati.

The omnipresent serpent in Mayan iconography--

Serpents are frequently seen on columns and walls in Yucatan art--
is the serpent of Shiva.

Shiva is sometimes portrayed with a Serpent Garlanded Around him.

The name of the Yucatan city Chiccan Itza means Serpent of Isa:

Chiccan is Mayan for serpent and Itza is a transliteration of Isa,

one of Shiva's names. The Chakra System Among Hopis and Hindus The Top Chakra

Zuiva means seven to the Maya just as sabbath, saba, Sheba and Shiva mean seven among the Hebrews. Zuiva is the Lord of Seven Worlds. Tulan-Zuiva means the "seven caves." Tola is a Hebrew name mentioned in Gen. 46: 13, Nu. 26: 23, Judges, 10: 1, and 1 Chron. 7: 1-2.

The "kopavi" among the Hopis is the open door chakra at the top of the head in the Hopi chakra system, which has only five centers, instead of seven like the Hindu system. The top chakra in the Hindu system like the kopavi of the Hopis is the center through which the spirit goes to divinity. There is a female goddess named Kundalini among the ancient Aztec Deities. One may add the conjecture that the Yamaka worn at the top of the head is a dim reminder of that seventh chakra, just as the seven minora candles are reminders of the seven chakra

system. The Yamaka no doubt derived its name from Yama, the god of death among the Hindus.

The Serpent Mounds of Ohio by the Adenas has been linked by Frank Waters, author of Book of the Hopi, to the plumed serpent cult of Mesoamerica. A native woman named Rita Laws from Oklahoma now (I believe) says that indigenous people have been stereotyped as carnivorous hunters when in fact many were vegetarian. The Otomi in Mexico and the natives of Villacambamba, Ecuador are primarily vegetarian, though I've heard that U.S. fast foods have successfully infiltrated the latter area.

THE SIMILAR TIME CYCLES OF THE HINDUS AND MAYA

Kali Yuga of the Hindus corresponds to the Mayan beginning of this age of 5200 years. Hindu kalpas and yugas correspond to the eras of Nahuatl and Mayan mythology. Waters remarks on the similarity of Hindu, Mayan and Jewish time tables regarding ages. Various stone stelae are of course simply variations of the stone pillars to Shiva. The fact that many of the columns in the Yucatan are garlanded with serpents reinforces the Shiva imagery and the kundalini power iconography that attends the Hindu Buddhist Way. Mandala imagery representing the four quarters of the Earth, typically Buddhist, is found throughout Mayan art. The Blowing on the Conch imagery of the Mayans is typically Hindu, Kana or Krishna being the conch blower. Serpent imagery pervades south and middle America, as do the imagery of bulls and elephants neither of which lived in those areas in ancient times, but both of which are part of the Hindu, now Maya-Hindu iconography. Sometimes the serpents are paired just as they are in the ida-pingala imagery of the chakras used by Hindus and Buddhists.

The Battle Between the Vegetarian and Communal Maya
And the Carnivorous, Cannibalistic, Elitist Aztecs
The Temples of Sacrifice of the Aztecs were called Teocallis.
Other temples were called Chichilticalli.

The western hemisphere was a place where Hindus mined for copper, silver and gold, but it was also a dumping ground for their undesirables, the carnivorous and cannibalistic element that worshipped the terrible aspects of Kali and Shiva, in contrast to the mainstream Hindus who saw Kali and Shiva as destroyers of evil, and in their function of destruction as the creators of new cycles. The Aztecs named their temples of sacrifice Teocallis. The Aztecs also chased Quetzalcoatl, the Plumed Serpent, out of Tolan. Some versions of the account say that Quetzalcoatl and the Maya abandoned their Mayan cities. The Maya expect the coming of Quetzalcoatl, just as other natives in Meso and South America expect Viracocha, much as Hindus expect Kalki, Buddhists Maitreya, Jews their Messiah, Muslims the Imam and Christians Jesus.

EVE'S HEBREW NAME, HAWWAH OR HAVVAH, IN THE WESTERN HEMISPHERE

Hawaiku is a place in the southwest U.S. named after Hawaii where Cain was known as Kane, agriculturist, who brought new plants and seeds to Hawaii, and as a deity who inspired a devotion in the Hawaiians and Polynesians that has been muted and suppressed by the Christian conquest of the Hawaiians but which has not entirely died out. Hawaii received its name no doubt from Cain who named it after his mother Eve, which is Hawwah or havvah in ancient Hebrew, and which relates to the hovah in Jehovah. The seemingly ubiquitous Cain or Cain lineage was there in the Yucatan as well, as we can see from the numerous cities which bear remnants of his name. The British Isles knew Cali as Callic. Many indigenous people of Turtle Island, North America, were devotees of the Plumed Serpent, whom the oral indigenous traditions portray as one who forbid animal sacrifices as well as human sacrifices.

The Ancestors of the Maya were Not Hindus but Migrants from Atlantis.

I advise the reader to go to Viewzone.com. and the nationalist Hindu sites, Sword of Truth, and Hinduism Today as well as to Theosophical writers on the internet, who in their glossaries and articles have articles that relate to ancient Sabean and Hindu history in the Eastern and Western Hemisphere. The Edgar Cayce readings on the migrations from Atlantis to the Yucatan and Egypt may be found in Edgar Cayce on Atlantis, or by searching A.R.E. (Association for Research and Enlightenment) on the net.

The culture of the Maya is one of the wonders of the world. And though there is an abundance of historical information showing that the ancient Hindus travelled to the western hemisphere, there is also evidence that the ancestors of the Maya were another group who escaped Atlantis before the deluge. Therefore, the name of the goddess Maya could just as well have had an indigenous source from those who arrived from Atlantis.

A greater matter is at issue here, namely, the fact that ancient civilizations of both the Western and Eastern hemispheres derived their impetus from those who arrived from Atlantis. Moreover, since there is abundant and growing evidence for vegetarianism having been the "original diet" of these civilizations, there is good reason to understand that the fall of Atlantis, said by Plato via Solon to have occurred because of the "mingling with mortality," had to do with the eating of other creatures. Carnivorism is precisely "mingling with mortality." This is the reason Isaias gives for the destruction of Sodom and Gomorrah. And this is one of the reasons Enoch (in the Ethiopic Book of Enoch) gives for the Deluge. The matter is simple. The Creator desired all creatures to live peacefully together--and they did not. Instead, they killed other beings and ate them. The Book of the Hopi says that all creatures lived peacefully together in the beginning. Frank Waters' material in the above book as well as in his Mexico Mystique corroborates this view, though Waters himself does not deal with the topic of diet as such.

How the Male and Female Aspects of Deity
Were More Fully Recognized and Accepted in Ancient Times,
For example, Among the Earliest Jews, and Among the Maya,
Whose Religion had Spread in Turtle Island, i.e. North America. Kal Israel means all Israel,
and Kal Law means Bride.
Kali is the mate of Shiva. Uma means people, congregation, nation.
Uma is the bride or mate of Shiva. Baal Means Mistress in Ancient Hebrew.

Baal was the Consort of Shiva. Maya is the Mate of Shiva in Hinduism.

Maya is the Mate of Sui Va in the Maya-Aztec Pantheon.

The Mayas Named Themselves as a People after the Goddess, Just as the Ancient Hebrews Named themselves after Kali and Uma.

KALI

The fact that Israel and the people and the nation were named after goddesses who were the mates or brides of the male deity, shows how deeply devoted to their deities the earliest Jews were. Just as some Christian monastics describe themselves as the brides of Christ, to show their deep love for Jesus, so too did the original Jews express their deep love for deity by describing themselves as the brides of Shiva. Kal Israel means all Israel, and Kal Law means bride. Kali was the bride of Shiva. Kala also means time in ancient Sanskrit, and consummation, completion, or end, in ancient Hebrew, all of which are attributes of time.

Kal Yisroel: All Israel [to be found in Jewish Glossaries].

Kali is the bride of Shiva, all of Israel, just as Uma is the nation and the bride of Shiva

Cah Law: Bride [Jewish Glossary].

Chali: Chali is the name of a place in Palestine.

Callirhoe is a city near Haran.

Kali as Chittah

Jari-Mari-Shitala is a Hindu name of Kali. Looking at the root of the name Shitala, we can see the connection with Chittah which has two significances in ancient Hebrew, both of which relate to Kali. Chittah is the name for wheat in ancient Hebrew and also relates to terror.

2496 Chittah means wheat, whether the grain or the plant.

[All numbered entrees, unless otherwise indicated, are from James Strong's Exhaustive Concordance to the Old and New Testament, "Hebrew Dictionary."

The earth mother-goddess Kali takes the form of wheat just as the name of the goddess and earth mother Sita, the bride of Rama, means grain. Kali is associated with destruction, as is her mate Shiva. And the Chittah form of her name also relates to this aspect of Kali:

2847 Chittah, khittaw, from 2865, fear, terror.

2865 chathath, to break down by violence, to scare, to terrify, to prostrate, to beat down, discourage.

1029 Beyth hash-Shittah, house of acacia.

Moreover, both Kali and Shiva are connected with the destruction of present cycles and therefore with the creation of new ones. And both Shiva and Kali are regarded as essential forces in time, or kala.

3615 kalah to end, to cease, to be finished, perish, to complete, prepare, consume

3617 kalah, a completion, completely, also destruction, consume, consummation, end, riddance.

Kala means time in ancient Sanskrit, and consummation, completion, or end in ancient Hebrew.

3615 kalah to end, to cease, to be finished, perish, to complete, prepare, consume

3617 kalah, a completion, completely, also destruction, consume, consummation, end riddance

Variations of the word Chittah convey the aspect of Kali related to fear and terror, as well as the sustenance of wheat. Kali is known as Mari Shitala among the Hindus.

2406 Chittah means wheat, whether the grain or the plant.

Shittala is one of Kali's names in Sanskrit. Shittah means wheat; Sita means grain in general; and Uma means flax. The Goddesses of Hinduism worshiped by the earliest Jews became in part the earth mother producers of vegetable sustenance for the Israelites. Kundalini is the name of the spirit of the earth which provides sustenance in the Aztec Pantheon.

2847 Chittah, khittaw, from 2865, fear, terror.

2865 chathath, to break down by violence, to scare, to terrify, to prostrate, to beat down, discourage.

The attributes of fear, terror and violence are associated with what some describe as the terrible aspects of Kali (and Shiva).

Ummah: The Great Divine Mother of the Earliest Jews

Ummah is the Name of the Consort of Shiva.

Ummah's name in Ancient Hebrew means nation, community, people.

Ummah is related to Em and Ammah, Both of which Mean Mother.

The Earliest Jews regarded Ummah as their Divine Mother,

And their People as the Brides of Shiva.

Just as the term Kal Israel means all Israel, and uses an abbreviation of the name of Kali, the mate of Shiva, so too does the name of Ummah, a mate of Shiva, mean community, people,

and nation in ancient Hebrew. This shows how devoted the original Jews were to their deities. Just as Christian monastics, whether male or female, regard themselves as the brides of Christ, so too did the earliest Jews regard themselves as the mates or brides of Shiva.

Umma in ancient Hebrew is derived from the same root as Em, meaning mother, and it, as well as ammah, meaning mother, are all admittedly derived from the same source.

524 Ummah in Hebrew means a collection, community, nation, people.

(All the numbered entrees are from James Strong's Hebrew Dictionary.)

517 Em, a primitive word which means mother, and a word which can be taken figuratively or literally.

520 Amma means mother and is derived from 517, Em.

523 Ummah is from the same source says James Strong as 517, meaning mother, and Ummah means a collection, a community of persons; nation, people.

We may conclude from Strong's observation that Umma is derived from Em, meaning mother, and also from the fact that Ma may be a shortened form of Mah, meaning how great, that Ummah was originally regarded as the Great Mother.

5980 ummah means conjunction, society, near, beside, along with. From 6004 amam.

6004 amam, meaning to associate, to overshadow by huddling together.

Interestingly, the "overshadow" and "huddling" elements fit in with Ummah being Osseo's consort. Amam may be seen as a double aum from a Hindu point of view, and this in turn can have numerous implications, among them: the mother overshadowing, that is, protecting the community; and the mother and the community being a couple. Naming themselves after their divine mother, the earliest Jews, who were Hindus, regarded themselves as spiritually bound to their spiritual mother, and father. The two ams in amam may be construed as being a double Aum or Om.

5981 Ummah means the same as 5980, an association; Ummah is a place in Palestine.

The earliest Jews recognized Ummah as the consort, mate, or bride of Shiva. A consort is one who conjoins, that is, is in society with, near, beside, along with the mate. Her name to this day is a variation of Aum.

Meanings of the Sanskrit Uma

As derived from the Cologne Digital Lexicon:

Uma in Sanskrit is the name of a place, a town, a wharf, a landing place. It also means a helper, a friend, a companion. It is the name of several women. Uma is also called Parvati. The name Uma itself is said to be derived from a word meaning "O Child, do not practice austerities," advice which Parvati did not take, for she became the mate of Shiva, a recluse monk living in the mountains and wilderness. Her name also means splendor, light, fame, reputation, quiet, tranquillity, and night.

Uma as Earth Mother

Just as Sita, mate of Rama, is associated with grain in general, and name Kali with wheat and acacia, the name of Uma is associated with flax and turmeric in Sanskrit.

Ummah as the Great Mother of Original Judaism

Remembering that Hebrew is basically a language of consonants, with vowel forms, it is not hard to conceive of Ummah as meaning mother. In fact the Um may be seen as a form of Em, and even as an abbreviated form of Ammah, and the combination of Um and ma(h) may very well mean Great Mother, Um being mother and mah meaning great. That may well be construed to be the position that Ummah played. As the mate of Shiva, who is Sheba, Lord of the Shabbath, she was in fact the mother of all the people, and through usage in time her name came to mean the people of Judaism, the nation of Judaism.

When Shiva is known as Oseo his consort is Ummah, which in Hebrew means congregation, people, nation as well as mother, which shows the universal perception of the Goddess of a culture being regarded as the mother of the earth and its creatures. So we can see how closely attentive to the Hindu pantheon the ancient Hebrews were. Just as some monastic Christians, male as well as female, call themselves the brides of Christ, so too the ancient Israelites as a people and a nation called themselves Ummah, brides (or consorts) of their Deity, Oseo (or Saba). We shall see that this same pattern of naming the people after the name of the Deity's beloved, that is, after the female Deity associated with the male Deity, was also followed in the culture of the Maya, who also took their name from the Hindu goddess Maya. Early in Hindu history the focus was on Maya as the Mother of Creation. Later Maya came to represent the illusory, the world of sensation. When we understand that the Maya worshiped Shiva as Sui va or Zuiva, then it is easy to understand that the Maya were people who named themselves after the Divine Mother of Creation, Maya, who was the mate of Shiva, the Divine Father.

In Hindu scriptures Uma is the daughter of Himavat and the wife of the God Shiva. She is also called Parvati and Durga. She is famous, one would have to deduce, for not following her mother's advice: "O child, do not practice austerities," for she became the mate of Shiva, who most of the time lived in the wilderness and in the mountains.

Why the Cattleman Cult that Became Orthodox Judaism
Tried to Erase its Hindu Origins.
Uma and Shiva Live in Harmony With Creation

In the Wilderness Not the City.

Deities who live in harmony with creation in the wilderness, such as Uma and Shiva, contradict the Old Testament's sanctions for brutality towards creatures, justified in scriptures such as Genesis 1: 26-28 and by the purported covenant given by God to Noah after the flood. Shiva and his mates and consorts were wilderness dwellers. They are not known for excavating the ground and oppressing, displacing and killing creatures there in order to build cities or factories. They lived in accordance with the law established by Deity with Noah and Enoch as seen in the Ethiopic Book of Enoch. Creation was sacred and created by Deity. Interference with creation, such as having dominion over creatures, mining the earth, slaughtering creatures, and wearing cosmetics, were all forbidden.

The Original Divine Matriarchy Coincided
with the Original Divine Patriarchy.

I would suggest to the reader that Ummah and Amma were originally identical in the primal Jewish culture which honored the great mother, Am (or Um) being a variation of Em, and mah, meaning great or mighty. Just as the Hovah in Jehovah comes from Havvah meaning Eve and the living, and just as Israel, which means to prevail is a shortened form of Is (God) Sarah (Prevail) and El (God). So too Kal Israel, meaning All Israel, is derived from Kali, the mate of Shiva.

THE UMMAH-MAYA CONNECTION

The Consorts of Shiva are known

as Kali, Ummah, Maya, Bala, Parvati, Sakti and Mahadevi. The Source of the word Maya: Shiva is known as Isana, Isa and Osseo (as well as by many other names).

The consort of Shiva is also known

as Ummah and Maya and Bala (as well as by many other names). The Maya in the Yucatan

The Ummah-Maya Connection

Maya is the Consort of Sui Va (Shiva) .

The Community of People, the Nation of Sui Va, are the Maya.

Shiva = Sui va, Zuiva,

Isana = Itzamma

Isa = Itza

Osseo = Oc

Therefore, since we know that the Hindu deity Shiva, also known as Isana, Isa, and Osseo, existed in the Yucatan as Zuiva or Sui Va, also known as Itzamma, Itza, and Oc, the name Maya is therefore not at all mysterious, for Maya is another name for Ummah, Shiva's consort. The Maya are the nation, the community, or the people of Zuiva. Other parts of this study deal with the Maya connection more elaborately.

Probably most systems of belief or Ways have an analogy to the above bond between human devotees and the Divine Beloved. Monastics (male as well as female) who are followers of Jesus sometimes call themselves the brides of Christ. It is a tribute to the devotion and love

of the Maya that they should name themselves as the consorts of Zuiva who is Shiva, just as it was a tribute to the devotion of the ancient Jews that they named themselves as the consorts of Oseo or Shiva.

When these cultures were in more perfect balance with Matriarchs, then we have what existed among the Jews, and no doubt among other cultures, the people, community, or nation being described with the name of the Male Deity, such as Stana, which is a name of Shiva. This is, in turn, a tribute to the Divine Matriarch, Uma, Kali, Parvati, Sakti, Maya. I use the word consort rather than the Western notion of bride or spouse because the words bride and spouse in the West, i.e. in Judeo-Christian and Islamic traditions, contain strong connotations of "being a possession" of the husband.

Veneration to Sakti, or the Divine Female Energy
Was Common in Original Judaism just as it is in Hinduism.

Though numerous people in the Judeo-Christian tradition will assert that Hindus and Buddhists are more sexist than the people in their own traditions, in the final analysis the Hindus and Buddhists both have a long history, stretching over millennia and continuing to the present, of recognizing female avatars, some of whom at times mated, and all of whom in some way were teachers. So, while sexism no doubt still exists in these cultures to some extent, these Eastern traditions are far more open regarding women than the orthodox Judaeo-Christian-Islamic traditions which forbid their women to preach or teach matters of religion. Though there are female saints in all these latter traditions, they were generally raised to that status after death, after a life in which they were subordinate to males. Now of course there are also female scholars of religion and theology recognized in the above traditions as well. Worship of Sakti, the divine female, has seemingly always existed in the Hindu/Jain cultures, and today there are renowned female avatars such as Amma who tour the world teaching and setting up places of worship.

The Ummat,
the Followers of Allah--
Their name is also derived from Umma, the Mate of Shiva.

The Ummat, the followers of Allah, took their name from Umma, the mate of Shiva, just as the nation, community, and people of Israel named themselves Umma after her. Umma means people, congregation and nation in ancient Hebrew. There can be hardly any doubt that the Hebrew word for mother is related. And ancient Hebrew, like Arabic, are both languages stemming from Sanskrit. This fact also demonstrates that the Arabian Peninsula was permeated by the Vedic religion and by the vegetarian Sabaeans in particular, as is stated by the India scholars of Viewzone.com, Hinduism Today magazine, and Sword of Truth.com.

THE FAMILY OF CREATION IN THE QURAN

"There is not an animal in the earth, nor a flying creature flying on two wings, but they are peoples like unto you. We have neglected nothing in the Book (of Our decrees). Then unto their Lord they will be gathered."

Vegetarian Muhammad Praises the Vegetarian Sabeans
and Affirms that Hud, a word for India, is the True Prophet

One can easily see how the claims of the Muslim Shi'ites, or Shias is valid, namely, that the lineage of authority was to pass on to the vegetarian Hazrat Ali, and not to Omar and Bakr. We should take their claims in context of the following:

1. The Sacred Stone is a Shiva lingam, a pillar that has been broken into seven pieces.
2. The Quran praises the Sabeans, who are vegetarian.
3. The Quran has numerous passages stating that Gardens of Vegetation await the true Muslim.
4. Muhammad was vegetarian. This is admitted even by Sunnis. Some say he ate meat if it was offered. Even Sunnis admit that Muhammad warned people about consuming flesh. Some Sunni scholars do not even mention that Muhammad ate flesh, but present him as totally vegetarian.
5. Ali, like Muhammad was vegetarian. The Shias claim that Ali was to be the authority on Islam after Muhammad's death, but that this was usurped by Omar and Bakr.
6. (Muhammad in) the Quran praises Hud as the true prophet. The word Hud is the same as Howde in ancient Hebrew, meaning India. Muhammad was attempting to secure the scriptures from being perverted by later generations. From Strong's Hebrew Dictionary: Entry 1912 Hoduw, ho'doo, Hodu (i.e. Hindustan) , India. Ancient Hebrew is based primarily on consonants, so HD, seen either as Hod or Hud, is also the root of Jehudea, the earlier form of the name of Judah or Judea.
7. Scholars and seers in the ancient Arabian cultures, as noted by Chaturvedi in his Sword of Truth.com articles, venerated their Hind or Hindu ancestry.
8. Allah is described as The Lord of Seven Worlds or Heavens in the Quran. This is a well-known attribute of Shiva.
9. Ramman, an aspect of Allah, and Ramadan, both derive from Ramah, one of the deities of Hinduism.
10. The Quran affirms the Family of Creation in Surah 6: Verse 38.

"There is not an animal in the earth, nor a flying creature flying on two wings, but they are peoples like unto you. We have neglected nothing in the Book (of Our decrees). Then unto their Lord they will be gathered."

When Jews, Christians and Muslims
Worshiped Together and Worshiped in Peace.

To ignore is the verb that is
the root of ignorance

To refuse to study other religious traditions as fully as you study your own culture is to promote bigotry. This tunnel vision, however, is the nature of orthodox religions such as orthodox Christianity, Judaism and Islam. But there are now, and there always have been, in the history of these religions, pure Muslims, Jews and Christians who lived in peace with the people of other faiths.

In southern France in the 13th century, Muslims, Jews and Christians lived together peacefully and worshipped in each others places of worship. They all lived together in Albigensian centers. The Albigensians were vegetarian followers of Jesus. See Helen Ellerby's The Dark Side of Christianity.

Like Jews and Muslims, the Albigensian followers of the teachings of Jesus (and James) did not regard Jesus as a deity, but as a remarkable spiritual man like Mohammed and Moses. They recognized that Jesus Moses and Mohammed were all vegetarian, but that their teachings were revised by businessmen who derived profit from animal sacrifices, that is, the cattle/meat industry, as well as from from the institution of slavery in which the rich enslaved other humans to work for them, sometimes for low pay, sometimes for no pay at all, and just the bare subsistence of food, shelter and clothing. In every elitist society of rich and poor, it is inevitably the rich who determine the living standards of the rest of society. Call it a Plutocracy, or Oligarchy, the rule of the Rich is nothing less than another form of slavery.

Just as in our friendships we do not tolerate those who treat us condescendingly, as inferiors, so too in our social relationships we should not tolerate those who do not love their neighbors as themselves, as equals.

"LOVE YOUR NEIGHBOR AS YOUR SELF"

Was a Spiritual and Economic Law.
The Divine Law is that Humans are to Share all in Common.
In a world of Equality it is the Rich who are Outlaws.
Inequality=Inequity=Iniquity

The original gospel written about the lives and the teachings of Jesus and his followers is called the Ebionite Gospel. It derives its name from the Hebrew word ebionim, which means "the poor." Jesus and his friends called themselves the Poor to let everyone know they were utterly opposed to the Rich, who followed the deity of Mammon, which means Wealth, or Riches. The Ebionites, like the Essenes and Nazarenes, were the enemies of slavery and of economic inequality. I would inform the reader that the relationship of inequality, inequity, and iniquity has always been understood intuitively by indigenous people more easily than it is understood by so called civilized people who are taught to believe differently in the schools established in industrial cultures.

Unlike the orthodoxies, controlled of course by the rich, these real Muslims, Jews and Christians were egalitarian and truly practiced communalism and absolute equality as reflected in the teaching that all three of their founders taught: that we are all to love our neighbors as ourselves.

LOVE YOUR NEIGHBOR AS YOURSELF,
MEANS LITERALLY TO TREAT ALL OTHERS AS ABSOLUTE EQUALS.
THERE ARE TO BE NO RESENTMENT BREEDING SOCIETIES
OF RICH AND POOR.

When an orthodox Jew, orthodox Christian and orthodox Muslim says that it is the Old or New Testament or the Quran which is alone the repository of truth, and that other gods are pagan or infidel, they themselves manifest the monomaniacal norms that characterize all tyrannies. When they choose to think of themselves as superior to those of other religions, it is these religions themselves which choose the path of bigotry and Ignorance. They choose "to ignore" other traditions, especially those which promote compassion for all creatures and the healthier vegan vegetarian diet.

El Shaddai (Shadday) Comes from the Canaanite El Shaddai,
and the Sanskrit Sada.

Sada is also the Root of Saddhu, Sadok or Zadok.

The Saddhus are the Origin of the Sadducees, i.e., the Sadduki.

The Name Zadok connects the Essenes and Jesus. El
Shaddai (Shadday) is Derived from

The Canaanite El Shaddai and from the Sanskrit Sada.

As we have Seen, the Canaanites and the Hindus,
Like the Original Jews,
Both worshipped Shiva and Kannan (Krishna).

El Shaddai, translated as the Almighty, is the characteristic name for the Canaanites' high God. Shaddai is from the Akkadian Shadu, meaning mountain, say traditional sources. The student of etymology cannot help but see the resemblance to the Sada family of words in Sanskrit. Moreover, in Hindu scriptures Shiva dwells mostly in the mountains. The eternal one dwelling in the mountains would be an apt description of Shiva to the Hindu. And now that we know that Canaan was named after Kannan, a Tamil name of Krishna, it becomes even more clear that El Shaddai of the Canaanites is the same deity as El Shaddai (Shadday) of the Jews. We have already seen elsewhere in this study how pervasive the personage and name of Shiva was in ancient Hebrew.

Below are two of the meanings of El Shaddai in Ancient Hebrew, as defined in James Strong's Hebrew Dictionary section of his Exhaustive Concordance to the Old and New Testament. Notice the two different aspects of the word.

One aspect of Shaddai means powerful, impregnable and almighty in Ancient Hebrew. This relates to the Sanskrit definitions dealing with the aspects of Deity as always existing. As such Sada in Sanskrit means always, ever, every time, continually, perpetually.

The other aspect of Shaddai deals with destruction, to ravage, dead, destroy, oppress, utterly lay waste. If the reader has read the other parts of this study dealing with the aspects Shiva and Hara as the destroyer, and how the sabaoth, the military Lord of Hosts, received its name from Saba, a name of Shiva, the reader will realize that the Destroyer aspect of deity was pervasive in ancient Judaism. In Sanskrit this aspect is defined as sinking in, sinking down, exhaustion, weariness, perishing, decay, loss, ruin, despondency, despair. All of these relate to destruction in one form or another.

Sada also means Clarity, Purity, and Movement, and Fruit, which we may take literally as well as symbolically, that is, as manifestation.

Shadday in Ancient Hebrew

7706 Shadday means the Almighty: Almighty. It is from

7703 shadad, a primitive root, meaning to be burly, powerful (passively to be impregnable.; by implication to ravage:--dead, destroy, oppress, utterly lay waste.

Sada in Sanskrit

Shaddai is from Sada in Sanskrit. The following definitions are selected from the Cologne Digital Lexicon of Sanskrit and English on the web. Entree Sada:

Sada as Destruction, Physical or Emotional

Sinking in (of wheels), Sinking down , Exhaustion, Weariness, Perishing, Decay, Loss, Ruin, Despondency, Despair.

Sada as the Perpetually Existing One

Always , Ever , Every time , Continually, Perpetually. Cologne Digital Lexicon of Sanskrit and English. The above definition is confirmed in the Theosophical Glossary: Sadaika-rupa, the immutable nature, or essence; changeless form. (sada, always; eka, one; rupa, form.)

Definitions of Sada that relate to the Saddhu Purity, Clearness, Cleanness, Going, Motion. Fruit, (Divine Manifestation as Sustenance).

Sada

Saddhu

Sadducee

These latter definitions of Sada, purity, clearness, cleanness, going and motion, and fruit, have reference to the saddhu as well, whose purity leads "straight to the goal." The first

three definitions are variations on innocence; the latter three have to do with manifestation or fruition.

Just as the word Sada gives us
Attributes of Deity,
So too the word Saddhu
Gives us the Attributes of the Holy Person
Dedicated to the Eternal, the Sada. Sadhu = Sad(a) + Hu.
Sada refers to the Eternal, the Immutable Deity. Hu refers to one
Who offers sacrifices to or who worships or honors Sada, the Eternal.

The Sadhu, or Saddhu, is in short a holy man. (Literally, "leading straight to the goal."). The definitions of the word Saddhu are like a human model of the attributes of deity. If we break Sadhu down into Sad(a) and Hu, we have Sada, the Eternal, and Hu, one who offers sacrifice to, or honors or worships Sada, the Eternal. The Saddhu, the holy man of India. testified to Shiva, to Krishna (Vishnu), to Kali, Parvati, or other members of the Hindu pantheon, also testified to having compassion for all creatures, which is a common teaching of all these deities.

A Selection of the Definitions of Saddhu in Sanskrit: straight,
right, leading straight to a goal ,
hitting the mark , unerring (as an arrow or thunderbolt),
straightened , not entangled (as threads),
well disposed , kind , willing , obedient,
successful , effective , efficient (as a hymn or prayer),
ready , prepared (as Soma),
peaceful , secure,
powerful , excellent ,
good for or towards,
good , virtuous , honorable,
righteous, well born ,
noble, of honorable or respectable descent,
correct , pure, classical,
a good or virtuous or honest man,
a holy man , saint , sage, seer,
(with Jainas) a Jina or deified saint. From the Cologne Digital
Lexicon/Sanskrit and English.

An attribute of promoting goodness is that it destroys evil. The positive attributes of the saddhus, the holy ones, help to destroy what is unholy and evil, i.e. evil. So, in that respect, the saddhu also fits the meanings of the word Shaddai that refer to destruction.

The Hindu Saddhu is the Root

of the Hebrew
Sadduki or
Sadducee.

Entry 4523, Saddoukaïos, meaning a Sadducean or follower of a certain heretical sect. From the "Greek Dictionary" of Strong's Exhaustive Concordance to the Old and New Testament.

The Essenes in their works acknowledge that they are the sons of Zadok, or Sadok. Their vegetarianism and egalitarianism, or communalism, their daily habits, and their teachings in general, fit in with the attributes of the Saddhu that we have seen above. They were a centered, communal, vegetarian, egalitarian, monastic order, dedicated to a stricter form of spirituality. The Essenes regarded themselves as the pure remnant of Judaism.

Those who have read the earlier parts of this study, or the research of Sword of Truth, Viewzone, Hinduism Today, and allsouls.com will understand that Canaan, Egypt, India and Ethiopia all contained their own local versions of Sabeanism. The Canaanites worshiped Elisheva ("my God is Seven or God Seven), who is Shiva of the Hindus, Seb of the Egyptians, and Seba or Saba of the Ethiopians.

The Essenes were the Sons of Sadok or Zadok.

Jesus' Ancestor was named Zadok.

Just as Sadoks, the Saddhus, were connected with Aaron, Jesse, and David,

So too were they connected with the Essenes and Jesus.

Sadduki = Saddhus.

It is acknowledged that Zadok means righteous, and Zadok is the name of a person made a high priest by David. According to Josephus it was also the name of the first high priest hierophant of the High Temple of Solomon. The Zadok or Sadoc lineage was connected with Aaron, with Jesse and David, and with Jesus. The lineage of Zadok or Sadok was not a family line; it was a spiritual line of Hindu holy men, the saddhus. The Sadok line became the Sadducees, zadduki or sadduki in Hebrew. The Essenes regarded themselves as the Sons of Zadok, and a Dead Sea Scroll of the Essenes is named the "Zadokite Fragment."

The Essenes also in their work "On Medicine" credit the wise men of India. The different versions of the Essenes' name have an ancient Hebrew/Hindu source. Jessaeans, comes from Jesse or Yishai, which is a transliteration of Isa, a name of Shiva. Osseans comes from Osseo, also a name of Shiva. And Esaoi is also a form of Issa. Shiva is also known as Isana and Asani, both of which names easily transliterate as Essene. Pliny the Elder states that the Essenes existed for thousands of ages, meaning that they existed from the times of remotest antiquity. The Essenes themselves say they originated with Shem, the son of Noah. Notably, even in the Old Testament, it is the heritage of Shem which is credited with having the greatest longevity, which was also a noted attribute of the Essenes, whom historians such as Pliny and Philo credit as commonly having centenarians reaching the age of 120.

The Essenes' connection with the sons of Sadok (who is described as an ancestor of Jesus) or Zadok, is a connection with the Saddhus, the holy men of India. The Zadok lineage was connected with Aaron, with Jesse and David, and with Jesus. They became the Sadducees, which is rendered as zadduki or sadduki in Hebrew. The lineage of Zadok or

Sadok was not primarily a family line; it was a spiritual line of Hindu holy men. Having Zadok as an ancestor in fact links Jesus even more securely to the Essenes, who called themselves the Sons of Zadok.

And the Sons of Zadoc are mentioned as those who are in the ancestry of the Essenes who were, of course, the teachers of the vegetarian Jesus who cleansed the temple in a dramatic one day protest against the animal sacrifices. Combine that event, the cleansing of the temple, with the stated mission of Jesus in ("Epistle to the Hebrews") to abolish the animal sacrifices, and the contentions of orthodox Christianity fall like a house of cards.

A Saadya to the people of Samaria, which students of Hinduism and Judaism know was named after Samarya in India, was also a witness, or one who testified to the truth. Names of Shiva such as Saba are seen as the root of names such as Sabbeus in Samaria.

The Samaritans Did Believe in the Immortality of the Soul, though they did not believe in the resurrection of the physical or animal body.

Samaritan chronicles commenting on the Sadducees reveal a definite consciousness of human immortality and expose the lie told by Paul and the synoptic gospel writers in their attempt to demean the vegetarian tradition of Jesus and his earliest followers. The lie says that the Sadducees not only did not believe in the resurrection, but did not believe in angels or the spirit either. To the contrary, the saddhu lineage, the sadducees of Samaria, like some of those in Palestine taught the permanence or immortality of the spirit. They did not believe in a physical resurrection, or the spiritual resurrection. And like the Essenes and Nazarenes in Jerusalem, they protested against the animal sacrifices and they gave testimony to, or testified to Shiva or other deities of the Hindu pantheon, and to the compassion for all creatures taught by Shiva Pasupati, Lord of Beasts, and Protector of cattle. There is little doubt that Micah's prophecy that the temples of Jerusalem and Samaria would be destroyed was shared by the pure remnant inhabitants in Samaria.

The Origin of the K sound and its Relevance in the Yucatan.

What is the origin of the K sound in Sadok and Zadok, in sadduki and zadduki? Very likely it is the ancient Hebrew particle of affirmation, ak.

389 ak, a particle of affirmation. From Strong's "Hebrew Dictionary."

The addition of K sounds in the Yucatan, seen by us as the syllable que, may be seen as a carryover to the western hemisphere of the eastern Hebrew/(Hindu) use of ak as a particle of affirmation.

Many students of history now acknowledge what is indisputable, that many of the deities of the Yucatan Maya and Aztecs were deities of the Hindu pantheon. The assertion that some of the ten tribes settled in the western hemisphere, in Turtle Island, the Yucatan area and further south, has too much evidence supporting it to be discounted. And we may expect that those

who try to discount the evidence are doing so in the service of orthodoxies seeking to hide their heretical origins.

A MYSTERY OF THE BIBLE SOLVED:

Why Abel's Name means

Vain, Illusory, Unsatisfactory, and is associated with Lamentation and Mourning.

Abel's Name is the Source of the Word Evil. The Ancient Hebrew Ab in Abel

Functions As does the Ab in the English Abnormal.

Ab is a prefix of negation. It precedes El, and El means Almighty God. The Name Abel, the First Killer Mentioned Directly in the Bible,

Meant one who Negated God,

Or one who Beclouded or Made Dense the Reality of God. To the Original Vegetarian Jews

Following the Vegetarian Covenant of Genesis 1: 29-30,

The Name of Abel, the Sacrificer of Animals,

was Originally Regarded as Representing Evil.

Numerous Ancient Hebrew Words Prove that this is so.

Orthodox scholars claim that the reason that Abel's name means vain, illusory and leading astray is lost in antiquity, and therefore will remain a mystery.

Not at all. Just as the ab in abnormal negates the meaning of normal, so too was ab (or uwb) used as a prefix of negation in ancient Hebrew. Those studying ancient Hebrew, or Sanskrit, for that matter, know that El means the Almighty, or Almighty God. To the original vegetarian Jews following the vegetarian covenant of Genesis 1: 29-30, one who sacrificed animals was evil. One of the main male deities worshiped by the original Jews was Sheba, the Lord of the Shabbath (the Sabbath), who is none other than Shiva of the Hindus, a deity who was called Pasupati, meaning Lord of the Creatures, as well as Protector of Cattle. The Sabians, those who followed Saba, God of our Sabbath, were the original Jews of the Old Testament. They were the worshippers of Shiva, also known as Sheba, Seba, and Saba. So the vegetarian covenant of Genesis 1: 29-30, which even orthodox Rabbis admit was the original dietary covenant, in fact perfectly manifested the Hindu teaching of compassion for all creatures, which Shiva represented.

CAIN AND ABEL: THE ORIGINAL STORY

Abel is the First Killer in the Old Testament.

In the original story of Cain and Abel in Genesis, the roles of good and evil were reversed; Cain was God's avenging priest killing Abel, the demonic killer of God's creatures. Abel, not Cain is the first person to kill another being in the Old Testament. And since the original Jews, as even admitted by orthodox Judaism, were vegetarians, Abel's sin was as heinous as it was to the Hindus following the vegetarian commands of the Laws of Manu, the Institutes of Vishnu, or the teachings of the Jains. And therefore, early in the history of Judaism, Abel's name itself became a symbol of Evil.

The Sudden Shift from Vegetarianism
in the First Chapter of Genesis
to an Acceptance of Carnivorism in Chapter Four
in the Cain and Abel Account
Is Absurd from the Point of View
of Literary Criticism, Morality and Logic. Literary Criticism:
The Book of Genesis was Obviously Revised.
This is Indicated by the Abrupt Shifts in Theme and Tone,
From the beautiful eulogy to creation and the vegetarian covenant,
In the first chapter of "Genesis,"
To God suddenly accepting animal sacrifices
And rejecting sacrifices of vegetation in chapter four.
The God Presented in "Genesis" is Schizophrenic.

Those who are familiar with the Book of Genesis in the Old Testament know that there is a radical shift in "Genesis" moving from a first chapter in which we see a God who is presented as decidedly compassionate and vegetarian in the eulogy to creation that begins the book of Genesis and in verses 1: 29-30, which affirm a vegetarian diet not only for humans but all creatures, to a God who suddenly changes his mind in the story of Cain and Abel and prefers that humans slaughter animals and eat them instead.

The eulogy to creation at the beginning of Genesis
And God said, "Let the waters bring forth swarms of living creatures, and let birds fly above the earth across the firmament of the heavens." So God created the great sea monsters and every living creature that moves, with which the waters swarm, according to their kinds, and every winged bird according to its kind. And God saw that it was good. And God blessed them, saying, "Be fruitful and multiply and fill the waters in the seas, and let birds multiply on the earth."...And God said, "Let the earth bring forth living creatures according to their kinds: cattle and creeping things and beasts of the earth according to their kinds." And it was so. And God made the beasts of the earth according to their kinds and the cattle according to their kinds, and everything that creeps upon the ground according to its kind. And God saw that it was good."
Genesis 1: 20-25.

The Vegetarian Covenant, Gen. 1: 29-30,
Is not only with Humans but all Animals.
The original Jews believed that animals had sentience and will.
For there is no sense in commanding creatures to eat vegetation
Unless those creatures have the will to choose.

And God said `Behold I have given you every plant yielding seed which is upon the face of the earth, and every tree with seed in its fruit; you shall have them for food. And to every beast of the earth and to every bird of the air and to everything that creeps on the earth, everything that has the breath of life, I have given every green plant for food.'" 1: 29-30. Emphasis mine.
That other Creatures have Consciousness and Will
Is a Hindu view, not the view of orthodox Judaism

or its orthodox Christian and orthodox Muslim offspring.

The fact that Genesis 1: 30 commands even other creatures to eat vegetation alone proves that the earliest Jews were like the Hindus and Jains who see all creatures as sentient beings with free will who have the capability to come closer to the divine will or to remove themselves even further from that will. And Genesis 1: 30 fits in perfectly with those parts of the Old Testament that say God has a controversy with all flesh, or with the Ethiopic Book of Enoch which says that God has come to convict all flesh, that other creatures have sinned, and deserve destruction, such as was brought about by the Deluge. Involved themselves in the drama of facing good and evil choices to make in their lives, if the other creatures did not adhere to the divine will, they would face divine wrath just as humans do.

The acceptance of the fact that other creatures have consciousness and will makes logical Deity's destruction of the earth, whether by flood or fire, because it renders invalid the notion that the flood destroyed the innocent as well as the guilty. The other creatures are not, as the orthodoxies of Judaism, Christianity and Islam would portray them, instinctual automatons, or as is said in the New Testament, creatures of instinct, meant to be killed and eaten."

SHIFTS IN THEME, TONE, AND MORALITY:

The Old Testament God is Presented as Schizophrenic,
As Abruptly Changing his Mind
and Sanctioning Both Brutality and Bad Nutrition.
For Suddenly, the Very Deity who Commanded Vegetarianism,
Changes His Mind, and Favors Abel's Sacrifice of Slaughtered Animals
To Cain's Sacrifice of Vegetation.

Ge 4:1 And Adam knew Eve his wife; and she conceived, and bare Cain, and said,
I have gotten a man from the LORD.

Ge 4:2 And she again bare his brother Abel. And Abel was a keeper of sheep, but
Cain was a tiller of the ground.

Ge 4:3 And in process of time it came to pass, that Cain brought of the fruit of the
ground an offering unto the LORD.

Ge 4:4 while Abel brought several choice lambs from the best of his flock. The
LORD accepted Abel and his offering,

Ge 4:5 But unto Cain and to his offering he had not respect.

In the account of Cain and Abel in the original vegetarian Old Testament, God accepted Cain's offerings of vegetation, and Cain was God's favored avenging priest killing Abel, the killer of God's creatures. Cain's name relates with Chana, El Kana, and kanna im, the name given to zealots and avenging priests in the Talmud. Names related to the name of Cain, the names Chana, Chanon, Elchanon, El Quanna (various spellings) most often mean "favored of God."

And in the apocryphal accounts of Cain, such as "Vita et Adae," Cain has a shining birth and is an avatar promoting vegetarianism.

Theologians through the centuries have had to deal with the contradiction of the portrayal of God moving from Vegetarianism to Carnivorism in the Old Testament. And ironically, it appears that even many orthodox Jewish and Christian theologians admit that AT THE END, WE WILL ALL BE VEGETARIANS. So too do the vegetarian minorities of Islam. The late Jewish prophets and John's "Revelations" all portray the final times as a time when there is no sorrow or death for any creature in creation. So, whenever I am confronted by people representing those faiths, I ask them the logical question: "If we will all be vegetarians at the end, when there is Paradise on Earth, why aren't we practicing the purity of the final times Now?!"

That the scriptures in Genesis were revised is rather easily proven. For though it is traditional among people sacrificing animals to regard Cain as a murderer and Abel as an innocent victim, the original Jews were zealously following the vegetarian covenant and regarded Abel's slaughter of God's creatures as an evil sacrilege against the creature as well as against the Creator of that creature.

THE NAME OF ABEL IS ASSOCIATED WITH
NUMEROUS FORMS OF EVIL AND NEGATIVITY IN ANCIENT HEBREW.

Orthodox Scholars have pondered (superficially) over the fact that The Name of Abel, the Cattle-Killer, is Associated With Numerous Forms of Evil Such as being Vain, Transitory, Empty, and Unsatisfactory. Abel's Name is Associated with Lamentation and Mourning. Abel's name also means "to lead astray."

Orthodox scholars have puzzled over the meanings associated with the name of Abel, namely, vain, illusory, unsatisfactory, lamentation and mourning, and have rationalized these meanings as incomprehensible because they were given to Abel in the mists of antiquity. Not at all. These significances are perfectly logical and were associated with Abel with utter deliberation and craftsmanship. Abel's name, we shall see, is also associated with "leading astray," and with the word Evil itself, forms of which in ancient Hebrew are associated with the yoking and sacrificing of animals.

In the Hebrew Dictionary of James Strong's Exhaustive Concordance to the Old and New Testament,

Entry 1893 is the name of the person Abel, and is the same, according to Strong, to

Entry 1892, Hebel, which means emptiness or vanity, something transitory or unsatisfactory.

And entry 1892 is from

Entry 1891 habal, which means to be vain in act, word, or expectation; to lead astray (my emphasis).

Ab is also the root of entrees 56 and 57. Once we accept the fact that Abel is a combination of Ab and El, a variation of which is Al, then the following definitions are variations on the Ab+El theme, and indicate that those who becloud divinity will experience and/or cause mourning and lamentation.

Entry 56, abal, means to bewail lament, mourn, and

Entry 57, abel, means lamenting, mourner, mourning.

ABEL'S NAME RELATES WITH LAMENTATION AND MOURNING.

The Ancients Suffered from Eating Animal Fat Just as We Do.

The Lamentation and Mourning of those Suffering from (Cholesterol-Related) Diseases.

Moreover, among those who ate sacrificed animals, the diseases resulting from eating corpses provided lamentation and mourning. Our modern medical research determining the disease-producing effects of eating cholesterol, which leads to heart disease, diabetes, cancer, and other forms of sickness and suffering, perfectly confirms the validity of the ancient meanings of Abel's name.

THE VERY NAME OF ABEL MEANS TO NEGATE
OR TO PUT A CLOUD OVER THE DIVINE
ABEL= AB+EL

Entry 5645 in the Hebrew Dictionary of James Strong's Concordance is Ab, pronounced awb (as the first syllable of Abel's name was pronounced in ancient Hebrew) meaning an envelope, a cloud, which James Strong himself says is from

Entry 5743, Uwb, a primitive root meaning to be dense or dark; to becloud, to cover with a cloud.

El, entry 410, means the Almighty, God, Divinity.

Abel therefore means "to becloud divinity," "to make divinity obscure."

The Prefix Ab is used in English in the same Manner.

The prefix Ab is used in English in an identical way to signify the negation of the root in words like abnormal, abaxial, abdicae, abjure, abolish, abrogate, absense, and abstriction. The word Abnormal speaks for itself:

Ab + normal means the denial of the norm,
just as Ab + El means the denial of God, to deviate from the divine.

Abaxial, = Ab+axial, means not in the axis.

Abdicate = ab + dicare: to renounce, to withdraw from in a public, voluntary or formal manner. The dicare part is from the Latin dico, dictatum, meaning to say, to declare publicly.

Abjure = ab + jure. To renounce, to recant, deny. Jure is from the Latin meaning to swear, and Jury.

Abolish = ab + olish, from the French oleo, meaning to grow. Abolish means to obliterate, to destroy, to put an end to; to efface, to make void, to annul.

Abrogate = ab + rogate: rogate is from the Latin rogo, to ask, propose as a law. Abrogate means to repeal, to make void or null.

Absence = ab + sence. Sence is from the Latin sum or esse, meaning to be (present or in existence). Absence means to not be (present).

Abstriction = ab + striction, from the Latin strictum, meaning binding. Abstriction means unbinding.

THE PLACE WHERE EVIL IS IMPRISONED IS CALLED BY THE NAME OF ABEL.

Abelsjail is name of the Jail or Prison of the Fallen Angels in the Ethiopic Book of Enoch.

The author of the Ethiopic Book of Enoch (which should be read thoroughly, over and over again, by every real student of scriptures) understood perfectly the meaning of obscuring God's way, for he describes the actions of God's fallen angels. They copulated with earth's women, sacrificed animals and even humans and ate them both, disturbed the earth and its creatures through mining, and used cosmetics to disguise their true selves.

Relevant to our discussion of Vegetarianism, all sacrificing--whether of animals or of humans--is condemned by God, Noah and Enoch in the Book of Enoch, in chapters 7, 19, and 21, and in what is sometimes called the "Book of Noah" as well, which is part of the Ethiopic Book of Enoch. Here in Chapter 13 of the Book of Enoch, he, Enoch, describes the place where the fallen angels are contained, that is, imprisoned, as Abelsjail, that is, the jail of evil, the jail of those who obscure and deny God.

And I went off and sat down at the waters of Dan, in the land of Dan, to the south of the west of Hermon: I read their petition till I fell 8 asleep. And behold a dream came to me, and visions fell down upon me, and I saw visions of chastisement, and a voice came bidding (me) I to tell it to the sons of heaven, and reprimand them. 9 And when I awaked, I came unto them, and they were all sitting gathered together, weeping in 10 'Abelsjail, which is between Lebanon and Seneser, with their faces covered. Book of Enoch, Chapter 13, Charles (emphasis is mine).

The Ancient Hebrew Name Abel

Is the Logical Source for the English word Evil. Words denoting

Evil in ancient Hebrew are intimately connected with the Acts of Enslaving Animals with a Yoke and with Holocausts, that is the smoke arising from Animal Sacrifices.

The following discussion of the ancient Hebrew variations of the word evil is based on James Strong's "Hebrew-Chaldee Dictionary" section of his Exhaustive Concordance to the Old and New Testament, which has been a source referred to for years by the Judaeo-Christian orthodoxy. It will be seen by anyone who checks the sources that the definitions have not been distorted in order to fit a vegetarian perspective.

To the contrary, what has historically occurred is this: carnivorous orthodoxies have either intentionally withheld the truth from their followers, or they have been in such a narrow-minded state of consciousness that they have been unable to discern a truth that stares the objective scholar in the eyes.

Though there is a difference in sounds or phonetics, perhaps due to moving from one part of Canaan or Palestine to another, one may see the pronunciation change in the following words as similar to the different pronunciations of the same word in the southern and northern U.S. Though pronounced in a slightly different manner, the meaning is essentially the same.

5765: aval, aw-val'; a primitive root; to distort (morally): --deal unjustly, unrighteous. Strong in his Exhaustive Concordance couples the definition of aval, which is obviously a form of the word Evil, with the word ol.

5923 ol, ole; or owl, ole; a yoke (as imposed on the neck), literally or figuratively: yoke. This is from

5953 alal, aw-lal; a primitive root; to effect thoroughly.... by implication (in a bad sense) to overdo, i.e. maltreat, be saucy to, pain, impose (also lit.) : abuse, affect... defile... mock.

All of these definitions apply to the maltreatment of animals.

5767: avval, av-vawl; from 5765; evil (morally):--unjust, unrighteous, wicked. Coupled with the above definition of Evil is the word owlah, #5930

5930: olah, or owlah, usually means a holocaust (as going up in smoke): ascent, burnt offering (sacrifice)....

And 5930, olah, is related to 5766, evel, avel, avlah, owlah, or olah, which mean evil (morally):--unjust, unrighteous, wicked.

In other words, the word Evil itself--and evel and avel are both close to the English evil--is originally connected both with animal slavery, with yoking animals, as well as with animal holocausts, the animal sacrifices. The moral allegory of Cain and Abel loomed large in the minds of the earliest Jews following the vegetarian covenant.

To see Abel's name, Ab + El, to be unrelated to evel and avel, and the other variations of the word that mean perversity or moral evil, is either to be naive, or to be in denial.

Discovering that the prison of Evil, the place where the fallen angels are kept by God in the Book of Enoch, is named Abelsjail, is simply reiteration of the truth. To the original Jews, the original followers of Jehu, the Divine He, Evil consisted in enslaving and sacrificing God's creatures, in yoking them (5923) and in sacrificing them (5930). Why are most of the fallen angels in the Book of Enoch imprisoned? Because they have maltreated other creatures and eaten them, and because they introduced the "sorceries" of civilization, such as mining, which oppresses, kills, and displaces creatures of the earth, and cosmetics, which paints and disguises the real person, and not simply because they copulated with the women of earth.

MORE ANCIENT HEBREW WORDS SHOWING THAT
EVIL, TRANSITORINESS, CARNAGE AND SUFFERING
ARE ASSOCIATED WITH ABEL,
THE ANIMAL SACRIFICE

To the Original Jews, Who were Vegetarian, the Name of Abel,
The cattleman who slaughtered animals and ate their flesh Was itself a symbol of what was
fallen, foolish, and wicked.

We shall see even more clearly that the name of Abel was also associated with promoting carnage, sickness and death [by feeding people the flesh of slaughtered animals]. The following definitions also come from James Strong's Exhaustive Concordance to the Old and New Testament. Abel's name was used as the root of a number of words signifying what was foolish, wicked and disgraceful, and the name Abel was in fact a symbol of the fallen person, one who had strayed from the true path, who had failed, was foolish, and despired.

The Na + Abel Root

Entry 4995 Na is a word denoting a harsh refusal. It is from

Entry 5106 Nuw, meaning to refuse, forbid, dissuade...break, disallow, discourage, make of none effect.

Our English Na or Nah, meaning no, or a negation of what has been presented, is derived from the ancient Hebrew Na. Na + Abel provided the root significance of numerous words conveying negative qualities:

Entry 5034 Nabel, means to wilt, to fall away, fail, faint; figuratively, to be foolish or wicked; to despise, disgrace...do foolishly, come to nought, make vile, wither.

Entry 5036 Nebel, means stupid, wicked, fool, foolish man, foolish woman, vile person.

Entry 5037 Nabal means dolt.

Entry 5038 Nebelah means a flabby thing, that is, a carcass or carrion, human or animal; figuratively, an idol, a dead body, dead of itself. From 5034.

Nabel and Nebelah convey:

To fall away from the Way, animal sacrifices, dead bodies, idol.

The fact that Nebelah comes from Nabel, signifying a falling away, and also conveys a dead body and idol within the same word shows the earliest Jews' awareness that slaughtering animals is the action of those who have fallen away from the vegetarian covenant and who have become devoted to idols, and not to the true divinity of Judaism, Shiva, Lord of Creatures and Protector of Cattle.

Entry 5039 Nebalah is the feminine form of 5036 and means foolishness, wickedness, a crime; by extension, punishment, folly, vile, villainy.

Entry 5040 Nabluwth means disgrace and comes from Nabal meaning a foolish woman or man, a vile person.

COMPOUND WORDS CONTAINING THE NAME OF ABEL

THAT CONVEY THE NOTIONS OF

EMASCULATION, CARNAGE, SICKNESS AND DEATH.

Beyth-Maakah

A HEBREW NAME FOR A SLAUGHTERHOUSE OR PLACE OF SLAUGHTER.

Entry 1004 Beyth means house in the greatest variety of applications, such as a palace, a prison, or a temple.

Entry 4601 Maakah or Maakath means depression. It is related to 4600.

Entry 4600 Maak means to pierce, emasculate, be pressed, bruised, stuck.

Entry 1038 Beyth Maakah, Beyth meaning a house or prison (or even temple) of Maakah, the place where animals are pierced, emasculated, pressed. Maakah is related to Entry 4347.

Entry 4347 makkah, makkeh and its feminine form makkah , means a blow, a wound, carnage, to be beaten, slaughter. It is from entry 5221.

Entry 5221 nakah means to strike, beat, cast forth, kill, make [slaughter], murderer, punish, slaughter, slay, be stricken, wound.

Maakath, 4601, means depression. And, Strong states, it is related to #4600, maak, meaning to pierce, emasculate, handle, to be bruised, stuck, or be pressed.

Whether we take depression to mean an emotional depression, which relates to lamentation and mourning, or a physical depression in the ground where the blood flowed from the animals who were pierced, emasculated, bruised, that is slaughtered or sacrificed, the negativity of the term is clear.

Entry 4347 makkah, makkeh, meaning carnage is close to the Hawaiian makeh meaning death. Let us remember that Kana aka Krishna was known in Polynesia and Hawaii as well.

Relevant to the Cattleman's Use of the Sacrificial Knife:

Early in Hebrew History the Sacrificial Knife Machalaph [4252],
Was associated with Sickness, Infirmary and Disease
as Indicated by the words Machaleh [4245] and Machlah [4244].

Entry 4252 Machalaph means a (sacrificial) knife (as gliding through the flesh); a knife.

Entry 4245 Machalah (and its feminine form Machalah) mean sickness, disease and infirmity.

Entry 4244 Machlah means sickness.

Both of the above words stem from the root 2470.

Entry 2470 Chalah means to be weak, sick, afflicted, (be) diseased, (put to) grief, grieved, (be) grievous, infirmity, be (fall, make) sick, sore, be (become) weak, be wounded.

Abel, Hebel, Ebel and the Source of the Word Evil

Realizing that Abel means "to obscure divinity," the word Abel means that which is the antithesis of divinity, which is good. Thus, the word Abel, which easily transliterates into Ebel, is a logical choice for the source of the word Evil itself in the English language, which belongs to the Teutonic family of languages. Webster sees the word Evil as being related to the Anglo-Saxon efel and yfel, the Danish euvel, Old Frisian evel, German ubel, and the Gothic ubils. Remembering that Hebrew is an ancient ancestor of the Germanic and Teutonic language family, to which English belongs, and that Ab-el means to obscure or cloud over divinity, the word abel or ebel can very well be seen as the origin of the term Evil itself. From the point of view of the compassion-based Way of Hinduism (specifically the mainstream Hindus following the vegetarian way of Shiva and Krishna) and Buddhism, the choosing of vegetarianism over carnivorousism is considered to be a necessary moral option to the spirit seeking to be closer to an All-compassionate Deity. It is a choice between living harmoniously with other creatures in creation, or being an accomplice to the crime of brutally subduing them, and having dominion over them, which is the very business of the cattleman.

When Moses told the Israelites choose thou this day between good and evil, and then told them that their choice would determine the length of their days, that is their longevity, he was simply reiterating what all good Hindus and Buddhists and what all genuine students of

longevity know--including in our own time researchers from Harvard and Princeton and from medical research facilities throughout the world--that it is the vegetarian diet which is conducive to optimum health and longevity.

The Fall, the beginning of Ab-el, Hebel, Ebel, Evil--
Was the Institution of Animal Sacrifices.
"This world devours corpses."

The Fall was interpreted by the late Jewish prophets to mean the institution of animal sacrifices. The Ebionite Jesus and James and their associates regarded the Pentateuch, the first five books of the Old Testament in which we see God and Moses as instituting the animal sacrifices, as false scriptures, as we are informed by St. Epiphanius in his fourth century Panarion, (a work which the Christian orthodoxy has successfully hidden from you). The Nag Hammadi Gospel of Philip says bluntly that "this world eats corpses," that Jesus did not, and that the Fall of humanity was eating from the Tree of Animals. The Cain and Abel narrative in the original vegetarian scriptures of Judaism showed Abel as evil, for he slew the creatures of the Creator, Sheba (a transliteration of Shiva), Lord of the Jewish Shabbath. Sheba is also seen as Saba (God of the Sabaeans) whose name is closer to the English Sabbath. Shiva, Sheba, Saba--they're all the same Entity, was known as Lord of Creatures, Pasupati, and Protector of Cattle.

Wise, Abegg, and Cook in their edition of the Dead Sea Scrolls call the original Jewish scriptures (before they were revised and became the orthodox Old Testament) the Vegetarian Bible. Revised by whom? By businessmen, cattlemen wanting profits and privilege, who by rewriting the scriptures sanctioned their bloody atrocities against other creatures and against the Creator, who had clearly commanded vegetarianism for humans in Gen. 1: 29.

Moving from the B sound in one language
to a V sound in another is common.

Students of etymology know that in the process of transliteration, b sounds move to v sounds and vice versa. Therefore, we can understand the v sound in the words avert, advocate, evict, all of which have the same aspect of denial, as does the ab in Abel. The EV sound and the AB sound are transliterations of each other. From Abel to ubil to evil is a totally logical transition and transliteration from one language system to another.

We see such moves in moving from the German abend to the English evening, from the German sieben to the English seven, and from the German ubel to English evil. The German ub is like the ancient Hebrew ab, and uwb, which is the source of ab, as asserted by James Strong.

In the ancient Hebrew: abel (the ab is from uwb) = the German: ubel = the English: evil.

D in Ancient Languages was used as a Possessive Form
Just as it is in Latin Languages Today.

Moreover, in the Peshitta Aramaic Abel's name in the East is D'HaB,eYL and in the West is D'Hob,eYL [This is verifiable by going to <http://www.peshitta.org/>]. The D in the Peshitta

Aramaic, like the De and D' of Latin languages such as Spanish and Italian, indicates the possessive form. Both of these Aramaic sources use a combination of the possessive D with recognizable forms of the word Abel, and therefore we see that Devil is a personification of that which is Of-Abel, D-iablo. Thus we have the the source of the word Devil. To those knowing Spanish, it isn't hard to see the abel in diablo. To those who understand the principles of transliteration, the transfer of the b sound to a v sound is quite common. Abel = Avel = Evil.

The Fall from the Original Harmony of Paradise
The Fall is Mysterious Only to Fallen Beings in Denial.

The Tibetans, the Mayans following Quetzalcoatl, the Hopis, the Hebrews, numerous Hindu sects and African sects, as well as the Greeks, have myths or legends affirming the existence of a perfect world which preceded the fall of human kind. Before the fall, humanity and the other animals lived harmoniously together. After the Fall, they were enemies.

God Himself is Portrayed as Creating an Evil Society
In which Some are Subdued or Dominated.

The close reader understands that the orthodox Jewish God who was fabricated by false scribes at the instigation of militant patriarchs who were cattlemen, is himself ironically portrayed as the initiator of evil, the source of the Fall in the Pentateuch, first by the command to subdue and have dominion over other creatures, and therefore creating disharmony for the subdued and dominated ones, and next in Chapter 4 of "Genesis" by reversing the original tale of Cain and Abel and having God accept animal sacrifices, thereby contradicting the vegetarian covenant in Genesis 1: 29, and then by giving Noah the covenant of carnivorousism, and humans the right to instill terror and fear in other creatures in chapter 6, of view of God that totally contradicts the beautiful eulogies to the God and creation that also exist in the Old Testament. Frankly much of the Torah, except for these fairly rare passages, is hardly more than a grimoire for a demonic society.

And what example have the orthodox Jews given the world lately? They with the fundamentalist Christian government of the United States were one of the two chief supporters of the racist apartheid government of South Africa, they invaded and killed the people of Palestine, they do not admit black Rabbis except under special conditions (once again manifesting their racism), they have constantly sold munitions to fascist governments suppressing egalitarian movements, and their rabbis are even today speaking about rebuilding a temple of animal sacrifices. The orthodox Christians of the United States, who bully less powerful nations throughout the earth, and who have literally killed millions of people in other lands such as Korea, Vietnam, El Salvador, Guatemala, Panama, Iraq, Bosnia, and Afghanistan, and the orthodox Jews of Israel, must be considered two of the most exploitive societies on the face of the earth, rivalled only by Islamic extremists. Their industrialism and wealth is in proportion to their violence, and in fact, these have always gone together in history.

The Scientific Basis of Vegetarianism
by William Harris

Violent Diets Lead to Violent Crimes.

Carnivorous Nations are Far More Violent than Vegetarian Nations.

William Harris in his *The Scientific Basis of Vegetarianism* which is a statistical study of violent crimes in the world points conclusively to the correlation between carnivorism and violence. It is indisputable that the carnivorous countries of the world commit the highest proportion of violent crimes. A diet of violence leads to violent behavior. We are what we eat.

And our government, the media, the schools, continue to promote a diet involving brutality towards animals, and promoting more disease and early deaths among humans. The governments of the world, especially those of the industrial nations, are nothing more than the modern Masters by force of those who are governed. Everyone knows only those who have the support of millionaires and billionaires can run for president, and though the U.S. for example, has existed for almost three centuries, we still have a class society of Rich and Poor, the Wealthy and the Needy, the Privileged and the Unprivileged, proving beyond any doubt that there never was a sincere effort on the part of those governing the United States to eliminate poverty and to create a society in which all are equals. The Rich are those who by definition do not love their neighbors as themselves.

Plain English makes it easy to see:

All forms of government are slavery.

The opposite of the verb "to be governed"

Is the verb "to be free."

AGAINST RELIGIOUS AND POLITICAL HYPOCRISY

THE VICTORS WRITE HISTORY

THE VICTORS WRITE SCRIPTURES.

THE RICH WRITE YOUR CURRICULUM TOO.

The victors we know write history;
The victors write the scriptures too;
They program what you watch on TV
And all the lies about what's good and evil
That you learn in school. The rich have scriptures that justify slaughter;
The rich have scriptures that justify hate;
The rich have religions that say they have the right
To subdue the earth, create terror among creatures,
And, among other humans, to dominate. Carnivorous religions say they pray
To a god of pure infinite love
Who wants them nonetheless to eat the corpses,
Of brutally oppressed, exploited, enslaved,
Murdered flesh and blood. Since being killed is bloody and painful for animals,
And eating cholesterol is no good for you,
How can slaughtering animals and eating their corpses
Be something you would morally, or nutritionally, pursue? Orthodox Judaism,
Christianity and Islam
Are all cattleman religions:.

They kill the animals and feed you their flesh
And as your blood gets thick with animal fat
And you get sick by that,
They and their meat industries get rich. The orthodox are brutal; they are uncaring.
Other creatures' lives--they are unsparing--
Their scriptures say all foods are clean,
Their god's not too bright if you know what I mean. Though they say love your
neighbor as your self,
Such an attitude, in reality, would breed equality!
Instead they create societies of rich and poor,
Breeding envy and jealousy, violence, rebellion,
brutal and bloody misery.
And these orthodox religions believe in slavery,
That not all humans deserve full liberty--
But tell me my friend, if you were the slave,
Wouldn't you fight to the death against such evil,
In order to be free? If we're ever going to have peace on earth,
There are evils we must eliminate,
The force of those who say they have the right
To subdue the earth and dominate. Jesus said the Devil was the Father of Lies,
And being masked as God is the Devil's disguise,
Many scriptures in the Torah, the Gospels and Koran,
Weren't inspired by God, but were written
By greedy and evil businessmen. Carnivorous religions are conquering religions:
Unlike the Way of the Buddhist and Hindu.
They are constant imperialists who invade other nations,
And history proves that this is true. And now we have the statistics to show
What has been known by the pure since ancient times
That cultures that have violent diets
Have far more violent crimes. The victors we know write history;
The victors write the scriptures too;
They program what you watch on TV
And all the lies, about what's good and evil,
That you learn in school.

<><><>

When you say don't lay
Your vegetarian trip on me,
Your logic doesn't stand, it falls;
For you're the one laying a trip
Of oppression and death
On other animals.

<><><>

Worshipping the devil is so sweet;
Everyday you get a corpse to eat.
But just so people don't think we're odd,
We don't call the devil, devil,
We call the devil God.

<><><>

Jesus said the devil was the father of lies,
And being masked as God is the devil's best disguise.
Much of the Old and New Testament and Koran
Weren't inspired by God, but were written
by greedy, evil, lying businessmen.

<><><>

You say judge not that you may not be judged,
Well then I ask you why
You judge that other animals
Should suffer for you and die.

<><><>

LEGACY OF LIES

Our history holds a lot of people up as models,
But if we look a little further,
It's obvious these supposedly good people were involved
With oppression, slavery and murder. Columbus oppressed and killed
natives from the beginning:
He dismembered them in front of their families,
He took them for slaves to be sold--
In his Christian mind Indians were inferior--
Yet honor Columbus we are told. Washington, Jefferson, other founding fathers,
Said so many things about equality,
While oppressing natives and enslaving blacks,
While practicing slavery. Millions of African slaves were brought
Forcefully to this country's shore,
While slave holders quoted Koran and the Bible
and so-called St. Paul to defend
Their oppression and slavery, their bloody gore. And when Lincoln
supposedly freed the slaves,

Blacks in the Union army still weren't given equal rank or pay,
And while the U.S. Cavalry was murdering natives in the West,
President Lincoln said it was okay. After the civil war land was given
Mostly to the rich and not the poor,
Blacks became tenant farmers and had to
Work for the rich once more. And battle after battle, treaty after treaty,
Indians lands shrank to their reservations,
While priests and ministers and their scriptures exclaimed:
The pagan deserves subordination. In world War 1 equal rank or pay
Weren't given to black soldiers or red--
when they returned from the war, they were unequal still,
No matter what the lying media said. And in World War 2 president Roosevelt made
This nation's racism easy to see:
The Japanese were jailed in detention camps,
While most Germans and Italians walked free--
[Hey, we were fighting all three!] And our government now supports
dictators south of the border
in Guatemala and Chiapas and Columbia,
Against whom the people have rebelled.
Our rich people and their rich people
Treat the dissidents like hell. The vast majority of Christian cultures
Have defended sexism and slavery.
"Wives obey your husbands; slaves obey your masters,"
Is in the New Testament for all to see. Faced with such scriptures,
when believers reply:
Those scriptures fit the time--they're not eternal truth,
They don't want to admit that what they're saying
Is that the Bible's words are not absolute. "The poor you will always have with you,"
Yet "The meek will inherit the earth."
The rich quote one, the poor quote the other--
What is such confusion worth? How inspired are scriptures so confused
That it's not obvious to see
A creator of perfect love would want not only every human,
But every creature to be happy and free. Of all the "religious" views on the earth,
Few are so vicious, so immoral, or odd,
As those which say that oppressing other beings,
Other humans or other animals,
Is desired by an all-loving god. Down with all governments and religions,
Telling their people they are elite;
Down with all the enemies of
Total equality.

Equality breeds contentment;
Inequality resentment.

<><><>

PROOF THAT THE ROMAN CATHOLIC CHURCH IS BIGOTED: The Knights of Columbus Honors a Known Persecutor of Indigenous People.
This is a Public Confirmation of the Bigotry of the Roman Catholic Church.

The Knights of Columbus, a Roman Catholic organization, named themselves after Christopher Columbus, a known persecutor of indigenous people. Upon arrival at Turtle Island, i.e. the New World to European invaders, Christopher Columbus had members of the Aruack tribe dismembered, in front of their own family members, no less. He took indigenous people as slaves as well. He, like the many Christian slave-holders that came later, who brutalized millions of Africans, accepted the enslavement of others as something that was sanctioned in the New Testament, such as Paul's scriptures saying "Slaves obey your masters" in "Romans."

Christopher Columbus was ostensibly Roman Catholic, though some sources say he might have been born Jewish. The Old Testament like the New Testament and Koran also supports the institution of slavery.

The reader should be made aware, however, that there are pure remnants of non orthodox Jews, Christians and Muslims who are egalitarian and vegetarian, and who despise the despicable institution of slavery. Because their numbers have been small, their criticisms of the rich elite who run the orthodoxies of their religions fall on deaf ears.

For a truthful summary of Columbus' treatment of the Aruack natives, see A People's History of the United States, by Howard Zinn, the best

The Bible

Of all the printed books in the world,
The Bible has been printed more.
And the earth now has greater problems
Than it's ever had before.

To Fundamentalist Christians:

Can it be real?
Can it be true?
That people are going to hell
Though they're nicer than you? I don't eat animals
Who've been brutally killed,
But you say it's okay:
It's even God's will. I do my best not to pollute
The sacred earth, the sacred air,
and the sacred water,
But you say it's okay to do so--

You've been even given permission
By your (not so) heavenly father. You say you have a personal
Relationship with Jesus,
But everyday it seems
The list of your offenses
against the earth and its creatures
increases. Are you being God's or the devil's steward,
And what really is your idea of stewardship worth?,
As your industries oppress, displace and murder,
The creatures of the earth? For everyday your industries kill creatures,
And pollute the air and sea and land,
And everyday you put a corpse on your plate,
Because some cunning businessman
Paid writers to write verses in the Bible that say
God himself said it was okay
To subdue and dominate. If we took a survey,
And you know it's true,
We would find that
No one wants to be dominated
Or subdued. That businessmen wrote
Many of your scriptures,
You say is sacrilegious--
You won't accept that.
But that the victors write history,
You know is a fact,
Because you accuse your enemies
of doing exactly that. We all know how you separate
Truth from Fiction:
Truth is whatever
Supports your addiction. To kill the animal
to get the corpse that you eat
Involves acts that are bloody
And acts that are mean,
And some of your scriptures are so insane
They say an all-loving God
Says such bloody and unhealthy foods are clean. It doesn't really take
a lot of acumen
To know such ignorant scriptures
were created by brutal and greedy
businessmen. Since your diet and life style
Promote brutality towards God's creatures
and a multitude of diseases--
Exactly what good is your believing
In the name of Jesus? Though you insist your god is love,

You read your Bible and you say
That slaughter and slavery
Gender bias and sexism
And pollution are okay. Where did your logic come from anyway?
How can your logic be associated
With the heavenly state
When it promotes so many
Different kinds of hate? Until you come around
To a morality that's better,
I say your god's a demon,
And doesn't deserve
a capitol letter. Can it be real?
Can it be true?
That people are going to hell
Though they're nicer than you? You'll probably answer:
Yes they are going to hell,
Though it doesn't take an oracle
To know my questions above
Are simply rhetorical. So, you Christian fundamentalists,
And you Roman Catholics too,
And all you Christians who believe
You have the only religion that's true, Why don't you just
Go into the wilderness as your master did,
Be quiet there and fast and pray,
and meditate,
And ask the Divine Power
To clear your spirit of confusion and hate? To claim your God is All-loving
Means your God loves every being,
And in order for you to be loving too
You yourself have got to do
the very same thing. In short:
Since scriptures in your New Testament
Promote the evils of slavery,
Resentment-breeding economic elitism,
Gender bias,
sexism,
Religious wars,
Brutality towards animals,
And cholesterol based diseases,
Tell me exactly
what good is accomplished
By your believing
In the name of Jesus? You are of the lineage
Of those who supported the Inquisition,

And what you call religion,
Is simply the ideology
of those who in denial
Who share the same addictions and superstitions.

CONDITIONING BY THE MEDIA THE GOVERNMENT, THE RELIGIONS, THE SCHOOLS

If we're taught over and over again
That what is right is wrong,
And if we're taught the very same thing
By every group to which we belong, Then we can be like Nazis under Hitler,
Spellbound by the constant Nazi word,
Driven by repeated Nazi rhetoric,
Conditioned to be absurd.

THE DRAFT

When we go into the military
We take an oath to be a slave,
To follow our commanding officer,
And he may lead us to the grave. And the government doesn't give a damn
What we ourselves believe;
When industrialists want to control new lands,
We've got to kill and maybe die for industry. It doesn't matter what you believe--
If we're at war, you've gotta go;
You may say you believe in the land of the free,
But if you don't agree with the war, where did your freedom go? U. S. Industrialists helped Hitler
to rise to power,
Sold Japan gas and iron used in World War II,
And helped the Soviets make weapons used
In the Korean and Vietnam Wars, it's true. Yes, the Rockerfellers, Mellons,
Du Ponts and Fords,
Have helped shed a lot of blood and gore,
And even helped to kill their own country's soldiers
In the Korean and Vietnam wars. Selling arms to Iran to help the contras--
Nothing new for the weapons industry.
The more weapons sold the richer they get--
That's as far as the bloody rich care to see. We invaded and killed the Buddhists of Korea,
We invaded and killed the Buddhists of Vietnam.
Now we're in the Persian Gulf and Afghanistan,
Invading and killing the people of Islam. Three hundred thousand killed in
the bombing of Baghdad;
Sanctions have killed a million more.

Orthodox Christians killing Muslims,
A very old, a very ancient, religious war. And when some Muslims retaliate,
In a spectacular act of reprisal,
Our Media plays dumb, and says "Why are they mad?"
And programs patriots to be in denial. In wars industrialists got us into,
Millions have been injured, millions killed,
Not for freedom, not for liberty,
But so the banks of industrialists could be filled. Yes, the rich industrialists
of the United States,
Like the rich elite of other industrial nations,
Have helped to shed their own people's gore;
They conscripted the soldiers of their own country to fight,
While they provided their enemies with the weapons of war. Isn't it time that all
people loving freedom
Made sure that people like this
Do not have power any more?

<><><>

The Works of John Sutton,
from the Hoover Institute, Palo Alto.
Sutton's works should be found in most large libraries.

John Sutton's body of research is a relatively unexamined source, for good reasons. The elite industrialists of the United States do not want to have their hypocrisy exposed, the fact that they have encouraged the people of their nation to go to war, and have profitted from those wars, by selling war material to both sides, to their own countries, and to the nations against whom their country was fighting.

The work of John Sutton from the Hoover Institute documents the involvement of U.S. industries in helping our then enemy Russia to make munitions and munition systems used against U.S. forces in both the Korean and Vietnam Wars. Sutton was a patriotic Republican citizen who could in no way be accused of iconoclasm. He simply wanted the U.S. industrialists to be consistent in not helping the "enemy" then to kill U.S. soldiers. Sutton's pleas to his own party went unheeded.

While most people I associate with realistically see the term "moral Republican" as an oxymoron, John Sutton was an exception to the rule. So too, Ted Turner's recent analysis of the events of September 11, 2001, show that a few, even among the wealthy, a very few, however, still have a conscience.

The Sacrament of Satan

Where is there justice?...
The slaughterer of cows
Is not trampled by bovine hooves...

The eater of pork is not gored
By the tusks of the boar.
As cannibals ate their enemies to gain their strength,
So is murdered flesh is the most addictive of drugs in tune with
Power over the animal, a once living beast,
Now dead, and you with spear ax gun sledge hammer knife fork
Hovering over it...your heart inwardly pounding your chest,
Your heart shouting that you are more powerful
Or at least more crafty,
Than the once living being lying under you...
Lying on your dish a mandala...death at the center.
Your power is the rage of your ego:
Your self crying out it is better
Than what is around it. Your ego prods you.
You deserve to dominate, to subdue the earth.
Ah yes, you are the champion bullfighter,
The best baseball football basketball soccer player in the world;
You are the world's most powerful boxer, wrestler,
Best president, most powerful general,
The richest industrialist controlling the greatest number of wage slaves.
You are the greatest of the behind the scenes rich men
Controlling the masses of the world.
Your command kills more than any other, you cause the most misery...
You are the emperor of evil!
You are the strongest, the handsomest, the richest
And therefore your reward:
Perfumed bodies, smooth legs spread...ahhh.
But your potency fails as you age,
Your muscles and hardons and other organs diminish in power,
Though your bad moods anxiety despair are erect
And at attention,
while the bodies of your gentler brothers and sisters
Persist longer in their health and strength.
For the circulation of justice is as smooth
as the movement of blood through the body...
Conspiring, lungs breathe in together the tiny toxins of death...
the brain absorbs miniscule pollutants,
like pinpoints of murderous thought,
and gradually, by degrees, the poisons accumulate,
and the body commits its oh so slow but inevitable suicide:
little lumps of fat goo and matter
from the enslaved and slaughtered and dismembered ones
move slowly and oh so subtly in the bloodstream,
clogging and poisoning a kidney here, a liver there,

a gall bladder in another...in those who would not filter right from wrong,
the heart in another...who would not extend compassion to the other animals...
arthritis in that one who would not let the animals move freely,
cataracts in those who refuse to look at the atrocities...
and deafness in those who would not hear
the moans and horror of the slaughterhouse...
And as the little globules of cholesterol
Intrude and settle here and there in your body,
And your health prematurely declines,
And Death stands over you,
As you stood over other animals--
Perhaps the spear of death this lifetime is cancer
or heart trouble, diabetes, kidney failure--
Death speaks to you and says: YOU chose me:
The temple of your body digested death,
Made death a part of your bloodstream,
Feeding every cell in your brain and heart and soul.
So now, tell me, as you watch the animals
Bellowing against the prod,
Goaded down chutes to the slaughterhouses,
As you watch their throats being slit,
Their bodies cut in half, their necks twisted,
Or their mangled and murdered bodies in the killing fields of industry,
Tell me, tell me again! that your god is all-compassionate and loving,
Tell me that you or those you listen to,
Have not corrupted the original words of purity
Of Moses and Jesus and Mohammed,
All of whom frequently retreated into the wilderness
To fast and be with God;
And as you explain why you daily put the corpse of a murdered animal
Into the temple of your body,
Listen carefully to yourself
As you explain how you are not devoted to a demon
Who desires pain and death for other creatures,
And remember...
that Deity is just.
For those who do not listen to love,
Death is as inevitable as birth,
As inevitable as having to come again and again,
Until the lesson is learned,
Into a world like the earth,
A world of terror and deceit
And a life that for you is a living death.
The circulation of justice is as smooth

as the movement of blood through the body...

A fluffy poem about stuffed animals
(Inspired by Ginsberg's ghost)

Orthodox theologians are humpty dumpty eggheads falling off their walls,
breaking their shells, revealing the yolk and the joke that they are.
Orthodox theologians in straight jackets and ties dictate to the world that
infinite love is murder, and MacDonalds, Burger King, Hardy's, Wendy's, and
other giant fast food chains grant money to the universities and their
theologians, to constantly say the same.

I know that you say you're repulsed by devils' cults, but how do you
explain the established insanity of putting the corpse of a once living being
on your dish, eating it, making it part of your mindbody structure, and
saying you're devoted to god, not the devil?

(They sell Brooklyn Bridges to themselves, expressways to hell they give you
scholarships to ride on. Misery loves company so let's all go to hell
together is their motto.)

They eat corpses together at deathfeasts. Their comradeship is carrion.
Walking graves, flesh coffins for the corpses of beasts, death
is their vision, imperialism their method, they rule every corpse they've
eaten, every slave they hire to inflict the injuries of industry.

To their closest accomplices they say you'll be dressed as the best, and [View](#)
we'll nicely spice the carcass so you won't taste the waste of the place that
was your soul.

You are a cemetery of sentient beings, your unrest is the grieving of those
you damned to oppression and slaughter. Your depression and despair the
sorrow of those whom you doomed to die. They are buried in your ground of
being. A field that could be unified is DISunited. You are a decreation, a house divided against
itself, a darling of Beelzebub.

(I have faith that a god of infinite love is in my pork chop, my hamburger,
my chicken, my fish. I am not good at logic, but I can yell Jehovah or Jesus
or Allah with the best of them.)

I love you God--I kill you cow. I love you Lord--I stab you sow.

What the dickens--kill the chickens. I get my wish--I suffocate fish.

A little voice is saying:

You say eating corpses is healthy;
You pay someone to murder every day.
And you don't understand that you feel bad
Because your crimes do not pay.

My body is the temple of slaughter and oppression. I subdue and I have
dominion over the creatures of the earth, their blood on my slaughterhouse
altar is my proof, their corpses my nutrition literally make up my being.
I am a walking character of corpses; it is I who teach you at the

universities; it is I who preach to you in church and synagogue and mosque and other temples affirming slavery and slaughter. Look in the mirror and see my demonology at work.

Cholesterol junkies rule the world. Trophies and plaques of dominion, cholesterol plaque in the brain and heart.

I am the president of hell, with the military to back me, saying slaves obey your masters, die so we rich can be richer, die for tin, die for coal, die for oil, patriot die for the state. As cows and pigs and chickens and fish have died for you, so you shall die for the rich, the hierarchy of dominion goes on and on. The blood of the masses is my expense account. I am the devil masked as God and the condition of the world is proof that I rule.

Worshiping the devil is so sweet.

Everyday you get a corpse to eat.

But just so people don't think we're odd,

We don't call the devil,

We call the devil god.

Names of the Ancient Houses of Israel

Confirm that the Original Jews were Hindus.

The Houses of Hara, Shaddai, Chanan, Ram, El, and Lechem
Have a Hindu Source.

Beth Haran is named after Shiva as Hara, the destroyer. Bethesda, or Beth Saida, the House of Shaddai is named after Shiva as Sada, the immutable one. Beth Chanan is named after Kannan, the Tamil Hindu name for Krishna. Beth Ha-Ram is named after Rama. Beth Astaroth after Astaroth, a Hindu deity. Beth Car is likely a shorted form of Char or Har, which once again relates to the Hara/Har root. Beth Rechabowth refers to the Rechabites whose purity was like that of the strictest Ganas, or Jains, who would not even till the soil because living beings live there. And Bethlehem, is named after Lachmiy or Laksmi, the Divine Mother and mate of Krishna is the Hindu scriptures.

1028 is Beth Haran, which is described as a place east of the Jordan. Hara is the name of Shiva as the Destroyer. Beth Haran is named after Shiva as Hara, the destroyer. Hara is the root of Haran, Abraham's home for many years, and of Harappa, an ancient Shaivite center in India. At least three ancient Hebrew words with the Har(a) root in ancient Hebrew refer to destruction in some form. We see that the House of Hara, or Shiva the Destroyer existed in the earliest days of Judaism.

Beth-Saida is Bethesda. The city of Bethesda in Palestine in Hebrew is Beth-Saida, or the House of Shadai. El Shadai was a title for God in Canaan as well in Palestine and Sada was a name of Shiva, meaning the Eternal. Shadai is the root of the word spirit in Aramaic.

Beth-El, Bethel, derived its name from El, a name of Deity among Hindus, Canaanites, and Jews. Beth Astaroth is the House of Astaroth, a goddess among the Hindus and other ancient cultures.

Rama in Original Judaism

1027 Beyth ha-Ram, house of the height, a place east of the Jordan, Beth-aram. The house was dedicated to Ram or Ramah. Numerous people as well as places were named after Rama of the Hindus.

Krishna in Original Judaism was Named Chanan, Kana Chana.

1032 Beyth Chanan. Chanan is the Ancient Hebrew for Kanna, or Kannan, the Tamil Hindu name for Krishna. The Kana root of Chanan's name is used as the denomination of Deity in the Decalogue itself: "I am El Kana. Thou shalt have no other gods before me." Cain is a manifestation of El Chana, as portrayed in apocryphal accounts. Beth Chanan (Kana is the name by which Krishna is most well-known outside of India before the twentieth century. Kannan is the Tamil Hindu name for Krishna and is the source of Canaan and Chanan. The Ethiopians called Kana or Chana by Kanneh and Cainan. This demonstrates that the Sabeian influence was widespread in the ancient world.

The Purity of the Ancient Vegetarian Israelites: The Rechabites.

Beth Rechabowth, Beth Rechobowth: the House of Streets, or avenue; the House of (thevegetarian) Rechabites, who lived in tents, drank no wine, and lived in wilderness communities much of the time. Rechabowth means of the streets, and probably referred to the paths walked by the original Jews living in wilderness communities. The name of the father of the Rechabites, Jonadab, probably came from the Janas or Jains. Beth Rechobowth: the House of Streets, or avenue, or, I believe, the paths in the wilderness. The Rechabites in Jeremiah are described as people who did not even cultivate the earth, nor did they drink wine. They lived for periods in the wilderness, culling their food. Jonadab the father of the Rechabites probably got the Jon part of his name from the Janas or Jains. Bethany or Beth-Ani is derived from the Deity Ani of both Sumeria and Egypt, to whom vegetarians sung hymns or made imprecations. In Egypt Ani is a scribe turned deity by Osiris. Ani is frequently associated with vegetarianism in ancient Egypt, as he is in Sumerian manuscripts. Only those who have eaten neither flesh nor fowl (p. 15) are worthy to read of the papyrus. See pp. 13-16 The Egyptian Book of the Dead, by E. A. Wallis Budge (the Dover Edition, 1967).

Laksmi is the Mate of Krishna
Laksmi in Judaism:
Bethlehem means the House Laksmi.

Laksmi to the Hindus is the Goddess of Fortune as well as the mate of Krishna. To feast, to banquet, to be foodful, or abundant in foods, is a attribute of fortune. We see this abundantly demonstrated in the Hebrew Dictionary, in the root words and references related to Lachmiy, or Laksmi. So Bethlehem, or Beth-Lehem, the birthplace of Jesus is actually the House of Lachmiy, or Laksmi, the Divine Mother of Krishna's child.

Lachmiy is the Ancient Hebrew and Laksmi the Sanskrit.

Laksmi is in the Old Testament as Lachmiy, and she is related to Feasting and Food, and to the Hebrew word lechem (or lehem)

3899 lechem, lekh' em; (from 3898) food (for man or beast), especially bread or grain for making it):--[shew]-X eat, food, fruit, loaf... victuals. See also 1036 [says Strong] (sic. it's 1035)

3898 lacham, law kham', a primitive root; to feed on; figuratively, to consume; by implication, to battle (as destruction): devour, eat, X ever, fight (ing), overcome, prevail, (make) war (ring).

1035 Beyth Lechem, bayth leh' khem; from 1004 and 3899; house of bread; Beth lechem, a place in Palestine; Bethlehem.

3900 lechem (Chaldean), corresponding to 3899; feast.

3902 Lachmiy, lakh-mee, from 3899; foodful; Lachmi, a Philistine; or rather probably a brief form (or perhaps an errant translation of) 1022.

1022 Beth hal-Lachmiy, a native of Bethlehem, a Bethlehemite. 1022 is related to 3422, Beth Lehem. Lehem is Lachmiy, or Laksmi.

There were feast days dedicated to Laksmi. Entree 3903 In Strong's Hebrew Dictionary is Lachmam or Lachmas. Beth Car, probably a form of Char, which at times is a shortened form of chara or Hara, once again referring to a name of Shiva.

1029 Beyth hash-Shittah, house of acacia.

One of Kali's names is Mari-Shitala. As mate of Shiva she is the earth mother creator of all plants--as well as having the aspects of the Destroyer.

The reference numbers are from James Strong's "Hebrew Chaldee Dictionary" in his Exhaustive Concordance to the Old and New Testament.

"The love of a man is for his neighbor,
but the love of the Lord is for all living beings. Sirach 18: 13.

Plant Eaters Versus Flesh Eaters:

The Perennial Ideological Battle in the Scriptures of the World. A Short Survey of the Ideological Battle

Between Plant Eaters and Flesh Eaters

Among Sumerians, Hindus, Jews, Christians, Maya, and Muslims. The rationale was the same for the Elite Brahmin Class

Practicing Animal Sacrifices in India;

For the cattleman cult that destroyed the vegetarians' Asherah shrines,
in Old Testament times;

For the Roman Emperor Constantine persecuting Vegetarians,
And Making sure that the New Testament writings
would marginalize Vegetarianism;

For the Aztecs who Banished the Vegetarian Quetzalcoatl
and the Vegetarian Maya;

For those Who overthrew the Vegetarian Community
of Muhammad and his first cousin Ali. The Answer to Why Rewrite Scriptures?
To Gain Wealth and Power by Asserting that

God Sanctions the Human Exploitation of other Creatures,
Therefore Justifying Not only Animal Sacrifices but Industry in General,
Which exploits Nature and Creation. It is an Accepted Truism that the Victors Write History.
A corollary of that principle is that the Victors Write Scriptures as Well,

And the Educational Curriculum of their Educational Institutions as Well. The Compassion for all
Creatures that Underlies Vegetarianism
Is Now and Always has been Bad for the Meat Industry,
And for Industry in General. It is a Simple Matter for the Rich to Rewrite Scriptures.
It is as Simple as the Universally Recognized Fact
That the Media in Industrial Nations
Only shows the people what it wants to show them.
No Conscious Person Seriously thinks
The Mainstream Media is Objective.

The story of Cain and Abel is an archetypal story pitting the vegetarian priest, Cain, against the animal sacrificing priest, Abel. It was originally told in praise of Cain, and in condemnation of Abel. There are apocryphal accounts of Cain that present him as having a shining birth and as representing vegetarianism. He is an avatar teaching compassion towards all creatures, not their exploitation, as is Abel and orthodox Judaism. In those apocryphal accounts Cain may be seen as a human manifestation of El Chana, (a name of God in the Old Testament). Various forms of Chana mean "favored of God" in ancient Hebrew. In contrast, Abel's name admittedly means vain, illusory, mourning, lamentation, because the name of Abel is comprised of Ab, a prefix of negation as in the English word Abnormal (Ab-normal). And El is the name of God. Abel, the cattle killer, was one who negated God. Therefore, among the original vegetarian Jews, the name of Abel itself--Abel is the first clearly defined killer in the Old Testament--represented Evil, and as such is the best contender for being the etymological root of the word Evil. (See the section on Abel).

Flesh Eaters Versus Plant Eaters in Sumeria

The ideological battle between the plant eaters and meat eaters is also seen in Sumeria, where some of the hymns to Ani were obviously written by vegetarians honoring all creatures. Zechariah Sitchin in his Lost Realms, a study of Cain's influence in the Western Hemisphere, states that Elisheva in Sumeria meant "My God is Seven," meshes perfectly with the worship of Shiva as Sheba (meaning seven in ancient Hebrew) in original Judaism. Canaan was itself named after Kannan, a Tamil Hindu name of Krishna. In Sumeria, as in the other cultures represented below, both vegetarianism and carnivorousism were affirmed, a fact that exemplifies the battle between vegetarianism and carnivorousism that exists in the religious literature of all ages.

Flesh Eaters Versus Plant Eaters in Egypt

The Story of Osiris is an Allegory of how
The Original Religion and Deities (the Asherah) were Destroyed,
And how the Phallus of Ausur (Osiris) represents the Shiva Lingam.
It is the final part of the puzzle reaffirming the original religion
That had been overthrown by the rancher cattlemen sects.

We see vegetarianism and carnivorism both indisputably affirmed in Egypt as well. We see vegetarianism affirmed in sections of The Egyptian Book of the Dead (also known as the Book of the Coming of the Day), where Osiris is visualized as the divine hare Unefer accepting vegetarian offerings. We see vegetarianism taught in parts of the Book wherein those who eat no flesh and no fowl are praised, and in the vignettes of the book where honoring the carnivorous lion deities is juxtaposed with playing dice; in other words, carnivorism is a risky venture for the soul. The vignettes of the Book of the Dead comprise a clear pictorial allegory affirming vegetarianism, in which the soul with a carnelian heart of compassion is saved, and not those who bring animals to the slaughtering block. However, the Book of the Coming of the Day (the Book of the Dead) also contains numerous scriptures validating offerings of oxen and fowl, thus showing that once again the original scriptures were rewritten.

Flesh Eaters Versus Plant Eaters in India

Even in India, in predominantly vegetarian works such as the Laws of Manu and the Institutes of Vishnu, a few scriptures sanctioning animal sacrifices were introduced, no doubt at a later time, by "businessmen," that is, people seeking to profit by selling meat (as well as by mining or by other industries.) And it was against the Brahmins sacrificing animals and enforcing a strict caste system that Buddha rebelled, creating a vegetarian and egalitarian monastic order and a new clearly vegetarian offspring of Hinduism. The monastic system was also egalitarian in that Buddha and the monks all ate the same food, wore the same types of clothing and shared the same type of dwelling. The spiritual hierarchy of teacher and disciple, however, existed. In reality, Buddha was not creating anything new; he was simply reestablishing the original purity of the ascetic Brahmins and Jains. The ascetics and monastics were by their nature egalitarian and were vegetarian as well.

Flesh Eaters Versus Plant Eaters in the Yucatan Among the Maya and Aztecs

And in the Yucatan, the Maya followers of Quetzalcoatl, who forbade not only human sacrifices but animal sacrifices as well, were banished by the Aztecs, the majority of whom practiced both. Nonetheless, even among the Aztecs there was the nature goddess Kundalini, providing vegetation and proclaiming that the earth is for all and not to be owned by a few. Kundalini is the name given to the serpent or sakti power, known to practitioners of Yoga, which was originated by Shiva, Lord of Creatures, Protector of Cattle, and teacher of vegetarianism and compassion for all creatures. Shiva was known as Zuiva and Sui va in the Yucatan. As the section on this web site dealing with Kali shows, the Maya and the Hindus shared many deities in common. The Maya received their name from Maya, goddess of creation, who later also came to represent the illusory world of matter, as compared with spirit. Maya is the name of Shiva's mate or Sakti.

Flesh Eaters Versus Plant Eaters among the Muslims The Koran Itself affirms the Sabeans as Believers of the Truth.

The Sabeans are Vegetarians Following Shiva,
And They Predate Judaism, Christianity and Islam.

The Sunnis are the main branch, the orthodoxy of Islam, who assert that the Koran has always taught the validity of animal sacrifices. The Shiites contend that Ali, the vegetarian nephew of Muhammad, was the true line of Islam, not the Sunnis. Add a V (an easily eliminated vowel in the process of transliteration) to Shiites and we have Shivites. The sacred stone of the Muslims has long been known to be a Shiva Lingam. The worship of aspects of deity such as Ramman was once the actual worship of Rama as a deity, similar to the veneration given to Rama by Hindus and the original Jews. And the fact that the Koran itself praises the Sabeans (along with Jews and Christians in the section "The Cow") as the lineage of true worshippers, is in itself a dead giveaway to the fact that the original Muslims were in fact Sabeans. It is known that Muhammad visited various Sabeian wilderness communities (See Robert Eisenman's James the Brother of Jesus.) Since the Sabeans existed long before there was any Judaism, the father of Christianity and Islam, the objective student must then understand that it was the vegetarian Sabeian creed that was the foundation of original Islam. [And the fact is that there are even today vegetarian tribes of Muslims usually living in wilderness communities. It is known that Saddam Hussein has persecuted the Shiites in Iraq. By acknowledging this, I am in no way justifying the abhorrent invasion of Iraq by U.S. forces.]

However, as occurred in the other religious histories mentioned here, the rich and powerful overthrew the original vegetarian Muslims. A difference is that this overthrow occurred in just a generation or two. In Jewish history there was a fairly long period of time before the flesh eaters emerged supreme. In Christian history, the flesh eaters emerged supreme and definitely more powerful after Constantine had his religious propaganda safely contained in the New Testament, recognized as "official scripture," in 325 c.e. It appears, from my understanding of the ancient history of the Yucatan and the Western Hemisphere, that there was from ancient times a mixture of both vegetarianism, since the western part of the world was the dumping place (patala, or hell) for India's undesirables, who practiced cannibalism and carnivorousness.

SCRIPTURES AS PROPAGANDA

THE VICTORS WRITE HISTORY. THE VICTORS WRITE SCRIPTURES.

Constantine published the New Testament at the Empire's expense.

The New Testament in most of its parts teaches the validity

Of the Disease Promoting Diet of Carnivorism,

Of the Horrendously Brutal Institution of Slavery

(Slave holders quoted the New Testament to justify Slavery),

Of Male Chauvenism which has led to many cases of Battered Women,

Of Bigotry towards Homosexuals leading to hate crimes against them,

And of Resentment Breeding Societies of Rich and Poor

(Who were totally unlike Jesus and his followers who Rejected All of the Above).

There is an abundance of evidence for the original Vegetarian Bible (Old and New Testaments) said to have existed by Wise, Abegg and Cook in their edition of the Dead Sea Scrolls, even though, quantitatively, in the orthodox Pentateuch, the number of scriptures sanctioning carnivorousness is far greater than the number of scriptures commanding vegetarianism. That is an easy thing for the rewriter of scriptures to accomplish, as we can see by examining the New Testament and Koran as well. After Constantine, by banishing dissident bishops, had assured that the writings to be included in the New Testament would conform to Roman values, he published the New Testament at the Empire's expense. This venture by Constantine is one of the best historical examples of how Scripture as Propaganda was promoted by a corrupt Roman regime that under Constantine oppressed hundreds of thousands of people whom they colonized, that routinely murdered dissidents, and that practiced slavery.

Although I am focusing on vegetarianism, the command to be compassionate extends to all creation, and therefore all exploitive forms of industry, that oppress, displace, wound, or kill other creatures, would also be condemned. We shall see in other sections that many Jews such as the Rechabites, Isaiah and most of the Late Prophets and Esdras saw the streets and buildings and monuments of cities for what they were, and still are, the gravestones, or memorials, of other creatures who lived in the earth who were displaced, wounded, oppressed and killed in order to construct the city.

The Hopi notion that in the beginning all the animals lived peacefully together is shared by Hindus, Tibetans, Jews, and Maya and other cultures. The myth of a paradise that existed before the Fall, as many writers have pointed out, is archetypal, or universal.

We have Accepted as Legitimate Scriptures

Writings that Totally Contradict Living Harmoniously with All Beings. The Outlaw Demonic Scriptures, Genesis 1: 26-28, show a Movement Away

From a Vision of Universal Harmony among all creatures,
That we see in the Eulogy that opens the Book of Genesis,
To a Demonic Vision asserting that some creatures
may be subdued and dominated.

Which is the condition of oppression and slavery for the creatures
who are subdued and dominated, a condition of Evil.

Verses 26 through 28 are the Fall,

The Disassociation from the Original Harmony

To a Condition in which Humans May oppress and Kill other beings.

Then God said "Let us make man in our image, after our likeness: and let them have dominion over the fish of the sea, and over the birds of the air, and over the cattle, and over all the earth, and over every creeping thing that creeps upon the earth. So God created man in his own image, in the image of God he created him; male and female he created them. And God blessed them, and God said to them, "Be fruitful and multiply, and fill the earth and subdue it; and have dominion over the fish of the sea and over the birds of the air and over every living thing that moves upon the earth." Genesis 1: 26-28

Just as the human state of slavery is defined by humans who are subdued and dominated by others, so too animals who are subdued and dominated humans are the slaves of humans. Just as no human wishes to be a slave, but instead wishes to be free, so too is it with other creatures. The original state of harmony among all creatures, created by God according to Jews, Tibetans, Hindus, Hopis, Maya, is violated by those who subdue other creatures or have dominion over them. In fact, this belief, or assertion of a belief, that animals are here for the use of humans, defines the fallen state itself, a fall from the original harmony that existed among all beings. This belief also defines the orthodoxies of Judaism, Christianity and Islam, all of which are imperialistic and carnivorous. In great contrast we have Hinduism and Buddhism, non imperialist cultures which teach compassion for all creatures.

When Genesis 1: 26-28

And the false God's Carnivorous Covenant with Noah after the Flood

In Genesis is Juxtaposed with Visions of the Final Times,
Of a Paradise on Earth where there is no sorrow, death, or pain,
And where all creatures live peacefully together in harmony,
Then it becomes quite plain that those who subdue the earth
And have dominion over its creatures,
Namely the sects
of Orthodox Judaism, Orthodox Christianity, and Orthodox Islam,
Are merely fronts for Powerful Groups Seeking to Delude the Masses
Into thinking that an All Compassionate Deity
wants humans to brutalize animals,
Which is itself a flagrant contradiction,
and that an All Knowing Deity wants humans to ingest animal fat,
Even though eating meat leads to disease, and to an early death,
Preceded by periods of depression and despair.

It really isn't hard to understand that those who subdue the earth or its creatures, or who have dominion over other creatures or other humans, represent the Fallen Ones. They choose to dominate others rather than live harmoniously with them. All the attempts of theological systems to justify carnivorousness, chauvenism, slavery, bigotry towards homosexuals, or the elitism of the rich over the poor, are all just so much trash contrived by the rich in order to control those under them. And we see such tyrannical and disharmonious views taught in the Old Testament, the New Testament, and the Koran. The earliest followers of Jesus shared all things in common like the Essenes, the ascetic Brahmins and Jains, and like them were vegetarians too. They called themselves Ebionites, from the Hebrew word Ebionim, meaning "the poor," to show that they were unalterably opposed to the rich. They believed, as their lineage taught, that

To Love your neighbor as your self

is not a pious platitude.

It is a Divine Law.

And this commandment included all creatures:

"The love of a man is for his neighbor,

but the love of the Lord is for all living beings.
Sirach 13: 18.

Once again, the concept of loving your neighbor as your self was certainly not new, and was taught by all ascetic groups, such as the Jains, the Brahmins, as well as by most Shaivites, and by the more enlightened Vaishnavas and Sakti worshippers as well. Economic equality is simply a sign of religious maturity; it is a way of defusing all the resentment and violence that are generated by elite systems of rich and poor, and by the institution of slavery. The early Jewish tribal cultures that existed before there was a clamoring for a king in the Biblical history of Judaism were no doubt based on such egalitarian and vegetarian Hindu models. The fact that spiritual hierarchy existed, that some were regarded as deeper repositories of wisdom, did not negate the material equality of all members of those communities, members who ate the same food, wore the same type of clothes and lived in the same dwellings. The ways of the Essenes and the Buddhist monastics are well documented, and any reader can study these groups, and even more, can easily visit Hindu and Buddhist ashrams and centers, and even a few modern Essene communities.

In order for all creatures to live in harmony,
There are evils to eliminate:
The power of those who say they have the right
To subdue and dominate.
THE "BOOK OF GENESIS" ITSELF PROVES
THAT JUDAISM WAS ORIGINALLY VEGETARIAN.

The Vegetarian Bible Preceded the Pentateuch.
An Outline of How the Original Vegetarian "Genesis" was Revised.

Abegg, Wise and Cook, editors of an edition of the Dead Sea Scrolls, maintain that it is quite obvious that there was originally a Vegetarian Bible that was rewritten as carnivorous, that is, rewritten to sanction the animal sacrifices. The editors also maintain that during the earliest days of Christianity, Jesus and his disciples were vegetarian, as can be seen by the mission of Jesus being to abolish the animal sacrifices as stated in "Epistle to the Hebrews." Abolishing the animal sacrifices was of course Jesus' intent in the episode of the cleansing of the temple. Just as Jesus, the teacher of vegetarianism was changed by the Pauline establishment and emperor Constantine into a Jesus who said "all foods are clean," thereby justifying the profit making brutality of those who sacrificed cattle and sold their flesh to eat, so too, did a cattleman cult that enslaved and slaughtered cattle, change the original Torah, which, as we shall easily ascertain, was filled with vegetarian lessons, into scriptures that sanctioned profiting from animal slaughter.

THE TORAH WAS REWRITTEN IN PART TO SANCTION ANIMAL SACRIFICES

Zechariah Perfectly Exposed Those who Profited

From Killing instead of Protecting Other Creatures.
Such was the Nature of Those who Overthrew
The Original Jewish Vegetarians.

"Thus said the Lord my God: `Become shepherd of the flock doomed to slaughter. Those who buy them slay them and go unpunished; and those who sell them say, "Blessed be the Lord, I have become rich"; and their own shepherds have no pity on them." 11: 4-5.

The original Jews were creation-centered rather than human-centered. The cult that overthrew the vegetarians was speciesist, as Peter Singer would say, affirming that humans were superior to other creatures, instead of being their equals. In the quotation below from Sirach, we can see the human-centered tunnel vision of the cult that became Jewish orthodoxy, contrasted with the view of a Deity who loves all creation infinitely, and who had therefore established a vegetarian covenant.

The love of a man is for his neighbor,
but the love of the Lord is for all living beings.
Sirach 18: 13.

The clause "The love of a man is for his neighbor" is human-centered. The clause "but the love of the Lord is for all living beings" is creation-centered. Speciesism, the assertion in Genesis 1: 26-28, that humans have the right to subdue the earth and have dominion over other creatures, instead of living in harmony with them, defines the fallen state itself.

THE VEGETARIAN COVENANT OF GENESIS 1: 29-30

1. We see vegetarianism in the first chapter of "Genesis," in the vegetarian covenant of 1: 29-30. Even orthodox Jewish Rabbis admit that the original diet commanded by God was vegetarianism. Genesis 1: 29-30 makes this indisputable.

"And God said `Behold I have given you every plant yielding seed which is upon the face of the earth, and every tree with seed in its fruit; you shall have them for food. And to every beast of the earth and to every bird of the air and to everything that creeps on the earth, everything that has the breath of life, I have given every green plant for food.'" 1: 29-30.

The Late Prophets, Jesus, James and the Ebionites,
All regarded the Animal Sacrifices as Part of the Fall.
The "Gospel of Philip" Says the Fall was
"Eating from the Tree of Animals,"
And that "This world eats corpses" but Jesus Did Not.
Animal Skins in Paradise?

The Existence of Animal Skins in a Place that was Formerly Paradise
Is in itself Evidence of the Fallen State,

The Breaking of the Vegetarian Covenant.

2. We see vegetarianism erased, but rather sloppily, in succeeding chapters of Genesis, for example, in the description of the Fall of Adam and Eve, who ate, as the Jewish Christian Philip said, from the Tree of Animals, a view concurred with by the majority of the late prophets who denounce the animal sacrifices. Even the orthodox Old Testament in a low key manner gives us evidence of what occurred. For in a Paradise that was devoid of death, suddenly, after the Fall, God gives Adam and Eve animal skins, which are derived from killing animals, with which to clothe themselves. But there was no death before the Fall. In other words, even the orthodox Old Testament hints that killing other creatures was part of the Fall. This is in fact strongly confirmed in the Ethiopic Book of Enoch. And for that reason that book was deemed apocryphal by the Jewish patriarchs who defined orthodoxy.

Moreover, before the Fall, all the animals were blessed:

"And God made the beasts of the earth according to their kinds and the cattle according to their kinds, and everything that creeps upon the ground according to its kind. And God saw that it was good." 1: 24. RSV.

But after the fall they are cursed. God says to the serpent:

"cursed are you above all cattle, and above all wild animals." 3: 14.

The Fall of Adam and Eve is No Mystery.

The Assertion in Genesis 1:26-28

That Humans are to Subdue the Earth

and Have Dominion Over Other Creatures

In Itself Defines the Fallen State of Disharmony.

Except to those attempting to sanctify their addiction to flesh eating, an addiction which results in supporting brutal evil acts inflicted upon other creatures, or those wishing to present a divine sanction for their profiting from the exploitation of other creatures, such as industrialists, the Fall is no mystery whatsoever. Before the Fall the animals were blessed; after the Fall they are cursed, because the Fall instituted animal sacrifices as well as the notion that other creatures may be subdued or dominated, i.e. hunted, snared, displaced, enslaved, killed.

Cultures of Dominators and Dominated,
of Governors and the Governed (of the Rich and Poor),
Themselves Demonstrate the State of Disharmony.

If creatures are subdued or dominated, there is no longer a community of all living creatures living harmoniously together, such as exists in Genesis 1: 29-30, but a culture in which there is the dominator and the dominated, the subducer and the subdued. And, as the history presented

in the Torah demonstrates, this also translates into a culture of rich and poor, the governors and the governed, masters and slaves, which is the same culture of all the industrial nations today, which are ruled by governments comprised of the elite rich. Therefore resentment-breeding societies are perpetuated.

In the Original Hebrew Version of the Cain and Abel Story,
Cain the Vegetarian Farmer Following the Covenant of Genesis 1: 30
Was Regarded as Good
And Abel, the Bible's first Explicit Killer, was Evil.
Cain was the Zealous Avenger Against the Killer of God's Creatures,
His Name is the Source of the Talmud's Kanna-im,
Meaning Zealot and Avenging Priest.

3. We see Vegetarianism erased in the universal archetypal battle between the vegetarian Cain and the carnivore Abel, a story which was originally told from the opposite point of view, namely a vegetarian point of view in which Cain was the hero, defending the lives of creatures created by the Creator, Shiva, the Lord of Creatures and Protector of Cattle, by killing Abel, the killer of creatures.

Cain's Name is Related to El Kana or El Chanan,
A Name of God in the Old Testament.
Kannan is the Tamil Hindu Name of Krishna.
Kannan is the Origin of the Name of the Land of Canaan,
The Promised Land of Milk and Honey
Favorite Foods of the Hindus even in their Antiquity.

That the name of Cain was regarded with great reverence and respect is verified in the ancient Hebrew which defines almost every Chan root word positively, usually with a description of being "favored of God." Cain's name is derived from Chanan, or El Chana, the deity of the earliest Jews whom we now know is Krishna, for Kannan is the Tamil Hindu name of Krishna (See Michael Jordan's Encyclopedia of Gods). Kana was also worshipped in Ethiopia as Kanneh, and Cainan. Cain's name is also related to "kanna im" the Talmud's term for zealot and avenging priest, which was precisely Cain's function in the original Jewish story of Cain and Abel. The existence of Cain as an avatar who has a shining birth, and who is associated with vegetarianism, is seen in (so called) apocryphal accounts of Adam and Eve and their family, such as "Vita et Adae" and "Apocalypsis Mosis," found in R. H. Charles' Pseudepigraphia and Apocrypha of the Old Testament.

A MYSTERY OF THE BIBLE SOLVED:

Why Abel's Name is Associated With Evil.

Scholars Have Pondered Why Abel's Name
Is Associated with what is Misty, Vain, Illusory,
With Leading Astray, with Beclouding or Making Dense.
The Ab in Abel Functions as Does the Ab in Abnormal.
It is a Prefix of Negation. El Means God.
Abel, the Killer of God's Creatures,
Is one who Negates or Beclouds God.
The Earliest Jews Perfectly Understood This,
And Ancient Hebrew has Numerous Words in which the Abel Root
Represents Evil, Suffering, or Being Off the Path.

While Cain's name is associated with being "favored by God," Abel's name even in conventional dictionaries is defined as misty, which, in context of the battle between the Light and Darkness, is on the side of evil, not good.

Ab(-)Normal Means Not Normal
El Means God.
Ab(-)El Means Not of God in Ancient Hebrew.

Moreover in ancient Hebrew, as in modern English, the prefix Ab was used as a prefix of negation, as it is in the English word Abnormal. In ancient Hebrew the prefix of negation is defined as "to becloud or obscure." El means God Almighty. Therefore, Abel's name meant one who obscures or beclouds, or negates, God. And that is precisely what Abel did by killing God's creatures and promoting the disease producing diet of carnivorism.

THE NOAH OF THE OLD TESTAMENT COMPARED WITH THE PURE NOAH OF THE ETHIOPIC BOOK OF ENOCH

The Old Testament Noah actually Gets Drunk
and Condemns his Grandson Canaan to be a Slave.
The Ethiopic Noah Condemns all Interference with God's Creation.
The Ethiopians were Sabians, that is, Followers of Saba, Seba or Siva.

4. And we also rather easily see the rewriting of the Vegetarian Bible in the story of Noah and the Arc and the Deluge, which was originally told more from the point of view of the Ethiopic Noah, who was adamantly vegetarian, even more so than the Hindu description of Manu, whose name Ma-Nu, a contraction of Maha and Nu, or Spirit (just as Vishnu means the Pervasive (Vish) Spirit (Nu) simply means The Great Nue or Noah. The word Noah means comforter and comes from the Hebrew niham, meaning to comfort. And as the chosen savior of the animals, he was therefore the comforter of Creation, or of Shiva Pasupati's creatures. Noah remains the Comforter in the Ethiopic descriptions of Noah. He condemns the killing of all creatures, and the exploitation of creation that is sanctioned by Genesis 1: 26-28. According to Enoch and Noah humans are not to mine, not to make weapons, not to wear cosmetics, not even to write. All artifice is forbidden. We are to accept creation as it is. Industry is the artifice of the Fallen

Angels. We are simply to live with creation, with other creatures peacefully. Animal sacrifices were taught by the fallen angel (an angel practicing evil) Azazel.

A Covenant of Carnivorism is a Moral,
Theological, Logical and Nutritional Absurdity.
Slip-ups in the Rewriting
Two Versions of the Animals Who Go in the Arc.
The Implications.

In the orthodox account of Noah and the arc and the Deluge, which is a badly rewritten account, we have a number of contradictions. There are two versions of the animals to go into the arc. The first one says that a pair of all the animals, male and female, are to be taken into the arc. The second versions spits the animals between clean and unclean animals. But, according to the scriptures, the Covenant of Carnivorism is given by God to Noah after the Flood. Therefore, if Noah and his family had been eating flesh on the Arc [which would have turned the arc into a floating slaughterhouses, rather than a vehicle of salvation], they would have done so without God's sanction, for God had not yet expressly defined what was clean and unclean. Interestingly the folk interpretation, and the story given to children of Noah and the arc, that Noah saves the species of animals and God saves Noah and his family, is the true story, which is quite contrary to the story given by orthodox theologians.

The Specific Reasons for the Flood
Are not Enumerated in the Orthodox Old Testament,
Only the General Statement that Humans and Other Creatures
Have Created Violence on Earth is Asserted.
In the Ethiopic Book of Enoch the forms of Violence are Specified:
Animal Sacrifices and Bloodshed are Condemned Several Times.
Human Sacrifices, Mining, Cosmetics, Writing,
And the Accumulation of Wealth are All Condemned.
In other words, All Forms of Artifice
That we Associate with Civilization are Condemned.
In the Old Testament God Tells Noah
That Now Animals are to Live in Fear and Terror of Man.
In the Ethiopic Book of Enoch
It is those who Cause Fear and Terror,
Those who Subdue the Earth and Have Dominion over Other Creatures
Who are the Fallen Ones,
Who Have Broken the Original Harmony That Existed in All Creation.

The (obviously rewritten) Old Testament God then tells Noah after the deluge that all animals are to live in fear and dread of humans now. In other words, terror, and not comfort, are now the lot of other animals. Only those who have something to gain by the evil of this terror

would defend it: namely, those who eat the corpses of murdered animals, those who have the lust to hunt them, and primarily, those who profit from the brutality of killing other creatures and selling their corpses to eat. The latter group, the cattleman cult that became orthodox Judaism, is the group that revised the scriptures.

I advise all readers to copy the Ethiopic Book of Enoch, which contains the "Book of Noah" within it, from the net. The R. H. Charles edition is now public domain. The purity of this Book of Enoch, which teaches vegetarianism, and which is anti-industrial, against trying to improve on nature, and against accumulating wealth, is in stark contrast to the Old Testament, which was quite obviously in great part fabricated in order to sanction what was previously seen as ungodly, namely the subjugation, oppression, or killing of other creatures.

Industry Constantly Pollutes the World.

Let me remind the reader that the industrial revolution has not added five good years to the longevity of humanity. In fact, by polluting the earth, air and waters of the earth, industry has cursed humanity with a variety of new diseases. The purported cures for diseases that industry has invented are diseases that would have been prevented by vegetarianism and by living in an unpolluted nature.

The Data is In:

All Objective People Who Have Studied the Effects of Eating Animal Flesh
Know that Animal Flesh is Detrimental to our Health.

And the data is in regarding the disease-promoting aspects of ingested animal flesh. Medical researchers from throughout the world confirm what the Hindus and Buddhists and vegetarians of every faith have known and taught for millennia, that vegetarianism is the proper and divinely commanded diet of humanity.

ORTHODOX JEWISH RABBIS
ADMIT THAT VEGETARIANISM
WAS GOD'S ORIGINAL DIETARY COVENANT.

In fact many scholars of Rabbinical Judaism accept the fact that vegetarianism was commanded by God to be the original diet of humans until God's Covenant with Noah. In contrast the Ethiopic Book of Enoch, which includes the Book of Noah within it, contains the same vision affirming the sacredness of all life that the vegetarian covenant of Genesis 1: 29-30 does, and the corollary of this sacredness, that all killing was forbidden, and therefore that all animal sacrifices were condemned. Sanskrit is the Mother of Hebrew. The Vedic Sabeen Tradition was the Mother of Judaism. The Hindu Culture Was the Original Mother of the Jewish Culture. The Hindu Religion Was the Origin of Judaism.

As we shall see, there is an abundance of evidence showing that, just as Sanskrit is the mother of Hebrew or Chaldee language, as well as of Aramaic and Arabic, all of which are

languages of the Arabian Peninsula, so too was the mother culture that spoke the mother language the mother of the culture as well. The Vedic culture then, like the Hindu culture today, has pluralism in religion, its worshipers of Shiva, known as Shaivites or Sabeans, its worshipers of Krishna or Vishnu, who was known as Kana or Cana in the earliest days of Judaism, and its worship of Sakti, or the feminine aspect of deity. Hinduism is a culture which allows every kind of worship within it, but which focusses on vegetarianism and having compassion for all creatures.

Gene Matlock's India Once Ruled the World and his other writings on Abraham and the location of the tombs of Jesus and Moses all attest to the primacy of India in forming the origins of Judaism.

Esdras Testifies to the Vegetarian Bible.

In "2 Esdras" Esdras says the original patriarchs and late prophets, whom the Old Testament, as we know it, describes as having radically divergent views, shared a vision that was commonly agreed upon by all.

The Two Jehovahs:

One Commanding Vegetarianism, the Other Carnivorism.

Unless One Accepts the Ridiculous Absurdity

That Jehovah Changed his Mind,

Obviously One of the Jehovahs was Made Up.

Esdras, who covered Jewish History from 536-432 B.C.,

And Who Lived in the Latter Days of this Period,

says the Late Prophets, Whom We Know were Vegetarian,

Believed the Same Thing that the Patriarchs Did.

Historically, however, what has come down to us is plainly a split tradition, that of the Jehovah, represented most emphatically the first words of Genesis, by well over 90% of the Book of Job, some

psalmists, and by the later prophets; and that of the carnivorous Jehovah, ostensibly represented by Abraham, Isaac and Jacob, and their patriarchal line. As we see in Esdras below, Esdras claims they all believed the same thing.

"...to them I will give as leaders Abraham, Isaac, and Jacob and Hosea and Amos and Micah and Joel and Obadiah and Jonah and Nahum and Habakkuk, Zephaniah, Haggai, Zechariah, and Malachi, who is also called Malachi, who is also called messenger of the Lord." 2 Esdras I: 38-40.

The astute, honest and objective reader cannot help but conclude that the original patriarchs were vegetarian also, but were written over as carnivorous. Esdras' scripture is once again support for the contention that there was an original vegetarian covenant that started the excitement and healing that we all associate with the origin of religion.

We can easily read between the lines and understand that not only the late prophets, virtually all of whom have scriptures denouncing sacrifice, but the rest of the prophets and patriarchs mentioned in Esdras 2, were also totally vegetarian originally before they were rewritten as carnivorous.

Thus, Esdras like the Essenes was probably directly in touch with the original and vegetarian Bible, an earlier and more pure tradition of the patriarchs and matriarchs both, before the patriarchal cattleman cult overthrew the vegetarians, and revised the original teachings to make it appear that the exploitation and killing of creatures was permissible, as well as slavery and elitist societies in which the rich ruled the poor. Male chauvinism and bigotry towards homosexuals were included in the new teachings which became orthodox Judaism.

Original Judaism was vegetarian, and egalitarian, and honored matriarchs such as Laksmi and Parvati as well as patriarchs like Shiva and El Kana. The moral absurdity of prophets teaching that a Deity of infinite love desired the slaughter of the very creatures it loved infinitely, and the eating of their corpses.

Bel and the Dragon, and 2 Maccabees and especially the Ethiopic Book of Enoch all affirm vegetarianism as the purest form of diet which is no doubt one of the main reasons why orthodox rabbis relegated these scriptures to the category of apocryphal.

Parallel Deceptions:

Rewriting the Original Old and New Testament and Koran--

Vegetarianism, Not Carnivorism, is Healing to the Body.

The Real Moses, Jesus, and Muhammad All Knew This.

The fact that the earlier pure vegetarian tradition Esdras refers to was rewritten as a carnivorous tradition may of course be paralleled with what happened during the days of early Christianity, when the vegetarian and egalitarian traditions of Jesus, John the Baptist, James, Peter, John Clement of Alexandria, Origen, Tertullian, and others were corrupted and rewritten as carnivorous and elitist by Pauline Christians. We may look also to the Islamic tradition where the powers of the world, in the tradition now known as Sunni, likewise perverted the original pure message of Mohammed, preserved by his vegetarian cousin Ali who was of a belief that later would be described as Shiite, a word that is related both to Shaivite or Sauvite (both are versions of Sabeans), as well as the Hebrew Chivvite or Ivvite. Muhammad, remember, praises the Sabeans at the same time as he praises the real Jews and Christians. Now the fact that it is known that Muhammad actually lived with vegetarian Sabeans wilderness communities (See Robert Eisenman's James the Brother of Jesus), should make even Islamic fundamentalists reflect.

The Only Reason There was a Craving to Eat Flesh

Is Because the Jews Following Moses and Aaron Were Vegetarian.

Those Who Crave to Eat Flesh are Criticized in "Exodus" 11.

The Bad Karma of the Flesh-Eaters.

Psalm 106 comments on this Chapter.

Psalm 106 Reveals that the Attitude of the Reviser of Scriptures

Is Against Those Eating Grass, that is, Vegetarians.

Psalms 106 attempts to turn upside down the moral of what occurs in Chapter 11 of Exodus, wherein we see God employing instant karma on those who had a craving to eat flesh. 106: 14-15 reads:

"they had a wanton craving in the wilderness, put God to the test in the desert; he gave them what they asked but sent wasting disease among them."

Vegetarians are Criticized by Psalm 106.

So far the psalmist seems clear-headed: medical research has validated that flesh-eaters are prone to diseases that vegetarians are far less likely to get; and longevity statistics from throughout the ages concur that vegetarians live longer lives. But verses 19-20 of the same psalm turn the message around. The psalmist was seemingly aware of the criticism of carnivorousness that exists in chapter 11 of "Exodus" and how it did not fit in at all with the institution of animal sacrifices. So the rewriter of the psalm tags on a criticism of those who venerate the sacred calf and the ox, creatures who eat grass, that is, vegetarians.

"They made a calf in Horeb and worshiped a molten image. They exchanged the glory of God for the image of an ox that eats grass." Psalm 106 19-20

God is Sarcastic Towards Those Who Eat Flesh.

But in the eleventh chapter of "Exodus" God is undeniably sarcastic towards those who crave to eat flesh, and He rewards their craving with disease. In this chapter we see many of Moses' followers not satisfied with the manna given them by God's providence, but craving flesh. Now though the majority of scriptures in the Torah are in favor of the animal sacrifices, in 11: 19-20 of "Exodus" the people who crave to eat flesh are definitely portrayed in a negative and sarcastic light.

"...therefore the Lord will give you meat, and you shall eat. You shall not eat one day, or two days or five days, or ten days or twenty days, but a month, until it comes out of your nostrils and becomes loathsome to you ."

This short passage actually realistically describes the mucus discharges that are not uncommon among those who indulge heavily in eating flesh. The passage also describes a possible positive reaction to the effects of one's indulgence in addictive behavior: finally it "becomes loathsome to you." In 11: 33 of "Exodus" we see God's karma at work on the carnivore. After they crave flesh and the people are told they will be satisfied, the quails come into camp, are killed and eaten, but what seemingly would satisfy the people's desires instead consumes them with illness. In fact that's what cholesterol does to the carnivore, whose life is

not only shorter, but more sickly, than the lives of those not eating the flesh of slaughtered creatures.

"While the meat was yet between their teeth, before it was yet consumed, the wrath of the Lord was kindled against the people, and the Lord smote them with a very great plague. Therefore the name of the place was called Grave of Craving, because there they buried the people who had the craving."

So the incident referred to by the psalmist is one in which it is actually the cravers of meat who are criticized, not the grass-eaters, the vegetarians.

It is because of that reason that the writer of psalm 106 attempted, in a shallow fashion, to undo the condemnation of those who crave to eat flesh. Though the orthodox writer denounces vegetarians in psalm 106, other psalms of course denounce the animal sacrifices themselves, which was at the heart of Jewish orthodoxy and the basis of their carnivorousness. Denunciation of the animal sacrifices is common in passages in Isaiah, Jeremiah, Ezekiel, Joel, Amos, Habakkuk, Zechariah, Haggai, and is done elaborately in the "Book of Job," all of which books contain affirmations of the vegetarian covenant in Genesis 1: 30 and the restoration of the vegetarian covenant seen in Genesis 49.

Even most orthodox rabbis will assert that God first commanded humans to eat only vegetation, which is the message in Genesis 1: 29, and that it was only after the deluge that God to Noah sanctioned the killing of animals and the eating of flesh.

This to me is one of the most humorous ironies of the Old Testament. Who is the person through whom God supposedly sanctions carnivorousness? Why, it is through Noah, the chosen savior of the animals himself! Biblical exegeses throughout history have seen through that particular inconsistency and discussed the other inconsistencies in the account of Noah and the Arc.

BARUCH

All animal sacrifices are by their nature sacrifices to demons.

Baruch's denunciation of animal sacrifices as demonic echoes the Book of Enoch.

Baruch, like the Real Moses, links Longevity with Vegetarianism.

Baruch attacks the "subdue the earth"

and "have dominion over other creatures"

view of Genesis 1: 26-28.

Baruch affirms that Abraham, Isaac and Jacob were Vegetarian.

Chapter 3 of Baruch is A Summary of the Ethiopic Book of Enoch

Which Denounces Animal Sacrifices, Cannibalism, Mining, Cosmetics.

Baruch Accepted the (Ethiopic) Book of Enoch as Scripture.

Baruch, like the Prophets and Patriarchs Before Him,

Is Well Aware that the Original Purity of the Jews Came from India to the West.

In the following scriptures Baruch is telling the Jews that they suffer because they have caused suffering, they are enslaved and persecuted by a foreign nation because they sacrificed animals and persecuted the creatures of the earth.

It is important to understand that carnivores grasping for a divine sanction for eating flesh will say that what Baruch really meant was that animals sacrificed to gods other than Jehovah should not be eaten. But Baruch's statement in 3: 32, that the four footed creatures sacrificed by Jews were created by God to live on earth, amply destroys that premise. And therefore Baruch's view is the view of mainstream Hinduism and Buddhism: that all animal sacrifices are by their nature sacrifices to demons.

"Why is it, O Israel, why is it that you are in the land of your enemies, that you are growing old in a foreign country..."3:10.

"...you have forsaken the fountain of wisdom. If you had walked in the way of God, you would be dwelling in peace for ever." 3:13.

"He who prepared the earth for all time filled it with four-footed creatures." 3:32.

All animal sacrifices are by their nature sacrifices to demons.

Baruch quotes directly from the Book of Enoch.

"Sacrificing to Demons as Gods" is from the Book of Enoch.

"(S)acrificing to demons and not to God" is from "Baruch."

"Take courage, my people, O memorial of Israel! It was not for destruction that you were sold to the nations, but you were handed over to your enemies because you angered God. For you provoked him who made you by sacrificing to demons and not to God." 4: 5-7.

Note that Baruch's condemnation of animal sacrifices as demonic is an almost verbatim quote from Chapter 19 of the Ethiopic Book of Enoch:

And Uriel said to me: 'Here shall stand the angels who have connected themselves with women, and their spirits assuming many different forms are defiling mankind and shall lead them astray into sacrificing to demons as gods...19: 1

Even in Chapter 1 of Baruch we have scriptures that, though translated by orthodox scholars, still convey the original vegetarianism of the Jews. For example, we know that Kannan, the Tamil Hindu name for Krishna, was the source of the name Canaan, the promised land of milk and honey, favorite foods of the Hindus since the days of the Vedas. In context with the above scriptures, we can easily discern the truth that Baruch is telling: that the Jews of his day have forsaken the vegetarian covenant and that God is punishing them through their captivity in Babylon.

20 And many evils have cleaved to us, and the curses which the Lord foretold by Moses his servant: who brought our fathers out of the land of Egypt, to give us a land flowing with milk and honey, as at this day.

21 And we have not hearkened to the voice of the Lord our God according to all the words of the prophets whom he sent to us:

22 And we have gone away every man after the inclinations of his own wicked heart, to serve strange gods, and to do evil in the sight of the Lord our God.

Baruch was Secretary to the Vegetarian Jeremiah
Who Advised the Jews to Grow and Eat Produce While in Captivity.

Baruch was the secretary for Jeremiah, who advised the Israelites to grow gardens and eat produce while they were in captivity in Babylon, and Jeremiah warned them against eating what is sacrificed to idols. Baruch clearly understood that carnivorousism is precisely that, a sacrifice to idols or demons. Moreover, Baruch understood that the animal sacrifices ultimately benefited neither the animal nor the human who ate flesh.

Baruch, like the Real Moses, Links Vegetarianism With Longevity

" How is it, Israel, that you are in the land of your foes, grown old in a foreign land, defiled with the dead, accounted with those destined for the nether world? You have forsaken the fountain of wisdom! Had you walked in the way of God, you would have dwelt in enduring peace. Learn where prudence is, where strength, where understand: that you may know also where are length of days, and life, where light of the eyes, and peace." 9-14

The longevity proof is now and always has been the strong suit of the vegetarian. God is Existence, Eternal, Never Ending Existence. Those who most closely approximate the ways of God will therefore live longer lives. Moses said it. Buddha said it. Vedic scholars affirmed it. And from the time of the Vedas, the Dhammapada, to the time of the Essenes living during Jesus' time, to numerous Chinese records, and in our own day by medical researchers from so-called prestigious universities like Harvard and Princeton, that vegetarianism does indeed add years to our lives. There's no disputing it. Even honest carnivorous researchers agree. Only egotists wishing to sanction their ill-gotten profits, or their addictions, disagree.

BARUCH ATTACKS GENESIS 1:26-28,
the notion that we may subdue the earth
and have dominion over its creatures.

Where are the rulers of the nations, they who lorded it over the wild beasts of the earth, and made sport of the birds of the heavens: they who heaped up the silver and the gold in which men trust; of whose possessions there was no end? They schemed anxiously for money, but there is no trace of their work: they have vanished down into the nether world... 3: 16-19

Here Baruch attacks the notion that humans are to subdue the earth and have dominion over other creatures. As in "Ozymandias" the power derived from subduing other creatures or having dominion over them is fleeting and illusory. Such power exhibits only the ego's desire to be

above other beings, or the Thou, if you wish, instead of living harmoniously with creation as originally commanded in the vegetarian covenant of Genesis 1: 29-30.

Baruch Affirms that Abraham, Isaac and Jacob were True
To the Vegetarian Covenant.

Having sufficiently established that Baruch was against the animal sacrifices and that he and Jeremiah remained true to the original Vegetarian Covenant, we see in in Paraleipomena Jeremiou or 2 Baruch, that Baruch affirms that Abraham, Isaac and Jacob were vegetarian, that is, were also faithful to that Covenant.

2 Baruch Chapter 6:21 2

19 And Baruch sent to the market of the gentiles and got papyrus and ink and wrote a letter as follows: Baruch, the servant of God, writes to Jeremiah in the captivity of Babylon:

20 Greetings! Rejoice, for God has not allowed us to depart from this body grieving for the city which was laid waste and outraged.

21 Wherefore the Lord has had compassion on our tears, and has remembered the covenant which he established with our fathers Abraham, Isaac and Jacob.

Chapter 3 of Baruch Summarizes the Morality of the Ethiopic Book of Enoch.
The Main Male Deity of the Ethiopians was Shiva.

We understand perfectly well that the covenant spoken of is the vegetarian covenant that God established in "Genesis" and affirmed, as in the Ethiopic Books of Enoch and Noah, which denounce the animal sacrifices as having originated with the fallen angel Azazel, who taught humans to make weapons and to sacrifice animals (and humans). Enoch and Noah both condemn all bloodshed, whether of humans or animals, mining, cosmetics, and writing. In other words, God commands humans not to interfere with creation as it is. For humans to attempt to improve on nature is to repeat the sin of the Fallen Angels. Who is the deity of the Ethiopic Book of Enoch? Of this there is no doubt. James Hastings, editor of the Dictionary of the Bible, admits that the deity of the Ethiopians is named Saba, Seba, or Sheba, all of which are transliterations of Shiva. Those who have read the Ethiopic Book of Enoch will easily see that the Book of Baruch is summarizing the lessons of that book, that the fallen angels bred with women and gave birth to giants, and that the fallen angels spread the evil that became what we know as Jewish orthodoxy. Baruch gives a thumbnail version of the cosmology of the Ethiopic Book of Enoch:

24 O Israel, how great is the house of God, and how vast is the place of his possession!

25 It is great, and hath no end: it is high and immense.

26 There were the giants, those renowned men that were from the beginning, of great stature, expert in war.

27 The Lord chose not them, neither did they find the way of knowledge: therefore did they perish.

28 And because they had not wisdom, they perished through their folly.
29 Who hath gone up into heaven, and taken her, and brought her down from the clouds?
30 Who hath passed over the sea, and found her, and brought her preferably to chosen gold?
31 There is none that is able to know her ways, nor that can search out her paths :
32 But he that knoweth all things, knoweth her, and hath found her out with his understanding:
he that prepared the earth for evermore, and filled it with cattle and fourfooted beasts:
33 He that sendeth forth light, and it goeth: and hath called it, and it obeyeth him with trembling.
34 And the stars have given light in their watches, and rejoiced:
35 They were called, and they said: Here we are: and with cheerfulness they have shined forth
to him that made them.
36 This is our God, and there shall no other be accounted of in comparison of him.

Baruch, like the Prophets and Patriarchs Before Him,
Is Well Aware that the Original Purity of the Jews Came from India to the East.

When the cattleman cult overthrew the Asherah, which is described at length in the Book of Kings, they were overthrowing Asura, a name for almighty God among the vegetarian Hindus who had established Judaism. He looks to the East as many Jews did, as the place where the beliefs of original Judaism originated.

4: 36 Look about thee, O Jerusalem, towards the east, and behold the joy that cometh to thee from God.
4: 37 For behold thy children come, whom thou sentest away scattered, they come gathered together from the east even to the west, at the word of the Holy One rejoicing for the honour of God.
5: 5 Arise, O Jerusalem, and stand on high: and look about towards the east, and behold thy children gathered together from the rising to the setting sun, by the word of the Holy One rejoicing in the remembrance of God.

Baruch Sees the Ethiopic Book of Enoch
As Being a Part of the Jewish Scriptures.
He refers to it on an ongoing basis.

In Chapter 5 of Baruch we have further direct references to the Ethiopic Book of Enoch:
For God hath appointed to bring down every high mountain, and the everlasting rocks, and to fill up the valleys to make them even with the ground: that Israel may walk diligently to the honour of God. Baruch 5: 7
This scripture refers directly to:
And the high mountains shall be shaken,
And the high hills shall be made low. Ethiopic Book of Enoch 1: 6

Baruch also refers to the sweet smelling trees mentioned in the Ethiopic Book of Enoch:
Baruch 5: 8 Moreover the woods, and every sweet-smelling tree have overshadowed Israel by the commandment of God.

The following selections, the short chapters 29 and 31 and a selection from chapter 32, are from the Ethiopic Book of Enoch:

[Chapter 29]

1 And thence I went to another place in the desert, and approached to the east of this mountain
2 range. And there I saw aromatic trees exhaling the fragrance of frankincense and myrrh, and
the trees also were similar to the almond tree.

[Chapter 31]

1 And I saw other mountains, and amongst them were groves of trees, and there flowed forth
from 2 them nectar, which is named sarara and galbanum. And beyond these mountains I saw
another mountain to the east of the ends of the earth, whereon were aloe-trees, and all the trees
were full 3 of stacte, being like almond-trees. And when one burnt it, it smelt sweeter than any
fragrant odour.

[Chapter 32]

1 And after these fragrant odours, as I looked towards the north over the mountains I saw seven
mountains full of choice nard and fragrant trees and cinnamon and pepper.

All Creation is Sentient.

The Cosmic Order of Baruch is the Same as the Cosmic Order

Of the Ethiopic Book of Enoch:

The Sun, the Moon, the Lightning, Clouds, Wind, and Fire

Are Obedient to the Will of God. From Chapter 6 of Baruch:

59 The sun, and the moon, and the stars being bright, and sent forth for profitable uses, are
obedient.

60 In like manner the lightning, when it breaketh forth, is easy to be seen: and after the same
manner the wind bloweth in every country.

61 And the clouds when God commandeth them to go over the whole world, do that which is
commanded them.

62 The fire also being sent from above to consume mountains and woods, doth as it is
commanded.

These Selections from the Ethiopic Book of Enoch

Clearly Demonstrate that Baruch Regarded the Book of Enoch as Jewish Scripture. The
Obedience of the Sun and Moon:

6 And first the sun goes forth and traverses his path according to the commandment
7 of the Lord of Spirits, and mighty is His name for ever and ever. And after that I saw the
hidden and the visible path of the moon, and she accomplishes the course of her path in that

place by day and by night--the one holding a position opposite to the other before the Lord of Spirits. And they give thanks and praise and rest not; For unto them is their thanksgiving rest. 8 For the sun changes oft for a blessing or a curse, And the course of the path of the moon is light to the righteous And darkness to the sinners in the name of the Lord, Who made a separation between the light and the darkness, And divided the spirits of men, And strengthened the spirits of the righteous, In the name of His righteousness. 9 For no angel hinders and no power is able to hinder; for He appoints a judge for them all and He judges them all before Him. Ethiopic Book of Enoch Chapter 41: 6-9

The Obedience of the Lightning

1 And I saw other lightnings and the stars of heaven, and I saw how He called them all by their 2 names and they hearkened unto Him. And I saw how they are weighed in a righteous balance according to their proportions of light: (I saw) the width of their spaces and the day of their appearing, and how their revolution produces lightning: and (I saw) their revolution according to the 3 number of the angels, and (how) they keep faith with each other. Chapter 43: 1-3.

The Order of the Clouds, Lightning, Wind in Enoch

Behold the summer and the winter, how the whole earth is filled with water, and clouds and dew and rain lie upon it. Chapter 2: 3.

...and the clouds of the 21 mist are connected, and the one gives to the other. And when the spirit of the rain goes forth from its chamber, the angels come and open the chamber and lead it out, and when it is diffused over the whole earth it unites with the water on the earth. And whensoever it unites with the water on 22 the earth . Chapter 60: 21-22.

3 And there mine eyes saw the secrets of the lightning and of the thunder, and the secrets of the winds, how they are divided to blow over the earth, and the secrets of the clouds and dew, and there 4 I saw from whence they proceed in that place and from whence they saturate the dusty earth. Chapter 41: 3.

[Chapter 59]

1 [In those days mine eyes saw the secrets of the lightnings, and of the lights, and the judgments they execute (lit. ' their judgment '): and they lighten for a blessing or a curse as the Lord of 2 Spirits willeth. And there I saw the secrets of the thunder, and how when it resounds above in the heaven, the sound thereof is heard, and he caused me to see the judgments executed on the earth, whether they be for well-being and blessing, or for a curse according to the word of the Lord of Spirits. 3 And after that all the secrets of the lights and lightnings were shown to me, and they lighten for blessing and for satisfying.]

From Chapter 41 of the Ethiopic Book of Enoch:

And there I saw closed chambers out of which the winds are divided, the chamber of the hail and winds, the chamber of the mist, and of the clouds, and the cloud thereof hovers over the earth from the 5 beginning of the world. And I saw the chambers of the sun and moon, whence they proceed and whither they come again, and their glorious return, and how one is superior to the other, and their stately orbit, and how they do not leave their orbit, and they add nothing to their orbit and they take nothing from it, and they keep faith with each other, in accordance with the oath by which they 6 are bound together. And first the sun goes forth and traverses his path according to the commandment 7 of the Lord of Spirits, and mighty is His name for ever and ever. And after that I saw the hidden and the visible path of the moon, and she accomplishes the course of her path in that place by day and by night-the one holding a position opposite to the other before the Lord of Spirits.

Enoch 41: 4-7

FIRE in the Ethiopic Book of Enoch:

In the Ethiopic Book of Enoch fire pervades the entire universe doing the will of Deity, punishing evil doers, whether they are the fallen angels in the lake of fire (which is referred to in the Book of Revelations) and Deity is surrounded by tongues of flame, or the luminaries of the sky fulfilling their karma in the heavens. The deity is Shiva, the main male Deity of the Ethiopians, known as Saba, which is the root of the Sabbath, and the Sabaoth. As lord of yoga Shiva is also lord of the Kundalini Fire. Pillars erected to Shiva by the Jews, who were originally Sabeans, were named masseva. Pillars erected by the followers of Shu, the father of Seb, Lord of the Earth in Egypt, were named mastebah, the st being the local form of the double s of the Hebrews.

Baruch and Jeremiah were both of the pure remnant of Judaism, and while we can expect that their scriptures, like all scriptures, whether apocryphal, or orthodox, that pass through the filters of orthodoxy, do not contain all the affirmations of vegetarianism and egalitarianism that were originally there. Nonetheless, as we have seen on virtually every page of this web site, the views of the pure remnant of Judaism, denouncing animal sacrifices and economic elitism, still shine through.

The obedient and sentient fire described by Baruch is the same fire of the Ethiopic Book of Enoch. It is the fire of Shiva, surrounded by tongues of fire, who is also the Lord of the Christian's Pentecost.

From Chapter 23 of the Ethiopic Book of Enoch

1,2 From thence I went to another place to the west of the ends of the earth. And I saw a burning

3 fire which ran without resting, and paused not from its course day or night but (ran) regularly. And 4 I asked saying: 'What is this which rests not?' Then Raguel, one of the holy angels who was with me, answered me and said unto me: 'This course of fire which thou hast seen is the fire in the west which persecutes all the luminaries of heaven.'

5 And from thence I went to another place of the earth, and he showed me a mountain range of 2 fire which burnt day and night. Chapter 24.

1 And these mountains which thine eyes have seen, The mountain of iron, and the mountain of copper, and the mountain of silver, And the mountain of gold, and the mountain of soft metal, and the mountain of lead, All these shall be in the presence of the Elect One As wax: before the fire,

And like the water which streams down from above [upon those mountains], From Chapter 52.

Baruch Describes Carnivorous Societies as Abusive Towards Creatures
And Non Egalitarian Toward Humans.

In his classic description of societies that worship icons, Baruch comments, like Zechariah, that the priests "sell and abuse" what is sacrificed to the idols, just as the fallen Jews had done in the temple in Jerusalem and elsewhere. They sacrificed animals who were earlier enslaved (i.e. abused) and sell their flesh to eat. It is no secret that flesh-eating sects like orthodox Judaism, Christianity and Islam do the same: they enslave, then slaughter animals, then sell their flesh to eat. These three religions also believe in abject slavery, that Deity sanctions one human owning another, and therefore these societies naturally breed the elitist societies of rich and poor which have plagued humankind throughout much of its history

27 The things that are sacrificed to them, their priests sell and abuse: in like manner also their wives take part of them, but give nothing of it either to the sick, or to the poor.

The Similar Focus of Baruch and Zechariah

Let us look at Zechariah 11: 4-5, which so succinctly portrays the economic rather than religious motives of orthodox Judaism sacrificing animals: the priests of Judaism also abuse and sell animal flesh, without, of course, concern over the lives of the animals, who are forbidden to be eaten in the vegetarian covenant of Genesis 1: 29-30.

"Thus said the Lord my God: 'Become shepherd of the flock doomed to slaughter. Those who buy them slay them and go unpunished; and those who sell them say, "Blessed be the Lord, I have become rich"; and their own shepherds have no pity on them.'" 11: 4-5.

As other pages of this study dealing with the denunciations of animal sacrifices demonstrate, the denunciation of animal sacrifices was shared by virtually all the late prophets.

RETURNING TO THE RECOGNITION OF THE SENTIENCE AND FREE WILL
OF ALL CREATURES THAT EXISTED IN THE ORIGINAL SCRIPTURES,
IN THE VEGETARIAN BIBLE.

Orthodox Jews, Christians, and Muslims All State that It is Permissible to Exploit and Kill other Sentient Beings With Free Will. All these Sects Assert that the Old Testament is Legitimate Scripture.

And the Old Testament Clearly Asserts that Other Creatures Have Sentience and Free Will.

This is a Clear Indication that the Old Testament was Originally Vegetarian. God Gives the Command to be Vegetarian Not only to Humans but to All Creatures as well. One does not give a Command to Those

Who do not have the Freedom to Reject or Accept the Command. The Sentience of Other Creatures is Ignored by the Orthodoxies of Judaism, Christianity and Islam: Aquinas and Descartes exemplify such orthodox ignorance.

The Vegetarian Covenant with Other Creatures

"And to every beast of the earth and to every bird of the air and to everything that creeps on the earth, everything that has the breath of life, I have given every green plant for food." Gen. 1: 30.

In other words, the scriptures make it absolutely clear that all creatures have the freewill to choose to be vegetarian, to be compassionate towards other beings, or to deviate from the commandment and to kill animals and eat them. God destroyed the world because all flesh, humans as well as other creatures, committed acts of violence towards other beings. We shall see that this command in Genesis is directly in line with the teachings of the Ethiopic Book of Enoch, which was alluded to in both the times of the Torah and the New Testament.

This is made abundantly clear in the Old Testament itself. Before the deluge, Deity says that all creatures, and not just flesh and blood creatures, but the insects as well, the "creeping things," shall be blotted out.

"So the Lord said, 'I will blot out man whom I have created from the face of the ground, man and beast and creeping things and birds of the air, for I am sorry that I have made them.'" Genesis 6: 5-7

"Now the earth was corrupt in God's sight, and the earth was filled with violence. And God saw the earth, and behold, it was corrupt; for all flesh had corrupted their way upon the earth. And God said to Noah, 'I have determined to make an end of all flesh; for the earth is filled with violence through them; behold, I will destroy them with the earth.'" Genesis 6: 11-13.

These Scriptures are in Harmony with the Hindu's Institutes of Vishnu, the Laws of Manu and the Teachings of the Jains, but not with the teachings of Most of the Torah.

Now these scriptures are not at all in alignment with most of the scriptures in the Torah, which do not treat animals as sentient beings having free will, but as creatures to be used by humans without concern for the welfare of the creatures. Quite obviously these scriptures are a remnant of the original vegetarian Jewish scriptures. We have seen in other pages how Jews named their Sabbath and a number of their holidays after Shiva and Kana (Krishna), and how

they named themselves as a people, as in Kal Israel, after Kali, and after Uma, who are the mates of Shiva. So too, the attitude

These Scriptures are Ignored by the Above Orthodoxies,
Because they Convincingly Demonstrate that the Old and New Testament
and the Koran are Revised Documents,
And Certainly Not Totally Inspired by an All compassionate God.
Once Again, the Hindu Origins of Judaism are Clearly Demonstrated.

Orthodox Jewish Rabbis as well as orthodox Christian and orthodox Muslim teachers ignore these passages, because, like so many other parts of the Old Testament which testify to the Hindu origins of Judaism, these passages show that the Old Testament is indeed a revised document. It was revised, quite obviously, by the cattleman cult overthrowing the original vegetarian Jews worshipping Asherah, or Asura, which was originally simply the name of God to the Hindus.

Parallel Cases of Suppressing the Truth Among Christians and Muslims

Generally speaking the orthodoxies of these faiths simply gloss over these scriptures and ignore them, just as orthodox Christians choose to ignore the scriptures in "Epistle to the Hebrews" that rather bluntly state that it was Jesus' mission to abolish the animal sacrifices, and just as Muslims ignore the fact that the Koran praises the Sabeans, who are worshippers of Saba, or Shiva, lord of creatures and protector of cattle. Moreover, it is Muhammad himself, the instrument of Allah, who gives us one of the most profound affirmations of the alliance between other creatures and humans. In fact, Surah VI, Cattle states unequivocally that "There is not an animal in the earth, nor a flying creature flying on two wings, but they are peoples like unto you. We have neglected nothing in the Book (of Our decrees). Then unto their Lord they will be gathered. Quran, Surah VI: 38

These scriptures quite dramatically confront the veracity of other scriptures, such as those in Surah V, "The Table Spread," in the Quran that state it is permissible to hunt and kill other creatures. Combined with other scriptures in the Quran a more than solid case can be made demonstrating that the Quran was revised by businessmen just as the Old and New Testaments were.

The Reviser of the Original Vegetarian Old Testament
Attempted to Numb Humanity's Sensitivity Towards Other Creatures.

In order to numb the normal human's recognition of the feelings of other creatures, the reviser of the Old Testament put in scriptures which sanction absolute brutality towards other creatures, namely the so-called covenant with Noah, a covenant which we may logically conclude for numerous reasons never took place.

"And God blessed Noah and his sons, and said to them, "Be fruitful and multiply, and fill the earth. The fear of you and the dread of your shall be upon every beast of the earth, and upon every bird of the air, upon everything that creeps on the ground and all the fish of the sea; into

your hand they are delivered. Every moving thing that lives shall be food for you; and as I gave you the green plants, I give you everything."Genesis 9: 1-3

Questions Raised by the Above Scriptures:

1. Would a perfect Deity change its perfect mind?
2. Would an All Compassionate Deity, who by definition loves all creatures infinitely, desire that these creatures live in fear and dread?
3. Would an omniscient Deity command that humans eat flesh even though it degenerates the body, and contributes to numerous forms of disease and an early death?
4. Isn't it more logical to understand that these scriptures were inserted by the revisers of the Bible in order to sanction the brutality of the meat and animal foods industries, and the exploitive practices of industry in general?

And in the history of the Roman Catholic Church we have representatives of the Church attempting to continue to numb humanity's consciousness that other creatures are sensitive feeling beings, such as Thomas Aquinas in the 13th century stating that animals have no souls, and Rene Descartes in the 17th century stating that animals are like clocks, simply mechanical beings without spirit.

If one reads the Summa Theologica of Aquinas, however, one discovers that Aquinas asserts that consciousness (or sentience), and free will are qualities of the immortal soul, which, Aquinas says, is only possessed by humanity. Yet sentience and freedom are clearly ascribed to other creatures in the scriptures of the quoted above.

Numbing Sensitivity to the Lives of Other Creatures--The New Testament

We see clear examples of the attempt to numb our sensitivity towards the sacredness of all life in several places in the New Testament. In 2 Peter 2: 12, scriptures attributed to Peter, cruelty toward animals is blatantly affirmed as part of orthodox Christian belief: other creatures are described as "irrational animals, creatures of instinct, born to be caught and killed." And in "Acts of the Apostles" the absurd scriptures "Rise and kill and eat" in "Acts" should be a clear indication to the objective reader whose brain is unclouded by an addiction to animal flesh, that these scriptures were obviously not inspired by a Deity of infinite love, but were inserted to sanction the profits derived from the slaughterhouses. "Rise and kill and eat" is seen three times in "Acts," demonstrating that the rewriters of the original teachings of Jesus were trying, by repetition, and by the sheer quantity of the writings, to overpower the vegetarianism of Jesus and his earliest followers.

Even scholars defending the animal rights movement and defending Hinduism and Buddhism often ignore the consciousness and free will of other creatures. One strongly suspects that the Hindus and Buddhists are simply being as diplomatic as possible in attempting

to get along with carnivorous sects. But many people of these faiths believe, and some of their scriptures teach, that humans can be reborn as other creatures. For example, those who for example eat beef will be reborn as cows in order to suffer the very pain that they cause cows to suffer in this lifetime by eating the flesh of cows.

Even Some Animal Rights Advocates and Some Hindus and Buddhists
Subordinate the Sacredness of Other Creatures to the Sacredness of Humanity.

Too many animal rights advocates and even some Hindus and Buddhists are still overly human-centered rather than creation-centered, asserting that other creatures are lower forms of consciousness than humans. The fact is that most humans are simply unaware of the drama of consciousness and free will that exists in other creatures. Most of the teachings of the Jains, Hindus and Buddhists, however, have such an understanding of the capabilities and sacredness of other creatures. And the Jains, or Ganas, as we shall see in the section on Gan Eden, were an integral part of the religious culture of India and therefore also were an integral part of the origins of Judaism. Indigenous cultures retaining their ancient beliefs, such as some of the Hopi, the Maya, and the Polynesians and Hawaiians, however, were quite cognizant of the sentience and free will of other creatures. The Hopis say that in the beginning all animals lived harmoniously together and communicated with each other, and that it was after the fall from grace that such harmony and communication ceased.

I will not dwell long on the fact that it is not difficult to raise dogs on strictly vegetarian or vegan food, or that, though more rarely than canines, even some felines have become vegetarian as well. Nonetheless these are documented occurrences. I myself refuse to be involved with pets because of the fact that human domination seems always to be part of the relationship. I do not deny, however, the karmic bonds uniting animals and their companions.

Bigotry Towards Different Kinds of Intelligence

Some humans are adamant that other creatures have no intelligence and rather ignorantly support their arguments by pointing to cities, TVs and rocket ships, as if all these were not dependent on the massive exploitation and slaughter of other creatures. The pollution of the earth, air and water of earth are the indisputable corollaries of industrial sects defending their exploitation of creation. Whereas seventy-five years ago the waters of nature outside of industrial centers were drinkable, today they are not. Those who call the trinkets of industry examples of progress delude themselves.

The Illusion of Progress

Psalm 90, verse 10, written by Moses, states that "The days of our years are threescore years and ten; and if by reason of strength they be fourscore years, yet is their strength labour and sorrow; for it is soon cut off and we fly away." Since Moses' time we have had the industrial revolution and supposedly an age of science. Nonetheless, all the inventiveness of the geniuses

of industry, science, and medicine have not added five quality years to the life span of 70 to 80 years. And Moses, moreover, lived to be 120, and wrote scathingly of the Israelites craving to eat flesh. In addition, Moses wore the Hindu bindi on his forehead, and the the word Exodus, Ex-Odus, means the leaving of the Hindustan people.

While I at this time feel the correctness of my using industrial products such as a computer in order to point out the evils of industrial cultures that subdue the earth and have dominion over other creatures, my ideal is to live harmoniously with creation as it is, as is taught in the Ethiopic Book of Enoch, and by the Jains.

The Necessity to Become Creation-Centered Rather than Human-Centered.

"The compassion of man is for his neighbor,
but the compassion of the Lord is for all living beings."
Sirach 18: 13 "For you come far short of being able to love my creation
more than I love it." 2 Esdras 8: 46.

Admittedly when virtually all of our educational institutions our religions and governments state that animals are here for humans to use, or that we shouldn't be concerned with them, one can understand how humans are conditioned to ignore the sacredness of other creatures. We need to focus more on being creation-centered rather than being human-centered, as Sirach and Esdras indicated. If we substitute Deity for He in Sirach's scripture above, thereby eliminating the male chauvinistic notion of deity being a male, then Sirach's quote rather perfectly describes the creation-centered rather than the human-centered attitude. Other pages of this web site demonstrate that the earliest Jews were matriarchal as well as patriarchal and venerated Goddesses such as Kali, Uma and Bala.

Those who tell you that God changed his mind from commanding vegetarianism to commanding carnivorousism are telling you lies and supporting a rich establishment making profits through brutally slaughtering animals and selling their poisonous cholesterol-laden corpses to eat. A perfect would not, by definition, change its perfect mind. An all loving Deity would not, by definition, desire the brutality involved in the exploitation and slaughter of creatures. An omniscient Deity would not, by definition, command humans to eat the unhealthy and degenerating diet of animal flesh.

JERAHMEEL AFFIRMATIONS AGAINST THE ENSLAVEMENT AND SLAUGHTER OF ANIMALS IN OLD TESTAMENT TIMES.

In the esoteric Jewish history Jerahmeel we see God foreseeing that his words will be twisted so that the kindness He commands will be abandoned by the Jews who will institute animal sacrifices. Jerahmeel in Hebrew means "being merciful."

"On the day when the first man was created God said to the ministering angels, 'Come, let us descend and show kindness to man and his helper, for upon kindness the world rests.' He further said, 'Kindness is much more acceptable to Me than the sacrifices of burnt-offerings which the Israelites are destined in the future to offer to Me upon the altar,' as it is said, 'For kindness do I desire and not sacrifices.'" Jerahmeel VII (2).

A Vaishnava View of Gan Eden

The author is in the Vaishnava tradition, those who venerate Vishnu or Krishna. Nonetheless, the correspondences regarding Gan Eden as a Paradise in Jewish tradition, and how it is similar to the Paradise of the Hindu Vaishnava tradition, are relevant.

Those who are of the pure Jain tradition, or who refer to the Ethiopic Book of Enoch as the perfect description of Paradise may argue that there is no place for mined metals such as gold, or mined gems, in Paradise, since mining is a predatory act upon the earth. In the Ethiopic Book of Enoch it is the fallen angels who introduce industry to humans. However, it is not implausible that the unfallen angels may use metals that are not derived from exploitation as they are on earth.

Chapter Eleven

THE GARDEN OF EDEN AND VRINDAVAN

DEVOTEE: Rabbi, the Vedas clearly and explicitly describe the spiritual world, the eternal abode of the Supreme Personality of Godhead, and the activities of the Lord and His devotees there. Do the Jewish scriptures give us any information about the spiritual kingdom of God and what activities take place there?

RABBI: Yes, the Jewish scriptures do describe an eternal place where God takes pleasure in being with righteous souls. R. Simeon says in the Zohar that it is written: "King Solomon made him a palanquin (apiryon) of the trees of Lebanon. He made the pillars thereof of silver, the bottom thereof of gold, the covering of it of purple, the midst thereof being paved with love by the daughters of Jerusalem" [S.S. III, 9,10] Apiryon symbolizes the Palace below which is formed in the likeness of the Palace above. This the Holy one, blessed be He, calls 'The Garden of Eden,' for He created it in order to satisfy His own ardent desire for joyous and continual communion with the souls of the righteous who have their abode there-these being those souls

who have no bodies in this world. These all ascend and are crowned in that place of perfect delight, and have each their appointed places from whence they can perceive the "loveliness of the Lord", and partake of all the delicious streams of pure balsam (aparsamon). This aparsamon symbolizes the hidden Supernal Palace, whereas apiryon is the Palace below." [Zohar 127a Terumah (Exodus)] In other words, there is a Garden of Eden in the eternal spiritual kingdom of God, and there is also a manifestation of the Garden of Eden that exists within the material world.

DEVOTEE: In the spiritual world, everything is self-effulgent and there is no need for the (*) sun, moon, nor electricity. There is a spiritual world where God and His devotees eternally enjoy together. The highest level of the spiritual realm is the spiritual planet known as Goloka Vrindavan. The spiritual world also exists within this material world. One such place is known as Vrindavan or Gokula Vrindavan. When Lord Krsna comes to this world, He does not come alone, but He brings with Him all of His associates and paraphernalia to perform loving pastimes with His devotees. Those who have become pure devotees of the Lord while in this material world, go back to the spiritual world to be permanently with God. According to Lord Krsna, in the Bhagavad-gita, "After attaining Me, the great souls, who are yogis in devotion, never return to this temporary world, which is full of miseries, because they have attained the highest perfection." [Bg. 18.15]

RABBI: You said that the pure devotees of God engage in intimate loving pastimes with Him. The Jewish scriptures describe a dance that the Lord prepares for the righteous in the hereafter. It is stated, "In the hereafter, the Holy One, blessed be He, will arrange for a dance for the righteous in Gan Eden." [Every Man's Talmud, XI, VI]. Do the Vedas describe any dance arranged by God for the righteous?

DEVOTEE: Yes, there is an intimate dance known as the Rasa-Lila dance performed by the Lord and some of His intimate devotees. I will not go into detail about that dance, but the important point to understand here is that having become perfected souls, or souls who have revived their perfect love for Lord Krsna, they became qualified to associate intimately with the Lord in devotion. In fact, the Lord prefers to associate with His pure devotees in intimate loving relationships rather than to be worshiped in awe and reverence. But that is only for the perfected souls. Until we have perfected our lives, we must worship the Lord with great awe and reverence and strictly follow His laws.

RABBI: In reference to God's desire to associate with the righteous intimately it is described in the Jewish scriptures: "The Holy One, blessed be He, will walk with the righteous in Gan Eden in the Hereafter; and the righteous, on beholding Him, will retreat in terror before Him. But He will call to them, 'See, I am the same as you!' The above statement is in the Torah, while also emphasizing the need to be extremely reverent and fearful of God. This seems to imply that in both Hinduism and Judaism the righteous, the pure in heart, can look forward to associating intimately with the Lord in the eternal spiritual realm.

DEVOTEE: As we are all pleasure seeking living entities, it is most important to understand that in the spiritual realm there is unlimited pleasure and variety. Otherwise, no one would want to go there. Generally, people think of the spiritual world as being impersonal or void, because they have a very vague idea, at best, of what really goes on there. If we do not understand what goes on in the spiritual world, our tendency may be to consider it similar to this temporary material world which is so full of miseries. Why would anyone want to practice religious or spiritual life seriously if they thought that it would lead them to another situation similar to what we presently experience? Therefore, the Vedas give vivid descriptions of the spiritual world, while teaching us the means by which we can qualify ourselves to return there. One picturesque description of the spiritual world is found in the Brahma-samhita, where it is stated, "I worship Govinda, the primeval Lord, the first progenitor, who is tending the cows, yielding all desires, in abodes built with spiritual gems and surrounded by millions of purpose trees. He is always served with great reverence and affection by hundreds and thousands of goddesses of fortune." [Brahma-samhita 5.29]

RABBI: The Jewish scriptures state, "The virgins without number" are those angelic hosts of whom it is said, "Is there a number to his bands?" [Job.XXV, 3] Perhaps this Jewish reference is related to the goddesses of fortune mentioned in the verse you just quoted.

AMOS

Amos Says that the Scriptures have been Revised,
Denounces All Animal Sacrifices,
And Affirms that the Jews and Ethiopians (Who were Sabeans)
Had the same beliefs. Amos says directly that the original scriptures have been revised.

Harry Emerson Fosdick points out Amos' freedom to doubt that a sacrificial system even existed "during the idealized days of Israel's pristine loyalty to Yahweh," stating that Amos' rhetorical scriptures...

"Did ye bring unto me sacrifices and offerings in the wilderness forty years, O house of Israel?," 5: 25, is a scripture that expected a negative reply, and that Amos' attitude is similar to that of Jeremiah in the next century, who represented Yahweh as saying:

"I spake not unto your fathers nor commanded them in the day that I brought them out of the land of Egypt, concerning burnt-offerings or sacrifices." Jeremiah 7: 22

In other words it is unrealistic to see the nomadic Jews in the Sinai wilderness carrying on the animal sacrifices. If they had been carrying out animal sacrifices, then the incident in the Book of Exodus of the desire of the renegade Jews who desired to eat flesh, and the coming of the quail, and the subsequent plague killing the carnivorous Jews, would make no sense at all. After all, if the Israelites had already been eating flesh, why would they crave it?

This incident may be compared with Peter's supposed vision in "Acts," a vision unnecessarily expressed three times, wherein he is told that all food is clean and that he should "rise and kill and eat." If Jesus had really said that all foods are clean, then all of Jesus' following, all of Jesus' followers, would certainly have known of it. The lying vision is given, then reiterated twice for emphasis, as a means of imprinting the lie on the minds of others. If Jesus had really said that all foods are clean, then this information would have been widespread knowledge, and there would have been absolutely no reason for Peter to announce that fact.

Amos confronts the absurd scriptures in "Genesis"
Stating that all creatures are to live in fear and dread of humans.

The later prophets see the sacrifices as the abomination they are, brutal bloody atrocities towards the creatures of the Creator, and unhealthy foods leading to disease, depression and an early death. The following scriptures criticize carnivorous animals. Those who store up violence are those who store the flesh of slaughtered animals.

"Does a lion roar in the forest, when he has no prey? Does a young lion cry out from his den, if he has taken nothing? Does a bird fall in a snare on the earth, when there is no trap for it? Does a snare spring up from the ground, when it has taken nothing? 3: 4-5."

Amos Affirms that All Events are Ordered by God.

Is a trumpet blown in a city, and the people are not afraid? Does disaster befall a city, unless the LORD has done it? 3: 6

Keeping the Corpse of a Slaughtered Animal in the House

They do not know how to do right, says the Lord, those who store up violence and robbery in their strongholds. 3: 10.

Amos prophesies that the altar on which the animals are sacrificed
Will be destroyed by God.

Hear, and testify against the house of Jacob," says the Lord God, the God of hosts, "that on the day I punish Israel for his transgressions, I will punish the altars of Bethel, and the horns of the altar shall be cut off and fall to the ground....and the great houses shall come to an end. 3: 13-15.

In Chapter 9 We See Also that
God is outraged at the Animal Sacrifices.

"I saw the LORD standing beside the altar, and he said: Strike the capitals until the thresholds shake, and shatter them on the heads of all the people; and those who are left I will kill with the sword; not one of them shall flee away, not one of them shall escape." 9: 1.

This passage is similar to the description of God coming to destroy the orthodox Jews in Chapter 9 of Ezekiel.

Fishing is Simply another Form of Animal Sacrifice.

Amos uses the image of fishing in the same manner as he uses the other images of carnivorousness, as a karmic payback for violence:

...when they shall take you away with hooks, even the last of you with fish-hooks. And you shall go out through the breaches, every one straight before her; and you shall be cast forth into Harmon, says the Lord. 4: 2-3.

Every Act in Creation is Karmic and Ordered.

As with the other later prophets, Amos sees not only the conquest of the Israelites by foreigners, but destructive weather and blight and locusts as God's commentary on the negativity of the carnivorous Israelites:

And I also withheld the rain from you when there were yet three months to the harvest; I would send rain upon one city, and send no rain upon another city; one field would be rained upon, and the field on which it did not rain withered; so two or three cities wandered to one city to drink water, and were not satisfied; yet you did not return to me....I smote you with blight and mildew; I laid waste your gardens and your vineyards; your fig trees and your olive trees the locust devoured; yet you did not return to me....I sent among you a pestilence after the manner of Egypt; I slew your young men with the sword...yet you did not return to me. 4: 7-10.

Amos Sees God Angered at the Jews sacrificing Animals.

I hate, I despise your feasts and I take no delight in your solemn assemblies. Even though you offer me your burnt offerings...I will not accept them and the peace offerings of your fatted beasts I will not look upon. 5: 21-22.

Amos Condemns the Indolence and Carnivorousness of the Rich.

"Woe to those who lie upon beds of ivory and stretch themselves upon their couches, and eat lambs from the flock, and calves from the midst of the stall." 6: 4.

Criticizing those who lie on beds of ivory, which are generally made from the tusks of slaughtered elephants, Amos is in affinity with the Animal Liberation movement and shows the same compassion for other creatures.

The True Jews are Vegetarian.

The following scriptures in which Amos says that people should be satisfied with vegetable creation have parallels in Jeremiah and Moses, both in the "Words of Moses" found in the Dead Sea Scrolls as well as in a number of Psalms written by Moses.

"Behold, I am sending to you grain, wine and oil, and you shall be satisfied... Joel 2: 19.

Amos has a Vision of All animal Creation being Happy
Because Humans have finally Abandoned Carnivorism.

"Fear not, you beasts of the field, for the pastures of the wilderness are green; the tree bears its fruit, the fig tree and the vine give their full yield." 2: 22.

The Prophet Versus Mammon, the State

Amaziah, priest of Bethel where the sacrifices occurred,
warns Amos that his prophecies
are confronting the established ways of the king
and the kingdom of Israel:

...O seer, go, flee away to the land of Judah, and eat bread there, and prophesy there; but never again prophesy at Bethel, for it is the king's sanctuary, and it is a temple of the kingdom. 7: 12-13.

The reader will notice that Amaziah does not refer to the temple as a temple of God, but a temple of the kingdom.

Amos, well aware of the bloody deceit promoted by self-proclaimed prophets in the history of the Hebrews, answers that he speaks as a simple truthful man:

"Then Amos answered Amaziah, 'I am no prophet, nor a prophet's son; but I am a herdsman, and a dresser of sycamore trees, and the Lord took me from following the flock, and the Lord said to me, 'Go, prophesy to my people Israel.'" 7: 14-15.

Amos criticizes the sacrificing of animals in the Temple as does Isaiah, both of them using the same imagery of sackcloth, baldness, and mourning.

The songs of the temple shall become wailings in that day, says the Lord God; the dead bodies shall be many; in every place they shall be cast out in silence. 8: 3.

I will turn your feasts into mourning, and all your songs into lamentation; I will bring sackcloth upon all loins, and baldness on every head... 8: 10.

Agriculture as Humanity's Only True Industry

Amos' vision of an Israel cleansed of animal-sacrificers and evil-doers in general, like the vision of John of Patmos in the Book of Revelations, and that of Daniel and the later prophets in general, is a vision which returns to the harmonious vegetarian covenant and agriculture:

Behold, the days are coming, says the Lord, when the plowman shall overtake the reaper and the treader of grapes him who sows the seed; the mountains shall drip sweet wine, and all the hills shall flow with it. I will restore the fortunes of my people Israel...they shall plant vineyards and drink their wine, and they shall make gardens and eat their fruit. I will plant them upon their land, and they shall never again be plucked up out of the land which I have given them, says the Lord your God. 9: 13-15.

One should not confuse our industrial invasive agriculture with the agriculture of the pure remnant Jews carrying on the pure traditions of Hinduism and Jainism.

On the phrase I have omitted above:

"and they shall rebuild the ruined cities and inhabit them."

We should not confuse the phrase "rebuild cities" as an injunction to excavate the ground anew, but simply to repair, i.e. rebuild what was already there. I conjecture--and conjecture is the only thing anyone can do--that the phrase was a revision. If it was not, if Amos was departing from the vision of cities as evil that was commonly had by the prophets, then he was simply wrong.

A Cardinal Point Showing the Fallacy of Orthodox Judaism:

The Book of Amos Affirms that the Israelites

And Ethiopians Originally had the Same Beliefs.

The Ethiopians were Sabeans, i.e. Vegetarian Followers of Shiva.

Are you not like the Ethiopians to me, O people of Israel? says the LORD. Did I not bring Israel up from the land of Egypt, and the Philistines from Caphtor and the Arameans from Kir? Amos 9: 7

These scriptures are a capsule of the ancient history of Israel. "Are you not like the Ethiopians to me, O people of Israel" identifies the beliefs of the Israelites with those of the Ethiopians, whom every true student of history knows were Sabeans, that is, followers of Shiva, known to the Ethiopians and ancient Jews as Seba, Sheba, and Saba.

Hosea

Hosea's Name is Taken from Osseo, a Name of Shiva.

Hosea Sees the Necessity of the Restoration of the Vegetarian Covenant,
Attacks Injustice against Humans,
Specifically Attacks the Animal Sacrifices,

Denounces the Expiation Rationale of "Leviticus,"
Refers to the Book of Enoch,
And Sees the Necessity of the Animal Sacrificing Culture
to be Destroyed.

In the passage below, Hosea also follows the vision of the Ethiopic Book of Enoch in condemning all weapons and all war or bloodshed. Living amid the influence of the Jewish orthodoxy, with its animal sacrifices and its elitism and economic inequity, Hosea, like the other late prophets, sees the necessity of another vegetarian covenant, a restoration of the original vegetarian covenant of "Genesis," a covenant of peace for all creation.

"I will make for you a covenant on that day with the beasts of the field, and birds of the air, and the creeping things of the ground; and I will abolish the bow, the sword, and war from the land; and I will betroth you to me in righteousness and in justice, in steadfast love, and in mercy." 2: 18-19.

In the following scripture Hosea portrays God as condemning the inhabitants of Israel for their sins against each other and the rest of animal creation.)

"...for the Lord has a controversy with the inhabitants of the land. There is no faithfulness or kindness and no knowledge of God in the land; there is swearing, lying, killing, stealing, and committing adultery; they break all bounds and murder follows murder. Therefore the land mourns, and all who dwell in it languish, and also the beasts of the field, and the birds of the air; and even the fish of the sea are taken away." 4:3.

Hosea constantly condemns the animal sacrifices.

"...they have left their God to play the harlot. They sacrifice on the mountains and make offerings upon the hills." 4: 12-13.

"With their flocks and herds they shall go to seek the Lord, but they will not find him." 5:6.

"For I desire steadfast love and not sacrifice, the knowledge of God, rather than burnt offerings." 6:6.

"They love sacrifice; they sacrifice flesh and eat it; but the Lord has no delight in them." 8: 13.

"...and they shall not please him with their sacrifices." 9:4.

Thorns and Thistles are Associated with the Fall in Genesis

Hosea uses the imagery of the land after the fall in Genesis, cursed to bear thorn and thistle.

The high places of Aven, the sin of Israel, shall be destroyed. Thorn and thistle shall grow up on their altars. 10: 8.

Hosea's Name is a Form of Osseo, another Name of Shiva.

In order to understand the reference to the pillars here, a reference which is incidental to the militant vegetarianism Hosea preaches, we must realize that the scriptures of the late prophets were in parts no doubt revised just as the earliest scriptures in the Pentateuch were. It may be that the pillars are picked out here because Hosea's name, which is sometimes seen as Osea, Oshea and Osheah, and is related to Osee, is just another form of the name Sanskrit name Osseo, which is another name of Shiva, also known as Saba or Sheba, who is Lord of the Sabbath, i.e., the Jewish Shabbath.

"...Their heart is false; now they must bear their guilt. The Lord will break down their altars and destroy their pillars." 10:2.

The significance of the pillars changes in Jewish history. At first erecting pillars to God, the original God of the Jews being Shiva, was completely natural, but after the cattleman cult overthrew those worshipping Asherah, who is Asura among the Hindus, and Ausur (or Osiris) among the Egyptians, the pillars became a symbol of the old vegetarian order and covenant, the memory of which the cattleman cult, profiting from animal sacrifices, wished to obliterate.

"The more I called to them the more they went from me; they kept sacrificing to the Baals." 11 :2.

"If there is iniquity in Gilead they shall surely come to naught; if in Gilgal they sacrifice bulls their altars shall be like stone heaps on the furrows of the field." Hosea 12: 11.

The Days in the Sinai Wilderness:

The Dissatisfaction of Some Israelites with Vegetarianism.

God Sacrifices Those who Sacrifice Animals.

Like other later prophets, Hosea logically questions the early carnivorous history of the Hebrews. Forty years in the desert cattle raising? On what do they feed? It may well be that Hosea, like Amos and the others, conjectured that those forty years were in fact a great time of purity, in which the robust health and healing ways of vegetarianism manifested themselves with less hindrance, except to the nay-sayers following Moses and Aaron. Because it had been a time of innocence, Moses and the Jews living off plant life and manna in the wilderness, the carnivorous Jewish establishment, after having overthrown the vegetarians, knew they had to rewrite the occurrences.

From hindsight, the vegetarian prophets regard the constant oppression of the Hebrews by foreigners as God's punishment upon people living on slaughtered and enslaved flesh and blood. The vegetarian Jehovah's justice is to treat the animal sacrificing Hebrews as they treated their animal victims. He will be as a carnivore rending their flesh, just as they tore the flesh of their victims. (This, by the way, is a reiterated theme in the "Book of Job.")

"I am the Lord your God from the land of Egypt; you know no God but me, and besides me there is no savior. It was I who knew you in the wilderness, in the land of drought; but when they had fed to the full, they were filled, and their heart was lifted up; therefore they forgot me. So I will be to them like a lion, like a leopard I will lurk beside the way. I will fall upon them like a bear robbed of her cubs, I will tear open their breast, and there I will devour them like a lion, as a wild beast would rend them." 13: 4-8.

The Righteous Bough, the Root of Jesse

God is an Evergreen Cypress.

The Trees of the Book of Enoch and the Jewish Feast Day,

The T'ub Shevat, or Feast of Trees.

Like the other prophets affirming vegetarianism Hosea sees vegetation as the only true food of humanity, eulogizes plant life, and like many writers uses the evergreen as a symbol for the life that springs from its eternal source.

"I will be as the dew to Israel; he shall blossom as the lily, he shall strike root as the poplar; his shoots shall spread out; his beauty shall be like the olive, and his fragrance like Lebanon. They shall return and dwell beneath my shadow, they shall flourish as a garden; they shall blossom as the vine; their fragrance shall be like the wine of Lebanon. O Ephraim, what have I to do with idols? It is I who answer and look after you. I am like an evergreen cypress, from me comes your fruit. Whoever is wise, let him understand these things; whoever is discerning, let him know them; for the ways of the Lord are right, and the upright walk in them, but transgressors stumble in them." 14: 5-9.

Just as Hosea saw weapons as evil, and in so doing echoed the values of the Ethiopic Book of Enoch, so too does he echo that book in his reference to numerous tree. Whole chapters, and parts of chapters in the Book of Enoch deal with trees, trees for sustenance and spice, trees for shade. The Deity of the Book of Enoch is Shiva, who is known as Lord of the Trees, and to whom the Jewish Feast of Trees, the T'Ub Shevat, was originally dedicated, as we can see rather glaringly from the name of Shiva being in the very name of the feast.

Hosea Uses Vegetarian Animals to Describe Israel.

4: 16 Like a stubborn heifer, Israel is stubborn; can the LORD now feed them like a lamb in a broad pasture?

Hosea says that Adam Transgressed

God's Vegetarian Covenant of Gen. 1: 29.

6: 7 But at Adam they transgressed the covenant; there they dealt faithlessly with me.

Here Hosea's vision is common to that of virtually all the late prophets, to the original Ebionite followers of Jesus, and to the Gospel of Philip which says that the Fall was eating from the Tree of Animals. Hosea did not buy into the orthodox absurdity that an Omniscient God could change His mind and command carnivorousism after first having commanded vegetarianism as the diet of humanity and other creatures.

In Chapter 8

Hosea Portrays God as Condemning All those Who Seek Wealth,
And Elitist Societies of Kings, Princes and Followers.
Hosea portrays God as Condemning the Absurd Rationale of "Leviticus,"
That Sacrificing Animals Expiates Sins.

4 They made kings, but not through me; they set up princes, but without my knowledge. With their silver and gold they made idols for their own destruction.
11 When Ephraim multiplied altars to expiate sin, they became to him altars for sinning.
12 Though I write for him the multitude of my instructions, they are regarded as a strange thing.
13 Though they offer choice sacrifices, though they eat flesh, the LORD does not accept them. Now he will remember their iniquity, and punish their sins; they shall return to Egypt.
14 Israel has forgotten his Maker, and built palaces; and Judah has multiplied fortified cities; but I will send a fire upon his cities, and it shall devour his strongholds.

Here once again Hosea's vision is consistent with that of the Ethiopic Book of Enoch: humans are not to build cities or industrial civilizations, but are to live harmoniously with creation as it is. In Chapter 12, this notion is reiterated. Humans are not to build buildings:

"I am the LORD your God from the land of Egypt; I will make you live in tents again, as in the days of the appointed festival." 12: 9

The Pure Vision of Hosea: Animals Will Not be Enslaved.

Assyria shall not save us; we will not ride upon horses; we will say no more, 'Our God,' to the work of our hands. In you the orphan finds mercy." 14: 3

Here animal slavery is not accepted.

Esdras

Says that the Vegetarian Hosea
And the Original Jewish Patriarchs
All Believed the Same Thing.

Hosea, whose vegetarianism is only too obvious, was one of the prophets whom Esdras says taught the same values that were taught by the original Jewish patriarchs:

"...to them I will give as leaders Abraham, Isaac, and Jacob and Hosea and Amos and Micah and Joel and Obadiah and Jonah and Nahum and Habakkuk, Zephaniah, Haggai, Zechariah, and Malachi, who is also called Malachi, who is also called messenger of the Lord." 2 Esdras I: 38-40.

Once again, we have in the words of Esdras dramatic evidence that the scriptures of the Torah were rewritten.

Joel

Describes the desertification that occurs with raising cattle to eat,
Affirms that people should be satisfied with produce,
Affirms the validity of the vegetarian covenant in Genesis,
And recognizes the will and sentience of other creatures.
The evil intent of the King James translators
is easily seen in the "Book of Joel,"
For they attempted to assert the validity of animal sacrifices
Even among the late Jewish prophets like Joel who were vegetarian.

Joel describes locusts, drought, and desertification, the latter of which we have seen in our own century in rain forests and heavily lumbered areas. In our own time, the droughts of Ethiopia, which had turned to cattle raising in place of agriculture, comprise a classic example of what occurs when the cattle raising interests overcome the interests of farmers. Desertification and famine are the result when the vegetation and water of the land are disproportionally used to feed cattle for carnivores who eat "high on the food chain," instead of being used to raise produce that will feed all the people and animals. The waste products of the cattle ruin the land for immediate reuse by farmers. The meat eating or carnivorous cultures are described with the typical use of lion imagery.

"For a nation has come up against my land, powerful and without number; its number; it's teeth are lions' teeth, and it has the fangs of a lioness. It has laid waste my vines, and splintered my fig trees; it has stripped off their bark and thrown it down; their branches are made white....The cereal offering and the drink offering are cut off from the house of the Lord....The fields are laid waste, the ground mourns; because the grain is destroyed, the wine fails, the oil languishes."
Joel 1: 6-10

In the following scripture Joel repeats what the late prophets and Moses and Joshua (as recorded by the vegetarian Essenes) said, that humankind is to be satisfied with produce, not with animal flesh.

"Behold, I am sending to you grain, wine and oil, and you shall be satisfied..." Joel 2: 19.

And Joel reiterates what all close readers of the Old Testament know, that the vegetarian covenant of Gen. 1: 29-30 was a covenant with all creatures, not just with humans. Unlike the Jewish orthodoxy, Joel recognizes the validity of that covenant.

"Fear not, you beasts of the field, for the pastures of the wilderness are green; the tree bears its fruit, the fig tree and the vine give their full yield." 2: 22.

So too in the following scripture Joel recognizes that the long-awaited paradise is one in which all creatures, and not just humans, will share.

"And it shall come to pass afterward, that I will pour out my spirit on all flesh..." 2: 28.

An Example of How the Bible Was Revised
By a Carnivorous Orthodoxy.
The Word Meat is used in Place of Grain,
Implying that the Animal Sacrifices were Valid.

By comparing the King James translation with the later Revised Standard Version of the "Book of Joel," it can be easily seen how the translators hired by King James intentionally attempted to marginalize the vegetarianism even of the late vegetarian prophets. To translate grain as meat when dealing with the late vegetarian prophets is deception, is lying, pure and simple.

The grain offering and the drink offering are cut off from the house of the LORD. The priests mourn, the ministers of the LORD. 1: 9 RSV In the King James version this scripture reads: "The meat offering and the drink offering is cut off from the house of the LORD; the priests, the LORD's ministers, mourn."

The Translations of Joel: 1: 13, 1: 16, and 2: 14
Demonstrate the Same Lack of Integrity by the translators
of the King James Bible. 1: 13

Put on sackcloth and lament, you priests; wail, you ministers of the altar. Come, pass the night in sackcloth, you ministers of my God! Grain offering and drink offering are withheld from the house of your God. 1: 13 RSV

[13] Gird yourselves, and lament, ye priests: howl, ye ministers of the altar: come, lie all night in sackcloth, ye ministers of my God: for the meat offering and the drink offering is withholden from the house of your God. 1: 13

Joel 1: 16

16 Is not the food cut off before our eyes, joy and gladness from the house of our God? RSV
[16] Is not the meat cut off before our eyes, yea, joy and gladness from the house of our God?
1: 16 King James

Joel 2: 14

2: 14 Who knows whether he will not turn and relent, and leave a blessing behind him, a grain offering and a drink offering for the LORD, your God?

[14] Who knoweth if he will return and repent, and leave a blessing behind him; even a meat offering and a drink offering unto the LORD your God? 2: 14.

Church father Origen (185-254) in his Exhortation to Martyrdom attacks animal sacrifices as offerings to demons, and as a reason for natural disasters caused by God.

"Some do not consider the truth concerning daemons, namely that if they are to remain in this gross air near the earth they need food from sacrifices and so keep where there is always smoke and blood and incense. Accordingly, they hold it a light and indifferent matter to offer sacrifice. To this we would say that if those who provide food for thieves and murderers and barbarian enemies of the great king are punished as offenders against society, how much more would they be most justly punished who by sacrificing to the ministers of evil give them food which maintains them in the region near the earth..." Exhortation to Martyrdom, P. 425, Ibid.

Animal Sacrifices Are a Reason for Natural Disasters Caused by God.

(The author in footnote 21 on the above page states: "For sacrifices as the food of daemons, cf. my note on Origen, contra Celsum, III, 28; for the view that they cause earthly disasters such as famine, drought, flood, and earthquake, cf. contra Celsum, VIII, 31.")

The Vegetarianism of Jesus:

The Panarion: The Pandora's Box of Christianity

Epiphanius work admits the earliest follower of Jesus

Were Essenes and Nazarenes. Both groups were Vegetarian and Egalitarian.

St. Epiphanius (367-404) in his Panarion admits that the earliest followers of Jesus were called Jessaens, that is Essenes, and Nazarenes, both of which groups were vegetarians, though he scornfully treats the contents of the Ebionite Gospel, which portray Jesus and his followers as vegetarians who denounce the animal sacrifices, and who say that the scriptures sanctioning animal sacrifices in the Pentateuch are false scriptures. Epiphanius contradicts himself even further by allying the Essenes and Nazarenes with the vegetarian Therapeutae of Alexandria.

Nonetheless, in spite of Epiphanius' impossible goal of trying to ignore the fact that the Essenes and Nazarenes were vegetarians, still we are indebted to him, because it is in his Panarion that we have the most elaborate description of the Ebionites prior to the 1870 publication of the Gospel of the Nazirenes, which qualifies as being a copy of the Ebionite

Gospel since it contains those passages quoted by early Church fathers who viewed the Gospel.

The unbiased reader of the New Testament can see that vegetarianism underlies Jesus' cleansing of the temple, that his mission in "Epistle to the Hebrews," even as we have it, is clearly to abolish the animal sacrifices. Moreover, had Jesus really taught "all foods are clean," it would have been nonsensical for him consistently to quote vegetarian Jews such as Ezekiel, Isaias, Jeremiah, Zechariah, Joel, Amos, and Habaccuc. It would be the equivalent of Burger King or MacDonalds quoting Peta, Michael Klapper, Keith Akers, or John Robbins in order to justify eating flesh.

In the Panarion the Ebionite Jesus and his hand-picked apostles and disciples are all described as not regarding the Pentateuch as sacred. And Epiphanius describes a work, the "Ascents of James," by James, the brother of Jesus. In that work James sees Paul as an enemy of the truth, an apostate. To the objective reader, it is not really strange at all to see Paul as an Apostate, for Paul, the pillar of Christian orthodoxy, affirms the validity of slavery, elitist societies of rich and poor, male chauvinism, bigotry towards homosexuals, and carnivorousness.

The values, or lack of them, really, in the Pauline epistles, were used by the bishops chosen by Constantine to turn away from the original teachings of Jesus. Whereas Jesus taught vegetarianism, the abolition of the animal sacrifices, and egalitarianism, "love your neighbor as your self," and "I have come to free the captive," Paul and the bishops of Constantine condoned slavery and elitist resentment-breeding societies of rich and poor. The Essenes, Ebionites, and a number of writings by early church fathers such as Justin Martyr blatantly denounced the carnivorousness that is sanctioned in the perverted version of Jesus' teachings that exists in Paul's epistles. So too, though Tertullian and Origen seem to honor Paul's work, nonetheless they both clearly see the animal sacrifices as demonic.

The Essenes and Nazarenes Were Vegetarians

Most readers are aware that the Essenes were vegetarians, but there is some confusion among many current readers as to whether the Nazarenes were. However, we have more than adequate documentation for the vegetarianism of the Nazarenes as well. For example, appended to the Clementine Recognitions is a profession of faith to Pauline Christianity which refers to the Nazarenes as unequivocally vegetarian as well. "I anathematize the Nazareans, the stubborn ones, who deny that the law of sacrifices was given by Moses, who abstain from eating living things, and who never offer sacrifice. I anathematize the Osseans, the blindest of all men who use other scriptures than the Law." From p. 54, *The Essene Odyssey* by Hugh Schonfield, Element Books, Ltd. Longmead, Shaftesbury, Dorset, 1984. P. 87. Quoted from page 398, *The Conflict of the Church and Synagogue*, by James Parkes, London: The Soncino Press, Five Gower Street, 1934.

"The Scroll of the Rule" of the Essenes Summarizes
Their Denunciation of Animal Sacrifices.

"When these things come to pass in Israel according to all the appointed times for the Institution of the Spirit of holiness founded in accordance with eternal Truth, they shall expiate guilty rebellion and sinful infidelity and procure Loving-kindness upon earth without the flesh of burnt offering and the fat of sacrifice, but the offering of the lips in accordance with the law shall be as an agreeable odour of righteousness, and perfection of way shall be as the voluntary gift of a delectable oblation." "Prologue, Scroll of the Rule." Essene Writings from Qumran, A. Dupont-Sommer, Meridian Books, World Publishing Company, 1962.

It could hardly be more clear: both the Essenes and Nazarenes were vegetarians, but since the orthodox Jews and the Romans derived great profit from sacrificing animals and selling their flesh to eat, it was necessary to change the truth in order to continue making profits. So the scriptures were rewritten in order to make it appear that Jesus taught that "all foods are clean."

But there's plenty of evidences, historical as well as scriptural, that demonstrate the real beliefs of the original vegetarian and communal Christians.

The Vegetarianism of Matthew

Church Father Clement of Alexandria (150-215)

Documents the Vegetarianism of Matthew. Confusion towards Meat-Eating in the writings of Clement.

Though flesh-eating is strongly denounced in some of Clement's writings, He does not himself see Vegetarianism as Essential.

Clement accepts the notion that Jesus ate fish, and accepts the fence straddling teachings of Paul regarding eating flesh.

Clement's writings themselves personify

the Split Tradition of Early Christianity:

The Rift between the Jewish Christians,

the Ebionite/Essene/Nazarene Vegetarians,

and the Gentile or Pauline tradition

which subverts vegetarianism as non-essential Origen

Documents that Matthew the Disciple

And Matthew the Gospel Writer are the Same Person,

Thereby Showing the Falsity of New Testament Scriptures.

It was not clear to Clement of Alexandria (150-215) that the cleansing of the temple and Jesus' vegetarianism were inextricably united. Clement's views are not perfectly in line with those of the Ebionites, Nazarenes, Essenes and the late Jewish prophets who taught that God desired a pure heart and good deeds, not the slaughtering of God's creatures and the eating of their corpses.

In "The Instructor" (The Pedagogue) Clement of Alexandria did not recognize sentience and free will as existing among animals, whom he, like the Pauline writers of the New Testament, regarded as "irrational creatures." Clement also accepts the traditional interpretation of Jesus' statement that what goes into a person's mouth is secondary to what comes out of it. In "The Instructor" Clement saw moderation as more important than vegetarianism. Yet he does in a

number of his writings regard dietary purity as essential to the early Christians who saw themselves as a religious group practicing compassion towards other creatures. For example, contradicting his relatively complacent attitude towards flesh-eating in "The Instructor," regarding the sacrifices at Delos, Clement is the voice of vegetarianism when he says: "They are fond of talking about the purity of the most ancient altar at Delos, that altar which, we are told, was the only one approached by Pythagoras, because it was unpolluted by slaughter and death: will they then refuse credence to us when we say that the truly hallowed altar is the righteous soul, and the incense which ascends from it, the prayer of holiness? Sacrifices, I believe, are an invention of mankind to excuse the eating of flesh..." P. 112, Alexandrian Christianity: "Selected translations of Clement and Origen" with Introductions and Notes by John Ernest Leonard Oulton, D.O. and Henry Chadwick, B.O. Philadelphia: The Westminster Press. 1954.

When I first read that Clement described Matthew as eating no flesh, I had believed that I had found another strong voice for vegetarianism among the early Christian fathers. But though Clement of Alexandria says above that "Sacrifices...are an invention of mankind to excuse the eating of flesh," and in these writings apparently champions vegetarianism, in his *The Instructor* he constantly alludes to Paul and Paul's fence straddling qualifications regarding meat-eating, and Clement also accepts the notion in that work that Jesus ate a bit of fish, and that it was acceptable, because it was a moderate eating of flesh. What the scholar must conclude is that Clement himself accepted the false scriptures that were being written by the Pauline faction that was in the process of successfully subverting the original teachings of Jesus.

Moreover, we must realize that the writings of Clement of Alexandria, like the writings of most of the Church Fathers, has been in the hands of the Roman Catholic Church, which has from the beginning, as indicated by the Roman in its name, vested with the parochial interests of Rome which included meat-eating and the collection of taxes from the meat industry. Only in its own mind is the Roman Catholic Church objective. It always keeps its own interests as foremost, as is indicated by the fact that it kept the Dead Sea Scrolls, after buying them for Israel, for over forty years before publishing them, their scholars and bishops no doubt pondering whether some of them should be released. And who knows, with the technological capabilities available to one of the richest institutions on the face of the earth, for the Roman Catholic Church is little more than Mammon attempting to wear a halo, the Roman Catholic Church could also have revised the Dead Sea Scrolls. Nonetheless, however, there is indisputable evidence in virtually all the translations of the Scrolls that I have read that animal sacrifices were denounced, and that the Essene tradition was vegetarian as well as egalitarian.

In other words, not only have the scriptures of the world been revised, but so too have the writings of the earliest commentators been revised by orthodox authorities. That too is one of the problems in reading the Hadith, the teachings outside of the Koran, of Muhammad. However, even in that case, there are numerous Hadith, as we shall see, that contradict the sanctioning of animal sacrifices.

MATTHEW THE APOSTLE WAS VEGETARIAN

Clement of Alexandria in "Christ the Educator:"
Matthew does not eat flesh.

"Matthew the apostle used to make his meal on seeds and nuts and herbs, without flesh meat." Pp.107-108, The Fathers of the Church, Clement of Alexandria, "Christ the Educator," translated by Simon P. Wood, C.P. New York, Fathers of the Church, Inc. 1954.

Origen's "Commentary on Matthew"
states that Matthew the apostle was Matthew the Gospel Writer.
Orthodox Christians Disclaim the Idea
because it was well known that Matthew was Vegetarian.

In his "Commentary on Matthew" Origen says that Matthew the apostle and the evangelist are the same person. "First was written the Gospel according to Matthew, formerly a publican and later an apostle of Jesus Christ, who published it for believers from Judaism, composed in Hebrew letters..." P. 52, New Testament Apocrypha by Edgar Hennecke, Westminster Press, Philadelphia, 1963.

The On-going Deception by Orthodox Christian Scholars
Covering up the Vegetarianism of Jesus and his Disciples.

Later orthodox Christians contradict Origen because, knowing that Matthew was documented as vegetarian by Clement, and knowing that Matthew was one of the vegetarian Ebionites, it would be only too obvious that the vegetarianism of the hand-picked apostles and disciples of Jesus had been changed to affirmations of carnivorousness in the New Testament. In spite of the blunt declaration in "Epistle to the Hebrews" that Jesus' mission was to abolish the animal sacrifices, in spite of the cleansing of the temple, an event in which Jesus in a one day demonstration chases the animals to be sacrificed out of the temple, and in spite of the fact that Jesus over and over again quotes the late Jewish prophets who were vegetarians, those assembling and writing the scriptures to be put into the New Testament state that Jesus stated "all foods are clean." Thus, the bishops under the auspices of Constantine put Jesus into the same lying mold as the revisers of the Torah put Moses before him and the revisers of the Quran put Muhammad after him.

THE EVILS PROMOTED BY THE ORTHODOXIES
OF JUDAISM, CHRISTIANITY AND ISLAM.

All three teachers were presented preposterously as supporting slavery, resentment-breeding societies of rich and poor, male chauvinism, bigotry against homosexuals, the disease-promoting diet of carnivorousness, and the notion that humans were sanctioned by God to subdue the earth and have dominion over other creatures.

Roman Catholic St. Peter Chrysologus (406-450)
Denounces Animal Sacrifices and Advocates that Each of us
Make Ourselves a Living Sacrifice to God as Jesus does

In "Epistle to the Hebrews."

Church father St. Peter Chrysologus denounces all sacrifices of animals.

From Sermon 108, "Man as Both a Priest and a Sacrifice to God,"

"...man need not go beyond himself in seeking what he is to immolate to God! Because man, ready to offer sacrifice to God, brings with himself, and in himself, what is for himself! Because the same being who remains as the victim, remains also as a priest!. Because the victim is immolated and still lives! Because the priest who will make atonement is unable to kill!

Wonderful indeed is this sacrifice where the body is offered without [the slaying of] a body, and the blood without bloodshed. P. 169, The Fathers of the Church. Saint Peter Chrysologus, "Selected Sermons," and Saint Valerian, "Homilies." Translated by George E. Ganss, S. J. New York: Father of the Church, Inc. 1953.

The Origin of the Sphinx:

The Sphinx is Simha, the Man-Lion Incarnation of Vishnu. The Alignment of the Sphinx and the Pyramids with the Leo and Orion

The Message of the Sphinx by Graham Hancock and Robert Bauval collates past theories about the origin of the Sphinx and the names given to the Sphinx in the past, and the editors assess that the leonine form of the Sphinx, and the position of the three main pyramids of Gizeh, correlate with the position of the constellation Leo directly above the Sphinx in approximately 10,400 BC, and that position of the the three stars in the belt of Orion at that time corresponded with the precise position of the three large pyramids of Gizeh.

Thoth of Egypt:

The Microcosm and Macrocosm

As Above, So Below

They quote the writing of Hermes or Thoth of Egypt who is well known for assessing the paradigm As above, So Below, meaning that the same cosmic logic that rules the stars rules the way of earth and its beings. In fact, if one truly assesses the attitude of Hermes expressed in As Above, So Below, we can see that also it also refers to karmic law: as we treat the universe and its inhabitants, so are we treated. It is in fact a view that, when practically applied, promotes harmony among all beings, unlike Genesis 1: 26-28, which states that humans have a divine sanction to subdue the earth and have dominion over other creatures.

The Sphinx as Part of the Architectural Complex of Gizeh

Moreover, the authors quite logically accurately assess that the Sphinx must also be seen in context with its architectural surroundings, not only with the three largest pyramids, but with the entire architectural complex that is notable in Gizeh.

Let me relate several salient points of their research, all of which confirm that the Sphinx was Vedic in origin, namely coming from the Sabeian and Vaishnava traditions that we now

associate with India, but which were traditions that were universal, reaching throughout much of the Eastern as well as Western worlds.

Canaanites in Egypt

Kannan is a Name of Krishna,

And the Origin of the Name of the Land of Canaan.

First, Hancock and Bauval discuss the research of Egyptologist Selim Hassan who discovered during the 1930s and 40s evidence that a colony of "foreigners," "Canaanites," had resided in the area in the early second millennium BC. Moreover, the Canaanites were from the sacred city of Harran in Turkey, near its border with Syria. Harran we know was named after Hara, the name of Shiva as the Destroyer. The followers of Shiva, who is known as Seb or Geb in ancient Egypt, are known as Sabeans.

Who were the Canaanites?

The Canaanites were Followers of Kannan,

which is the Tamil Hindu name for Krishna or Vishnu.

The Canaanites derived their name from Kannan, a Tamil Hindu name for Vishnu or Krishna. Remember that the promised land of Canaan in the Torah is known as the land of milk and honey, favorite foods of the Hindus since the time of the Vedas. At first in the Old Testament Canaan is presented as a place of refuge, but after the cattleman cult overthrew the Asherah worshippers, who erected pillars (as the earliest patriarchs had done), the victorious cult felt it necessary to describe the land of Canaan as a place harboring enemies, and not as a place of refuge or a sanctuary, for the cult knew that the Canaanites were mainly vegetarians, and it would be contradictory for the cult practicing and profiting from animal sacrifices to regard the Canaanites as friends.

Hwl as Hu

Hu in Sanskrit Refers to Jehovah.

Jah is an Abbreviation of Jehovah.

Jah refers to Simhat-teja.

Simha is the Man-Lion Incarnation of Krishna.

Selig Hassan also affirmed that the term used to describe the Sphinx, Abul-Hol, meant bw Hwl. Bauval and Hancock then assert that the Harranian Hwl was translated as Hu. Hu in Sanskrit refers to Jehovah [confirm at Cologne Digital Lexicon of Sanskrit and English on the web]. Jehovah is regarded word in ancient Hebrew as explained by James Strong in his Hebrew and Chaldee Dictionary that accompanies his Concordance to the Old and New Testament. 3050: Yahh, yaw; contraction for 3068: Jehovah, the self-Existent and Eternal; Jehovah; Jewish national name of God; Jehovah, the Lord.

As we see from the above, Yahh or Jah is an abbreviated form of Jehovah. And one of the meanings of Jah in Sanskrit is teja-Simhat. This fact may also be confirmed also by

consulting the Cologne Digital Lexicon of Sanskrit and English on the web. One of the Definitions of Jah in Sanskrit is Teja-Simha

Teja in Sanskrit means sharpness and refers to the claws of Simha, the man-lion who took vengeance on the proud king Asura Hiranyakashipu. Let me paraphrase the story as told on a number of web sites dealing with Krishna.

Simha or Narasimha

A Summary of the Story of Narasimha.

There was a proud king named Asura Hiranyakashipu, who because of austerities was given his wish, that neither a man nor a beast could kill him, that he could not be killed by day or night, inside or outside a building, by a tool or weapon. Having been granted this wish, he became so arrogant that he believed he was immortal. But his son, Prahalad, was humble and told him that if Vishnu wanted to kill him, he could. The proud king asked Where is this Vishnu?, and stated that he would break Vishnu just as he would break a pillar nearby. So the proud king hit the pillar with a mace, and from within the pillar emerged a fantastic creature, which was both lion and man. The creature roared ferociously, grabbed the king by the neck, and dragged him to the threshold of the palace, and placed one of the king's feet inside the building and one foot outside. And when it was twilight, neither day nor night, the creature ripped open the king's chest with his sharp claws, which are neither weapons nor tools, and pulled out his heart and drank his blood. Therefore Vishnu or Krishna killed the proud king who had tried to outwit death. The fact that Simha, or Narasimha, comes from inside the pillar is also significant, both in the context of Hinduism and Egypt, for the pillar is associated especially with Shiva, who in Egypt was known as Seb, Lord of the Earth. Seb is simply a shortened form of Seba or Saba, the names by which Shiva, or Sheba was addressed by Israelites, Ethiopians, and the inhabitants of Sheba or Seba, a nation named after Shiva.

The Nara root in Narasimha means: the primeval Man or eternal Spirit pervading the universe, which is always associated with Narayana, the son of the primeval man. In a later part of this study, which shows that originally Cain the son of Adam was revered and not reviled, we shall deal with this topic at greater length.

Seb, the Lord of the Earth in Egypt

Is Sheba or Shiva of the Hindus, the Ethiopians,
the Inhabitants of the Land of Sheba, and the Original Jews.

Bauval and Hancock rightly assert that the Sphinx needs to be seen in context of its architectural surroundings. They affirm also that it is known that the Sabeans visited the Gaza strip far back in history. The Sabeans we know erected pillars to Shiva, who is known in the Old Testament by various names, occasionally by Sheva, but more often by Seba, Sheba, or Saba. These were names by which Shiva was known to the original Jews and to the inhabitants in the land of Ethiopia, and in the land of Sheba, both of which were settled by the lineage of Noah. In Egypt pillars were erected to Shu, the father of Seb, and then to Seb himself, the Lord of the Earth and the father of Osiris. Abraham, the original patriarch of Judaism, we know named one of his sons Shuah, after the father of Seb or Shiva. And the fact that Abraham made his home

for many years in Harran, land of the Sabeans worshipping Shiva, will make the objective reader question orthodox Judaism's assertion that Abraham condemned his father Terah as a believer in idols. Certainly if Abraham would have rejected his father's Sabeian religion, he would not have made his home in Harran, home of the Sabeans, nor would he have named his son Shuah after the sky god father of Seb. Neither would the Jews after Abraham have continued to name their children after Sheba, Shuah, Kannan, Rama, Asura (Asherah) Arauna, Bala, and Lakshmi if they had truly rejected their Hindu origins. Words like Jah and Jehovah and Jehudi, that play such an essential part of Jewish history, are all found in Sanskrit, which is not only the mother tongue of the Semitic languages, but the language of the mother Vedic culture that existed universally in the ancient world. [See the other pages of this web site.]

THE ROSETTA STONE OF ARCHITECTURE:

The Pillars in Palestine and the Stelae and Pyramids in Egypt
Were Both Named after Shiva.

The Mastaba of Egypt are the Matstsebah of Palestine.

The stelae, pillars, and pyramids which mark the Gizeh plateau are called mastabah. The memorial stone or heap of dirt or stones, part of which Jacob uses for a pillow, for example, is regarded as a sacred pillar, and in Hebrew is named masseba, sometimes masseva or matstsebah. From Strong's Exhaustive Concordance to the Old and New Testament, "The Hebrew/Chaldee Dictionary:"

4676 matstsebah, something stationed, column, memorial stone, garrison, standing image, column, pillar.

The root Sebah is easily seen to refer to Seba or Shiva. And the word for pillar relates to Matstsab, meaning a fixed spot, an office, a post, garrison. The Sab root refers of course to Saba or Shiva.

In Egyptian history the stelae and pyramids erected to their deity are called mastabah or mastabah in Arabic. It is the same word as the word matsebah in ancient Hebrew. The reader should not be confused by the final t in matstabah. It is simply the hard local pronunciation of the double s.

5333 Netsiyb or netsib, something stationary, i.e. a prefect, a military post, a statue:--garrison, officer, pillar. From James Strong's Hebrew/Chaldee Dictionary.

From 5324, Natsab, a meaning of which is also pillar.

Sib is an abbreviated form of Seba, similar to Seb, the name of Seba in Egypt. Sib is a term used for Shiva to this day in some parts of India.

A SUMMARY OF THE SUPPRESSED ORIGINS OF EGYPT

I do not pretend to have solved the many mysteries of the Gizeh plateau, but simply to have discovered the deity that the Sphinx represents, Simha, the Man-lion incarnation of Vishnu or Krishna. It is perhaps not so strange that so-called Egyptologists have blinded themselves to the fact that the pyramids were named after Seb, just as orthodox Jews have suppressed the fact that the pillars erected to their original God in Genesis were named after Seba, for it would show clearly for both parties that they have at worst lied about, and at best simply ignored the origins of their religion.

The Myth of Osiris, Ausur,
Is an Account of the Destruction of the Asherah.
The Cutting up of Ausur's Body
Was the Cutting up of the Old Original Religion.
The Phallus, the Last Part of the Body to be Found, is the Shiva Lingam.

Ausur, or Osiris, was named after or perhaps is the prototype of Asura of India, which was originally simply the name of the Almighty. Only later did it come to mean demon.

1. When Seth fooled Osiris and locked him into a wooden chest, this referred to the suppression of the original way or religion and the scriptures that described it.
2. When Osiris' body was dismembered, this referred to the original scriptures being destroyed, or dismembered. In Palestine this referred to the event of the cattleman cult overthrowing the vegetarian devotees of Asherah (Asura), and consequently rewriting the scriptures so that they would sanction such evils as animal sacrifices, slavery, male chauvinism, bigotry against homosexuals. Since many of the ancient scriptures of Egypt refer to vegetarian offerings as well, we can see that the same deception occurred in Egypt.
3. Isis' search for the parts of Osiris' body is the search for the original true vegetarian religion, and by extension, its teachings (when written these would be the scriptures) that ruled not only Egypt, but the ancient world. Isis prophesied that one day organisms would exist that deserved to know the true way.
4. The fact that the culmination of Isis' search is the finding of the phallus of Osiris is a reference to the Shiva lingam, and to the worship of Shiva and the other deities in the pantheon. The very names of the stelae, the pillars, and the pyramids show that they are simply forms of the Shiva Lingam erected to honor Shiva.
5. The vignettes that mark the walls of ancient Egypt that were collected in the Book of the Coming of Day, i.e., in Wallace Budge's words, The Egyptian Book of the Dead, are an allegory dealing with the vegetarianism and egalitarianism that were originally taught as the true and compassionate Way.

So we see now why the Sabeans visited the Gizeh plateau in the remote days of antiquity, and why the Canaanites settled at the foot of the icon of their Deity Simha.

When the Vedic way was universal, as it is coming to be once more, wherever Shiva was worshipped, so too was their freedom to worship the other deities that we now associate with the Hindu pantheon, deities who were in fact worshipped throughout the ancient world.

Isaias Repeatedly Denounces the Animal Sacrifices.

He refers directly to the Hindu tradition through the name Immanuel.

Isaias constantly refers to the values of the Book of Enoch,
Which are not only vegetarian but egalitarian, i.e. non-elitist.

Isaiah Asserts that those who Sacrifice Animals
Are as the People of Sodom and Gomorrah
Who Deserved Destruction.

"Hear the word of the Lord you rulers of Sodom! Give ear to the teaching of our God, you people of Gomorrah! What to me is the multitude of your sacrifices? says the Lord; I have had enough of burnt offerings of rams and the fat of fed beasts; I do not delight in the blood of bulls, or of lambs, or of he-goats." 1: 10-11.

"You have not... satisfied me with the fat of your sacrifices. But you have burdened me with your sins, you have wearied me with your iniquities." 43: 24.

Isaias Accepts The Covenant with All Flesh in Genesis 1: 29-30.

Isaias' views above are precisely those of the Ethiopic Book of Enoch, in which Deity comes to convict all flesh. The notion of convicting all flesh also exists in the Old Testament, but it is ambiguously expressed, merely saying that all flesh has corrupted the earth and committed violence on the earth. Isaias refers directly to the very first chapter of the Ethiopic Book of Enoch in Chapter 40, which is also quoted by Jesus when he refers to John the Baptist.

"A voice cries: 'In the wilderness prepare the way of the Lord, make straight in the desert a highway for our God. Every valley shall be lifted up, and every mountain and hill be made low; the uneven ground shall become level, and the rough places a plain. And the glory of the Lord shall be revealed, and all flesh shall see it together. (emphasis mine)'" 40: 3-5.

So Isaias sees the glory of the Lord to be revealed to "all flesh." In the Ethiopic Book of Enoch, we have the following scriptures, from which the scriptures of Isaias are obviously derived:

"And the high mountains shall be shaken, And the high hills shall be made low..." 1: 6

"And behold! He cometh with ten thousands of His holy ones To execute judgment upon all, And to destroy all the ungodly: And to convict all flesh Of all the works of their ungodliness which they have ungodly committed, And of all the hard things which ungodly sinners have spoken against Him." 1: 9 [R. H. Charles edition]

Isaias obviously accepts Genesis 1: 30 as a valid covenant for the creatures of the earth:

" And to every beast of the earth and to every bird of the air and to everything that creeps on the earth, everything that has the breath of life, I have given every green plant for food." I: 29-30. (emphasis mine).

The Orthodoxies of Judaism, Christianity and Islam
Overlook or Marginalize the Significance of Genesis 1: 30

Many readers tend to overlook the significance of 1: 30, namely that the scripture bluntly states that God is making a covenant with all creatures and not simply with humans. Covenants are not made with beings unless they have sentience and free will. Therefore, one understands the reason why orthodoxies overlook this covenant. It shows that the original Jews regarded animals in the same manner as the vegetarian Hindus, who regarded animal incarnations as simply a specific manifestation necessary for the progress of the immortal spirit within the animal. It shows also that the Deluge was meant to wipe away all creatures who had broken the covenant, and like humans, had spilled the blood of other creatures.

Isaias makes it clear that All Sentient Life is Sacred:

"He who slaughters an ox is like him who kills a man." 66:3.

Contrast the above scripture with Paul's "Is it for oxen that God is concerned?" 9: 9, Romans. The truthful Isaias would have answered Yes. And had Paul truly accepted the Old Testament as he claimed he did, as a Jew, he would have seen that his refusal to acknowledge the sacredness of the ox was sacrilegious. Paul's hardness of heart typifies the hardness of heart towards the animals of creation that exists in the orthodoxies of Judaism, Christianity, and Islam.

Isaias Condemns the Mere Lip Worship
Which Typifies the Orthodoxies.

To purify themselves the Jews must stop sacrificing animals.

"...even though you make many prayers, I will not listen; your hands are full of blood. Wash yourselves, make yourselves clean; remove the evil of your doings from before my eyes; cease to do evil, learn to do good; seek justice, correct oppression... I: 15-17.

Just As Perfect Harmony Existed Among All Creatures
Before the Fall, Isaias Sees the Final Times
As Recapturing that Harmony.

The book of Isaiah prophesied that in the final times, as in the beginning, all animal creation would be at peace:

"The wolf shall dwell with the lamb, and the leopard shall lie down with the kid, and the calf and the lion and the fatling together, and a little child shall lead them. The cow and the bear shall feed; their young shall lie down together; and the lion shall eat straw like the ox. The sucking child shall play over the hole of the asp, and the weaned child shall put his hand on the adder's den. They shall not hurt or destroy in all my holy mountain; for the earth shall be full of the knowledge of the Lord as the waters cover the sea." II: 6-9.

The reference to the holy mountain is significant to those who understand the Hindu origins of Judaism, for the original Jews were Sabeans worshipping Shiva who lived on Mt. Kailassa, and who preferred to live in the mountains and wilderness, rather than the cities.

Another Reference to All Creatures Living in Harmony,
None being Subdued or Dominated. Humans in High Places are Brought
Low. This too is a reference to the Ethiopic Book of Enoch.

"Man is bowed down, and men are brought low, and the eyes of the haughty are humbled. But the Lord of hosts is exalted in justice, and the Holy God shows himself holy in righteousness. Then shall the lambs graze as in their pasture, fatlings and kids shall feed among the ruins." 5:15-17.

The Book of Enoch makes it clear that there is to be no elitism on earth, that all humans are to be seen as equal, and that those who try to be kings, or those who are mighty, for example, the presidents and prime ministers of our day, the governments of the world, all of whom we know are supported by the rich and mighty, by the corporations, are all evil, by the very fact that they create resentment-breeding societies of rich and poor

.
"3 When the secrets of the righteous shall be revealed and the sinners judged, And the godless driven from the presence of the righteous and elect,
4 From that time those that possess the earth shall no longer be powerful and exalted: And they shall not be able to behold the face of the holy, For the Lord of Spirits has caused His light to appear On the face of the holy, righteous, and elect.
5 Then shall the kings and the mighty perish And be given into the hands of the righteous and holy."

From Chapter 38 of the Ethiopic Book of Enoch, the R. H. Charles edition.

It should be obvious to the reader who has read the other pages of this site that the scriptures of the Ethiopic Book of Enoch were a part of the tradition of the pure remnant of Judaism, and that the Book was deemed to be apocryphal because it showed the lies being promoted in the Torah. Also, the Book of Enoch clearly relates the Sabean Ethiopians with the original Jews. This was recognized by numerous late prophets.

Isaias' view of the final times is similar to the vision of the Hopis, some of whose connections with the Hindus are described in the articles of the web site Viewzone.com. Like the Hopi account of the creation in the beginning, in isaias' vision all the animals live peacefully together. None are subdued or had dominion over, as advocated in the inserted scriptures of Genesis 1: 26-28.

Isaias did not see himself as an idealist, a utopian, or unrealistic. His vision is totally in keeping with the Vegetarian Covenant of Genesis 1:29-30, which is the only valid dietary covenant that exists in the Old Testament. The covenant sanctioning carnivorism with the Noah is so fraught with contradictions and sloppy scriptures that only a true believer orthodox person in denial could possibly believe that covenant was valid. For that covenant sanctioning carnivorism makes the God of the Torah out to be ignorant of nutrition, and devoid of compassion.

That God and God's creation, all God's creatures, were intimately related, that the beings of creation could choose once again to be pure and loving, and that God had destined creation on earth in the future to be free of the karma of oppression--all these were part of isaias' consciousness, just as they are part of the Hindu, Buddhist, Hopi and Mayan tradition, as well as of indigenous traditions throughout the world which affirm that all creation is sacred. The Hopi tradition, with its own chakra and meditation system, and its account of an original creation in which there was total peace for all creatures, sprang from a similar if not the identical matrix as that of the Hindus and Buddhists.

Isaias 7

How the Roman Bishops Under the Auspices
of the Brutal Emperor Constantine
Ignored the Vegetarianism of Isaiah in the New Testament.
Christian Scholar Frend Mentions that the early Christians argued
As to whether "Leviticus" or "Isaias" 7 Taught the Right Diet.

Because isaias' scriptures were passionately vegetarian, they were excised of their vegetarian emphases by the Roman Catholic compilers/revisers of the scriptures that finally made up the New Testament. For example, Isaiah 7: 14 is highlighted as a prophecy foretelling Jesus' appearance. But notice that 7: 15 is purposely omitted by the compilers of the New Testament. It doesn't fit the Pauline party line of carnivorism; instead it affirms that the coming one will be a lacto-vegetarian, which is the typical diet of mainstream Hindus and Buddhists, and the diet of most of the heresies named by Epiphanius.

"Behold, a young woman shall conceive and bear a son, and shall call his name Immanuel. He shall eat curds and honey when he knows how to refuse the evil and choose the good. 7: 14-15.

For example, Isaiah 7: 14 is highlighted as a prophecy foretelling Jesus' appearance. But notice that 7: 15 is purposely omitted by the compilers of the New Testament. It doesn't fit the

Pauline party line of carnivorousism; instead it affirms that the coming one will be a lacto-vegetarian, which is the typical diet of mainstream Hindus and Buddhists.

"Behold, a young woman shall conceive and bear a son, and shall call his name Immanuel. 7: 14.

"He shall eat curds and honey when he knows how to refuse the evil and choose the good." 7: 15.

The same Chapter 7 ends with a vision of a place of freedom for the animals, "where cattle are let loose and sheep tread."

Frend, author of *Martyrdom and Persecution in the Early Church* admits that early Christians argued with Jews as to whether Isaiah 7: 14 or Leviticus 11 contained the correct dietary laws. Frend, like other scholars of early Christianity, refuses to delineate on the fact that Isaiah 7: 14 is lacto vegetarian, whereas Leviticus 11 is typically carnivorous.

Though suppressing the vegetarianism of the early Christians, Frend does point out that some Christian martyrs were dressed like Ceres, goddess of grains, and Saturn, god of the cornucopia, both representatives of vegetation, before they were fed to the beasts. No doubt because of pressure, many Christian scholars suppress the topics of vegetarianism versus carnivorousism and egalitarianism versus elitism, though these are the two basic religious dramas all religious cultures are all faced with: how to relate to the other creatures of creation, and how to relate to our own human kind.

The Name Immanuel Shows the Hindu Origins of Judaism.

Isaiah's Prophecy of Jesus as Immanuel. The Name Immanuel may be Divided into Three Parts:

Im = With

Manu = the Hindu Noah

EI = the Name of God

The name Immanuel itself is a direct connection to the Hindu Manu and Laws of Manu that existed among the Hindus as well as among the earliest Jews. Im means With in ancient Hebrew. Manu is the Hindu Noah and Lawgiver, and EI is God. So Immanuel the savior shall be a person in conjunction with both Manu the human lawgiver, and EI, God. The scriptures are interpreted by Christians to refer to Jesus as a savior and lawgiver as well.

Isaiah Affirms the Vegetarian Option as Godly,
And the Carnivorous Option as Unjust.

"For in the vineyard of the Lord of hosts is the house of Israel, and the men of Judah are his pleasant planting; and he looked for justice, but behold, bloodshed..." 5: 7.

Over and over again plants are considered people's natural food, and agriculture, planting, is emphasized as humanity's natural labor, not raising animals for slaughter, milk and honey

being the only two "animal foods" acceptable to the later prophets. The following passages emphasizing tilling the soil are just a few of many scattered throughout the Old Testament.

...does he not scatter dill, sow cumin, and put in wheat in rows and barley in its proper place, and spelt as the border? For he is instructed aright; his God teaches him. 28: 25-26.

How Cholesterol Impedes Not only the Organ of the Heart
But the Organ of the Brain as Well.
Isaiah Describes the Stupor of those Sacrificing Animals
And Eating Them.

Reading the texts of Hinduism and Buddhism, one discovers that it was known from time immemorial that the "flesh of slain beasts was harmful to humans and that the moral discernment of humans became progressively distorted by eating the slain carcasses of creatures. Though this knowledge has been suppressed in mainstream Jewish, Christian, and Islamic cultures because of their adherence to carnivorousness, our society's growing understanding of the harmful effects of animal fat makes isaiah's reference to fat even more emphatic in its irony.

"Make the heart of this people fat, and their ears heavy, and shut their eyes; lest they see with their eyes, and hear with their ears, and understand with their hearts, and turn and be healed." 6: 10.

The Stupor of Carnivorousness:
The Inability to have Moral Discernment
Regarding Which Scriptures are True and Which are False,
The Inability to Test the Spirit.

"Stupefy yourselves and be in a stupor, blind yourselves and be blind! Be drunk, but not with wine; stagger, but not with strong drink! For the Lord has poured out upon you a spirit of deep sleep, and has closed your eyes, the prophets, and covered your heads, the seers. And the vision of all this has become to you like the words of a book that is sealed. When men give it to one who can read, saying, 'Read this,' he says, 'I cannot, for it is sealed.'" 29: 9-11.

Burning the Now Unneeded Bloody Clothes
Of Those Killing and Dismembering Animals--
The Vegetarian Lawgiver of the Jews is to be like
Manu the Vegetarian Lawgiver of the Hindus.

Similarly, the first clause in the following scripture is omitted in the New Testament, except by John in "Revelations," yet the passage following it is well known as a prophecy of the messiah. The imagery of white garments symbolizing purity is used by other Old Testament prophets as well because it is not just a reference to spiritual purity, but white clean garments are

literally a sharp contrast to the bloody garments of the priests sacrificing animals, or to the butchers' garb. The prophecy clearly tells of a vegetarian messiah to come.

"...and every garment rolled in blood will be burned as fuel for the fire. For to us a child is born, to us a son is given; and the government will be upon his shoulder, and his name will be called "Wonderful Counselor, Mighty God, Everlasting Father, Prince of Peace." Of the increase of his government and of peace there will be no end, upon the throne of David, and over his kingdom, to establish it, and to uphold it with justice and with righteousness from this time forth and for evermore." 9: 5-7.

Isaias like Enoch and Noah in the Ethiopic Book of Enoch,
Condemns the Exploitation Involved in Constructing Cities

The intrinsic evil of industry excavating land inhabited by living creatures, cutting down trees, virtually all of which are havens for insects, birds and other animals, or otherwise invading the air and water of earth, the fact that the buildings, roads, and other structures of industry are gravestones of vast numbers of creatures was seen by the prophets. The Rechabites described by Jeremiah vowed to live in tents all their days and vowed not even to invade the earth haven of other creatures through cultivating the earth.

"May the descendants of evildoers nevermore be named! Prepare slaughter for his sons because of the guilt of their fathers, lest they rise and possess the earth, and fill the face of the world with cities." 14: 20-21.

"Behold, Damascus will cease to be a city, and will become a heap of ruins. Her cities will be deserted for ever; they will be for flocks, which will lie down, and none will make them afraid." 17: 1-3.

"For the palace will be forsaken, the populous city deserted; the hill and the watchtower will become dens for ever, a joy of wild asses, a pasture of flocks; until the Spirit is poured upon us from on high and the wilderness becomes a fruitful field, and the fruitful field is deemed a forest. Then justice will dwell in the wilderness, and righteousness abide in the fruitful field. And the effect of righteousness will be peace, and the result of righteousness, quietness and trust forever....Happy are you who sow beside all waters, who let the feet of the ox and the ass range free." 32:14-20.

Isaias Prophecies a Vegetarian Future
That Acknowledges Kannan, who is Krishna, or Vishnu.

It was Canaan which was the original domicile of Abraham, Isaac, and Jacob, and it is the language of Canaan, known as the land of "milk and honey," and known for its agriculture and vintners, that Isaiah says will exert a unifying influence over the Jews. Now this prophecy

makes even more sense today, now that we understand that the Canaanites were vegetarians worshipping Kannan, the Tamil Hindu name for Krishna.

"In that day there will be five cities in the land of Egypt which speak the language of Canaan and swear allegiance to the Lord of hosts." 19: 18.

Isaias, The Vegetarian Covenant of Gen. 1: 29-30,
and the Ethiopic Book of Enoch all concur:
In the Final Times All Bloodshed Will be Forbidden.

...and the earth will disclose the blood shed upon her, and will no more cover her slain. 26: 27.

God is angered that his people,
who should be ascetic, are instead indulgent,
And derive pleasure from the killing and eating of flesh:

"In that day the lord God of hosts called to weeping and mourning, to baldness and girding with sackcloth; and behold, joy and gladness, slaying oxen and killing sheep, eating flesh and drinking wine. "Let us eat and drink, for tomorrow we die." The Lord of hosts has revealed himself in my ears: Surely this iniquity will not be forgiven you till you die," says the Lord God of hosts." 22: 12-14.

Isaias Denounces the False Prophets of Orthodox Judaism.

"For they are a rebellious people, lying sons, sons who will not hear the instruction of the Lord; who say to the seers, "See not"; and to the prophets, "Prophecy not to us what is right; speak to us smooth things, prophesy illusions..." 30:9-11.

For orthodox Jews in denial, animal sacrifices, male chauvinism, bigotry towards homosexuals, and slavery are all acceptable practices, just as they are for the orthodox Christian and Muslim.

A Repeated Theme Among the Late Prophets:
Those who Sacrifice Animals Shall be Sacrificed.

The sword of Jehovah's justice will satisfy itself by sacrificing those who have sacrificed animals:

"For my sword has drunk its fill in the heavens; behold, it descends for judgment upon Edom, upon the people I have doomed. The Lord has a sword; it is sated with blood, it is gorged with fat, with the blood of lambs and goats, with the fat of the kidneys of rams. For the Lord has a sacrifice in Bozrah, a great slaughter in the land of Edom...Their land shall be soaked with blood, and their soil made rich with fat. 34: 6-7.

Cleansed of carnivorism the desert shall become fruitful, and the healing that results from cleansing the world of animal sacrifices is a recurring theme. Certain exegetes see passages referring to the dry land becoming watery as a direct reference to our own time, in which there is the large-scale desertification of the soil by cattle raised for slaughter who not only eat vast amounts of vegetation humans could consume, but use inordinate amounts of water that could be used more efficiently by humans and other animals.

"Then the eyes of the blind shall be opened, and the ears of the deaf unstopped; then shall the lame man leap like a hart, and the tongue of the dumb sing for joy. For waters shall break forth in the wilderness, and streams in the desert; the burning sand shall become a pool, and the thirsty ground springs of water; the haunt of jackals shall become a swamp, the grass shall become reeds and rushes. And a highway shall be there, and it shall be called the Holy Way; the unclean shall not pass over it, and fools shall not err therein. No lion shall be there, nor shall any ravenous beast come up on it..." 35: 5-9.

In a passage cited in the New Testament as a prophecy of John the Baptist preparing the way for Jesus, it is clear that John and Jesus and the Essenes who influenced them were to continue the legacy of Isaiah and the other vegetarian prophets. They were to spread the purity of the vegetarian remnant of Israel, which involved a leveling of all hierarchies between human and human, and human and beast, and thereby establish peace, "salvation," for all flesh. "Every mountain and hill shall be made low" is once again a reference to the Book of Enoch.

"A voice cries: "In the wilderness prepare the way of the lord, make straight in the desert a highway for our God. Every valley shall be lifted up, and every mountain and hill be made low; the uneven ground shall become level, and the rough places a plain. And the glory of the Lord shall be revealed, and all flesh shall see it together." 40: 3-5.

Paradise

Paradise in Isaiah and in the "Book of Revelations" in the New Testament is a place of glorious vegetation to be brought about partially by inundations of water changing the topography of the earth. Greenpeace and other explorations in our polar regions indicate polar ice is melting at a faster rate than anticipated because of global warming. Those who have read the Ethiopic Book of Enoch know that a number of chapters are dedicated solely to describing trees, as one might expect of a document propounding vegetarianism. Isaias does the same in the following scriptures.

"I will open rivers on the bare heights, and fountains in the midst of the valleys; I will make the wilderness a pool of water, and the dry land springs of water. I will put in the wilderness the cedar, the acacia, the myrtle, and the olive; I will set in the desert the cypress, the plane and the pine together; that men may see and know, may consider and understand together, that the hand of the Lord has done this, the Holy One of Israel has created it." 41: 18-20.

The stupor of addiction to eating animal flesh.
The sacrificer by the very act of sacrifice is blind
to the sanctity of God's creation,
is so attached to his own evil that he suppresses a true judgment of it.

"They know not, nor do they discern; for he has shut their eyes, so that they cannot see, and their minds, so that they cannot understand."

Isaias Attacks the Passover Sacrifice.

Isaiah directly attacks the passover sacrifice, and (like Jeremiah) connects it with the sin of Adam and Eve, as well as with the cannibalism associated with Molech. In isaias' compassionate mind, the killer of a lamb is as one who kills a human. The definition of harlotry is often broadened by the prophets to include such unfaithfulness to animal creation. Killing an animal, splitting open its body, which is similar to their own animal bodies, Adam and Eve saw a type of themselves, innards exposed; their own existence with its physical frailty is out in the open, naked. To the original Jew, as to all genuine vegetarian cultures, the killing of animals is as the killing of humans.

"Upon a high and lofty mountain you have set your bed, and thither you went up to offer sacrifice. Behind the door and the door post you have set up your symbol; for, deserting me, you have uncovered your bed...you have loved their bed, you have looked on nakedness. You journeyed to Molech..." 57: 7-9.

Isaias' View of the Last Judgment
is Taken from the Book of Enoch.

Isaiah concludes with a vision of peace for all animal creation, and with a reminder of, and a warning to, those who sacrificed and ate animals. Isaias once again directly refers to the Book of Enoch, in which the Last Judgement is described as taking place in a valley in which the unrighteous exist suffering, and the righteous observe them from both sides.

"For as the new heavens and the new earth which I will make shall remain before me, says the Lord; so shall your descendants and your name remain. From new moon to new moon, and from sabbath to sabbath, all flesh shall come to worship before me, says the Lord. And they shall go forth and look on the dead bodies of the men that have rebelled against me; for their worm shall not die, their fire shall not be quenched, and they shall be an abhorrence to all flesh". 66: 22-24.

At the Final Judgment, All the Righteous Shall Be on the High Land
Surrounding the Unrighteous in the Valley Between them.

From Chapter 10 of the Book of Enoch:

And again the Lord said to Raphael: 'Bind Azazel hand and foot, and cast him into the darkness: and make an opening 5 in the desert, which is in Dudael, and cast him therein. And place upon him rough and jagged rocks, and cover him with darkness, and let him abide there for ever, and cover his face that he may 6,7 not see light. And on the day of the great judgment he shall be cast into the fire. And the Lord said unto Michael: 'Go, bind Semjaza and his associates who have united themselves with women so as to have defiled themselves 12 with them in all their uncleanness. And when their sons have slain one another, and they have seen the destruction of their beloved ones, bind them fast for seventy generations in the valleys of the earth, till the day of their judgment and of their consummation, till the judgment that is 13 for ever and ever is consummated. In those days they shall be led off to the abyss of fire: and 14 to the torment and the prison in which they shall be confined for ever. And whosoever shall be condemned and destroyed will from thenceforth be bound together with them to the end of all 15 generations.

Book of Enoch

[Chapter 27]

1 Then said I: 'For what object is this blessed land, which is entirely filled with trees, and this 2 accursed valley between?' Then Uriel, one of the holy angels who was with me, answered and said: 'This accursed valley is for those who are accursed for ever: Here shall all the accursed be gathered together who utter with their lips against the Lord unseemly words and of His glory speak hard things. Here shall they be gathered together, and here 3 shall be their place of judgment. In the last days there shall be upon them the spectacle of righteous judgment in the presence of the righteous for ever: here shall the merciful bless the Lord of glory, the Eternal King. 4 In the days of judgment over the former, they shall bless Him for the mercy in accordance with 5 which He has assigned them (their lot).' Then I blessed the Lord of Glory and set forth His glory and lauded Him gloriously.

THE MARTYRDOM OF ISAIAH

The reader is well aware that Isaias was vegetarian and saw the killing of God's creatures as horrendous and bloody atrocities, sacrileges against not only the creatures themselves, but against the Creator of all life. I will quote the entire apocryphal work, "The Martyrdom of Isaiah" because these passages further confirm what the later prophets, and in fact, what the earliest Jews believed, that the vegetarian covenant was in fact the only legitimate dietary covenant with God, and that the covenant with Noah after the Deluge was a hoax. I recommend the web site at which readers may obtain for themselves other apocryphal works edited by R. H. Charles, works which now public domain.

When Isaias and the other prophets retreat to the mountain top, clothe themselves in hairy garments and eat nothing but boiled herbs, they mimic the original deity of Judaism, Shiva, lord of austerities, vegetarian, who, like his followers in the account below, preferred the mountains and wilderness to the cities.

THE MARTYRDOM OF ISAIAH [Chapter 1]

1 And it came to pass in the twenty-sixth year of the reign of Hezekiah king of Judah that he
2 called Manasseh his son. Now he was his only one. And he called him into the presence of
Isaiah the son of Amoz the prophet; and into the presence of Josab the son of Isaiah.
6b, 7 And whilst he (Hezekiah) gave commands, Josab the son of Isaiah standing by, Isaiah
said to Hezekiah the king, but not in the presence of Manasseh only did he say unto him: 'As
the Lord liveth, whose name has not been sent into this world, [and as the Beloved of my Lord
liveth], and as the Spirit which speaketh in me liveth, all these commands and these words shall
be made of none effect by Manasseh thy son, and through the agency of his hands I shall
depart mid the torture of
8 my body. And Sammael Malchira shall serve Manasseh, and execute all his desire, and he
shall
9 become a follower of Beliar rather than of me. And many in Jerusalem and in Judaea he shall
cause to abandon the true faith, and Beliar shall dwell in Manasseh, and by his hands I shall be
10 sawn asunder.' And when Hezekiah heard these words he wept very bitterly, and rent his
garments, 11 and placed earth upon his head, and fell on his face. And Isaiah said unto him:
'The counsel of
12 Sammael against Manasseh is consummated: nought shall avail thee.' And on that day
Hezekiah 13 resolved in his heart to slay Manasseh his son. And Isaiah said to Hezekiah: ['The
Beloved hath made of none effect thy design, and] the purpose of thy heart shall not be
accomplished, for with this calling have I been called [and I shall inherit the heritage of the
Beloved].'

[Chapter 2]

1 And it came to pass after that Hezekiah died and Manasseh became king, that he did not
remember the commands of Hezekiah his father but forgot them, and Sammael abode in
Manasseh
2 and clung fast to him. And Manasseh forsook the service of the God of his father, and he
served
3 Satan and his angels and his powers. And he turned aside the house of his father which had
been
4 before the face of Hezekiah the words of wisdom and from the service of God. And Manasseh
turned aside his heart to serve Beliar; for the angel of lawlessness, who is the ruler of this world,
is Beliar, whose name is Matanbuchus. And he delighted in Jerusalem because of Manasseh,
and he made him strong in apostatizing (Israel) and in the lawlessness which was spread
abroad in Jerusalem
5 And witchcraft and magic increased and divination and augulation, and fornication, [and
adultery], and the persecution of the righteous by Manasseh and [Belachira, and] Tobia the
Canaanite, and John
6 of Anathoth, and by (Zadok the chief of the works. And the rest of the acts, behold they are
written

7 in the book of the Kings of Judah and Israel. And when Isaiah the son of Amoz saw the lawlessness which was being perpetrated in Jerusalem and the worship of Satan and his wantonness, he

8 withdrew from Jerusalem and settled in Bethlehem of Judah. And there also there was much
9 lawlessness, and withdrawing from Bethlehem he settled on a mountain in a desert place.

[And Micaiah the prophet, and the aged Ananias, and Joel and Habakkuk, and his son Josab, and many of the faithful who believed in the ascension into heaven, withdrew and settled on the mountain.]

10 They were all clothed with garments of hair, and they were all prophets. And they had nothing with them but were naked, and they all lamented with a great lamentation because of the going

11 astray of Israel. And these eat nothing save wild herbs which they gathered on the mountains, and having cooked them, they lived thereon together with Isaiah the prophet. And they spent two years of

12 days on the mountains and hills. [And after this, whilst they were in the desert, there was a certain man in Samaria named Belchira, of the family of Zedekiah, the son of Chenaan, a false prophet whose dwelling was in Bethlehem. Now Hezekiah the son of Chanani, who was the brother of his

father, and in the days of Ahab king of Israel had been the teacher of the 400 prophets of Baal,
13 had himself smitten and reproved Micaiah the son of Amada the prophet. And he, Micaiah, had been reproved by Ahab and cast into prison. (And he was) with Zedekiah the prophet: they were

14 with Ahaziah the son of Ahab, king in Samaria. And Elijah the prophet of Tebon of Gilead was reproving Ahaziah and Samaria, and prophesied regarding Ahaziah that he should die on his bed of sickness, and that Samaria should be delivered into the hand of Leba Nasr because he had slain

15 the prophets of God. And when the false prophets, who were with Ahaziah the son of Ahab and

16 their teacher Gemarias of Mount Joel had heard -now he was brother of Zedekiah -when they had heard, they persuaded Ahaziah the king of Agaron and slew Micaiah.

[Chapter 3]

1 And Belchira recognized and saw the place of Isaiah and the prophets who were with him; for he dwelt in the region of Bethlehem, and was an adherent of Manasseh. And he prophesied falsely in Jerusalem, and many belonging to Jerusalem were confederate with him, and he was a Samaritan.

2 And it came to pass when Alagar Zagar, king of Assyria, had come and captured Samaria and taken the nine (and a half) tribes captive, and led them away to the mountains of the Medes and the

3 rivers of Tazon; this (Belchira) while still a youth, had escaped and come to Jerusalem in the days of Hezekiah king of Judah, but he walked not in the ways of his father of Samaria; for he feared

4 Hezekiah. And he was found in the days of Hezekiah speaking words of lawlessness in Jerusalem.

5 And the servants of Hezekiah accused him, and he made his escape to the region of Bethlehem.

6 And they persuaded . . . And Belchira accused Isaiah and the prophets who were with him, saying: 'Isaiah and those who are with him prophesy against Jerusalem and against the cities of Judah that they shall be laid waste and (against the children of Judah and) Benjamin also that they shall go into captivity, and also against thee, O lord the king, that thou shalt go (bound) with hooks

8 and iron chains': But they prophesy falsely against Israel and Judah. And Isaiah himself hath

9 said: 'I see more than Moses the prophet.' But Moses said: 'No man can see God and live':

10 and Isaiah hath said: 'I have seen God and behold I live.' Know, therefore, O king, that he is lying. And Jerusalem also he hath called Sodom, and the princes of Judah and Jerusalem he hath declared to be the people of Gomorrah. And he brought many accusations against Isaiah and the

11 prophets before Manasseh. But Beliar dwelt in the heart of Manasseh and in the heart of the

12 princes of Judah and Benjamin and of the eunuchs and of the councillors of the king. And the words of Belchira pleased him [exceedingly], and he sent and seized Isaiah.

[Chapter 5]

1b, 2 And he sawed him asunder with a wood-saw. And when Isaiah was being sawn in sunder Balchira stood up, accusing him, and all the false prophets stood up, laughing and rejoicing because

3 of Isaiah. And Balchira, with the aid of Mechembechus, stood up before Isaiah, [laughing]

4 deriding; And Belchira said to Isaiah: 'Say: "I have lied in all that I have spoken, and likewise

5 the ways of Manasseh are good and right. And the ways also of Balchira and of his associates are

6, 7 good.'" And this he said to him when he began to be sawn in sunder. But Isaiah was (absorbed)

8 in a vision of the Lord, and though his eyes were open, he saw them . And Balchira spake

thus to Isaiah: 'Say what I say unto thee and I will turn their heart, and I will compel Manasseh

9 and the princes of Judah and the people and all Jerusalem to reverence thee.' And Isaiah

answered and said: 'So far as I have utterance (I say): Damned and accursed be thou and all thy powers and

10, 11 all thy house. For thou canst not take (from me) aught save the skin of my body.' And they

12 seized and sawed in sunder Isaiah, the son of Amoz, with a wood-saw. And Manasseh and

13 Balchira and the false prophets and the princes and the people [and] all stood looking on.

And to the prophets who were with him he said before he had been sawn in sunder: 'Go ye to the region

14 of Tyre and Sidon; for for me only hath God mingled the cup.' And when Isaiah was being sawn in sunder, he neither cried aloud nor wept, but his lips spake with the Holy Spirit until he was sawn in twain.

NEW TESTAMENT LIES ABOUT JESUS AND HIS FOLLOWERS ARE DOCUMENTED BY ROMAN HISTORIANS WHO AFFIRM THE EARLIEST CHRISTIANS WERE VEGETARIANS

Numerous Roman Documents Demonstrate
The Vegetarianism of Jesus and his Followers.

The Progress Report of Pliny the Younger
to Emperor Trajan in 112 c.e.

The earliest Christians had destroyed the meat industry in Bithynia, which was taxed by Rome. Pliny invaded Bithynia in order to restore the animal sacrifices by torturing and killing the followers of Jesus.

1. The Letter of Pliny the Younger, imperial governor of the Bithynia-Pontus area in northwestern Asia Minor to the Roman emperor, Trajan, dated 112, c.e. Pliny informs Trajan that through torture and execution he has successfully suppressed the vegetarian Christians who had persuaded the local population of Bithynia not to eat the corpses of slaughtered animals, and therefore caused financial losses to the meat industry in that region of the Roman Empire. Who had preached in Bithynia? The apostle Peter himself. Pliny reports to Trajan the emperor:

"I ask them if they are Christians. If they admit it I repeat the question a second and a third time, threatening capital punishment; if they persist I sentence them to death....(6) others named by the informer first said that they were Christians and then denied it....they all worshipped your image and the statues of the gods and cursed Christ.... (9)....The contagion of this superstition has spread not only in the cities, but in the villages and rural districts as well; yet it seems capable of being checked and setright. (10) There is no shadow of doubt that the temples, which have been almost deserted, are beginning to be frequented once more, that the sacred rites which have been long neglected are being renewed, and that sacrificial victims are for sale everywhere, whereas, till recently, a buyer was rarely to be found." Quoted from pp. 3-4, The Letter of Pliny the Younger (62-113) to Trajan, Emperor of Rome, Christians in Bithynia, dated 112 A.D. c. e. Plin. Epp. X (ad Traj.) xcvi., Documents of the Christian Church, Selected and edited by Henry Bettensen. 2nd. Edition. Oxford University Press London Oxford New York, 1967.

"Persecution under Decius, 249-251. A libellus (certificate of sacrifice) discovered at Fayoum (Egypt) 1893; Milligan, Greek Papyri, 48.

[The Edict of Decius, 250, commanded provincial governors and magistrates, assisted where necessary by local notables, to superintend the sacrifices to the gods and to the genius of the Emperor, to be performed by all on a fixed day. Many recanted; others bought certificates or had them procured by pagan friends. There seems to have been wholesale connivance by the officials.]

TO THE COMMISSIONERS FOR SACRIFICES IN THE VILLAGE OF ALEXANDER'S ISLAND,
FROM AURELIUS DIOGENES, SON OF SATABUS, OF THE VILLAGE OF ALEXANDER'S
ISLAND, AGED 72; SCAR ON RIGHT EYEBROW.

I have always sacrificed to the gods, and now in your presence, in accordance with the terms of the edict, I have done sacrifice and poured libations and tasted the sacrifices, and I request you to certify to this effect. Farewell. I CERTIFY THAT I WITNESSED HIS SACRIFICE, AURELIUS SYRUS.

Dated this first year of the Emperor Caesar Gaius Messius Quintus Trajanus Decius, Pius, Felix, Augustus, the 2nd of Epiph. (26 June, 250) Quoted from p. 13, Documents of the Christian Church, Bettenson.

Eusebius, Ecclesiastical History, IX. x. 8. "...It was enacted by their majesties Diocletian and Maximian that the meetings of Christians should be abolished....VII, ii. 4. March 303....Imperial edicts were published everywhere ordering that the churches be razed to the ground, that the Scriptures be destroyed by fire (emphasis mine) that those holding office be deposed and they of the household be deprived of freedom, if they persisted in the profession of Christianity. This was the first edict against us. But not long after other decrees were issued, which enjoined that the rulers of the churches in every place be first imprisoned, and thereafter every means be used to compel them to sacrifice." P. 14, Ibid.

Adolph Harnack says:

"See on this point the statement of Origen's pupil Dionysius, Bishop of Alexandria (in Euseb. H.E., vii. 10. 4) for the reason why the Valerian persecution broke out. Here pagan and Christian exorcisers opposed each other. Of the latter, Dionysius says: "There are and were among them many persons whose very presence and look, though they merely breathed and spoke, were able to scatter the delusive counsels of the sinful demons." Local persecution of Christians elsewhere, and indeed the great persecution under Diocletian, arose in this way, pagan priests affirming that the presence of Christians who attended the sacrifices hindered their saving influence." Page 144, footnote 2, The Mission and Expansion of Christianity (In the First Three Centuries), Adolph Harnack, published by Williams and Norgate, London. Vol. 1 of the 1908 edition, translated and edited by James Moffat.

Eusebius, De martyribus Palaestinae, iii, 2. "April 304....Imperial edicts were issued, in which, by a general decree, it was ordered that all the people without exception should sacrifice in the several cities and offer libations to the idols." Ibid.

308 Eusebius. De. m. P. ix. 2. "Therefore a host of letters from Maximin was issued everywhere throughout every province. The governor, and also the military commander, by edicts, letters and public ordinances pressed the magistrates, generals and notaries to implement the imperial decree which ordered that the idol's ruins be rebuilt with all speed; that all without exception--men, women, slaves and children, even infants in arms--should sacrifice and offer oblations....Pp. 14-15, Ibid.

311. Eusebius VIII. xiv. 9. "Maximin...ordered temples to be erected in every city, and the sacred groves to be speedily restored, which had fallen into ruin through lapse of time. He set idol-priests in every place and city, and over them he appointed in each province a high priest, one of the officials who had specially distinguished himself in all kinds of service, giving him a body of troops and a personal guard...."

I. Edict of Toleration, 311. Lactantius, *De mort. pers.* XXXIV.

"Among our other regulations to promote the lasting good of the community we have hitherto endeavoured to restore a universal conformity to the ancient institutions and public order of the Romans; and in particular it has been our aim to bring back to a right disposition the Christians who had abandoned the religion of their fathers...." (The Romans got along well enough with the orthodox Jews, the "fathers" of the Christians, for orthodox Jews were carnivorous and sacrificed animals, and were elitist, and slave-holders, as were the Romans. Comment mine.) Edict of Toleration.

"3. After the publication of our edict ordering the Christians to conform to the ancient institutions, many of them were brought to order through fear, while many were exposed to danger. 4. Nevertheless, since many still persist in their opinions, and since we have observed that they now neither show due reverence to the gods nor worship their own God (i.e. the "god" of orthodox Judaism affirming carnivorous and elitism--my commentary), we therefore, with our wonted clemency in extending pardon to all, are pleased to grant indulgence to these men, allowing Christians the right to exist again and to set up their places of worship; provided they do not offend against public order. (Emphasis mine).

Paul the antichrist prophet--Constantine the antichrist king.

I purposely juxtapose the following selection from Eusebius' *Life of Constantine*, 3.64-66, which demonstrates that the origins of Christian orthodoxy contained the same "no tolerance" attitude which existed during the middle ages and which exists today towards Shamanic people and toward non-Christian populations throughout the world.

64. "Constantine the Great, Conqueror, Augustus, to the heretics.

Recognize now through this legislation, O Novatians, Valentinians, Marcionites... and you who are called Cataphrygians, and, in general, all you who set out heresies in full form through your private assemblies, with how many lies your vanity has been interwoven, how your teaching is held together with certain poisonous drugs, so that through you the healthy are made sick and the living are reduced to perpetual death. O enemies of the truth, and adversaries of the life, and counsellors of destruction, for with you all things are contrary to the truth, and in harmony with shamefully wicked things....

65. Since, therefore, we cannot further bear this destructiveness of your abomination, we make public proclamation by this law that no one of you should henceforth dare to assemble. On This account we have ordered that you be deprived of all your houses in which you hold these assemblies. This attention extends not only to the assemblies of your foolish superstition gathering in a public place, but in a private house or any private places. But the better way is for as many of you as care about true and pure religion to enter the Catholic Church and share in its holiness, through which you can attain the truth. But let the deceit of your distorted teaching be totally removed from the good fortune of our times; what I mean is the accursed and pernicious discord of heretics and schismatics....And that there might be the power necessary to effect this cure, we have ordered, as has already been said, that all the assemblies of your superstition, I mean the houses of prayer of all the heretics, if indeed it is fitting to call them houses of prayer, having been taken from you incontrovertibly, be delivered to the Catholic Church without any delay, and that all the remaining places be held to be state property, and that no provision for assembling be left you for the future, that from this day your lawless assemblies may not dare gather in any place public or private. Let it be published."

66. In this way the secret gatherings of the heterodox were destroyed by royal decree, and the beasts, the leaders of their impiety, were driven out. Some who had been deceived by them, because they feared the emperor's threat, slipped into the Church with a spurious intention, concealing their thoughts at the time. Since the law also commanded that the books of the men be searched out (emphasis mine), those pursuing the forbidden evil arts were caught. Fourth century, c.e.

Codex Theodosianus 16.5.34.

The same Augustuses to Eutychianus, Praetorian Prefect.

"Let the clerics of the superstition of Eunomius or of Montanus be expelled from the fellowship or frequentation of all municipalities and cities. If perhaps those who live in the country are proven either to assemble the population or to participate in any assemblies, let them be banished forever.

Let the administrator of the property suffer the supreme penalty [death], and let the owner be deprived of the property in which, with his knowledge and compliance, these unpropitious and condemned meetings are proven to have been held. If, indeed, after this order has been formally published, they are discovered in any city, or are proven to have entered any house for the purpose of celebrating their superstition, their goods shall be confiscated, they themselves shall suffer the supreme penalty, and the house they have entered in the manner mentioned shall be attached to the imperial treasury without delay, unless the lord or lady of the house immediately expelled and reported them.

THE ROMANS DESTROYED THE EARLIEST SCRIPTURES,
WHICH CONDEMNED ANIMAL SACRIFICES AND SLAVERY,
BUT PUBLISHED THE NEW TESTAMENT WHICH SUPPORTED BOTH.

[Commentary: The earliest scriptures about Jesus were called the Ebionite Gospel and even a saint of the Roman Catholic Church, Epiphanius, though critical, nonetheless describes Jesus, John the Baptist, James, and Jesus' other close disciples in the Ebionite Gospel as vegetarians denouncing the animal sacrifices, and as affirming that the Pentateuch was written by false scribes. For this reason we understand why the Romans desired to destroy the scriptures of the charismatic Christians.

1. We command that the books indeed which contain the teaching and materials of all their crimes be sought out immediately and produced with extreme keenness and obvious authority. They are to be burned immediately under the supervision of the judges. If, by chance, someone is convicted of having hidden any of these books under whatever pretext or deceit, and of having failed to bring them forward, let him know that he will suffer capital punishment as a retainer of harmful books and as guilty of the crime of sorcery. (Emphasis mine)

Given on the sixteenth day before the kalends of June at Milan in the year of the consulship of the Most Noble Theodorus.---May 17, 399."

Pliny the Younger (62-113) Invaded Bithynia because the population there, converted by Peter to be followers of Jesus, had become Vegetarians.

Pliny persecuted and killed the followers of Jesus, and the people began sacrificing animals and eating them again.

The Progress Report of Pliny the Younger to Emperor Trajan in 112 c.e.

The earliest Christians had destroyed the meat industry in Bithynia, which was taxed by Rome. Pliny invaded Bithynia in order to restore the animal sacrifices by torturing and killing the followers of Jesus.

1. The Letter of Pliny the Younger, imperial governor of the Bithynia-Pontus area in northwestern Asia Minor to the Roman emperor, Trajan, dated 112, c.e. Pliny informs Trajan that through torture and execution he has successfully suppressed the vegetarian Christians who had persuaded the local population of Bithynia not to eat the corpses of slaughtered animals, and therefore caused financial losses to the meat industry in that region of the Roman Empire. Who had preached in Bithynia? The apostle Peter himself. Pliny reports to Trajan the emperor:

"I ask them if they are Christians. If they admit it I repeat the question a second and a third time, threatening capital punishment; if they persist I sentence them to death....(6) others named by the informer first said that they were Christians and then denied it....they all worshipped your image and the statues of the gods and cursed Christ.... (9)....The contagion of this superstition has spread not only in the cities, but in the villages and rural districts as well; yet it seems capable of being checked and set right. (10) There is no shadow of doubt that the temples, which have been almost deserted, are beginning to be frequented once more, that the sacred rites which have been long neglected are being renewed, and that sacrificial victims are for sale

everywhere, whereas, till recently, a buyer was rarely to be found." Quoted from pp. 3-4, The Letter of Pliny the Younger (62-113) to Trajan, Emperor of Rome, Christians in Bithynia, dated 112 A.D. c. e. Plin. Epp. X (ad Traj.) xcvi., Documents of the Christian Church, Selected and edited by Henry Bettensen. 2nd. Edition. Oxford University Press London Oxford New York, 1967.

Christian Church Father Hippolytus (c.160-235) Describes the earliest followers of Jesus as wearing the bindi or tilaka, the mark on the forehead, of the vegetarian Hindus. He describes the Christians as being the absolute enemies of Rome, in total contrast to Paul's teachings.

A traveler to Asia and to points east, Hippolytus (c.160-235) in his Dan., iv. 9, visualizes a moral battleground between the people who will follow Jesus, representative of God, and the people who will follow Augustus, Emperor of Rome, a worldly king, a representative of Satan. In so doing he describes the earliest Christians as wearing the bindi, the mark of victory on their forehead. His statement that Christians "bear a new name" may also refer to initiates being given a new spiritual name, which is customary among the newly initiated in both the Hindu and Buddhist traditions. "For as our Lord was born in the forty-second year of the emperor Augustus, whence the Roman empire developed, and as the Lord also called all nations and all tongues by means of the apostles and fashioned believing Christians into a people, the people of the Lord, and the people which consists of those who bear a new name--so was all this imitated to the letter by the empire of that day, ruling 'according to the working of Satan': for it also collected to itself the noblest of every nation, and, dubbing them Romans, got ready for the fray. And that is the reason why the first census took place under Augustus, when our Lord was born at Bethlehem; it was to get the men of this world, who enrolled for our earthly king, called Romans, while those who believed in a heavenly king were termed Christians, bearing on their foreheads the sign of victory over death." (Emphasis mine) Dan. iv. 9.

This passage immediately reminds one of the sharply defined morality underlying the battle of the final times described in the Essenes' "War Scroll," a battle between the sons of light and the sons of darkness. There is an unbroken line, a discernible lineage, leading from the Hindus as well as from vegetarian Sumerians and vegetarian Egyptians, to the writers of the original vegetarian Old Testament writers, such as the original writer of "Genesis," whether Moses or a school of Moses, to some of the psalmists, to the author of the "Book of Job" (minus its patently false ending), to virtually all the late prophets, and to the Essenes and to the early Christians as well.

The Two Spirits of the Essenes, one Good, one Evil,
And Jesus' Teaching: "You cannot serve both God and Mammon."

The Essenes had a teaching regarding the two spirits, one good, and evil either of which one could choose to follow. So did the strict Jesus of the New Testament. There are, as virtually all scholars acknowledge, several different portrayals of Jesus in the New Testament, each of them corresponding in some way with the personality and ideals of each evangelist, and each in some way was changed by the bishops of the fourth century under Constantine in order

to fit comfortably with Roman doctrine. The fact that the brutal emperor Constantine published the New Testament at the empire's expense is in itself an admission that it was the teachings of Rome, and not the original teachings of Jesus and his disciples, that were being spread. So we have the rather evil irony of slavery, resentment-breeding societies of rich and poor, male chauvenism, bigotry towards homosexuals and the disease-promoting diet of carnivorousism, all being preached in the name of the vegetarian Jesus who cleansed the temple of the animals to be sacrificed, who constantly quoted the late vegetarian prophets, and whose mission in "Epistle to the Hebrews" is bluntly affirmed to be the abolition of the animal sacrifices.

Those who still falsely claim that Christianity converted Rome need to be reminded that the Christian bishops who catered to Constantine prostituted themselves to an emperor who paid them well. Those bishops were like the scribes and pharisees Jesus addressed, clean on the outside but inside filled with the bones of the dead. These bishops, like the scribes of Judaism and Islam, would turn away from compassion and embrace the doctrines of oppression and evil towards other creatures, not to mention the subjugation of women and the enslavement of their own human kind.

The sharply defined distinction between good and evil, the power of God and the powers of this world, which undeniably was a trait of the Essenes, is also seen in the strict Jesus of the New Testament.

"No one can serve two masters; for either he will hate the one and love the other, or he will be devoted to the one and despise the other. You cannot serve God and mammon." Jesus, Matthew 6: 24.

Paul, on the other hand, the rhetorician, makes the authority [which God allows] as equal to the authority which God desires. One need answer Paul only with the recognition that God allows evil in this world, but God does not desire it.

"Let every person be subject to the governing authorities. For there is no authority except from God, and those that exist have been instituted by God..." Paul, Romans 13.

Jesus the Vegetarian

The Cleansing of the Temple In Context of:

The Stated Mission of Jesus in "Epistle to the Hebrews"

Being to Abolish the Animal Sacrifices;

The Ebionite Gospel Describing Jesus and his Disciples
as Denouncing Animal Sacrifices,

The Nazarenes Being Described as Vegetarians,

And Jesus Being Described as a Nazarene;

And Jesus Constantly Quoting the Late Vegetarian Jewish Prophets.

Jesus' mission to abolish the animal sacrifices as stated in

"Epistle to the Hebrews"

is parallel to the mission of the Buddhas, Krishna and

Shiva. Jesus Attacks the Meat

Industry, the Creature-Killers:

Jesus chases the animals to be sacrificed out of the temple so they will not be sacrificed.

"The Passover of the Jews was at hand, and Jesus went up to Jerusalem. In the temple he found those who were selling oxen and sheep and pigeons, and the money-changers at their business. And making a whip of cords, he drove them all, with the sheep and oxen, out of the temple; and he poured out the coins of the money-changers and overturned their tables." John 2: 13-15.

The Mission of Jesus is to Abolish the Animal Sacrifices.

The Real Reason for the Cleansing of the Temple:

Jesus wanted to abolish the animal sacrifices,
To destroy the lie that animal sacrifices took away sin,
And to replace that lie With the fact that the animal sacrifices were themselves sinful.

"For it is impossible that the blood of bulls and goats should take away sins." "Consequently, when Christ came into the world, he said, "Sacrifices and offerings thou hast not desired, but a body hast thou prepared for me; in burnt offerings and sin offerings thou hast taken no pleasure. Then I said, 'Lo, I have come to do thy will, O God,' as it is written of me in the roll of the book." "Epistle to the Hebrews," 10: 4-7.

The Double Evil of the Animal Sacrifices:

They are both cruel to the animals as well as
Promoting disease among humans.

Jesus statement:

"I have come that you may have life and have it more abundantly."
was an affirmation that a virtuous life was a long life.
It echoes Moses' statement that obeying God's word will
Lengthen one's days on earth.

Moses and the vegetarian Essenes lived to 120 years.

Psalms 90 written by Moses states that the normal lifespan for the
carnivorous Jew is three-score years and ten or seventy years.

Moses criticizes the Israelites craving for flesh in Exodus. The

Variant Names of the Essenes all Refer to Shiva or Sheba,

The Renunciate Deity of the original Jews.

Osseans is derived from Osseo.

Jesseans is derived from Jesse or Yishaiy, i.e. Isa.

Essaio is derived from Isa.

Essene is derived from Isana or Asani.

Osseo, Isa, Isana, Asani are all names of Shiva or Sheba.

Philo, Josephus and Pliny the Elder affirm the longevity of the Essenes, many of whom were centenarians. The Essenes denounced the animal sacrifices and were vegetarian. Moreover, as is seen in the Hymns of the Dead Sea Scrolls, the Deity of the Essenes was compassionate towards all of the creatures it created. The Essenes remained true to the original vegetarian covenant of Genesis 1: 29-30, and not to the false covenant of Genesis 9: 2-3. The various designations for Essenes are derived from the names of Shiva or Sheba, God of seven worlds, seven rivers, seven mountains, after whom the Shabbath, or Sabbath, the seventh day, was named. Zechariah Sitchin in Lost Realms says that Elishiva in Canaan meant "My God is seven. Epiphanius described the Essenes as Osseans, which is derived from Oseo, a name of Shiva. Epiphanius also described the Essenes as Jesseans, which is derived from Jesse, or Yishaiy in Hebrew, which is also the source of the names Isaiah and Joshua or Yeshua. Isa is one of the names of Shiva and we see the Isa root in Yishaiy and Isaiah. Isa or Issa is the name by which Jesus was known to the Tibetans, Hindus and Muslims.

The Easily Perceived Superficiality of the Orthodox Interpretation of the Cleansing of the Temple.

The cleansing of the temple was not simply an attempt to attack a non-effective means of atoning for sins, nor was it Jesus' revolt against conducting business in the temple, which is the superficial explanation given in the New Testament, based on the scriptures: "you shall not make my Father's house a house of trade....you have made it a den of robbers."

Jesus, like a good militant Hindu or Buddhist, put his body on the line against the cruelty of the animal sacrifices of orthodox Judaism, and against profiting from such cruelty and unhealthy nutrition. Orthodox Judaism was then and still is today daily involved in oppressing and slaughtering flesh and blood, feeling creatures, created by an all-compassionate God. The elders, priests, and patriarchs who profited from the animal sacrifices plotted Jesus' death after this event (Mark: 11: 18).

The Orthodox Interpretation:
Jesus was merely disputing the location
of the sacrifices,
Not the sacrifices themselves.

According to the orthodox interpretation of the cleansing of the temple, Jesus was protesting that the sacrifices should occur outside rather than inside the temple. The orthodox interpretation is absurd. A charismatic person like Jesus, if he had been carnivorous and in favor of the animal sacrifices, would, like a good orthodox Jew, simply have asked to consult with the priests and patriarchs of orthodoxy, if the location of the sacrifices had been the issue.

The intelligence, emotional stability, and mission of Jesus are all demeaned by the orthodox interpretation, which makes Jesus appear as a hot-headed zealot catalyzing the Jewish establishment to kill him for a superficial reason.

The Purpose of Orthodox Superficiality:
To Disguise the Truth, Namely that Jesus was Vegetarian

And did not Affirm that "All foods are Clean."

The superficiality of the orthodox interpretation had a definite purpose. For those concocting the New Testament, and those wishing to promote the notion that "all foods are clean" had to explain away the obvious purpose of the cleansing of the temple, which, as we can see by reading Roman documents about the Christians written in the next three centuries, was a crucial event in the minds of the earliest Christians, who understood it for what it is: Jesus' protest against the animal sacrifices. Orthodox Christians, accustomed, even addicted, to eating flesh, and desiring to sanction their habit or addiction by pointing to scriptures asserting that "all foods are clean," totally ignore the stated mission of Jesus as stated in the "Epistle to the Hebrews," namely that it was his divine mission to abolish the animal sacrifices.

The Real Reason for the Cleansing of the Temple:
Jesus wanted to abolish the animal sacrifices,
To destroy the lie that animal sacrifices took away sin,
And to replace that lie With the fact that the animal
sacrifices were themselves sinful.

As a Nazarene Jew, Jesus was quite aware that the vegetarian Nazarenes were cursed in the synagogues, and that the rich Jewish elite, the patriarchs who owned cattle, were involved in the meat industry of the day. After the animals were sacrificed in the temple, they were dismembered, butchered into smaller segments, then circulated in Jerusalem and elsewhere where they were sold. The meat industry was then, just as it is now, a profitable industry, and then, just as now, the elite establishment, the wealthy, were those who profited most, though all those involved shared in the profits to a lesser extent.

I ask the reader, especially the young, or the open-minded orthodox reader, to study carefully the following scriptures, for they show without any doubt that it was Jesus' mission to abolish the animal sacrifices, the burnt offerings. Moreover, in context of what the scriptures say occurred, namely the execution of Jesus by crucifixion, we can see the scripture writer was aware that the statement of Jesus' mission was in fact an "exhortation to martyrdom."

From "Epistle to the Hebrews:"

"For it is impossible that the blood of bulls and goats should take away sins." "Consequently, when Christ came into the world, he said, "Sacrifices and offerings thou hast not desired, but a body hast thou prepared for me; in burnt offerings and sin offerings thou hast taken no pleasure. Then I said, 'Lo, I have come to do thy will, O God,' as it is written of me in the roll of the book." "Epistle to the Hebrews," 10: 4-7.

"For since the law has but a shadow of the good thing to come instead of the true form of these realities, it can never, by the same sacrifices which are continually offered year after year, make perfect those who draw near. Otherwise, would they not have ceased to be offered? If the worshipers had once been cleansed, they would no longer have any consciousness of sin. But in these sacrifices there is a reminder of sin year after year." 10: 1-3.

The above scriptures are the essence of the "Epistle to the Hebrews," for most of the rest of the epistle evades the critical issues raised by the above scriptures.

JESUS WAS A NAZARENE

Orthodox Christians Have Suppressed the Fact
That the Nazarenes were Vegetarians. The Orthodox Commitment against seeing Vegetarianism
As a Teaching of Jesus Even a Saint of the Roman Catholic Church, Epiphanius in his Panarion admits that the earliest followers of Jesus were called Essenes and Nazarenes.

Saint Epiphanius in his Panarion admits that the earliest followers of Jesus were called Essenes and Nazarenes.

Attached to the "Clementine Recognitions," is another suppressed piece of Christian history which perfectly confirms the information given in the Panarion. It is a curse against the vegetarian Nazarenes and Essenes that every Jew converting to orthodox Christianity in some of the eastern churches had to recite:

"I anathematize the Nazareans, the stubborn ones, who deny that the law of sacrifices was given by Moses, who abstain from eating living things, and who never offer sacrifice. I anathematize the Osseans, the blindest of all men, who use other Scriptures than the Law." Quoted from page 398, The Conflict of the Church and Synagogue, by James Parkes.

INRI

Jesus the Nazarene, King of the Jews
Jesus the Nazarene was changed to Jesus of Nazareth
In order to disguise the Vegetarianism of Jesus.

The term Nazarene in Jesus' time referred to a vegetarian religious group who were well known for opposing the animal sacrifices. A number of scholars argue that Nazareth, if it existed at all during Jesus' day, could not have been his family's home because of its distance from the places where Jesus preached and congregated. Most scholars readily acknowledge that Nazareth was not mentioned by historians or chronicles until well after Jesus' time. Another group of scholars affirms that Nazareth got its name from the fact that it was an area that had been inhabited by Nazirites and Nazarenes. Either way scholars do not dispute the vegetarianism of the Nazarenes. "Jesus the Nazarene" was changed to "Jesus of Nazareth" by orthodox Christians after the Romans took over because they did not want to associate Jesus with the well-known and well documented vegetarian Nazarenes.

INRI, the sign on the cross, should be read as
Jesus the Nazarene, King of the Jews.

INRI, the sign on the cross above Jesus' head should logically be translated as Jesus the Nazarene, King of the Jews. The location of Jesus' home would have been irrelevant information to be put on the cross, for Jesus was obviously well-known in the area, whereas the fact that Jesus was one of the Nazarenes, who were hated by orthodox Jews because they protested against the animal sacrifices, was totally relevant information that justified his crucifixion in their eyes. A crucified Nazarene was a defeated enemy, an answer to the prayers of the orthodox.

Epiphanius in his Panarion described orthodox Jews as praying three times a day for the destruction of the Nazarenes. The curse: "Let the Nazarenes and the heretics perish as in a moment, let them be blotted out of the book of the living and let them not be written with the righteous." (quoted in Marcel Simon's Jewish Sects At the Time of Jesus, Fortress Press, Philadelphia, 1967, page 136.) See Psalm 69: 28.

When one reads that some scriptures are described as Apocryphal, Gnostic, or Pseudoepigraphical, one of the main things to remember is that all these terms are meant to express the fact that these works are not accepted by the orthodox, that is, by those who are in power now. The victors write history; the victors write scriptures; the victors write your educational curriculum. Therefore it is absolutely necessary to study what is not orthodox, to go where no orthodox mind has gone.

There is a growing number of objective scholars who look realistically at the epistles of Paul, and the Gospels and epistles in general and understand that Paul and the Romans attempted to undermine the egalitarianism and vegetarianism that existed among the earliest Christians. Among these scholars are Baigent and Leigh, authors of Deception and the Dead Sea Scrolls, and Robert Eisenman, author of James the Brother of Jesus.

Jesus often quoted late Jewish prophets
Who denounced the Animal Sacrifices
And who were vegetarian.

This is a short survey of the later prophets. For a longer study consult other pages on this web site dealing with the individual prophets. Some editions of all of the prophets' books of scriptures may be downloaded freely on the web.

Zechariah The Cleansing of the Temple and Zechariah's teachings.

Zechariah not only denounces animal sacrifices, but he looks at the profit motive of the cattlemen who kill their cattle in 11: 4-5,

"Thus said the Lord my God: "Become shepherd of the flock doomed to slaughter. Those who buy them slay them and go unpunished; and those who sell them say, 'Blessed be the Lord, I have become rich'; and their own shepherds have no pity on them." 11: 4-5.

We can see in the above scripture the same recognition by Zechariah, that orthodox Judaism was an evil shepherd not caring for the creatures of God, but killing them and selling

their flesh to eat. Jesus, in contrast, manifests the Good Shepherd who cares for his flock and doesn't want the creatures in his flock killed. Therefore he cleansed the temple.

Jesus scatters the animals who were to be sacrificed in the temple.

The bad shepherds are those who are profiting from the killing and eating of God's creatures.

"Awake, O sword, against my shepherd, against the man who stands next to me," says the Lord of hosts. "Strike the shepherd, that the sheep may be scattered." Zechariah 13: 7.

"My anger is hot against the shepherds"...10: 3.

...and I will make an end of the pride of Philistia. I will take away its blood from its mouth, and its abominations from between its teeth; it too shall be a remnant for our God. 9: 6-7.

Zechariah's name is Z'akharya in the Peshitta Aramaic. Z'akharya contains the word Acharya, which in Sanskrit means one who knows the rules, a holy teacher. Zechariah's name itself is testimony to the Hindu (and vegetarian) origins of Judaism and Jewish Christianity.

Isaiah

"Hear the word of the Lord you rulers of Sodom! Give ear to the teaching of our God, you people of Gomorrah! What to me is the multitude of your sacrifices? says the Lord; I have had enough of burnt offerings of rams and the fat of fed beasts; I do not delight in the blood of bulls, or of lambs, or of he-goats." 1: 10-11.

"...even though you make many prayers, I will not listen; your hands are full of blood. Wash yourselves, make yourselves clean; remove the evil of your doings from before my eyes; cease to do evil, learn to do good; seek justice, correct oppression... 1: 15-17.

"You have not... satisfied me with the fat of your sacrifices. But you have burdened me with your sins, you have wearied me with your iniquities." 43: 24.

"He who slaughters an ox is like him who kills a man." Isaiah 66:3.

Hosea

"For I desire steadfast love and not sacrifice, the knowledge of God, rather than burnt offerings. But at Adam they transgressed the covenant; there they dealt faithlessly with me." Hosea 6: 6-7.

"They love sacrifice; they sacrifice flesh and eat it; but the Lord has no delight in them." Hosea 8: 13.

Jeremiah

"And I will doubly recompense their iniquity and their sin, because they have polluted my land with the carcasses of their detestable idols, and have filled my inheritance with their abominations." 16: 17-18.

Baruch

"Take courage, my people, O memorial of Israel! It was not for destruction that you were sold to the nations, but you were handed over to your enemies because you angered God. For you provoked him who made you by sacrificing to demons and not to God." 4: 5-7.

"He who prepared the earth for all time filled it with four-footed creatures." 3: 32.

Amos

"I hate, I despise your feasts, and I take no delight in your solemn assemblies. Even though you offer me your burnt offerings...I will not accept them and the peace offerings of your fatted beasts I will not look upon. 5: 21-22.

"Woe to those who lie upon beds of ivory and stretch themselves upon their couches, and eat lambs from the flock, and calves from the midst of the stall..." 6: 4.

Micah

Destroying the Temples of Animal Sacrifice In Jerusalem and Samaria
Sacrificing Animals, Carnivorism, is Idol Worship

"What is the transgression of Jacob? Is it not Samaria? And what is the sin of the house of Judah? Is it not Jerusalem? Therefore I will make Samaria a heap in the open country, a place for planting vineyards; and I will pour down her stones into the valley and uncover her foundations. All her images shall be beaten to pieces, all her hires shall be burned with fire, and all her idols I will lay waste..." 1: 6-7.

"With what shall I come before the Lord, and bow myself before God on high? Shall I come before him with burnt offerings, with calves a year old? Will the lord be pleased with thousands of rams....Shall I give my first-born for my transgression, the fruit of my body for the sin of my soul? He has showed you, O man what is good; and what does the Lord require of you but to do justice, and to love kindness, and to walk humbly with your God?" 6:6-8.

Daniel

Daniel and the Vegetarian Covenant

Daniel's vision of the tree of vegetation feeding all creatures in Gen: 1: 29-30

"The visions of my head as I lay in bed were these: I saw, and behold, a tree in the midst of the earth; and its height was great. The tree grew and became strong, and its top reached to heaven, and it was visible to the end of the whole earth. Its leaves were fair and its fruit abundant, and it was food for all. The beasts of the field found shade under it, and the birds of the air dwelt in its branches, and all flesh was fed from it. 4: 10-12.

Daniel and Friends are clear-headed eating vegetation.
The king's seers, eating the king's rich food, i.e. flesh, are not.

"Then Daniel said to the steward whom the chief of eunuchs had appointed over Daniel, Hanani'ah, Mish a el and Azariah, "Test your servants for ten days; let us be given vegetables to eat and water to drink. Then let our appearance and the appearance of the youths who eat the king's rich food be observed by you, and according to what you see deal with your servants." So he hearkened to them in this matter and tested them for ten days. At the end of ten days it was seen that they were better in appearance and fatter in flesh than all the youths who ate the king's rich food. So the steward took away their rich food and the wine they were to drink and gave them vegetables." 1: 11-16.

The king tests Daniel and his vegetarian friends:

"In every matter of wisdom and understanding he found them ten times better than all the magicians and enchanters that were in all his kingdom." 1: 20.

Joel

"Behold, I am sending to you grain, wine and oil, and you shall be satisfied... 2: 19.

"Fear not, you beasts of the field, for the pastures of the wilderness are green; the tree bears its fruit, the fig tree and the vine give their full yield." 2: 22.

"And it shall come to pass afterward, that I will pour out my spirit on all flesh..." 2: 28.

Ezekiel

"Then I said, Ah lord God! behold, I have never defiled myself; from my youth up till now I have never eaten what died of itself or was torn by beasts, nor has foul flesh come into my mouth." 4: 14.

"Thus says the Lord God, Behold, I am against the shepherds; and I will require my sheep at their hand, and put a stop to their feeding the sheep; no longer shall the shepherds feed themselves. I will rescue my sheep from their mouths, that they may not be food for them." 34:10.

"On that day I swore to them that I would bring them out of the land of Egypt into a land that I had searched out for them, a land flowing with milk and honey, the most glorious of all lands. And I said to them, Cast away the detestable things your eyes feast on, every one of you, and do not defile yourselves with the idols of Egypt; I am the Lord your God. But they rebelled against me and would not listen to me; they did not every man cast away the detestable things their eyes feasted on, nor did they forsake the idols of Egypt." 20: 6-8.

Above Ezekiel is referring to the incident of the Israelites who craved flesh and received it in the form of quails. After eating the quail, many Israelites became sick and died. It was a capsule lesson of the real Moses' teaching: be true to God's vegetarian covenant, for it will mean the length of your days, that is, that you will live longer.

The above descriptions of
Jesus cleansing the temple,
Jesus' mission being to abolish the animal sacrifices,
the scriptures denouncing animal sacrifices
from the vegetarian prophets whom Jesus quotes,
should be juxtaposed
with the following events from the life of Buddha.
They show a similar purpose in the lives of both men.
Both had missions to abolish acts of harm towards God's creatures. Buddha releases a lamb
about to be sacrificed.
The Spirit of Ahimsa is to be extended to all creatures,
not just humans.

"The spirit of Ahimsa (non-violence) was ever present with Gautama from his very childhood. One day, his cousin Devadatta shot a bird. The poor creature was hurt and fell to the ground. Gautama ran forward, picked it up and refused to hand it over to his cousin. The quarrel was taken up before the Rajaguru who, however, decided in favour of Gautama to the great humiliation of Devadatta.

In his wanderings, Gautama one day saw a herd of goats and sheep winding their way through a narrow valley. Now and then the herdsman cried and ran forward and backward to keep the members of the fold from going astray. Among the vast flock Gautama saw a little lamb, toiling behind, wounded in one part of the body and made lame by a blow of the herdsman. Gautama's heart was touched and he took it up in his arms and carried it saying, "It is better to relieve the suffering of an innocent being than to sit on the rocks of Olympus or in solitary caves and watch unconcerned the sorrows and sufferings of humanity". Then, turning to the herdsman he said, "Whither are you going, my friend, with this huge flock so great a hurry?". "To the king's palace" said the herdsman, "We are sent to fetch goats and sheep for sacrifice which our master - the king - will start tonight in propitiation of the gods." Hearing this, Gautama followed the herdsman, carrying the lamb in his arms. When they entered the city, word was circulated that a holy hermit had brought the sacrifices ordered by the king. As Gautama passed through the streets, people came out to see the gracious and saintly figure of the youth clad in the yellow robes of a Sadhu (renunciate) and all were struck with wonder and awe at his noble

mien and his sweet expression. The king was also informed of the coming of the holy man to the sacrifice. When the ceremonies commenced in the presence of the king, there was brought a goat ready to be killed and offered to the gods. There it stood with its legs tied up and the high priest ready with a big bloodthirsty knife in his hand to cut the dumb animal's throat. In that cruel and tragic moment, when the life of the poor creature hung by a thread, Gautama stepped forward and cried, "Stop the cruel deed, O king!". And as he said this, he leaned forward and unfastened the bonds of the victim. "Every creature" he said, "loves to live, even as every human being loves to preserve his or her life". The priest then threw the knife away like a repentant sinner and the king issued a royal decree throughout the land the next day, to the effect that no further sacrifice should be made in future and that all people should show mercy to birds and beasts alike." From teachings/ahimsa.htm

Those aware of Hinduism know that the majority of Hindus venerating the main avatars of Hinduism, such Shiva, Krishna, Kali, Uma, are vegetarian. There are, however, carnivorous Hindu sects worshipping Kali and Shiva.

In any case, it should now be easy to see how Jesus fit in the pattern set by the prophets following the true covenant of Judaism, the covenant of Genesis 1: 29-30, which is a covenant of ahimsa towards all creatures. It is dramatically the opposite of the absurd covenant of Genesis 9: 2-3.

Jesus' Denunciation of Carnivorism

Returning to the original words of Jesus:

Not whitened sepulchers full of dead men's bones,
but whitened sepulchers full of dead animal bones.

Jesus describes the carnivorous Pharisees as "unseen graves."

THE VEGETARIAN ORIGINS OF CHRISTIANITY

A Glimpse of the Truth on the TV program "From Jesus to Christ"

The TV two hour program presented in April of 1998 "From Jesus to Christ," while not bluntly proclaiming the whole truth about the origins of Christianity, did portray the prominence of James the brother of Jesus, who is well documented as a vegetarian, and did focus on Pliny the Younger's often anthologized letter to Trajan, in which Pliny gives Trajan a progress report on his invasion of Bithynia, a place where Peter had preached (see the first verse of Peter's first letter in the New Testament). There Pliny and the Roman military tortured and killed the Christians who had persuaded the local population not to eat the flesh of sacrificed, i.e., slaughtered, animals, and had therefore destroyed the local meat industry. Pliny's military campaign was successful, for once again animals were being sold in the temple to be sacrificed.

"From Jesus to Christ" also quoted Jesus as denouncing the scribes and Pharisees for being whitened sepulchers full of dead bones, meaning dead animals' bones, not dead men's bones. This interpretation is easily seen to be true, for in adjacent scriptures Jesus describes the hypocrites as "unseen graves," an apt literal description of their eating habits. In other words, the carnivorous Pharisees were described as the walking graves of other creatures. In his *The Origins of Christianity*, Conybeare translates or deciphers those scriptures in the same manner, removing the adjectival "men's" before bones.

In the Ebionite Gospel

Jesus and His Disciples Denounced the Pentateuch

wherein it is stated That God sanctioned the Animal Sacrifices.

Jesus' description of the hypocritical Pharisees as "hidden graves" and "whitened sepulchers full of dead (animal) bones" is totally consistent with the description by Epiphanius in the Panarion of Jesus and his disciples as portrayed in the Ebionite Gospel, which is acknowledged to be the first lengthy written account of the teachings and actions of Jesus and his associates. In that work Jesus and his associates are seen as vegetarians who denounce the Pentateuch, wherein it is taught that animal sacrifices are sanctioned by God, as false scriptures.

The Moral Absurdities that govern orthodox Judaism,
Christianity and Islam:

A deity of infinite compassion wants the oppression,
enslavement and slaughter of animals.

A deity who is all-knowing wants humans to ingest animal fat
though it leads to heart disease, cancer, diabetes and other diseases.

A just deity wants some humans to be masters and others slaves,
wants resentment-breeding societies of rich and poor.

An all just deity wants women to be inferior to men
and homosexuals inferior to heterosexuals.

1.

A deity of infinite compassion wants the oppression, enslavement and slaughter of animals. [Deity is defined as all-compassionate by numerous cultures. But oppressing, enslaving and slaughtering animals is the antithesis of compassion.]

2.

A deity who is all-knowing wants humans to ingest animal fat though its effect on the body is unhealthy and induces sorrowful emotions. [In reality this deity is utterly ignorant regarding nutrition. Animal flesh contributes to heart disease, cancer, diabetes, and a legion of other diseases. The inevitable conclusion is that humans interested in profit made up these scriptures in order to justify the brutality of the meat industry in particular and oppressing animals for profit in general.]

3.

A deity who loves all creatures infinitely wants industry to invade the earth, water and air of our planet, abusing, displacing, enslaving, and killing the other creatures and the plant life in these areas, meanwhile polluting the air, land and water, and contributing to the diseases of humans. [Doesn't the above read like something that a businessman would claim God desired,

namely, as Gen. 1: 26-28 says, that humans are to subdue the earth and have dominion over other creatures? But for those who are subdued or dominated, whether the creatures of earth, or economically enslaved humans, the condition is hellish, not heavenly.]

4.

A deity who loves all equally wants some humans to be masters and others to be slaves, some to be rich and control others who are poor, wants some to be the haves, and some to be have-nots. [Equality has always been divine law. "Love your neighbor as your self" is an economic law. Those not sharing equally in "Acts" are condemned. The Essenes were communal, owning nothing personally and everything collectively. The original Jews were tribal and we may conjecture, collective, much like Hindu cultures that gather around religious worship and enjoy the same food, shelter and clothing. This is not to say that some Hindus, and their offspring, Buddhists, have not fallen off the path of equality.

In a nutshell, the Torah, the New Testament, and the Koran all have scriptures that sanction slavery, resentment-breeding societies of rich and poor, the disease-promoting diet of carnivorousism and cruelty towards animals, male chauvinism which leads to the battering of women, and bigotry towards homosexuals which leads to hate crimes against gays.

As the objective reader can see, if such views are said to be the views of an all-loving Deity, then there is not much need for a devil, for the god of these religions sanctions the very things that demons are in charge of in demon cults that honestly acknowledge what they are.

It is necessary always to emphasize that there are pure remnant Jews, Christians and Muslims that have remained true to their egalitarian and vegetarian origins. These groups are inevitable persecuted by the majority sects.

Persecution of Minorities by Majorities:
Unacknowledged Religious Wars

1. There are about a million people jailed in the U.S. for no other crime than practicing Shamanism, using or distributing herbs or substances created from herbs, for their own benefit. Shamanic people are not persecuted in most third world nations.

2. I have been informed by an Arabic source that Saddam Hussein persecutes the Shiites, many of whom are vegetarian, who claim that the cousin of Muhammad, Ali, was the true lineage of Muhammad's teachings. That lineage would acknowledge the Sabean or Shauvite source of Islam, whereas orthodox Muslims do not.

3. The United States, the bastion of orthodox Christianity, and its military, acknowledged as the most powerful in the world, invaded Buddhist South Korea, and Buddhist Vietnam, both times

violating the will of the natives of those lands, as well as invading Iraq and Afghanistan, Islamic nations.

4. Moreover, the Christian U.S. and Israel, the center of Judaism, were the main supporters of the apartheid government of South Africa. Richard Cheney, vice president of the U.S. as a senator voted against boycotting the racist apartheid government of South Africa, and he was vocal in stating that he did not want Mandela to be released from prison. In other words, the Vice President is a known supporter of slavery. Moreover, not one person in the government or in industry in the U.S. was so much as slapped on the hand for supporting the racist apartheid government of South Africa. The former Premier of South Africa, Botha, formerly apologized to the world for his part. No apologies came from any member of the U.S. government, or from the many industries that profited off apartheid.

Canaan, the Promised Land of Milk and Honey, was named after Kannan, The Tamil Hindu Name for Krishna. After the Carnivorous Cult Overthrew the Vegetarians worshipping Asherah (Asura among the Hindus), they Changed the Scriptures to portray Canaan as a land to be Conquered, not as a Sanctuary.

Sacred calves, bulls and cows were common in Samaria, Egypt, Judah and of course in Canaan, where the devotees of Krishna as well as the devotees of Shiva flourished. Canaan was named after Kannan. Kannan is the Tamil Hindu name for Krishna. [See Michael Jordan's Encyclopedia of Gods.] Kannan or Krishna was named El Kana, El Chana, El Quanna, and Elchanon among the Jews. Kannan was named Cainan and Kanneh among the Ethiopian Sabeans. And the temporary wilderness sanctuary tabernacle in the wilderness was called Mishkan (See the Jewish Glossary). Although the cattleman cult that took over Judaism could add scriptures and revise scriptures, they couldn't as such change the entire Hebrew language. Therefore the names of people and places in ancient Judaism reveal a people and an atmosphere that is radically different from that presented in the orthodox Tanakh or Old Testament.

The Patriarchs Change the Image of Canaan From the
Promised Land of Milk and Honey,
A Sanctuary for the Vegetarian Israelites
among the Vegetarian tribes of Canaan,
To a Land where Hostile Tribes must be Conquered.

For the patriarchs rewriting the original Vegetarian Bible, it was necessary to change the image of Canaan and the Canaanites. Now that the patriarch cattleman cult had conquered the vegetarian Jews worshipping Asherah, Asura to the Hindus, the name of God Almighty, they wanted their posterity to believe that the cult of those sacrificing animals was in fact sanctioned by God, and that God now looked with disfavor upon vegetarians.

The First Portrayals of Canaan are of a Place of Refuge, a Sanctuary.

The first portrayals of Canaan, the promised land of milk and honey, are as a land of refuge, a sanctuary for the Israelites, who had just suffered tribulations under the Egyptians. And I am come down to deliver them out of the land of the Egyptians, and to bring them up out of that land unto a good land and a large, unto a land flowing with milk and honey; unto the place of the Canaanites, and the Hittites, and the Amorites, and the Perizzites, and the Hivites, and the Jebusites. Exodus 3: 8.

The normal, that is typical, response to reading this passage is that Canaan is a sanctuary and that these are friendly tribes. After all, the Israelites had had enough violence from the Egyptians. And God repeats this promise in Exodus 3: 17 with the logical deduction: God is bringing the Israelites out of affliction in Egypt to the peace of the promised land, Canaan. And so I say, I shall bring you up out of affliction by the Egyptians to the land of the Canaanites and the Hittites and the Amorites and the Perizzites and the Hivites and the Jebusites, to a land flowing with milk and honey. Exodus: 3: 17.

The Canaanites followed the vegetarian Kannan. The Amorites are of the Maruts. Kanya, or Cain, of the Maruts or Amorites was known as a destroyer of evil. Originally Cain was a hero to the Jews by killing Abel, whose name means to becloud deity. El means God and the prefix Ab, like the Ab of the word abnormal, is a prefix of negation. Abel is one who beclouded or negated the Lord of Creatures, by killing creatures, that is, sacrificing them.

Later in "Kings" Canaan is Portrayed as a Land
Containing Hostile Tribes who must be conquered.

In other words, the original friendship of the original vegetarian Jews with the vegetarian Canaanites--that's why their land was a sanctuary--must now be negated, and the values of the Canaanites must be denigrated and even insulted. This is what in fact occurs. And any careful reader can see it.

The astute careful reader can spot the changing attitude towards the Canaanites in the Old Testament. At first both the land and the tribes in Canaan are portrayed as friendly. Then, after the hostile takeover by Jewish cattlemen intent on profiting from animal sacrifices, the vegetarian Canaanites, such an essential part of Israel's history, are portrayed as evil, and as enemies. All of you readers who in any way love any part of the saga of Judaism will admit that Canaan in the earliest parts of the Old Testament is described as God's promised sanctuary, and the corollary of this is that the Canaanites also were affinity groups with the Israelites. Hivites are Shaivites and vegetarian.

The Tribes of Canaan

The Jebusites, Jebus, and Jerusalem

The Original Name of Jerusalem, Jebus, Means God's Threshing Place.

In other words, Jerusalem was originally a Vegetarian Food Center.

The Jebusites must in some manner be regarded as being associated with the founding of Jerusalem, whose original name was Jebus. Je means God. And buwc in ancient Hebrew means threshing place. Jebus means God's threshing place. James Strong says Jebus was the

aboriginal name of Jerusalem, which is a significant admission of the ancientness of the vegetarian Jebusites.

Kanya of the Maruts in Hinduism destroys evil. And the Maruts are the Amorites. Just as the story of Brahma and Sarasvati was transferred and told in Canaan and Palestine, so too was the story of Kanya or Kanha, who is Cain. The Amorite Cain kills Abel, the evil priest demonically sacrificing animals. Am is a shortened form of Aum, and relates to the divine sound and or, or uwr in ancient Hebrew relates to the plant light within. The Amorites named themselves after the divine sound of Om and the divine light gained by vegetarianism.

The Etymology of the Name Moses (Mosheh)

Mosheh (Moses) as the Great Osheah (Mah Oshea), or the Great Isa.

The name of Moses is derived from the name of Oseo, a name of Shiva.

Oseo and Isa are two of the many names of Shiva.

The Hebrew Mosheh is related to the Sanskrit Mokshah, meaning Deliverance.

The following definitions are from the "Hebrew/Chaldee Dictionary" in James Strong's Exhaustive Concordance to the Old and New Testament. As we go through the following material we'll see that the name Oseo or Osseo, one of the names of Shiva, is the source of the Hebrew names Howshea, Hosheah, Oshea, Hosea.

Mah + Oshea = Mosheh

Mah + Yesha = Mosheh Mosheh and Oshea, the Deliverer,
and the Osseo manifestation of Shiva as Deliverer

From James Strong's Hebrew-Chaldee Dictionary in his Exhaustive Concordance to the Old and New Testament.

1954 Howshea, ho-shay-ah, means deliverer. It is the name of five Israelites. Other forms of the word are Hosea, Hoshea, and Oshea. It is from the Yasha and Yesha roots described above.

Entry 4101 Mah means that, that which, what (soever), why, and how great in Hebrew (just as Maha means great in Hindu) and Yesha. The union of the two words means the Great Deliverance, or What a Liberation!

Entry 3964 Ma is the indefinite pronoun of that or what and is related to 4100 Mah, Ma, or Me as well as Entry 4101 Mah.

Mahat in Sanskrit means great. The M in Mosheh may be seen as a contraction of Mah in Hebrew. Mah is a Hebrew version of the Sanskrit Mahat, and therefore Mosheh means The Great Liberator.

There are several companion words in ancient Hebrew conveying similar meanings:

Entries 4337 and 4338 Meysha, meaning safety, which can be a synonym for deliverance, also stem from

3467 Yasha, meaning to deliver, salvation, i.e. deliverance. Yasha and Yesha may be seen as forms of Isa, a name of Shiva. Isa, in turn may be regarded as another form of Osseo, or Oseo.

The Moses Root

Entry 4872 Mosheh, drawing out of the water, that is rescued. Mosheh is the Hebrew name for Moses. It is related to

Entry 4871 mashah; a primitive root meaning to pull out, to draw out.

Entry 4190 Mowshaah, pronounced mo-shaw-aw, means deliverance, salvation. Mowshaah is simply a transliteration of the Sanskrit Moksha which means deliverance, liberation or salvation. Strong states that Mowshaah is from

3467 Yasha, meaning to deliver.

Osheah and Isa are the same person.

So we can see here the important connection between Mowshaah and Yasha or Yesha. Remembering that Y like J is often a silent letter helps us to understand the connection.

3467 Yasha, meaning to be open wide or free, to be safe, to free or succor, avenging, defend, deliver, help, preserve. It is related to

3468 Yesha, meaning liberty, deliverance, prosperity.

Yesha is a source of the numerous Ish and Isha root words in the Old Testament, which originally stem from Isa and Ishvara, or Eshvara, other names of Shiva among Hindus. It is also the source for Eshoo, the Peshitta Aramaic name of Jesus, and Isa or Issa, the name by which Jesus is known to Tibetans, some Hindus and Muslims. As Charles Souvoy of the Catholic Encyclopedia says, Isaiah and Jesus (also known as Isa) stem from the same root. Charles Souvoy in the Catholic Encyclopedia asserts that Josue and Jesus are from the same root that gives us Isaias. In other words, that the name Jesus is a form of Isaias, which, we can readily see, is built upon the root Isa, which is a name of Shiva. The Hindu-based name of Jesus has numerous implications regarding his Essene background and his egalitarianism.

The name Isa has essentially the same meaning as Oshea.

(Y)eshayah = Isaiah

Eshayah = Isa-iah

3470 Yeshayah or Yeshyahuw, which is from 3467 and 3450 means Jah has saved. The names Jeshaja, Isaiah, Jesaiah, and Jeshaiiah are all forms of the word. (Underlining is mine.) Once

again, remembering that Y like J is often a silent letter helps us to understand the relationship between the Hebrew spelling and the sound of the name.

Therefore we can see from well-known names such as that of Isaiah, which combines the name Jah with the name Isa, that the name Isa, which means saved or delivered, has essentially the same meanings as Oshea.

None of the linkings here have been strained or forced. They don't need to be. There is overwhelming evidence for the original Jews having come from India, and they brought not only the Sanskrit language but the religious complex of the mother culture, which included Sabeanism, Vaishnavism, Saktism, and Jainism.

The Schizophrenic God of Orthodox Judaism.

Some of the numerous contradictions showing that the original scriptures were revised.

1. God commands vegetarianism in Genesis 1: 29-30, then accepts the slaughtered animals of Abel and rejects the vegetation offers of Cain. This is quite obvious evidence of revision.

2. God commands Noah to build an arc to save the animal species, then pronounces a new covenant of carnivorousism. In other words, as even orthodox rabbis admit, God's first dietary covenant is for humans and other creatures to be vegetarian, then God changes his mind. Deity does not change its mind. Its intelligence and will are eternally perfect. It is the will of the humans (writing many of the scriptures) that is evil.

3. Noah is regarded as a holy man chosen by God, then becomes a drunk cursing the lineage of Canaan to slavery. The lineage of Canaan is cursed to be slaves precisely because those revising the scriptures wanted the vegetarian people of Canaan, or those following Kannan, or Krishna, to be seen as evil. Canaan was named after Kannan, the Tamil Hindu name of Krishna.

4. God is first compassionate towards creatures, then brutal. God commands all creatures to be vegetarian, then discounts the value of other creatures' lives, saying that they now shall live in fear and dread of men, as is seen in Genesis 9: 23.

5. Canaan is first regarded as a promised land of milk and honey (favorite foods of the Hindus since the days of the Vedas) and a refuge for the Israelites; then Canaan is regarded as a place to be conquered. The rewriters of the scriptures knew that if the vegetarian tribes of Canaan were regarded as friendly, that the rewritten scriptures sanctioning animal sacrifices would be suspect.

6. Aaron lives to be 123, and Moses 120, unlike the 70 to 80 years that Moses writes is the common longevity of the (carnivorous) Israelites. Had Moses and Aaron partaken in the animal sacrifices they would not have lived so long.

7. The fact that the Israelites craved to eat flesh in the incident of the quails in Exodus is evidence that the diet of the Israelites was in fact vegetarian. Why would they crave flesh if they had been eating it all along?

8. The fact that Aaron made the golden calf and Moses the nehustan, the copper or bronze serpent, does not at all fit in with their having been the chosen instruments of God earlier. In fact Moses and Aaron made the icons in order to help in the worship of the sacredness of creatures as taught by Hindus, who believe the cow to be sacred. The nehustan, the bronze serpent was an image of the kundalini serpent power of Shiva.

Moses and Aaron and the Israelites exiting Egypt were Vegetarians. The original Israelites used the icon of the sacred cow (bull or calf) and the bronze serpent in their worship, just as Hindus do. The names Moses, Aaron, Elisheba, Amram all have a clear Hindu source. Moses and the Israelites wore the bindi, the mark on the forehead worn by Hindus. Moses and Aaron lived the life span of vegetarians, not the 70-80 years as portrayed in Psalm 90, written by Moses.

1.

Glitches in "Exodus"

Aaron's sudden change in character is not credible.
God's chosen instrument suddenly makes an idol.
The Scriptures were obviously revised. Moses making a Hindu icon is never explained by the orthodox.
The copper or bronze serpent, the Nehustan, is a symbol of Shiva's kundalini serpent power.

The golden calf made by Aaron and the bronze serpent made by Moses, are glitches in the orthodox Old Testament version of what occurred in "Exodus." The incident in which Aaron forges a golden calf does not at all ring true in the sequence of the narrative of the Israelites. Shortly before Aaron with Moses was God's chosen instrument in liberating the Israelites from Egypt. (We are not given any detailed account of Moses forging the metal serpent in scriptures.) Suddenly the chosen instrument makes an idol, according to the orthodox scriptures. Like the sudden change in "Genesis," from the vegetarian covenant of 1: 29-30, to God preferring the sacrificed flesh of Abel over the vegetation offerings of Cain, the scriptures describing Aaron making the golden calf literally reek of revision.

Just as the God of Genesis was schizophrenic, commanding vegetarianism, then suddenly desiring carnivorousness, so too does Aaron appear schizophrenic, going from being the instrument of God to being a maker of idols (according to Jewish orthodoxy). Let us look ahead to an analysis of another glitch or contradiction, how the God who ordered Noah to build an Arc to save all species of animals becomes a degenerate deity saying after the deluge that all creatures shall now live in fear and terror of humans.

The Sacred Cow Was Sculpted in Ancient Palestine

What more and more research is confirming is the Original Vegetarian Bible posited by Abegg, Wise and Cook in their translation of the Dead Sea Scrolls. The revisers of the original Vegetarian Bible attempted a complete reversal of values: The golden calf condemned as an idol is nothing other than the sacred cow of Hinduism. Palestine also is home of a large ancient frieze sculpture of a cow on the side of a mountain, which was a portrayal of devotion by the pure remnant of Judaism. The editors mentioned above are colleagues of Robert Eisenman, who wrote James the Brother of Jesus, a work which delineates a suppressed Jewish

vegetarian tradition that was carried on by James, Jesus and their disciples. The writer or reviser of Psalm 106, 19-20, however, denigrates, denounces the vegetarian tradition:

"They made a calf in Horeb and worshiped a molten image. They exchanged the glory of God for the image of an ox that eats grass."

WHAT REALLY WENT ON?

The Sacred Cow of Hinduism venerated
by the Original Israelites,
was changed into an idolatrous Golden Calf by the
Rewriters of Scripture.

The sacred cow of Hinduism is turned into the idolatrous golden calf by the cattleman cult of Judaism. A number of scholars acknowledge that the translation calf is not correct, that the calf should instead be seen as a young bull which was an acknowledged symbol of strength and virility throughout the area. To the followers of Shiva, the bull has a definite name, Nandi. Just as the tribe of Judah, the people of Samaria, and the people of Canaan worshipped the calf or cow, so too did the original Israelites.

2.

The Names of Aaron, Elisheba and Amram, like the Name Mosheh,
have their Source in the Hindu Pantheon

Aaron's name in Hebrew is Aharon, which contains the Har root of Hara, which is the name of Sheva the Destroyer. His wife's name is Elisheba, a compound word comprised of two of the names of God, as are numerous Old Testament names. Eli is God and Sheba is simply a transliteration of Shiva. The reader can thereby see how Aaron aka Aharon and Elisheba and their parents who named them were devoted to Shiva, God of the Sabeans. Moses' father is named Amram, Am-Ram, which combines the sacred Aum or Om sound with the name of Ram or Rama, a Hindu deity and hero of the epic Ramayana.

3.

The bronze or copper serpent made by Moses
Symbolized Shiva's kundalini or serpent power.

The fact that Moses made the bronze or copper serpent, the Nehushtan, is not widely discussed in Judaism, because it is difficult for the orthodoxy to explain why the great liberator and author of the Torah forged an icon that orthodox Jews consider to be an idol.

Moses made the bronze serpent, the Nehushtan, and Aaron made the golden calf, both of which are considered idols to orthodox Jews, but to the Hindus are simply icons helpful in reminding Hindus of what they worship, as are the star of David, the Cross, and the Crescent

and Star for other sects. The bronze or copper serpent was a symbol of the kundalini, the serpent power of Shiva that goes up the spine. As Joseph Campbell has shown in writings and videos, the serpent wrapped around a staff was a universal symbol of healing throughout the ancient world of the Bible. This symbol, the caduceus, has been adopted by the medical profession, though the medical profession has chosen to discard the herbal, dietary, and yogic forms of healing originally associated with it.

4.

Moses and Aaron Lived the Longevity of Vegetarians,
not the typical 3 score and ten years of Psalm 90.

The Longevity of Moses, 120 Years.

The Longevity of Aaron, 123 Years

Aaron lived the not uncommon life span of a vegetarian in those days. Aaron died at 123 years at a location named Har Hor, which itself is derived from the name of Shiva as Hara. Moses preached the relationship between virtue and longevity, just as Hindus, Buddhists and Essenes. Numerous Hindu hymns, for example to Agni, report on the typical life span of the Hindu being 100 autumns, Buddha in his Dhammapada says to his congregation that they are here only for a hundred years, and Philo and Josephus and Pliny report on the longevity of the vegetarian Essenes, whom Philo says often lived to 120 years. The Essenes were also called Osseans by Epiphanius in his Panarion, and were obviously renunciate followers of Shiva as Oseo.

Moses preached the relationship
between virtue and longevity.

"I call heaven and earth to witness against you this day, that I have set before you life and death, blessing and curse; therefore choose life that you and your descendants may live, loving the Lord your God, obeying his voice, and cleaving to him; for that means life to you and length of days..." Moses, Deuteronomy 30: 19-20.

Moses preaches that virtue and the length of one's days are related, and Moses is also the author of Psalm 90, which says that the typical life span of a Jew is 3 score years and 10, and, occasionally, 80.

Psalm 90:

A complaint against the short longevity
and sorrow filled lives of the Israelites.
A prayer for deliverance,
that the Israelites once again become a people of God.

Psalm 90 is marked in the Bible as "a prayer of Moses to God." Its contents are clearly a complaint by Moses on the condition of the fallen carnivorous Israelites. They are in a state of sorrow, feeling God's anger and wrath. Moses feels empathy for them in the psalm: the pain of the fallen Israelites is also his pain.

"For we are consumed by thine anger, and by thy wrath are we troubled. Thou has set our iniquities before thee, our secret sins in the light of thy countenance. For all our days are passed away in thy wrath: we spend our years as a tale that is told. The days of our years are threescore years and ten; and if by reason of strength they be fourscore years, yet is their strength labour and sorrow; for it is soon cut off and we fly away." 7-10

And Moses asks for redemption for the Israelites, and for the return of God into the lives of the fallen Israelites. He asks that the Israelites be a channel for God's beauty, and that their works be of God, and that God be the guide of their works, that the Israelites channel the way of God.

"Return, O Lord, how long? and let it repent thee concerning thy servants. O satisfy us early with thy mercy; that we may rejoice and be glad all our days. Make us glad according to the days wherein thou has afflicted us, and the years wherein we have seen evil. Let thy work appear unto thy servants, and they glory unto their children. And let the beauty of the Lord our God be upon us: and establish thou the work of our hands upon us; yea, the work of our hands establish thou it." 13-16

So we have another instance of Moses praying for the fallen Israelites, no matter that they have strayed from the pure path. It is, I feel, the prayer of all vegetarian teachers who understand that the world will literally never be at peace as long as some subdue other creatures, kill them, eat their corpses, or even desire to do so, and who understand that such actions are specific to the fallen state. The Ethiopic Book of Enoch says the same.

The Fact that the Israelites Craved Flesh is Evidence of their Vegetarian Diet.

We have already seen in the page on the quails and the Israelites' craving for flesh, that Moses denounced the Israelites' craving for flesh, and that their evil craving to eat the flesh of other living beings was rewarded by a disease killing many of them. The very fact that the Israelites craved flesh means that they had been eating vegetation in the Sinai wilderness. Amos saw clearly that the Israelites could not logically have been a flesh-eating culture in the Sinai wilderness. And "Epistle to the Hebrews" and a number of Essene documents confirm that Moses was true to the original vegetarian covenant but that a number of Israelites were not.

A Parallel Deception in New Testament Times:

The supposed vision of Peter in "Acts" in which Peter is told to rise and kill and eat, a vision repeated twice afterwards, is likewise confirmation of the vegetarianism of the original Christians. If Jesus had really taught that "all foods are clean" there would have been no need

for the vision to be conjured by the writer of "Acts." The fact is that the Pauline contingent subverted the original teachings of Jesus to make them conformable to the teachings of Rome.

The Bindi was worn by the original Jews and original Christians.

Clear Evidence of the Hindu Origins of Judaism:

The Hindu Bindi or Tilaka, the mark on the forehead, was worn by the Israelites leaving Egypt, by the pure remnant of Jews during the time of Ezekiel, by the earliest followers of Jesus as seen in Hippolytus' "Daniel," and by the elect and saved in "Revelations." The Hindu Bindi or Tilaka, the mark on the forehead, was worn by the Israelites leaving Egypt.

Moses and the Israelites wear the bindi, the mark on the forehead, worn by Hindus and Buddhists. This mark on the forehead is not discussed much either by orthodox scholars, for it shows a definite alliance with the Hindu and Buddhist traditions of India. The bindi was worn, however, by the elect in Ezekiel's day, by the earliest Christians according to Hippolytus in his work Daniel, and by the elect in "Revelations."

"And it will be a sign on your hand and a symbol on your forehead that the Lord brought us out of Egypt with his mighty hand." Exodus 13: 16

The Bindi, the Mark on the Forehead in "Ezekiel" 9 and 16

The bindi is also seen twice in the Book of Ezekiel, in the 9th and 16th chapters. Earlier translations of Ezekiel 16: 12 say God had put a jewel on the forehead of the original vegetarian Jerusalem, personified as a woman, though Jerusalem then became a traitor to the God of compassion and sacrificed God's creatures in its temple. The fact that "jewel on the forehead" in earlier translations of Ezekiel 16: 12, is omitted in later translations is probably another indication of how Jewish scribes attempted to suppress Judaism's early identification with the vegetarian Hindus. The "jewel on the forehead" translation may be found in James Strong's Exhaustive Concordance by looking up forehead.

In Ezekiel 9 a wrath-filled God, observing the animal sacrifices, the abominations of Jerusalem, tells his helpers to put the bindi on the foreheads of those who are against the abominations in the Temple of Jerusalem. To a man in white linen with a writing case, perhaps an Essene chronicler, God says:

"And the Lord said to him, "Go through Jerusalem, and put a mark upon the foreheads of the men who sigh and groan over all the abominations that are committed in it." And to the others he said in my hearing, "Pass through the city after him, and smite; your eye shall not spare, and you shall show no pity; slay old men outright, young men and maidens, little children and women, but touch no one upon whom is the mark. And begin at my sanctuary." Ezekiel 9: 4-6.

In the above scriptures those who have compassion, those who sigh and groan over all the abominations committed in Jerusalem--location of the Temple and its animal sacrifices--have a

mark put upon their foreheads. This is Ezekiel's way of describing Judaism's identification with the Hindu-Buddhist tradition. We see John of Patmos attempting making the same link in the "Book of Revelations."

The Bindi in "Revelations"

The bindi is the mark of the blessed and the saved and is mentioned a number of times in "Revelations," a work which sees a time in which there is no more sorrow and death, and therefore no longer any animal sacrifices. Moreover in "Revelations" the creatures above, on and beneath the earth all praise the creator. Now that there is nothing accursed, i.e. that will be oppressed, enslaved and killed, sacrificed, no animal's life is threatened. The brutal demonic morals of the false scriptures, the Pentateuch of the orthodox Jews, are over.

Then I looked, and lo, on Mount Zion stood the Lamb, and with him a hundred and forty-four thousand who had his name and his Father's name written on their foreheads. 14: 1

Do not harm the earth or the sea or the trees, till we have sealed the servants of our God upon their foreheads. 7:3

Then from the smoke came the locusts on the earth, and they were given power like the power of scorpions of the earth; they were told not to harm the grass of the earth or any green growth or any tree, but only those of mankind who have not the seal of God upon their foreheads...9: 4.

There shall no more be anything accursed, but the throne of God and of the Lamb shall be in it, and his servants shall worship him; they shall see his face, and his name shall be on their foreheads. And night shall be no more; they need no light of lamp or sun, for the Lord God will be their light, and they shall reign for ever and ever. 22: 3-5

The rider on the white horse in "Revelations" is derived from the Hindu Prophecy That Krishna will return as Kalki on a white horse to help remove evil from earth.

The mark on the forehead is not the only clear demonstration of the Hindu origins of the pure remnant of Judaism in "Revelations." The white horse and the rider on the white horse are a reference to Kalki, the savior on a white horse who incarnates to destroy the evil in the world. Christians of course designate the rider as Christ. The earliest Christians not only wore the bindi but understood that Kalki would incarnate later just as Jesus had before them.

The Bindi in "Daniel" by Hippolytus (160-235)
Hippolytus affirms that the earliest followers of Jesus wore the Bindi.

Those researchers who download Hippolytus' "Daniel" from Catholic web sites, at least those sites I have consulted, will notice that these quoted section below in "Daniel" dealing with the mark of victory or the bindi on the forehead of the earliest followers of Jesus IS OMITTED. True to the suppressive traditions of the Roman Catholic Church, which withheld the Dead Sea

Scrolls from public scrutiny for over forty years, the Catholic Church also refuses to print an edition of "Daniel" showing that the earliest followers of Jesus wore the bindi.

A traveler to Asia and to points east, Hippolytus in his Daniel, iv. 9, visualizes a moral battleground between the people who will follow Jesus, representative of God, and the people who will follow Augustus, Emperor of Rome, a worldly king, a representative of Satan. In so doing he describes the earliest Christians as wearing the bindi, the mark of victory on their forehead. His statement that Christians "bear a new name" may refer not simply to the fact that they are called Christians, but also to the tradition of giving initiates a new spiritual name, which Jesus gave to Peter for example, which Jesus did to his disciples in general in the Gospel of the Nazirenes, and which, in imitation of the genuine followers of Jesus, a practice which is customary in the Hindu and Buddhist [and later in the Islamic traditions. Hippolytus states:

"For as our Lord was born in the forty-second year of the emperor Augustus, whence the Roman empire developed, and as the Lord also called all nations and all tongues by means of the apostles and fashioned believing Christians into a people, the people of the Lord, and the people which consists of those who bear a new name--so was all this imitated to the letter by the empire of that day, ruling 'according to the working of Satan': for it also collected to itself the noblest of every nation, and, dubbing them Romans, got ready for the fray. And that is the reason why the first census took place under Augustus, when our Lord was born at Bethlehem; it was to get the men of this world, who enrolled for our earthly king, called Romans, while those who believed in a heavenly king were termed Christians, bearing on their foreheads the sign of victory over death." (Emphasis mine) Daniel iv. 9.

So though the original accounts were revised and contradicted in order to provide the orthodox Old Testament we have today, the real Moses and Aaron and Elisheva well understood that they were part of the Hindu tradition.

The removing of one's sandals on holy ground, which Moses did at the command of the voice of God in the burning bush, is a Hindu tradition. The voice in the burning bush commands Moses to remove his sandals on that holy ground. And Hindus traditionally remove their footwear when entering their sanctuaries of worship or ashrams. The Samaritans did the same. And the earliest priests of Judaism did likewise; they performed their rituals barefoot. (Samarya in India is the source of the name Samaria.)

The Eye of Horus and Vegetarian Egyptians
devoted to Osiris

(also known as Ausur or Asura)

The serpent on the forehead is another version
of the Kundalini serpent power.

The bindi may also be seen in the eye of Horus in Egypt, where the name Sheba was used to designate both people and places and where the names Ra and Rameses are variations, or perhaps prototypes, of Rama. In any case, as one discovers when reading the Egyptian volume, known variously as The Coming of the Day, or Book of the Dead, vegetarianism and vegetarian

priests offering purely vegetarian oblations to Unefer aka Osiris were definitely a part of the tradition in ancient Egypt just as they were the rule among the mainstream Vaishnavas and Shaivites and devotees of Sakti in India.

The Bindi among the Mayans following the vegetarian Quetzalcoatl

Moreover, anointing the forehead was common in ancient Mexico among the followers of Quetzalcoatl. Huitzipochtli renamed the indigenous people there the Mexicas, gave them bows and arrows and put a sign on their forehead, and promised them they would become Lords of the Earth. The word Mexicas meant the "anointed ones." Orthodox Christians destroyed records describing Quetzalcoatl's vegetarianism (he forbade animal sacrifices as well as human sacrifices) but these vegetarian traditions were conserved by the indigenous people of the area, and can be explored even on the Internet today. Not only have they found statuettes of Shiva in ancient Incan tombs, but the theological detective sees that the ancient deities of the Mayans (whom Edgar Cayce says came from the Atlanteans) were of the same prototype. And this, of course is one of the main reasons that the demonic Catholic Church and then later the entire Christian orthodox tradition, suppressed and ignored the great cultures of the Mayans and the Incas for it is obvious that originally these traditions sprang from the same pure tradition from which the vegetarians of Hinduism and Buddhism came.

Historical Records prove conclusively that vegetarian and egalitarian James
Was the heir to Jesus' authority, not the elitist and carnivorous Paul.
James is Described as a Vegan in Eusebius' Ecclesiastical History.
James in "The Ascents of James" condemned Paul as an Apostate.
Jesus Prophesied that His Message would be Perverted.
Paul Perverted Jesus' Teachings.
James in "The Ascents of James" condemned Paul as an Apostate.
James Associated with a Rechabite,
Who were similar in some ways to Eastern Renunciates,
Paul as Antichrist.

James is Described as a Vegan in Eusebius' Ecclesiastical History.

James, the brother of Jesus, is described as one eating no animal food, and is specifically named as one of the apostles who were heirs to Jesus' authority in directing the early spiritual community in Jerusalem after the death of Jesus in Eusebius' Ecclesiastical History, an official history of the Catholic Church from the time of Jesus to Constantine.

"Control of the Church passed to the apostles, together with the Lord's brother James, whom everyone from the Lord's time till our own has called the Righteous, for there were many Jameses, but this one was holy from his birth; he drank no wine or intoxicating liquor and ate no animal food (emphasis mine); no razor came near his head; he did not smear himself with oil, and took no [public] baths. He alone was permitted to enter the Holy Place, for his garments were not of wool, but of linen. He used to enter the Sanctuary alone....Because of his

unsurpassable righteousness he was called the Righteous...Bulwark of the People." Pp. 99-100. Ecclesiastical History II. xxiii, Eusebius. Translated by G.A. Williamson. Augsburg Publishing House, Minneapolis, Minnesota, 1953.

Eating "no animal food" to us indicates not just a vegetarian diet, but a vegan diet, to which a number of Buddhists as well as Hindus adhere. Like contemporary advocates of animals' rights, James wore linen, not leather or furs. And later in Christian history the Albigensians, declared heretics by the Roman Catholic Church in the thirteenth century, accepted James and Jesus and their intimates as vegans, and themselves ate no flesh, eggs, or dairy products.

Peter in the Pseudoclementine Homilies says
James is the Arbiter of Jesus' Teachings.
Jesus Prophesied that His Message would be Perverted.
Paul Perverted Jesus' Teachings.

According to Peter in the Pseudoclementine Homilies, Jesus prophesied the coming of the false prophet. Peter obviously sees that person as Paul. In the Pseudoclementine Homilies Peter's message at Tripoli defers to the authority of James, an authority given to him by Jesus, to arbitrate the correctness of those spreading the messages of Jesus.

Here Peter is warning that Jesus prophesied that his message would be perverted. How does Peter say the early followers of Jesus are to be guarded against such perversion? To Peter, the hand-picked intimate disciple whom Jesus said was to be the rock, as well as to the early community of Jesus' followers, the answer was clear: by following the teachings of James, the brother of Jesus.

"Our Lord and Prophet, who has sent us, declared to us that the Evil One, having disputed with him forty days, but failing to prevail against him, promised He would send Apostles from among his subjects to deceive them. Therefore, above all, remember to shun any Apostle, teacher, or prophet who does not accurately compare his teaching with [that of] James...the brother of my Lord...and this, even if he comes to you with recommendations." Pseudoclementine Homilies, 11. 35

Jesus states that James is the heir to his authority in
The Nag Hammadi "Gospel of Thomas."

The Nag Hammadi "Gospel of Thomas" also portrays Jesus naming vegan James as the arbitrator of controversy after he is gone. Jesus regards James as a genuine representative of divine values. "The Disciples said to Jesus: 'We know that you will depart from us. Who is it that shall be great over us [meaning after he is gone]?' Jesus replied to them: 'In the place where you are to go [presumably Jerusalem], go to James the Just, for whose sake Heaven and Earth came into existence.'" Nag Hammadi "Gospel of Thomas," Logion 12.

Paul in "Galatians" acknowledges James as head of the Church.

When Paul says that he is going to see the saints in Jerusalem, and he describes his meeting with James, the brother of the Lord, in "Galatians," he obliquely acknowledges that a vegetarian is the head of the spiritual community there. James is not named as a vegetarian by the NT writers, because that would undermine or defeat their purpose in portraying a Jesus who for the most part names all foods clean and therefore condones the meat industry. James, however, is blatantly documented as a vegetarian by Eusebius in his Ecclesiastical History quoted above. In his epistles Paul's ongoing arguments with the hand-picked apostles and disciples of Jesus reveals the early battle between the poor, the Ebionites, who were also the pure, who promoted vegetarianism and egalitarianism, and the Pauline elitists, those favoring Roman or orthodox Jewish ways, sexism, slavery, and hierarchical class structures.

James in "The Ascents of James" condemned Paul as an Apostate.

Epiphanius says of the "Ascents of Jacob" (James), a work in which the brother of Jesus argues on the Temple steps against the Jewish hierarchy defending animal sacrifices:

"For they set forth certain Ascents and Instructions forsooth in the "Ascents of Jacob," representing him as holding forth against both Temple and sacrifices, and against the fire on the altar...so that they are not ashamed in them even to denounce Paul..." Panarion, xxx. 16.

Jesus' hand-picked disciples saw Paul as an enemy of the truth, an apostate, who, like the false prophets of Judaism earlier and the false scribes of Islam later, turned from the true Way of vegetarianism and egalitarianism and instead created a carnivorous and elitist cult, which the Essenes, Ebionites, and Nazarenes regarded as demonic.

A number of scholars have seen Paul variously as the antichrist himself and an agent of Rome. Robert Eisenman in his monumental work James the Brother of Jesus argues quite convincingly that in the Dead Sea Scrolls the Habakkuk Commentary's "spouter of lies" and "wicked priest" is Paul. Baigent and Leigh in their Deception and the Dead Sea Scrolls state that Paul was a double agent, pretending conversion, yet working for Rome, and it is hard not to draw these conclusions when one understands that Paul affirms carnivorousness, slavery, sexism, social elitism, and bigotry against homosexuals. These vices are not at all in line with the Essenes' and with Jesus' teachings in the Ebionite Gospel of the Nazarenes, or, for that matter, with statements by Jesus in the New Testament itself.

Paul's attitude towards Money, Mammon, is radically different
From that of Jesus and his original followers.

Jesus said "You cannot serve both God and Mammon."

Paul boasts about paying his way.

Jesus and his earliest followers made a point not to be concerned
about their needs, because they were taken care of by Deity.

For Paul, the Romans are a deus ex machina, a force which comes to Paul's rescue when he is threatened by others desiring to kill him. Paul's house arrest in Rome reminds one of Mafia dons living in luxury prison apartments in our own time. Paul states that he paid for his

apartment out of his own money, thereby asserting through his own words that he was nothing like the Ebionites (the word is derived from ebionim, Hebrew for the poor), who owned virtually nothing and shared everything communally.

James Associated with a Rechabite,
Rechabites were similar in some ways to Eastern Renunciates,
such as Brahmin, Jain, and Buddhist Monks.

In his Ecclesiastical History Eusebius reports that it was a Rechabite friend who pleaded with those beating James to stop beating him, the brother of Jesus, to stop, thereby documenting the on-going connection between the earliest followers of Jesus and the vegetarian Rechabites. Rechabites lived only in tents or temporary dwellings and refused to invade the earth and disturb, oppress or destroy creatures living there. They did not drink wine, did not dig into the ground, and did not generally stay in city dwellings. Though some Rechabites worked when necessary, they were similar to the renunciates of India, and no doubt lived in or periodically withdrew into the wilderness to fast and pray and regain spiritual energy.

The Rechabites may be likened to Esdras who, at the command of the angel Uriel, goes to live in a field of vegetation and flowers, where no human habitat has been built, so that the land has no experience of human exploitation such as digging into the earth, the home of other creatures.

The works of Robert Eisenman and Matthew Black and others document the wilderness communities of the earliest, pre-Pauline Christians.

Paul as Apostate and Antichrist

A number of scholars have seen Paul variously as the antichrist himself and an agent of Rome. Robert Eisenman in his monumental work documenting the Pauline distortion of Ebionite Christianity, James the Brother of Jesus, argues quite convincingly that in the Dead Sea Scrolls the Habakkuk Commentary's "spouter of lies" and "wicked priest" is Paul. Baigent and Leigh in their Deception and the Dead Sea Scrolls state that Paul was a double agent, pretending conversion, yet working for Rome, and it is hard not to draw these conclusions when one compares Paul's affirmation of carnivorousism, slavery, sexism, social elitism, and bigotry against homosexuals with the Essenes' and with Jesus' denunciation of these institutions in the Ebionite Gospel of the Nazarenes.

A List of Facts in the Old Testament
Demonstrating the Hindu origins of Judaism.
The Name Jah has A Sanskrit Origin.

The word Jah, meaning God in Hebrew, and a word which is used in innumerable names in the Old Testament, in Sanskrit refers to Sthana, an attribute of God in general, meaning everlasting, or continued existence. It is an attribute of Shiva in particular, who is also known as Sthenu.

Jah also refers to Simha, an incarnation of Krishna. (See the Cologne

Digital Lexicon: Sanskrit and English, on the web.) Just as they are now, Shiva and Krishna were the two main male deities worshipped by mainstream Hindus at the time Judaism was being formed.

Hu in Sanskrit refers to Jehovah.

The word Hu in ancient Hebrew, part of the word Jehu, meaning Jehovah, in Sanskrit refers to Jehovah, Jehudi, Sarasvati and Jihvati (Cologne Digital Lexicon). Jehudi means Jew in Ancient Hebrew, Sarasvati is the source of the name of Sarah, the wife of Abraham, and Jihvati contains the root of Geba or Gevah, a city in Palestine. The Sanskrit Hu refers to Jehovah in several different forms.

The Sabbath, in ancient Hebrew Shabbath,
Is Named after Saba or Sheba, both of which are names of Shiva.

The Shabbath, the ancient Hebrew name for the Sabbath, is named after Sheba, a transliteration of Shiva. The connection between Saba, a name of Shiva, and the Sabbath is easily seen by English speaking people.

Sheba and Shaba are the root of Shabbath
just as Saba is the root of Sabbath.

The name of the pillars erected to God in the Old Testament contains Shiva's name: masseva (or matseba). The pyramids and stelae of Egypt are described by the same word. Seb was the Lord of the Earth in ancient Egypt. The pillars were erected to Shu and his son Seb, who is Seba of the Ethiopians, Sheba of the Hebrews and Shiva of the Hindus. The most notable culture still erecting the lingam pillars is that of the Shaivites in India. The Sphinx may in fact be the Egyptian form of Simha, the lion-man incarnation of Krishna.

Shiva and the Old Testament God speak out of a pillar.

God speaks out of pillar of fire to Moses just as Shiva speaks out of a pillar of fire to Brahma and Vishnu in the Lingam Purana.

Saba of the Sabaoth is Shiva.

The Lord of Hosts, the military God of the ancient Jews, has the Hebrew name of Sabaoth, and the name Saba is a name of Shiva.

The Jewish (and Christian) Holiday Shavu ot has a Shiva root.

The name Shavu ot (the Jewish Feast of Weeks and the Christian Pentecost) contains the name of Shiva. One of Shiva's attributes is having tongues of fire. Tongues of fire descended on the disciples of Jesus on Pentecost day.

The Feast Day T' ub Shevat also has a Shiva root.

So too does T' ub Shevat, the Jewish Feast of Trees, contain the name of Shiva. Shiva is known to Hindus as Lord of the Trees. The Ethiopic Book of Enoch elaborately portrays God as a the Creator of trees, for shade, food, spices. God to the ancient Ethiopians was Sheba, Seba, or Saba, all of which are variations of the name of Shiva.

The word or name Sheva often means destruction in Hebrew.

A number of Hebrew words with the Sheva (a transliteration of Shiva) root have to do with destruction. Shiva is the Destroyer, Brahma the Creator, and Vishnu or Krishna the Preserver in the Hindu trimurti or trinity.

Hara is a pervasive word in ancient Hebrew and refers to Shiva.

Hara is a name of Shiva as the Destroyer, and the hara root is used to mean destruction in a number of Hebrew words. Hara is also the root of the name of the city Haran, Abraham's home, of the ancient Jewish house Beth Haran, as well as the root of Harappa, an ancient Shaivite city center in India. Terah, Abraham's father, named one of his sons Haran.

Isa, the root of Isaac and Isaiah, is a name of Shiva.

Isaac, meaning God laughed, is named after Isa, which is a name of Shiva, who is also known as Isana and Ishvara. There are literally a multitude of Old Testament names using Isa as a root, as we shall see in the section "Shiva in the Old Testament Hebrew."

Kali, the mate of Shiva, is pervasive in the Old Testament.

Kal Israel, All Israel, and Kal Law, meaning bride, are named after Kali, the mate of Shiva. A city in Palestine is named Chali (or Kali). Collossia and the Collossians, relevant in New Testament times are named after the Divine Parents or Divine pair, Kal (or Col) and Osseo, a name of Shiva, as is Colhozeh, i.e. Kal Hosea or Kal Oseo. Chali is the name of a place in Palestine.

Uma, meaning Nation in Ancient Hebrew, is the name of Shiva's mate.

The name of Ummah, or Uma, Oseo's consort, means people, community and nation in ancient Hebrew. Uma is the name of a city in ancient Palestine. Oseo is another name of Shiva. Just as Christian monastics call themselves the brides of Christ, the original Jews regarded themselves, the Jewish nation, as the bride of Shiva, Kal Israel. Kal Law means bride. And Uma means nation. Similarly, in the western hemisphere, where Shiva was venerated as Zuiva or Sui va, the people called themselves Maya, which is another name of Shiva's mate.

Chanukka is named after Kana or Krishna.

The name Chanukka comes from El Kana, a shortened form of Kannan, a Tamil Hindu name of Krishna (see Michael Jordan's Encyclopedia of Gods). El Kana is used in the Decalogue, or the ten commandments. "I am El Kana. Thou shalt have no other gods before me."

Canaan was named after Krishna or Kannan.

Kannan, a Tamil name of Krishna, is the source of the name of Canaan, the promised land of milk and honey, favorite foods of the Hindus since the ancient days of the Vedas. Krishna or Kannan is a champion of vegetarianism. All students of the Old Testament know that the attitude towards Canaan changes, from being a place of refuge, the promised land of milk and honey (favorite Hindu foods since the Vedas) to being a place where the Israelites must fight the Canaanites. The change in attitude occurred because the cattleman cult taking over Judaism did want vegetarians to be looked on favorably. Many of the Canaanites were vegetarian.

Simhat Torah was named after Simha, who is Krishna.

Simhat Torah (Rejoicing with the Torah) is named after the man-lion

incarnation of Krishna named Simha and the source of the term the "Lion of Judah."

Cain was originally considered an Avatar.

Cain was originally a hero of epic proportions among the earliest Jews. He had a shining birth and was an avatar of vegetarianism in "Vita et Adae," and "Moses Apocalypse." Other apocryphal accounts of Adam and Eve and their family can be found in R. H. Charles' Apocrypha and Pseudepigrapha of the Old Testament. The volume also contains the Ethiopic Book of Enoch which

contains a portrayal of Noah far different, far more pure, than that in the Old Testament.

The term Kanna im in the Talmud, which contains the Cain or Kan root, means zealot and avenging priest, which perfectly describe the actions of Cain, who destroyed a killer of God's sacred creatures. The Chan root in ancient Hebrew almost invariably means "favored of God." Abel's name, like the word Abnormal, means a negation of, an obscuring, a making dense of El, which is God. That is why the name of Abel is associated with sorrow, lamentation. By killing God's creatures and eating corpses, Abel obscured God's will and promoted disease and infirmity.

Both Krishna aka Kannan and Shiva were known as Pasupati, or Lord of Creatures, and preached vegetarianism. The Canaanites or zealots in the New Testament were of this lineage. See Robert Eisenman's James the Brother of Jesus for an extended analysis of the term kanna im.

The Etymology of Exodus: Exodus = Ex-Hodus:

The leaving of the Hodu people.

Hodu is the ancient Hebrew word for Hindustan.

This is another case in which the reader will wonder how these truths regarding the origins of Judaism could have possibly been ignored, unless, as is obvious, the true vegetarian and egalitarian history of Judaism has been purposely suppressed by the Jewish orthodoxy.

Jebus and the Jebusites and Vegetarianism

Jebus, the original name of Jerusalem, means God's threshing place, that is, a place where grain was threshed to remove the edible seed from the hull. Jebus was a center for vegetarian food. The Jebusites were a Canaanite tribe, following Kannan, and therefore vegetarian.

Sita of the Hindu epic, the Ramayana

Sita, Rama's faithful wife, was raised to a kind of earth mother status by the original Jews. Her name in ancient Hebrew means grain.

The Star of David is from Indra's thunderbolt.

Both the Star of David, and Solomon's Seal, two interlaced triangles, one pointing tip, the other down, one dark and the other light, expressing the union of spirit and matter, are derived from the image of Indra's thunderbolt. (Consult the Theosophical Glossary on the net.)

The Fig Tree is a Symbol Common to Hinduism and Judaism.

The Asvattha or fig tree was a symbol of the Universe and of the people both to Hindus and Jews.

The Marriage Ceremony of Hindus and Jews

A rite or practice which Judaism and Hinduism have in common is the marriage ceremony in which the one partner walks in circles around the other.

THESE TRIBES OF ISRAEL HAVE A CLEAR HINDU SOURCE. DAN

The tribe of Dan was named after the Dravidian Danu of India, people whose maritime skills brought them over to Canaan, but much further west to Ireland and Wales, where they established worship of Caltech, who is none other than Cali. See The Great Cosmic Mother. The "Book of Daniel" and the Apocryphal "Bel and the Dragon" in which Daniel plays a part both affirm vegetarianism as desired by the divine. The Book of Daniel portrays vegetarianism as a superior diet which enables people to be more healthy not only in physical appearance but also in their mental or intuitive ability.

The fact that Vedan in ancient Hebrew also means Dan shows the connection between the Vedas and the teachings in the Book of Daniel, and the Sabaeans who spread the Vedas into Canaan/Palestine. Sabeian is simply another term for Shivaite. Saba, like Seba (Siva) and Sheba and Sheva are transliterations of Shiva. Seba and Saba were common names for Shiva among the ancient Ethiopians. Sword of Truth, Hinduism Today, Matlock's articles in Viewzone.com, and the Theosophical Society, confirm that Tsabaism, or Sabeianism, was the common religion of the ancient world. Even into the days of early Christianity Ethiopia and Mideia, where Moses lived and married, were considered parts of India by Christian Church fathers.

The Tribe of Gad (or God) GOD-GAD-GATH

Gad is the name of one of the twelve tribes of Israel. Gad's name came, as did most of the other names for deity among the original Jews, from the Hindus. El Kana or El Chanan came from Kanna, a Tamil Hindu name for Krishna; El Shaddai from Sada, also a name for Shiva as the Immutable One. Gad, or Gath, who became the name "God" is also a Hindu deity that was later shared by the Persians as well. Gad was a Persian God of Fortune. The single name "God" was deliberately chosen to replace the many vegetarian deities of the first Jews. The cattleman cult had overthrown the vegetarian centers, the Asherah shrines in order to promote their own sect which slaughtered animals and sold them for profit, i.e. for fortune.

The acceptance of this deity's name as the generic name of deity occurred very likely during the Jews' period of the Persian Captivity, between the 4th and 3rd centuries b.c.e..

Although we're thought to think that the name God represents the One and Only God, the Creator, the Supreme Deity, the Almighty, the All-Knowing and All-Loving One, the fact is the name God is itself only one name among the literally thousands of names that exist for Deity in the history of the cultures of the earth. The vast majority of indigenous or aboriginal cultures have numerous deities and numerous names for Deity.

The industrial cultures of Judaism, Christianity, and Islam are unique in focusing on monotheism, meaning the notion that there is only one god. Monotheism is nothing but elitism promoted into theology. Cultures which claim to have the one and only true deity are by their nature lying and hypocritical business or industrial cultures. The cultures of orthodox Judaism, Christianity and Islam are such cultures. Their attributes are: they sacrifice animals, and subdue and dominate them and kill them, as sanctioned by Gen. 1:26-28. They subdue and dominate other humans as well: all three cultures accept slavery as a divinely sanctioned institution. They subdue other sexes. The position of females is subordinate to that of males. They subdue homosexuals. The battered woman and hate crimes of which we are all only too aware, exemplify an attitude that is condoned in the Old and New Testament and Koran.

Which are the Most Warring Religions on Earth?

Judaism, Christianity and Islam all have scriptures
Justifying holy war, or Jihad. And though the Hindus do too,

the Carnivorous Nations are the Chief Imperialist Nations of the world,
While the Vegetarian Nations only War to Defend Themselves.

In other words, instead of staying true to their vegetarianism, and to their original Hindu deities commanding vegetarianism, as advocated by Jeremiah, they founded a new orthodoxy and rewrote the scriptures to fit their new God of Fortune who demanded animal sacrifices, and through the rewriting of scriptures, the insertion of Genesis 1: 26-28, and the command of God to Noah after the Deluge, for example, they fabricated a divine right to subdue the earth and have dominion over other creatures, thereby allowing the Jewish patriarchs a means to gain their fortune through the meat industry and industry in general.

Both of these are expressly forbidden by the Ethiopic Book of Enoch, which condemned killing of any kind, carnivorousness, cannibalism, mining, cosmetics. The material in the Book of Enoch was referred to as early as the Book of Job and spoke of the Fallen Angels, the lineage of Noah, the Deluge and the days to come. The reason the Ethiopic Book of Enoch is seldom referred to by the orthodox is because the Book undermines the orthodoxies of the orthodoxies of Judaism, Christianity, and Islam.

Abandoning the original Hindu Deities of Judaism,
The Lineage of the Cattleman Cult
That Destroyed the Vegetarian Asherah Shrines
Made the Name of God (aka Gad aka Gath),
Who was a God of Fortune,
the Generic Name for Deity in the Judeo-Christian Culture.
The Tribe of Asher

The tribe of Asher is named after Asherah, whose name among the Hindus was Asura. Asura meant the Almighty who became also the All-Joyful One to the Hebrews. The word Asher relates to happiness in ancient Hebrew.

The Names of Ancient Houses of Israel
Confirm that the Original Jews were Hindus.
The Houses of Hara, Shaddai, Chanan, Ram, and Lechem
Have a Hindu Source.
Beth means House in Ancient Hebrew.

Beth Haran is named after Shiva as Hara, the destroyer. Hara is the root of Haram, Abraham's home for many years, of Harappa, an ancient Shaivite center in India.

Bethesda, or Beth Saida, the House of Shaddai is named after Shiva as Sada, the immutable one.

Beth Chanan is named after Kannan, the Tamil Hindu name for Krishna.

Beth Ha-Ram is named after Rama, Hindu deity and Hero of the Ramayana.

Beth Astaroth after Astaroth, a Hindu deity.

Beth Car is likely a shortened form of Char or Har, which once again relates to the Hara name of Shiva.

Beth Rechabowth refers to the Rechabites whose purity was like that of the strictest Ganas, or Jains of India, who would not even till the soil because living beings live there.

And like ascetics, the Rechabites did not drink wine. And Bethlehem is named after Lachmiy or Laksmi, one of the Divine Mothers of Hindus and mate of Krishna in the Hindu scriptures. Beth-El, Bethel, derived its name from El, a name of Deity among Hindus, Canaanites, and Jews.

THE MANDEAN SCRIPTURES ARE A KEY TO THE ORIGINS OF CHRISTIANITY:

The Mandaeans show a name of Deity to be Yahya, which is Yaya, which is a recognized name of Shiva.

Jesus' names Isa and Yeshua--Isa is recognized by Tibetans, some Hindus, and Muslims--are both derived from Isa, the name of Shiva.

Look up Mandaean on the net,

and feast on scriptures, a number of them on Nazarene sites

which show very clearly the Hindu and vegetarian origins of Judaism, Christianity and Islam.

Following is one such site:

<http://www.essene.com/B%27nai-Amen/vjohn10.htm>

The Mandaean Scriptures, to my knowledge are all Copyrighted, and None is yet Public Domain.

1. The Deity Yahya is seen in the "Mandaean Scriptures of John the Baptizer." The name Yaya is a name of Shiva, as can be seen on numerous Hindu sites dealing with Shiva (Siva).

2. The name Yohana is comprised of Yo, the equivalent of Jah in ancient Hebrew, and Hana, which is interchangeable in ancient Hebrew with Chana.

3. El Chana or El Kana is one of the names of God in ancient Hebrew.

4. Hana or Chana is the source of the Jewish holiday Chanukah, which was named after El Chana.

5. The name Kana is a form of Kannan, the Tamil Hindu name for Krishna. Consult Hindu sources or Michael Jordan's Encyclopedia of Gods for verification.

6. Kannan is also the source of the name Canaan, the promised land of milk and honey, favorite foods of Hindus since the Vedas.

7. Cainan and Kanneh was also the name of Deity to the Ethiopians. The late prophets make it clear that in the earliest history of Judaism, the Jews, Egyptians, Ethiopians and other nations all believed in the same Way. In other words, the Sabean, Vaishnava, Sakti Way of the Hindus was universal, not only in the East but in the Western hemisphere. See other pages on my site.

8. The name Yaya was known to the ancient indigenous people in the western hemisphere as can be discerned by the archaeological digs studied on Viewzone.com. This site contains essays documenting that Hindus migrated from India during times of famine and flood to the lands now associated with the Old and New Testament and Koran. This information has been suppressed for obvious reasons. Orthodox Jews, Christians and Muslims wish their religions to be regarded as originally inspired by God, and not as heresies against the Hindu beliefs which existed universally in the ancient world.

9. The name of one of the Mandeian Scriptures "Haran Gawaitha," contains Hara, the name of Shiva as the destroyer. Hara is a name prevalent in Old Testament history and scriptures as well. Haran was Abraham's home for many years.

10. Rabbi Ram is the name of one of the people in the "Haran Gawaitha." The fact is that the names Ram and Ramah were used to name people and locations since the earliest days of Judaism, which is itself a clear indication of Judaism's origins. Ram is regarded as an incarnation of Vishnu or Krishna. He is also the main protagonist in the Ramayana, the Hindu epic. His bride Sita was raised to an earth mother status much as Ceres was among the Greeks. Sita means grain in ancient Hebrew.

ZECHARIAH THE ACHARYA

Zechariah (Zakharya) and the Number Seven

Zechariah's Name is Comprised of Z and Acharya

That is, He is a Hindu Acharya, a Holy Teacher

From the Peshitta Aramaic: Zechariah's name is Zakharya

or Z + akharya

akharya = acharya

Zechariah's name itself demonstrates conclusively the fact that ancient Judaism and Hinduism were one and the same religion. The name of the Jewish prophet Zechariah in Peshitta Aramaic is Zakharya [from sections 5835-5839 of the Peshitta Aramaic web site on the web], which is simply the term acharya with a Z. An acharya is a Hindu holy teacher, one who knows the rules or divine laws.

How Orthodoxies Attempt to Belittle Zechariah's Blatant Attack on Animal Sacrifices: They call the Book of Zechariah allegorical, thus attempting to soften Zechariah's zealous scriptures against those who kill animals.

It is precisely because Zechariah knew the original rules of Judaism, which including treating all creatures as sacred creations of Deity, that orthodox Christian and Jewish scholars describe his language as allegorical or symbolical. Orthodoxies don't want you to accept scriptures literally that denounce animal sacrifices, which is exactly what the book of Zechariah does. Shiva like Krishna is known among Hindus as Pasupati, Lord of Creatures. Shiva and both are also known as Protector of Cattle. And the number seven that permeates the book of Zechariah was his personal attempt to indelibly mark himself and his words as the teacher of the ways of Shiva, the compassionate Lord of Creatures. The name Sheba, a transliteration of Shiva, means seven in ancient Hebrew. Sheba is the etymological root of the Shabbath, the Sabbath.

The Z preceding acharya in Zakharya's name is the seventh letter in the Hebrew alphabet, Zayin, and its symbol is a form of Egyptian scepter. The figure Z is also seen as a double seven. Jesus' statement, "I bring not peace but a sword," and his cleansing of the temple in order to manifest his mission to abolish the animal sacrifices, should be juxtaposed with Zechariah's extremely strong denunciation of animal sacrifices. Both men demonstrated the Animal Liberation attitude that was typical of the pure Jewish remnant, beginning with Cain, who killed an oppressor of God's creatures (that was the original story) and that we shall trace throughout the Old Testament, and that manifested itself in a particularly strong fashion in the the Essenes, Nazarenes, Ebionites and Canaanians (the zealots) in Jesus' time.

Zechariah regarded those raising cattle to be slaughtered as offending God's command to be compassionate to other creatures. While reading the following condemnation of animal sacrifices, be prepared to understand that Cain shared the same compassionate view of creation, and felt the same righteous indignation that was later felt by Zechariah, just as Isaiah did when he said "He who kills an ox is as he who kills a man." You will feel less disinclined to redeem the name and person of Cain when you realize that he was regarded as El Kana incarnate originally, and that the person of Cain was influential in extremely positive ways by promulgating vegetarianism and agricultural knowledge throughout the world. Sitchin has much research documenting Cain's influence in the Yucatan. And Marge Beckwith's Myths and Legends of Hawaii speaks of the immense influence of Kane, a supreme male deity of Hawaii and Polynesia. There is little doubt that Cain or Kane named Hawaii after his mother Eve, (or Hawwah in ancient Hebrew,) and that the Hogman and Shark deities of the ancient Hawaiians were a manifestation of Krishna's incarnations as a boar and sea creature. And the kahunas, the priests of ancient Hawaii, are named after the cohen, the priests of ancient Israel. And the Napali area on the north-east of Kauai was no doubt named after the tribe of Naphtali.

And we can see the logic of Cain's militance towards the bad shepherd Abel in the following quotations from Zechariah.

Awake, O sword, against my shepherd, against the man who stands next to me," says the Lord of hosts. "Strike the shepherd, that the sheep may be scattered." 13: 7. My anger is hot against the shepherds...10: 3.

...and I will make an end of the pride of Philistia. I will take away its blood from its mouth, and its abominations from between its teeth; it too shall be a remnant for our God. 9: 6-7.

And on that day, says the Lord of hosts, I will cut off the names of the idols from the land, so that they shall be remembered no more; and also I will remove from the land the prophets and the

unclean spirit. And if any one again appears as a prophet, his father and mother who bore him will say to him, 'You shall not live, for you speak lies in the name of the Lord'...13: 2-3.

All of the later prophets see agriculture, not cattle-raising, as humanity's true labor.

On that day every prophet will be ashamed of his vision when he prophesies; he will not put on a hairy mantle in order to deceive, but he will say, "I am no prophet, I am a tiller of the soil..." 13: 4-5.

God desires that humans eat the agricultural vegetation sacrifices of Cain instead of the corpses of brutally murdered animals.

But now I will not deal with the remnant of this people as in the former days, says the Lord of hosts. For there shall be a sowing of peace; the vine shall yield its fruit, and the ground shall give its increase, and the heavens shall give their dew; and I will cause the remnant of this people to possess all these things. 8: 11-12. On that day the Lord their God will save them for they are the flock of his people...they shall shine on his land...Grain shall make the young men flourish, and new wine the maidens. 9: 16-17.

Ask rain from the Lord in the season of the spring rain, from the Lord who makes the storm clouds, who gives men showers of rain, to every one the vegetation in the field. 10: 1.

Zechariah's Uncompromising Condemnation of Cattlemen Killing their Cattle
And his Understanding that the Killing was Motivated by Profit
Is a Direct Attack on the Meat Industry,
as well as the Lack of Compassion of the Orthodox Shepherds.
What Zechariah did Verbally was Manifested Physically by Jesus
In his Cleansing the Temple of Those Profiting from Animal Sacrifices.

"Thus said the Lord my God: "Become shepherd of the flock doomed to slaughter. Those who buy them slay them and go unpunished; and those who sell them say, 'Blessed be the Lord, I have become rich'; and their own shepherds have no pity on them." 11: 4-5.

The number seven occurs numerous times in the Book of Zechariah, and we know that Shiva's name in ancient Hebrew actually means seven, that Shiva is known as Lord of the Seven Worlds. Shiva's names in ancient Hebrew include Sheba, Seba, and Saba. Looking ahead to the study of Christianity, St. Saba was even the name of a Christian saint in the early days of Christianity. When Christianity was introduced to China it was done so using a Shiva Lingam, a pillar, which shows that the earliest Christians recognized themselves as Shaivites (or as Shaivites and Vaishnavas). Jesus' name to the Tibetans and Hindus and Muslims was Issa. Issa aka Isa is a name of Shiva. Shiva Pasupati was Lord of the Creatures and commanded compassion towards all of them.

The pure remnant of Judaism obeyed the command to be compassionate to all creatures. Those who sacrificed animals broke Shiva's command. But since they wanted people to believe that their brutality and profit making was sanctioned by God, they revised the scriptures so that

it appeared that God himself desired animal sacrifices, and that is what most of the scriptures in the Pentateuch assert, even though these scriptures directly contradict what even the orthodox admit: That vegetarianism was God's first dietary covenant, as is indisputably seen in "Genesis" 1: 29-30, which commands vegetarianism not only for humans but for all creatures. In other words, animals are not seen as "creatures of instinct, meant to be killed and eaten," but as conscious creatures with freedom of choice. This itself is constantly ignored, because these scriptures reveal a Hindu belief that other creatures have will and sentience, and not the general belief of orthodox Jews, Christians, and Muslims, namely, that animals have no souls, and only instincts, and no free will.

That Peter was Vegetarian is seen in the Clementine Recognitions and the Clementine Homilies, as well indirectly in Pliny the Younger's letter to Emperor Trajan in 112 c.e. Peter's tablecloth vision in "Acts" is a perfect example of fabrication, or revising scriptures, as is proven by the fact that it would have been totally unnecessary to have the vision, much less to repeat it twice afterwards.

For if Jesus had really proclaimed all foods to be clean, it would have been common knowledge. Peter, like James in the "Ascents of James" and the disciples in the Ebionite Gospel regarded Paul as an Apostate, a traitor to Jesus' teachings. That Peter was Vegetarian is seen in the Clementine Recognitions and the Clementine Homilies, as well as by Pliny the Younger's letter to Emperor Trajan in 112 c.e.

Peter is described as a vegetarian in non Biblical sources such as the Clementine Recognitions, and the Clementine Homilies. Peter defers to vegetarian James as the arbiter of controversy after Jesus was gone. And Bithynia, a place where Peter preached, was invaded by Pliny the Younger because many of the inhabitants had stopped sacrificing animals and eating them, and therefore deprived Rome of tax money.

The Clementine Recognitions show Peter attacking the animal sacrifices, and prophesying that the temple will be destroyed because the priests persist in them. This vegetarian Peter, consistent with his portrayal in the Ebionite Gospel, says:

"We have ascertained beyond doubt that God is much rather displeased with the sacrifices you offer, the time of sacrifices having now passed away. And because you will not admit that the time for offering victims is past, therefore the Temple will be destroyed, and the abomination of desolation shall stand in the Holy Place." The Clementine Recognitions, chapters liii to lxxi.

Chapter XXII, 6 of the Clementine Homilies says

"They followed the Apostles in their custom of daily lustrations. They refused to partake of flesh or wine, taking as their pattern, St. Peter, whose food was bread, olives, and herbs...."

This portrayal of Peter is of course totally unlike the awkward and forced portrayal in "Acts of the Apostles," wherein Peter is described three times as having a vision telling him that all

foods are clean, that he is to "rise and kill and eat." If what the gospels had said about Jesus were true, that he declared all foods as clean, then that certainly would have been common knowledge, and therefore it would have been totally unnecessary for Peter to have a vision declaring such, much less repeating the same for emphasis twice afterwards.

However, we know from Saint Epiphanius, a saint of the Catholic Church itself, that the Ebionite Gospel presented Jesus and his associates as vegetarians who denounced the Pentateuch as false scriptures.

"Acts" repeats the vision of Peter precisely because Paul and Luke or later revisers were purposely fabricating a body of scripture to counteract and distort the original and still dominant vegetarian message of Jesus that was being spread by the lineage of his original hand-picked apostles and disciples.

Paul's Epistles purposely demean the person of Peter, the hand-picked disciple and intimate of Jesus. Paul was neither hand-picked nor intimate.

Peter is treated like a Pauline yo-yo in and out of vegetarianism in Paul's epistles, and he is lectured to by Paul. This was partly Paul's attempt to demean the authority of Peter and thereby to bolster his own image. However, it could also have been sheer confusion on the part of Paul in trying to lie consistently to congregations who had some knowledge of the people and events he was discussing.

When reading Paul's treatment of Peter, it is good to remember that Peter was hand-picked by Jesus and an intimate, whereas Paul neither knew Jesus nor did he get along with his original disciples.

Peter portrays James as the arbiter of controversy after Jesus was gone. Paul was regarded by Peter as an enemy.

In the Pseudoclementine Homilies Peter's message at Tripoli defers to the authority of James, an authority given to him by Jesus, to arbitrate the correctness of those spreading the messages of Jesus. James argued against the teachings of Paul immediately. Robert Eisenman in his James the Brother of Jesus says that the "Wicked Priest" and "spouter of lies" in Essene writings in the Dead Sea Scrolls, "The Habakkuk Commentary" in particular, is Paul.

What is not widely known for the obvious reason that Christian orthodoxy is trying to protect its flimsy facade of legitimacy, is that Paul was regarded as an enemy, an apostate, by the hand-picked disciples of Jesus, as recorded in the Ebionite Gospel, by James the brother of Jesus in the "Ascents of James," and by Peter in the Clementine writings. Below Peter warns that a traitor will arise among those claiming to be an apostle.

"Our Lord and Prophet, who has sent us, declared to us that the Evil One, having disputed with him forty days, but failing to prevail against him, promised He would send Apostles from among his subjects to deceive them. Therefore, above all, remember to shun any Apostle, teacher, or prophet who does not accurately compare his teaching with [that of] James...the brother of my

Lord...and this, even if he comes to you with recommendations." Pseudoclementine Homilies, 11. 35

The Nag Hammadi "Gospel of Thomas" also portrays Jesus naming vegan James as the arbitrator of controversy after he is gone. Jesus regards James as a genuine representative of divine values.

"The Disciples said to Jesus: 'We know that you will depart from us. Who is it that shall be great over us [meaning after he is gone]?' Jesus replied to them: 'In the place where you are to go [presumably Jerusalem], go to James the Just, for whose sake Heaven and Earth came into existence.'" Nag Hammadi "Gospel of Thomas," Logion 12

When Paul says that he is going to see the saints in Jerusalem, and he describes his meeting with James, the brother of the Lord, in "Galatians," he obliquely acknowledges that a vegetarian is the head of the spiritual community there. James is not named as a vegetarian by the New Testament writers, because that would undermine or defeat their purpose in portraying a Jesus who for the most part names all foods clean and therefore condones the meat industry. James, however, is blatantly documented as a vegetarian by Eusebius in his Ecclesiastical History. In his epistles Paul's ongoing arguments with the hand-picked apostles and disciples of Jesus reveals the early battle between the poor, the Ebionites, who were also the pure, who promoted vegetarianism and egalitarianism, and the Pauline elitists, those favoring Roman or orthodox Jewish ways, sexism, slavery, and hierarchical class structures.

Rome Documents a Lie in the New Testament.

The Progress Report of Pliny the Younger to Emperor Trajan:

He describes the inhabitants of Bithynia, an area where Peter has Preached, as having returned to carnivorousism, and therefore the animal sacrifices, that is, the taxable meat industry, after he has tortured and killed the followers of Christ.

Pliny the Younger in his letter to the emperor Trajan describes his persecution of the vegetarians, the followers of Christ, in Bithynia, which the New Testament documents as an area where Peter proselytized. "Peter, an apostle of Jesus Christ, To the exiles of the Dispersion in Pontus, Galatia, Cappadocia, Asia, and Bithynia..." 1 Peter 1: 1. One looking at the scriptures with even minimal mental discernment will realize that if Peter proselytized in Bithynia, and the population there was vegetarian, then the portrayal of Peter in "Acts," wherein God supposedly tells Peter to "Rise and kill and eat" is obviously false. And Peter 2: 12 which regards the ungodly to be "like irrational animals, creatures of instinct, born to be caught and killed," is totally contradictory to what occurred in Bithynia. There, we may infer that Peter preached to the people of Bithynia to stop sacrificing animals, as he did to the people of Jerusalem. This Peter is consistent with Epiphanius' portrayal of Jesus and his disciples in the Ebionite Gospel. Moreover, these scriptures themselves, "like irrational animals, etc.," are so full of brutality and ignorance towards other creatures that only those who are already morally deranged would say they came from an all-loving creator.

Who are the morally deranged? Those who accept Chapter Nine of Genesis as true scriptures, even though they promote the absurdity that a God of perfect love wants his creatures to live in fear and terror of humans.

Orthodox Christianity is the House of Beelzebub

A deity who is wants its creation to be killed and eaten is a kingdom or a house divided against itself, which Jesus defined as the kingdom of Beelzebub, prince of devils, which is in fact an apt description of the house of Christian orthodoxy.

Peter Sees Paul as Preaching the Word of Satan

The Clementine writings show Peter--a flesh and blood comrade of Jesus, and an apostle appointed by him--defending himself against the word of an enemy (Paul). He instructs the Jewish Christians not to pass on his books of teachings unless they are certain of the loyalty of the receiver:

"I beg and beseech you not to communicate to any one of the Gentiles the books of my preachings which I sent to you, nor to anyone of our own race before trial [before their loyalty is tested]....For some among the Gentiles have rejected my legal preaching, attaching themselves to certain lawless and trifling preaching of the man who is my enemy. And these things some have attempted while I am still alive, to transform my words...But if, while I am still alive, they dare thus to misrepresent me, how much more will those who shall come after me dare to do so." "Epistle of Peter to James," i-ii.

In the Recognitions Peter attacks the Pauline perversion of Jesus' message that is gaining strength in the West, where Rome's military was strongest. And Peter states that the Nazorean Council is the final authority. The Council was governed by the Jewish Christian apostles and disciples who had been hand-picked by Jesus, who had set up a spiritual community with James in charge. These Jewish Christians are very ones Paul scorns, whom he says are "seeming to be pillars" of the Christian Church. In contrast to the vegetarian and egalitarian message preached by James, Peter and the other original apostles and disciples, Paul teaches his congregations that slavery is allowed, that the governments of this world are to be obeyed, that women are subordinate to men, that animals are to be killed and their corpses eaten. Therefore Peter quite legitimately and quite logically sees Paul as a representative of Satan:

"Our Lord, confirming the worship of the One God, answered him (i.e. Satan): 'It is written, Thou shalt worship the Lord thy God, and him only shalt thou serve.' And he, terrified by this answer, and fearing lest the true religion of the One and True God should be restored, hastened straightway to send forth into this world false prophets, and false apostles, and false teachers who should speak indeed in the name of Christ, but should accomplish the will of the demon. Wherefore observe the greatest caution, that you believe no teacher, unless he brings from Jerusalem the testimonial of James the Lord's brother, or of whoever may come after him. For no one, unless he has gone up thither, and there has been approved as a fit and faithful teacher for preaching the word of Christ...is by any means to be received."

Paul is an Apostate, One Who Perverts Truth, not an Apostle

And Peter further counters Paul's claim that he is an apostle of Jesus, stating that Jesus is the only true prophet and that the twelve apostles, eleven of who were personally appointed by Jesus, and Matthew picked by them to replace Judas, are the only legitimate apostles.

"But let neither prophet nor apostle be looked for by you at this time, besides us. For there is one true Prophet, whose words we twelve Apostles preach; for he is the 'acceptable year of God' having us Apostles as his twelve months." Clementine Recognitions, IV. xxxiv-xxxv.

Jews and early Christians argue over diet: Leviticus 11 versus Isaiah 7: 14

Carnivorism versus vegetarianism:

The earliest Christian martyrs refused to eat Rome's animal sacrifices.

Early martyrs following Jesus regarded themselves as representatives of a vegetarian way and were consequently dressed in the garb of vegetarian deities when martyred by their Roman oppressors.

Scholars affirming the carnivorous Christian establishment are embarrassed by the topic of vegetarianism and most won't even use the word vegetarian in their indices. They fear the concept. They fear it as an idea and an attitude resurrecting itself throughout the world and posing a real ideological threat to the absurdities of carnivorism, which they defend. Most scholars of early Christianity that I have read constantly hedge, i.e. equivocate, purposely make ambiguous, the vegetarianism of many early Christians. For example, Frend, in his *Martyrdom and Persecution in the Early Church*, says that Jews and Christians argued in the early days of Christianity whether Leviticus 11 or Isaiah 7: 14 should be adhered to. What Frend doesn't say is that Isaiah 7: 14 advocates lacto-vegetarianism as the ideal diet, not flesh, as does Leviticus 11. *Martyrdom and Persecution in the Early Church: A Study of a Conflict from the Maccabees to Donatus*, by William Hugh Clifford Frend. New York: New York University Press, 1967.

Pliny the Younger persecuted and killed the Vegetarian Christians in Bithynia. (112 c.e.)
See the Letter of Pliny the Younger to Emperor Trajan (112 c.e.)

Pliny applying the sacrifice test successfully to Christians during Trajan's time reported that the effect was "Temples were frequented again and there was a general demand for the sacrificial animals once more." Ibid. 166. In other words, once the vegetarian Christians were killed, persecuted, threatened, displaced, there was a return to carnivorism and a taxable meat industry profitable to Rome.

Early martyrs following Jesus regarded themselves as
representatives of a vegetarian way
and were consequently dressed in the garb of vegetarian deities
when martyred by their Roman oppressors.

That the martyrs saw the last supper, the eucharist, as a restoration to the vegetarian covenant, and saw themselves as representatives of vegetarianism is seen in Ignatius' statement "I am the wheat of Christ, and am ground by the teeth of the wild beasts, that I may be found the pure bread of God." p. 256. Ibid. And Frend comments "Note the macabre practice of dressing those condemned to the beasts in the amphitheatre at Carthage in clothes of Saturn or priestesses of Ceres." Footnote 3, p. 424. *Martyrdom and Persecution in the Early Church*. Saturn is god of the harvest, ruler of capricorn, sign of the cornucopia, the plentiful harvest of fruits, vegetables, grains and other vegetation. Ceres, from which the word cereal is derived, was goddess of grains. Early Christian martyrs are associated with the vegetable realm, not the realm of animal carcasses. In Eusebius 4: 15. 26, the Smyrnaeans accuse Polycarp thusly: "This fellow is the teacher of Asia, the destroyer of our gods, who teaches

numbers of people not to sacrifice or even worship." Though we are indebted to Frend for giving us evidence of the vegetarianism of the earliest followers of Jesus, He, like other scholars of early Christianity, doesn't even have vegetarianism in his index, and doesn't even mention the word vegetarian by name in his text. He appears to be much like the Christian Dead Sea scholars, embarrassed even that he has to deal with values radically different from those of his peer group, and, more importantly, of his patrons who pay him.

"Is the term Christian scholar an oxymoron?"

There is in fact such a widespread suppression of the vegetarian origins of Christianity that we must legitimately ask: "Is the term Christian scholar an oxymoron?" Only the smallest minority of Christian scholars deal with the concept that the earliest followers of Jesus were vegetarians in spite of

1. The clear statement in "Epistle to the Hebrews" that Jesus' mission is to abolish the animal sacrifices, which are necessary for a carnivorous diet.
2. Jesus' one day demonstration against the animal sacrifices, that is, the cleansing of the temple, which, besides overthrowing the moneychangers' tables, consisted of chasing the animals who were to be sacrificed out of the temple.
3. Jesus constantly quoting the late vegetarian Jewish prophets, such as Ezekiel, Isaias, Jeremiah, Joel, Amos, Haggai, Hosea and Zechariah.
4. The earliest followers of Jesus being dressed in the garb of vegetarian deities by their Roman oppressors.
5. The Roman governor Pliny the Younger informing Trajan that he has persecuted and killed the followers of Chrestus, that is Christ, in Bithynia, where Peter had preached, and restored the sacrifices.
6. The earliest followers of Jesus wore the bindi or tilaka worn by Hindus (and some Buddhists) as seen in Hippolytus' Daniel.
7. The elect or saved in "Revelations" also wear the mark on the forehead, or bindi, and that the image of the rider on the white horse (said to be Christ) is derived from a Hindu prophecy regarding the return of Krishna as Kalki, riding on a white horse to rid the world of error.
8. The statement by a saint of the Catholic Church, Epiphanius, who admits the earliest followers of Jesus were called Essenes and Nazarenes, both of which groups were vegetarian.
9. And the statement by Epiphanius that the Ebionite Gospel, which even Church fathers admit to be the first Gospel written about Jesus, presents John the Baptist, Jesus and his followers as eating no flesh.

10. Peter is presented as vegetarian in the Clementine literature.
11. Matthew is presented as vegetarian by Clement of Alexandria.
12. James the brother of Jesus is presented as a vegan vegetarian in one of the first official histories of the Church, Ecclesiastical History, by Eusebius.
13. Vegetarianism persisted in the monastic order of Pachomias in Egypt.
14. Vegetarianism persisted in the works of such fathers such as Lactantius and John Chrysologus.

Vegetarianism, even veganism, not only existed in the earliest days of Christianity, but was one of the essential teachings. It was overthrown successfully as the model diet advocated by Jesus and his disciples by a large-scale Roman effort which included a military as well as an ideological arsenal such as in the person of Paul, an ideological front man for the Romans. And Paul's authority rested on his words alone, not on a personal relationship with Jesus that was had by Jesus' hand-picked disciples. Economics, the large profit made then and now by the meat and animal foods producers, was the motive behind the subversion.

Tracing the Mental and Moral Breakdown of the God of "Genesis"

I don't mean any disrespect by saying the above.

I am just dealing with the facts as they present themselves in "Genesis."

1

God Loves Creation: "And God saw that it was good."

First, God loves the creatures he created. We see this in the eulogy to creation at the beginning of the first chapter of Genesis:

And God said, "Let the waters bring forth swarms of living creatures, and let birds fly above the earth across the firmament of the heavens."

So God created the great sea monsters and every living creature that moves, with which the waters swarm, according to their kinds, and every winged bird according to its kind. And God saw that it was good.

And God blessed them, saying, "Be fruitful and multiply and fill the waters in the seas, and let birds multiply on the earth."

...And God said, "Let the earth bring forth living creatures according to their kinds: cattle and creeping things and beasts of the earth according to their kinds." And it was so.

And God made the beasts of the earth according to their kinds and the cattle

according to their kinds, and everything that creeps upon the ground according to its kind. And God saw that it was good." Genesis 1: 20-25.

We definitely know that God loves his creature, for the refrain "and God saw that it was good" is repeated.

2

Then Comes the Vegetarian Covenant,
Proving Once Again God's love for Creatures.
God commands all creatures to eat vegetation (and not each other).

A quite logical and moral vegetarian covenant comes soon after. God sees the creation of the creatures as good, and tells them to eat plants and not each other. It all makes sense so far. God is all love, and God doesn't want any of his creatures to be harmed.

"And God said `Behold I have given you every plant yielding seed which is upon the face of the earth, and every tree with seed in its fruit; you shall have them for food. And to every beast of the earth and to every bird of the air and to everything that creeps on the earth, everything that has the breath of life, I have given every green plant for food.'" I: 29-30.

3

God's Morals Shift.
God's morals break down.

Then in chapter 4 of Genesis we suddenly see God accepting the animal sacrifices of Abel and refusing the vegetation sacrifices of Cain, even though he, God, had seen his creation of all creatures to be good and had commanded all humans and all creatures to eat vegetation.

And Adam knew Eve his wife; and she conceived, and bare Cain, and said, I have gotten a man from the LORD. Ge 4: 1

And she again bare his brother Abel. And Abel was a keeper of sheep, but Cain was a tiller of the ground. Ge 4: 2

And in process of time it came to pass, that Cain brought of the fruit of the ground an offering unto the LORD, Ge 4: 3

while Abel brought several choice lambs from the best of his flock. The LORD accepted Abel and his offering, Ge 4: 4

But unto Cain and to his offering he had not respect. Ge 4: 5

So, the astute mind understands that this God has a real problem being consistent. This God is obviously not too stable. For this God just got through saying that all creatures should eat vegetation in the first chapter of "Genesis," but now he accepts sacrificed animals and has no respect for the vegetarian Cain.

Bible Critics throughout the ages have seen this contradiction.
But the orthodoxies refuse to deal with its implications.

You don't have to take literary criticism in college in order to understand that something is wrong. The tone of God's morality has changed. Somebody is lying. Either the Torah was rewritten, or the god of the Torah is seriously ill mentally.

4

God's mental condition
takes a radical turn for the worse in chapter 9 of "Genesis."

As if this wasn't bad enough. We've already seen that this God changes his mind, quite unlike the God defined by theologians of every faith, as All Perfect, All Knowing, Immutable, Unchanging. This God changes. This god shifts his morality. Or shall we say: this god has a shifty morality. As if it couldn't get worse, as if the mentality and morality of God couldn't possibly break down any more, those who wrote the Torah show us a really degenerate God who very radically changes his mind. We see this, of all places, in the account of Noah and the Ark.

If you want to know what really happened, ask anybody except an orthodox theologian. Kids, and people who haven't read "Genesis" thoroughly all like Noah. Noah is the one that God chose to build an ark and save all the species of animals. How great. Everybody sane loves that! Noah saves the lives of the species of animals. He's God's chosen savior of the animals. What a beautiful story of love. That's the way kids, innocent people in general, and those who don't know the Old Testament well, understand the story. And for God's sake, (the real Deity's sake), that's what was meant.

We tend, like human-centered people, instead of creation-centered people, to think that only Noah and family were chosen. Heck no! The two elephants, the two lions, who, by the way, on the ark were vegetarian, the two orangutans, the two scorpions, the two parrots, they too were also chosen by God.

But after the water subsides and ark lands, one of the first things Noah does is slaughter some animals. Whow! Do we need to rename the account as Noah and the floating slaughterhouse?

So here, Noah, God's chosen instrument in saving the species of animals, decides he's hungry, and slaughters some animals. A bit strange to many of us. But even worse, God now gives the Carnivorous Covenant, quite different from the Vegetarian Covenant.

God now not only says that humans can eat other animals, but changes his mind radically, from saying first only to eat plants, then to saying eat the animals.

But, as if this weren't bad enough, God really goes berserk; God pretty much has a complete mental and moral breakdown, and says now that all other animals should feel fear and dread or terror in the presence of humans, because now it's okay for humans to kill, trap, torture, enslave, displace other animals. Any damn thing you want to do to animals. Let's put electrodes in their brains. Let's pour acid in their eyes. That's exactly the way the orthodoxies of the world interpret God's covenant with Noah.

That's right. Whatever sick act you can think of, God, the same God, ostensibly, that created the world and the animals, and loved the animals only nine chapters earlier, now says do what you want to them. It's cool.

So what have we learned? That the Torah was written? Obviously. For only those with contradictory morals themselves could possibly believe in such a God. But I believe that we have also solved a mystery: namely, why there are so many Jewish Psychiatrists. It's because Jehovah was a schizophrenic.

<>

<><>

<><><>

<><><><>

P.S. Am I an atheist? Definitely not. I not only believe in, but I feel the Divine Presence in my daily euphoria and contentment and in occasional ecstasy. I feel, as do Hindus, Buddhists, and the true remnant of Jews, Christians and Muslims, that we are reborn again and again until we face our negative impulses honestly and deal with them correctly. I believe that the Divine wants us to love and respect creation as It does, not just the animals, but the plants and water, and air, everything that is. I feel that vegetarianism and egalitarianism are necessary corollaries of Divine Love. If we eat animals, we're supporting brutality to them beforehand. Or, if we enslave animals, or in any way coerce them, we are not being compassionate to them. The notion that humans have the right to subdue other creatures and have dominion over them is itself the Fallen Mentality. It means we are supporting a non harmonious state for other creatures. If we don't treat other humans as absolute equals (love your neighbor as your self) then we create resentment-breeding societies of rich and poor, which will breed violence, rebellion, envy, etc. "Love your neighbor as your self" is not a pious but meaningless platitude, as it is when uttered by the rich, but a divine law, something we can easily see is inherently necessary for us to do if we are to create a peaceful society of humans. Governments are all controlled by the rich. The earliest Christians didn't have bishops and priests as such. Those who understood the teachings more ate the same food, lived in the same places, had the same clothing as the other Christians. The same was true for the earliest Jews and Muslims. But all of these religions, which began with vegetarianism and egalitarianism, i.e., loving one's neighbor as one's self, were later corrupted by the rich, those greedy for power and wealth. The rich are now, and always have been, the enemies of peace among humanity, and the tyrants persecuting the poor.

That is why the earliest Christians called themselves the Ebionites, from the Hebrew ebionim, meaning "the poor." It was in order to let all others know, without equivocation, that they regarded the rich as those who by definition do not love their neighbors as themselves.

And I feel and believe that the Divine far transcends the short-sighted views of personality that many of us have been conditioned to have about Deity.

The Teachings of Hippolytus seen in The Apostolic Tradition:

The earliest Christians refused to agree to kill for the Roman Empire.

The earliest Christians like the Essenes and Nazarenes took no oaths,
and refused to pledge allegiance to any worldly power such as Rome.

The Teachings of Hippolytus seen in The Apostolic Tradition
prove that the earliest followers of Jesus
refused to pledge allegiance to the authority of the Roman Empire,
that the earliest Christians were forbidden to take oaths
to kill for the Empire, to obey their commanding officers or the state,
oaths such as the "Pledge of Allegiance to the United States of America,"
and that all lawmakers of Rome were forbidden membership in the Church,
because they had sworn allegiance to the Empire. Pledging allegiance
to any authority, other than the God within,
or allowing one's self to be drafted, that is conscripted,
into the army of the Empire, in order to kill,
was a sacrilege to the earliest Christians. The Apostolic Tradition of
Hippolytus is dramatic and irrefutable proof
Why James named Paul as an Apostate to the original teachings of Jesus.
For Paul taught his followers to respect all civil and state authority.
The following material from The Apostolic Tradition of Hippolytus
is public domain. From The Apostolic Tradition of Hippolytus,
translated into English with Introduction and Notes
by Burton Scott Eason, Archon Books, 1962.

Part II

"New converts to the faith, who are to be admitted as hearers of the word, shall first be brought to the teachers before the people assemble. And they shall be examined as to their reason for embracing the faith, and they who bring them shall testify that they are competent to hear the word....

Inquiry shall likewise be made about the professions and trades of those who are brought to be admitted to the faith. If a man is a pander, he must desist or be rejected. If a man is a sculptor or painter, he must be charged not to make idols; if he does not desist he must be rejected. If a man is an actor or pantomimist, he must desist or be rejected. A teacher of young children had best desist, but if he has no other occupation, he may be permitted to continue. A charioteer, likewise, who races or frequents races, must desist or be rejected. A gladiator or a trainer of gladiators or a huntsman [in the wild-beast shows], or anyone connected with these shows, or a public official in charge of gladiatorial exhibitions must desist or be rejected. A soldier of civil authority must be taught not to kill men and to refuse to do so if he is commanded, and to refuse to take an oath; if he is unwilling to comply, he must be rejected. A military commander or civic magistrate that wears the purple must be rejected. If a catechumen

or a believer seeks to become a soldier, they must be rejected, for they have despised God. A harlot or licentious man or one who has castrated himself, or any other who does things not to be named, must be rejected, for they are defiled. A magician must not [even] be brought for examination. An enchanter, an astrologer, a diviner, a soothsayer, a user of magic verses, a juggler, a mountebank, an amulet-maker must desist or be rejected. A concubine, who is a slave and has reared her children and has been faithful to her master alone, may become a hearer; but if she has failed in these matters, she must be rejected. If a man has a concubine, he must desist and marry legally; if he is unwilling, he must be rejected.

If now, we have omitted anything (any trade?), the facts (as they occur) will instruct your mind; for we all have the Spirit of God."

Analysis: Conscription is Slavery. It is obvious that Hippolytus was following the authority of Jesus, who said "You cannot serve both God and Mammon," Matthew 6: 24. rather than the authority of Paul the Apostate who taught obedience to the State, or Mammon: "Respect all authority as divinely established."

Now let us look in particular at the passage dealing with being in the military or obeying the authority of the Empire, which was the "civil authority" referred to by Hippolytus.

"A soldier of civil authority must be taught not to kill men and to refuse to do so if he is commanded, and to refuse to take an oath; if he is unwilling to comply, he must be rejected. A military commander or civic magistrate that wears the purple must be rejected. If a catechumen or a believer seeks to become a soldier, they must be rejected, for they have despised God."

Hippolytus says that soldiers are not permitted to kill. Soldiers here refers both to the soldiers of the local governments and soldiers of the Roman empire. Not only must soldiers refuse to kill, but they must also refuse to take an oath to their commander. The true follower of Jesus' teachings takes an oath to obey none but Deity.

Relevance to Today:

The earliest Christians knew, as did people in all ages, that being conscripted or drafted to serve in the army of the state, whether of the Roman empire, or any state (such as the United States of America), was considered a sacrilege. For the followers of Jesus were dedicated to God alone: "You cannot serve both God and Mammon." All domains of the Roman Empire were involved with slavery and commerce bringing in money; therefore all states were Mammon.

Facts Demonstrating that Jesus' Earliest Followers Did Not Obey the State.

1. Those who were lawgivers of the nation or empire, that is, those who wore the purple robe of rulership, were not allowed to become Christians, because they had sworn to defend the nation or empire, and not the will of Deity.

2. Those who were already soldiers had to agree not to kill before they would be admitted as Christians.

3. Those who wished to be soldiers Hippolytus says have despised God, for they choose to kill for the nation or empire. Those who wished to be soldiers were rejected for membership in the Church.

4. All followers of Jesus were forbidden to take oaths or to pledge their allegiance to any power but that of God. The soldier could not take the customary oath to follow strictly the orders of one's commanding officer. In other words, a military comprised of Christian soldiers would be comprised of individuals who would do no killing for the State and would not take oaths to follow their commanding officers.

5. The Church had its militant members, for Simon Zelotes is the name of Simon the Zealot, and Judas Iscariot was Judas the carrier of a dagger carried by the militants of the time. Peter cut off the ear of the Romans. Jesus instructed his disciples to bring swords. And Jesus said: I bring not peace but a sword. The zealots are on record by Josephus for killing Roman and Jewish slaveholders, which manifested a militant method of freeing the captive or slave. Jesus had said: "I came to free the captive." Josephus tells us also that zealots attacked Roman arsenals and took weapons from them, and zealots burned down the Temple of Records in Jerusalem, where the record of debts owed by the poor to the rich were stored.

6. The earliest followers of Jesus called themselves Ebionites. Ebionite comes from the Hebrew ebionim, meaning "the poor" and Jesus and his earliest followers called themselves "the poor" very deliberately to let people show that they were absolutely on the side of the poor, the people, and not the wealthy, those who ran Judaism, and those who ran the Roman Empire.

In context of Jesus' statement that there is no authority other than God:
"You cannot serve both God and Mammon," Matthew 6: 24,
we have the commandments to serve the State or the Roman Empire
given by the Apostate Paul:

Paul promoted the authoritarianism of the state as well as the institution of slavery.

Romans 13:1

Every person is to be in subjection to the governing authorities. For there is no authority except from God, and those which exist are established by God.

Romans 13:2

Therefore whoever resists authority has opposed the ordinance of God; and they who have opposed will receive condemnation upon themselves.

Romans 13:3

For rulers are not a cause of fear for good behavior, but for evil. Do you want to have no fear of authority? Do what is good and you will have praise from the same;

Paul's repeated assertions that humans should obey the authority of the state were attempts to subvert the anti-authoritarianism of the early and genuine Church. They were repeated just as Peter's so-called vision to "rise and kill and eat" was repeated, in an attempt to overthrow the egalitarianism taught by Jesus.

Conscription, the Draft, is Slavery.
Even the term Draft tells us so.

(The term drafted originally applied to "draft" horses, showing that owning or enslaving animals, and owning and enslaving people to serve in the army, were regarded in the same manner by the authorities.)

How animal flesh clogs not only the heart but the brain.
Carnivorism is the diet of those who subdue the earth.
How the scriptures have been rewritten to sanction evil.
It is not our words--words which claim we are just--
But our actions, all of them, which define us,
Actions as simple as the meals we take,
The offerings to the temple that is our body:
We are what we eat, and what is that?:
The flowers, fruit, seeds, vegetation of the field,
Or the products of enslaved creatures,
And the bodies of murdered animals?
More than cocaine, and more than heroin,
the meat on the plate is the most addictive of drugs,
for it puts you in the frequency, not of harmony with,
but of power over the animals,
the once living beasts, some of them so much bigger than you,
now dead, and you with spear or an ax,
a gun, a bulldozer, a sledge hammer,
or a knife or fork hovering over it...
Your increased heartbeat reiterating,
inwardly pounding your chest,
asserting you are a superior species,
or is it more intelligent?,
or perhaps simply more brutal?,
than the once living being lying under you,

on your plate day after day.
Your plate of food is a mandala,
a symbol of your spirit,
and death is at the center.
Oh no, you respond: you have a God-given right!
Jehovah, God the father, Allah, you claim,
said that the animals are meant to be killed and eaten,
and this god, you say, in juxtaposition,
is nonetheless a god of infinite love and compassion,
and there is, you say, in fact, you insist, no contradiction.
So you exploit other creatures
as you repeat to yourselves
your scriptures of rationalization:
You deserve to dominate, to subdue the earth.
to cause terror and dread.
And how do you know
you deserve such a position, the master of terror,
among the creatures?
You tell me, and you're absolutely serious
when you say: "The bible told me so."
The victors write history. The victors write scriptures.
How hard is it for the rich to rewrite scriptures and history?
They're doing it at this moment on the media on TV:
The U.S., that has killed millions of people in Korea, Vietnam,
the Persian Gulf, Iraq, Panama, Bosnia, is painted as innocent
by the mainstream U.S. media.
The 300,000 people killed in the bombing of Baghdad are forgotten.
The 500,000 people killed, many of them children,
through U.S. sanctions, are forgotten.
But the 3,000 killed at the Trade Center are remembered.
Such a convenient state of amnesia for the thoughtless patriot,
and for the rich, who are always in jubilation during war,
for their profits increase as they never do in times of peace.
And so it went also in our past history,
For it was oh so easy
for an emperor like Constantine
to send into exile the teachers of compassion,
the teachers of vegetarianism and egalitarianism,
to pour lead down their throats, to kill them,
to confiscate their homes, to burn their original scriptures,
and to gather instead bogus bishops claiming to believe
what in fact the empire of Rome believed:
That slavery was valid, and sexism towards women,
and bigotry towards homosexuals,

and the disease-promoting diet of carnivorism.
And so the New Testament was born,
with its jewels of compassion:
"love your neighbor as your self"
"You cannot serve both God and Mammon"
and "I have come to free the captive"
(and the captive was the slave)
smothered in the dung of the morals of the Roman Empire,
come from the mouth of St. Paul:
"Obey all authority as coming from God,"
"Slaves obey your masters."
"Eat whatever is in the marketplace."
Those who are calm and detached
And removed from the demonic frequency know:
That those who are evil are shrewd:
They know how to perpetuate their empires of evil,
such as the orthodoxies of Judaism, Christianity and Islam,
and the industrialist governments of the world,
polluting our earth, our water, and our air,
and saying it is progress,
and saying that an omniscient god desires such evil.
Except in the ultimate sense,
those who are evil are not without cunning:
in the way they twist the past and tell lies about it
to make it fit and feed their greed,
like the patriarchs who overthrew the vegetarian Asherah gods
in the ancient days of Judaism.
The Asherah of Judaism were the Asura of India.
Asura is a name for almighty god in Hinduism;
Ausar is the name of Osiris in ancient Egyptian.
Assyria was named after the Asura.
Once the world was united in the belief
That all humans were equal
And that we were to be compassionate to all creatures.
But such beliefs do not fuel the fires of the greedy,
for the rich are those who desire more than the rest,
the rich are those who by definition
do not love their neighbors as themselves.
So ancient patriarchs overthrew the Asherah
and the vegetarian covenant of Genesis,
and rewrote the nonsense that claims to be from God
in the account of Noah and the Ark:
that the all compassionate God desired
that other creatures live in fear and dread of man.

So too, Paul and Constantine twisted the teachings of Jesus,
concocting scriptures, for example,
that say women are inferior and to be disciplined,
and as a result of such an attitude,
Many women are battered and beaten.
So the bishops of Constantine wrote scriptures that showed hate
toward whatever they did not understand,
that are bigoted towards homosexuals,
inciting vicious, violent, bloody hate crimes against them.
Do you comply with the brain-dead,
the spiritual zombies of orthodoxy,
who are neither logical nor loving?
Do you, like the orthodoxies of Judaism, Christianity and Islam
all the while persist in preaching the insanity
that your way is somehow true,
that you are really following a god of infinite compassion
at the same time that you are oppressing, enslaving,
and killing the creatures that the all-compassionate one created?
Have you no humility? Have you no shame?
Where is your mental discernment?
How have you tested the Spirit?
But the brain, history proves,
the discerning power of the immortal spirit,
can be trained to believe such contradictions
By day after day, mingling with mortality,
Eating the flesh of the dead,
Obscuring the immortal light
immanent in our body/spirit,
with mortality,
by implanting plaque in the blood system
and in the organ of the brain,
And so, in such brains plagued by death,
and plagued by the catechisms and manuals
of those preaching dominion,
for literally thousands of years
all sorts of nonsense about God
have been taught as truth:
that god desires some people to live in the miserable state of slavery,
that god desires resentment-breeding societies of rich and poor.
that god desires the brutality
and the disease-promoting diet of carnivorism,
yes, that an all-loving God, a God of infinite love,
desires that you eat the corpses of brutally murdered creatures,
desires that you make death a part of your body,

so that you become a walking grave
nourished by the corpses of murdered creatures.
Hidden graves. Walking graves. Whitened sepulchers.
Inflictors of pain claiming to be good.
In an abnormal, evil-breeding society,
comprised of those in power of orthodox religions
who kill animals,
and who sell their corpses to eat,
and their fur to be worn,
those who free the animals
are described (by the immoral) as criminals.
So, the lovers of creation,
those interested in loving the creatures of the Creator,
such as those destroying vivisection labs,
releasing animals from farms where they will be killed,
(cleansing the temple of the animals to be sacrificed)
are regarded as criminals,
while those who are demonic, who kill animals regularly,
and feed them to you, though the meal is unhealthy,
thrive.
It will not be so for long.
You who live in the way of the demon
and call it the way of God:
you who say you are above the other animals,
and who insist that you are better
than the rest of nature around you,
Please take notice, that wherever you go,
you leave the stain of oil, gas fumes,
and the stench of your factories and incinerators.
You don't want equality. You want superiority.
So you say you deserve to dominate, to subdue the earth:
you choose the scriptures of the fallen.
And so, in your world, you compete.
But in real societies we harmonize,
we treat all people, all beings, as equals,
and all creatures, all beings, as sacred.
The domineering mentality that guides you,
that supports killing the animals,
drives you not to be one among the happy many,
but to be the best above the rest.
And so, in your fantasies,
you want to be the best baseball football basketball
soccer player in the world;
You are the world's most powerful boxer, wrestler,

the champion bullfighter,
the most powerful general,
with the most destructive weapons, and the largest air force,
overseeing the destruction of the masses,
You are the richest industrialist,
The one controlling the greatest number of wage slaves.
You are the greatest of the behindthescenes rich men
Controlling the masses of the world.
You kill more than any other, you cause the most misery...
You are the president, the emperor of evil!
You are the strongest, the handsomest, the richest,
And therefore your reward:
Perfumed bodies, smooth legs spread...ahhh....
But it doesn't work that way:
For your potency fails as you age,
while the bodies of your gentler brothers and sisters
Persist longer in their health and strength,
Your muscles and hardons and other organs diminish in power,
rapidly, compared to theirs,
Though your bad moods anxiety despair are erect
And at attention.
Searching for moral straws,
the plagued minds of your superficial theologians say:
Look, there is no justice for them!
The slaughterer of cows isn't trampled by bovine hooves,
And those who cut the pig in half aren't gored
By the tusks of the boar.
But look further...
and let the simple truth make you free,
for as your mouths chew murdered flesh,
And the flesh is broken down in little pieces
and the digestive juices break down the bits
into smaller globules moving in the blood,
the circulation of the blood takes the little bits of murder
throughout the body, for the circulation of justice is as smooth
as the movement of blood through the body...
Observe:
Conspiring, your lungs breathe in together the tiny toxins of death...
the brain absorbs miniscule pollutants,
like pinpoints of murderous thought,
and gradually, by degrees, the poisons accumulate,
and the body commits its oh so slow but inevitable suicide:
little lumps of cholesterol goo and matter
from the enslaved and slaughtered and dismembered ones

move slowly and oh so subtly in the bloodstream,
clogging and poisoning a kidney here, a liver there,
a gall bladder in another...
in those who would not filter right from wrong,
the heart in another
who would not extend compassion to the other animals...
arthritis in that one who would not let the animals move freely,
cataracts in those who refuse to look at the atrocities...
and deafness in those who would not hear
the moans and horror of the slaughterhouse...
And as the little globules of cholesterol
Intrude and settle here and there in your body,
And your health prematurely declines,
Now Death stands over you,
As you stood over other animals--
Perhaps the spear of death this lifetime is cancer
or heart trouble, diabetes, kidney failure--
Death speaks to you and says: you chose me:
The temple of your body digested death,
Made death a part of your bloodstream,
Feeding every cell in your brain and heart and soul.
So now, tell me, as you watch the animals
Bellowing against the prod,
Goaded down chutes to the slaughterhouses,
As you watch their throats being slit,
Their bodies cut in half, their necks twisted,
Or their mangled and murdered bodies in the killing fields of industry,
Tell me, tell me again, that your god is all-compassionate and loving,
Tell me that you or those you listen to,
Have not corrupted the original words of purity
Of Moses and Jesus and Mohammed,
All of whom frequently retreated into the wilderness
To fast and be with the Presence;
And as you mouth rationalizes
why you daily put the corpse of a murdered animal
Into the temple of your body...

Listen carefully to yourself,
As you explain that your god is infinite love
(doesn't infinite love love all beings, since infinite means all?)
Listen to your lies as you explain that
you are not devoted to a demon
Who desires pain and death for other creatures.
And remember...

that the universe is just.
You say judge not that you may not be judged;
Well then I ask you why:
You judge that other animals
Should suffer for you and die?
For those who do not listen to love,
Death is as inevitable as birth,
As inevitable as having to come again and again,
To face the adversary, your self,
In a world like the earth,
A world of terror and deceit.
Until the lesson is learned.
A well-organized hand pays the butcher;
The butcher pays the deliveryman;
The delivery man pays the meatpackers;
The meatpackers, with plastic and boxes work
With the slaughterers and dismemberers themselves.
And look at the creatures,
So many of them subdued, had dominion over,
by people who hunt them, trap them, kill them,
lord it over then like false gods,
like the fallen angels they are.
So now, tell me, dying ones,
as you observe chickens stuffed in cages
debeaked and frustrated from having no room,
like pigs in their cages,
cage literally upon cage upon cage,
the upper animals defecating on those below,
Tell me,
as you watch the animals
Bellowing against the prod,
Goaded down chutes to the slaughterhouses,
As you watch their throats being slit,
Their bodies cut in half, their necks twisted,
Or the many mangled and murdered bodies, grasshoppers and ladybugs
the larger and small animals trapped in the excavated land
or in the cut down trees in the killing fields of industry,
Tell me, tell me again,
that your god is all-compassionate and loving,
Tell me that you or those you listen to,
Have not corrupted the original words of purity
Of Moses and Jesus and Mohammed,
All of whom frequently retreated into the wilderness
To fast and be with the Presence;

And as you explain why you daily put the corpse of a murdered animal
Into the temple of your body,
Listen carefully to yourself
As you explain to us how you are not devoted to a demon
Who desires pain and death for other creatures,
Instead of the true God of infinite compassion,
And as time goes on,
your decaying, pain-wracked body will remind you
that the universe is just.
Some state they wish that karma would be more obvious
And disappointedly to them,
Wall street has not been flooded
By the earth's envelope of heat melting the ice caps and
raising the waters of the coast...
At least not yet,
though the waters are still rising.
And those who support the slavery
of the poor to the rich throughout the world,
Are not enslaved and killed by the poor
Over whom they tyrannize,
At least not yet,
Though information is spreading more rapidly
And their lies are fading in the sun of truth,
And yet the time approaches,
the time is coming,
closer and closer,
a time when the millionth human,
like the hundredth monkey,
concludes that it is better to die
opposing and killing evil,
than it is to live as a slave under it.
Just as the rich
on a regular basis
sacrifice the poor
on the altars of war,
so the time is coming
when the rich will be sacrificed.
The rich wives and rich mothers who claimed to be women,
but lacked the compassion and tenderness of their kind,
who chose instead to profit from
the sweat and the blood of the poor;
And the rich fathers and rich sons who claimed to be men,
but reasoned that they should be masters
and other men slaves,

that they should own much
and others own little;
the time is coming closer
when the mountains will shake and some fall,
when the water of the ice caps,
melted by the fires of industry,
will drown Wall St. and the Bourse,
when the rich will be overthrown,
their buildings demolished,
their fortresses gone,
and their own soldiers, their own police,
understanding the truth,
turn against them,
fragging those who commanded injustice,
until governments and industrialists
become just a bad dream
and the rich will no longer exist,
nor those who oppress the creatures of the earth,
So that finally the time of peace will come.
For what we call prophecy is simply seeing
what the good have always seen:
the inherent and necessary logic
of a sick earth, divinely guided,
shaking off its parasites.

MORE NEW TESTAMENT LIES: THE GREAT COVER-UP: JESUS ALLUDES TO AND QUOTES VEGETARIAN PROPHETS.

Most bibles contain Jesus' references to Old Testament prophets in the footnotes. What these footnotes don't say, however, is that the majority of the late prophets denounce animal sacrifices in no uncertain terms, and they also denounce economic elitism. Once it is understood that Jesus quoted vegetarian and egalitarian prophets, we may conclude that it is totally illogical (and immoral) for him to state: "all foods are clean," and that in fact the scriptures of the New Testament were revised to fit the morals of Rome and not of Jesus and his disciples.

I must emphasize the obvious because the orthodox Christian church, as well as the orthodoxies of Islam and Judaism, suppresses this essential fact, namely Jesus' intimate ideological link and spiritual rapport with the vegetarian prophets of the past. So too Christian orthodoxy suppresses the fact that many of the earliest martyrs were killed precisely because they refused to partake of Rome's animal sacrifices. By refusing to eat the animals sacrificed by Rome, they too--like Jesus and the earliest disciples--were in the front lines saying No to evil, to

oppression, to those manifesting the demonic by killing life, when all is sacred, and all life is created by a divine Creator.

So the following brief survey merely shows the obvious: that most of the prophets Jesus quotes are clearly and explicitly vegetarian. Those who have read the other pages on this web site dealing with the origins of Judaism may rightly conjecture that all the patriarchs and prophets of the Torah were in fact vegetarian also but were painted over as carnivorous after the destruction of the centers of Asherah worship.

Zechariah

Jesus frequently refers to Zechariah whose following scriptures are perhaps the least quoted and most suppressed verses in the Old Testament for quite obvious reasons: they denounce animal sacrifices and point to the profit motive behind them. This passage should be juxtaposed next to those scriptures describing the cleansing of the temple by Jesus, and also to the scriptures in "Epistle to the Hebrews" describing Jesus' mission to be the abolition of the animal sacrifices.

"Thus said the Lord my God: 'Become shepherd of the flock doomed to slaughter. Those who buy them slay them and go unpunished; and those who sell them say, "Blessed be the Lord, I have become rich"; and their own shepherds have no pity on them.'" 11: 4-5.

Hosea

Hosea is referred to often by Jesus, the same Hosea who says (in some versions) "I desire mercy and not sacrifice."

"For I desire steadfast love and not sacrifice, the knowledge of God, rather than burnt offerings." 6: 6.

"They love sacrifice; they sacrifice flesh and eat it; but the Lord has no delight in them." 8: 13.

Isaiah

One of the most quoted prophets by New Testament writers is Isaiah. A passionate advocate of vegetarianism, one of Isaiah's most famous passages is

"Hear the word of the Lord you rulers of Sodom! Give ear to the teaching of our God, you people of Gomorrah! What to me is the multitude of your sacrifices? says the Lord; I have had enough of burnt offerings of rams and the fat of fed beasts; I do not delight in the blood of bulls, or of lambs, or of he-goats." 1: 10-11.

The same Isaiah says

"...even though you make many prayers, I will not listen; your hands are full of blood. Wash yourselves, make yourselves clean; remove the evil of your doings from before my eyes; cease to do evil, learn to do good; seek justice, correct oppression... I: 15-17.

Isaiah sharply distinguished the orthodoxy of Judaism taking part in animal sacrifices from the pure remnant of Judaism remaining true to the vegetarian covenant of Genesis. Isaiah, proponent of lacto-vegetarianism in chapter seven, was used by early Christians to argue against the carnivorousness of Leviticus 11, Frend tells us in his *Martyrdom and Persecution in the Early Church*.

Amos

And New Testament writers refer to Amos, whose intent was the same as that which was pronounced to be the mission of Jesus in "Epistle to the Hebrews:" the abolition of the animal sacrifices.

"I hate, I despise your feasts, and I take no delight in your solemn assemblies. Even though you offer me your burnt offerings...I will not accept them and the peace offerings of your fatted beasts I will not look upon. 5: 21-22.

"Woe to those who lie upon beds of ivory and stretch themselves upon their couches, and eat lambs from the flock, and calves from the midst of the stall..." 6: 4.

Joel

New Testament writers refer to Joel as well, who, like the vegetarian Moses and Joshua of the Dead Sea Scrolls tells the Israelites

"Behold, I am sending to you grain, wine and oil, and you shall be satisfied...Joel 2: 19.

Like Isaiah and others Joel foresees a time when all flesh will be at peace

"Fear not, you beasts of the field, for the pastures of the wilderness are green; the tree bears its fruit, the fig tree and the vine give their full yield." 2: 22.

"And it shall come to pass afterward, that I will pour out my spirit on all flesh..." 2: 28.

Micah

Micah and Daniel are quoted as well, the Book of Daniel being a major element in the structure and ideology of "Revelations." Both Micah and Daniel are dynamically against animal sacrifices. Attacking the practice of animal sacrifice in both Samaria and Jerusalem, Micah promises that agriculture shall replace the raising of animals to be slaughtered and eaten.

"What is the transgression of Jacob? Is it not Samaria? (which contained a temple where animals were sacrificed). And what is the sin of the house of Judah? Is it not Jerusalem? which also contained such a temple). Therefore I will make Samaria a heap in the open country, a place for planting vineyards; and I will pour down her stones into the valley and uncover her foundations. All her images shall be beaten to pieces, all her hires shall be burned with fire, and all her idols I will lay waste..." 1: 6-7.

The vegetarian prophets saw clearly, as did Baruch, as did the real Moses and Joshua, that worshipping idols and animal sacrifices were the same event. There was no such thing as a pleasing animal sacrifice to the true god. Animal sacrifices were to idols, i.e. demons, as Origen and Clement of Alexandria and Lactantius fervently maintained. While attacking the animal sacrifices, Micah attacks the nonsense of the made up scriptures saying that God commanded Abraham to sacrifice Isaac.

"With what shall I come before the Lord, and bow myself before God on high? Shall I come before him with burnt offerings, with calves a year old? Will the lord be pleased with thousands of rams....Shall I give my first-born for my transgression, the fruit of my body for the sin of my soul? He has showed you, O man what is good; and what does the Lord require of you but to do justice, and to love kindness, and to walk humbly with your God?" 6: 6-8.

Daniel and the Vegetarian Covenant of Genesis

The Book of Daniel, so essential to the New Testament and to "Revelations" in particular vividly portrays Daniel's affirmation of the purification resulting from abandoning carnivorousism and pursuing vegetarianism:

"In those days I, Daniel, was mourning for three weeks. I ate no delicacies, no meat or wine entered my mouth..."Daniel 10: 2.

In a vision Daniel retells the story of the Fall from vegetarianism into carnivorousism, then a purification and rising from that fallen state through eating the vegetation of a tree of life parallel to that described in Genesis:

"The visions of my head as I lay in bed were these: I saw, and behold, a tree in the midst of the earth; and its height was great. The tree grew and became strong, and its top reached to heaven, and it was visible to the end of the whole earth. Its leaves were fair and its fruit abundant, and it was food for all. The beasts of the field found shade under it, and the birds of the air dwelt in its branches, and all flesh was fed from it. 4: 10-12.

Jesus likewise alludes to and honors Jeremiah who, paralleling the "Words of Moses" in the Dead Sea Scrolls said:

"And I brought you into a plentiful land to enjoy its fruits and its good things. But when you came in you defiled my land, and made my heritage an abomination. The priests did not say, "Where is the Lord?" Those who handle the law did not know me; the rulers transgressed against me; the prophets prophesied by Ba'al, and went after things that do not profit. 2: 78.

"Your burnt offerings are not acceptable, nor your sacrifices pleasing to me. 6: 20.

"Therefore do not pray for this people, or lift up a cry or prayer on their behalf, for I will not listen when they call to me in the time of their trouble. What right has my beloved in my house, when she has done vile deeds. Can vows and sacrificial flesh avert your doom? Can you then exult?" 11: 14-15.

Reiterating the theme of justice and karma, that the hunter will become the hunted, Jeremiah also links the carcasses of flesh, i.e. animal sacrifices, with idols.

"Behold I am sending for many fishers, says the Lord, and they shall catch them; and afterwards I will send for many hunters, and they shall hunt them....And I will doubly recompense their iniquity and their sin, because they have polluted my land with the carcasses of their detestable idols, and have filled my inheritance with their abominations." 16: 16-18.

In "Lamentations," Jeremiah is quite graphic:

"This was for the sins of her prophets and the iniquities of her priests, who shed in the midst of her the blood of the righteous." 4: 13.

"They wandered, blind, through the streets, so defiled with blood that none could touch their garments." 4: 14.

These are bloody garments of the Levites sacrificing animals.

Ezekiel

And from the scriptures of Ezekiel, certainly an essential part of the foundation of "Revelations,"

"Then I said, Ah lord God! behold, I have never defiled myself; from my youth up till now I have never eaten what died of itself or was torn by beasts, nor has foul flesh come into my mouth." 4: 14.

Ezekiel, moved by the "spirit of the living creatures," says

"Thus says the Lord God, Behold, I am against the shepherds; and I will require my sheep at their hand, and put a stop to their feeding the sheep; no longer shall the shepherds feed

themselves. I will rescue my sheep from their mouths, that they may not be food for them." 34: 10.

Job

The dialogues of the Book of Job, considered to be one of the oldest books of the Old Testament, are a definite link with Hinduism and clearly suggest an influence even earlier in Jewish tradition. Job is told that if he changes from his cattleman ways,

"You shall inspect your fold and miss nothing." 5: 24.

This compassion for individual cattle is in great and stark contrast to the carnivorousness of the orthodox scriptures. The description of the invincible sea creature, Leviathan, who eats grass only, is a disguised description, or a persona, of Krishna in his form of Vishnu. Behemoth, described as a hippopotamus, dwells in the waters where the lotus, sacred to India, grows. The writer of

Job states that those of the waters of Jordan, i.e. the Jews, cannot vanquish those of the waters where the lotus dwells, and clearly claims a spiritual superiority for the vegetarian traditions of the East, which advocate compassion towards the other creatures.

Job, we must remind ourselves, was a cattleman, and his misery is archetypally that of one whose way of life involves oppressing, enslaving and slaughtering other creatures of God; therefore Job's

immense misery--it is karmically related to the misery he has caused other creatures.

"Behold, Behemoth, which I made as I made you; he eats grass like an ox. Behold, his strength in his loins, and his power in the muscles of his belly. He makes his tail stiff like a cedar; the sinews of his thighs are knit together. His bones are tubes of bronze, his limbs like bars of iron. He is the first of the works of God; let him who made him bring near his sword! For the mountains yield food for him where all the wild beasts play. Under the lotus plants he lies, in the covert of the reeds and in the marsh. For his shade the lotus trees cover him; the willows of the brook surround him. Behold, if the river is turbulent he is not frightened; he is confident though Jordan rushes against his mouth. Can one take him with hooks, or pierce his nose with a snare?" 40:15-24.

Zophar tells Job that after repenting, "You will lie down and none will make you afraid." This is a frequent and common theme among the Old Testament vegetarian prophets, that will be a final time of total peace for all creation. From Zephaniah, 3: 13:

"You shall pasture and lie down and none shall make you afraid."

Isaiah says

"Damascus will cease to be a city, and will become a heap of ruins. Her cities will be deserted for ever; they will be for flocks, which will lie down, and none will make them afraid." 17: 1-3.

Micah's famous passage

"...and they shall beat their swords into plowshares, and their spears into pruning hooks; nation shall not lift up sword against nation, neither shall they learn war any more; but they shall sit every man under his vine and under his fig tree..." concludes with "and none shall make them afraid..." 4: 3-4.

Ezekiel uses the same refrain in describing a utopia within which there is pain neither for human nor beast:

"They shall no more be a prey to the nations, nor shall the beasts of the land devour them; they shall dwell securely, and none shall make them afraid." 34: 28.

Bildad, one of those involved in questioning and answering Job, asks Job, with a telling bluntness and irony, reminding him of his karma being a cattleman, and his attempt to cloud his denial through verbiage.

"How long will you hunt for words?....Why are we counted as cattle?"

Job in fact describes feeling that his own body is torn apart the way the bodies of cattle are torn apart.

...then let my shoulder blade fall from my shoulder, and let my arm be broken from its socket, for I was in terror of calamity from God, and I could not have faced his majesty. 31: 22-23

Job comments on the evil teachings in Genesis 9, Where the scriptures say God commands that all creatures shall be in fear and terror of humanity.

"The fear and the dread of you shall be upon all the beasts of the earth and upon all the birds of the sky--everything with which the earth is astir--and upon all the fish of the sea; they are given into your hand. Every creature that lives shall be yours to eat; as with the green grasses, I give you all these." Genesis 9: 2-3

We have seen how the portrayal of God in Genesis 9 is diametrically opposed to the all compassionate Deity of Genesis 1, who commands vegetarianism for all creatures, and who sees all creation as good. We must remember that it is not god who is schizophrenic but those portraying him as such. For it is quite obvious that the Old Testament, like the New Testament and Quran, have been revised by businessmen desiring to sanction evil towards animals for reasons of profit.

In Job we see the bad karma of the cattleman, the hunter, the fisher.

"Yes, the light of the wicked is put out, and the flame of his fire does not shine. The light is dark in his tent, and his lamp above him is put out. His strong steps are shortened and his own schemes throw him down. For he is cast into a net by his own feet, and he walks on a pitfall. A trap seizes him by the heel, a snare lays hold of him. A rope is hid for him in the ground, a trap for him in the path. Terrors frighten him on every side and chase him at his heels." 18: 1-11

In some ways the Book of Job may be regarded as central to an understanding of the Old Testament, for its revisers did little or nothing to the body of the work. Instead they attempted to change the mind of the reader solely through revising the final passages, an easily seen through fabrication, an "all's well that ends well" attitude by the reviser who rewrote the last chapter of the book to make it appear that God favored animal sacrifices. The ending of the book of Job contradicts the 95% of the dialogues which precede it. As we shall see in a critical analysis of the Book of Job itself, the main body of work in the book is strongly, persistently and often passionately vegetarian and egalitarian.

Jesus was we know immersed in the Old Testament, with its undeniable Hindu origins. Undeniably Essenic, he himself probably actually read, and perhaps even copied, some of the very manuscripts uncovered in our time. It is clear he knows that the ancient scriptures were tampered with and he tells the orthodox in no uncertain terms that they use the scriptures to cover their own deceit.

What is central to our study in this chapter, however is that anyone can trace each reference to the Old Testament and see where it leads them. More often than not, it leads to a vegetarian prophet who has attacked the animal sacrifices and the injustice of the people conducting them toward their own human kind as well.

Dispelling the myth that Jesus ate fish and declared "all foods are clean."

Appended to the Clementine Recognitions is a profession of faith to Pauline Christianity which refers to the Essenes as Osseans.

"I anathematize the Nazareans, the stubborn ones, who deny that the law of sacrifices was given by Moses, who abstain from eating living things, and who never offer sacrifice. I anathemize the Osseans, the blindest of all men who use other scriptures than the Law." From p. 54, *The Essene Odyssey* by Hugh Schonfield, Element Books, Ltd. Longmead, Shaftesbury, Dorset, 1984. P. 87. Quoted from page 398, *The Conflict of the Church and Synagogue*, by James Parkes.

All Compassionate means being compassionate to all beings, not just some.

Jesus and the vegetarian prophets preceding him understood the link between having dominion over animals and having dominion over humans, and condemned both. They knew that those who claimed they sacrificed animals to the true God proved themselves to be liars by

the very injustice of their lives, by the fact that the same people accepted the inequality of a class structure allowing for rich and poor, instead of equality for all. They proved they were evil by the fact that they chose to have dominion over their own human kind instead of promoting equality for all: they had slaves. And they demonstrated their lack of compassion by their hunting, fishing and animal sacrifices. They turned away from an all-compassionate God, the term Mohammed as well as the Buddha before him sometimes used, so as to at least hint, if not explain totally, to those he knew would be deceived by evil authorities, that his Deity--I do not want to use the word God as generic for deity--commanded vegetarianism, was All-compassionate, that is, compassionate to all, and all means each and every one of God's creatures. Mohammed described God as all-compassionate so as to at least make it harder for the evil authorities to defend their ways. He had studied and observed what had been done to the originally pure words of Moses and Jesus. And he knew that the same thing would be attempted when he departed, as indeed it did, in great part because the carnivorous Sunnis took power away from the vegetarian tradition of Ali and the Shiites (pronounced She ites).

The elitist, the enslaver of others, is by definition not all compassionate; neither is the industrialist invading earth, the slaughterer of animals, or anyone even coercing in the slightest manner any other being. They are all, by definition, since they are evil towards some creatures, not all-compassionate.

LIES OF THE TORAH REVEALED:

The original Jews named their children after Hindu deities such as Isa, Jah, and Asher, because the original Jews were followers of Hinduism transplanted in Canaan.

"Make not mention of other gods, they shall not be heard on your lips." Ex: 23: 13

Asher, Asherah, and Asura Asherah: The shrine of a deity overthrown by patriarchs. The Hebrew word Asherah has its origin in Asura, meaning Almighty God, to the Hindus. More correctly, however, asher in Hebrew means happiness, so Asherah originally meant the All-happy One or the God or Deity of Joy. Asura: Means almighty God to the Hindus. This fact should be seen in context of the presentation of God as commanding vegetarianism in the first chapter of Genesis. The overthrow of the Asherah devotees, and the destruction of their shrines, was the overthrow of the original vegetarian faith of Judaism.

"Make not mention of other gods, they shall not be heard on your lips." Ex: 23: 13

Why would Jacob name his son Asher after the very Asherah who had vegetarian adherents, after the very deity whose shrines the orthodox would later tear down? Why would Shem, the son of Noah, name his second son Assur? It is totally inconsistent to be in a lineage supporting carnivorousism while you name your children after deities affirming vegetarians.

Orthodox Jewish law forbid Jews even to utter the names of false gods, much less to name their children after them. For the student of the Torah and orthodox Judaism, this is the stuff of comedy, for the names of Sheva, Rama, Ummah, Oseo, Isa, and Asherah or Asher--as

anyone even slightly familiar with a Concordance knows--literally pervade the original days of Judaism. The only explanation is the logical one: originally these were not false gods. They were simply the deities of the Hindu pantheon. So too, the Sabbath is clearly the day of Saba, or Shiva. There's really no getting around it, friends: orthodox Judaism is a heresy against its vegetarian and egalitarian origins. It is a house of cards attempting to say that an all-loving Deity supports the horrendously evil institution of human slavery, the brutality of animal slaughter, and the impure and poisonous food of animal flesh. Only those addicted to such evils, or, more relevantly, to the profits they may accrue from such beliefs, would support such moral absurdities.

Exodus and Judea:

The Etymology of the Words

The Pervasive Hud or Hod root in Ancient Hebrew.

Abraham came from the Kingdom of Oude in India.

The Etymology of Exodus:

Exodus = Ex-Hodus

Hodu in Ancient Hebrew = Hindustan

The Exodus is the Departure of the Hindustani people to the area of Canaan and Palestine.

The Israelites of the Exodus

Wore the Bindi of the Hindus, the people of Hodu.

The Oude of India, from which Abraham came, became the Odeh, Hod, Hud, and Hodu of ancient Hebrew.

The Original Jews Named Themselves after hodu, meaning Hindustan or India, which is a clear indication of their Hindu Spiritual Origins.

Hodu or Hoduwe

is the ancient Hebrew term for Hindustan [India].

Ancient Hebrew is built primarily upon Consonants,

So the HD, Hod or Hud, is also the root of Jehudea, the ancient name for Juda and Judea.

The attributes signified by Hod, or Hud, became a Symbol, And a Name for the people of Hodu or Oude.

A Bible Dictionary I have before me defines Exodus as the departure of a large group of people. Let me emphasize here that the word itself in the Old Testament conveys not only the sense of departure, but also the departure of a very particular group of people, the Hodu, the Hindustan people, the vegetarian tribe that traveled with Abraham from the kingdom of Oude in India. It is indisputable that the Hod or Hud root is pervasive in numerous words defining Judaism. For example:

Jehudea

Jehudi

Juda
Jehudi
Yehuwd
Yehud
Yehuwdah
Yehuwdiy
Yehuwdiyth
Yehuwdith
Howde
Hudah
Hoduw
Hodu
Hod
Exodus
Howdevah
Hodevah
Hodevjah Echuwd
Echad
Ehuwd
Ohad
1.

The Etymological Root of Judah

If one keeps in mind the fact that Hebrew is a language designating its significances mainly through consonants, then the following connections are quite apparent, as we can see in the following words.

The root of Juda, which is a shortened form of Jehudea, is Hud or Howde. Both of these words are related to Hodu, Hoduwe (or Hindustan).

1935 Howde, means grandeur, glorious, beauty, comeliness, excellency, goodly, honor, majesty. We may liken Howde to Ammihud:

5989 Ammiyhud or Ammihud refers to people of splendor. The hud part of Ammiyhud is the reference to splendor. And the Ammi (or Ammiy) part refers to people.

1912 Hoduw, ho'doo, Hodu (i.e. Hindustan) , India. Hod is defined as Sons of God in David Godwin's Cabalistic Encyclopedia.

Hod as Sons of God in the Cabal

In simple terms the positive attributes designated by Howde were considered attributes of the Hodu, the Hindustani people. Relevant here is David Godwin's Cabalistic Encyclopedia in which Hod in Hebrew is explained as "sons of the Gods." Juxtaposed with what we know of the ancient Hebrew veneration of the east as their spiritual source, and with the theocentric view

held by the original Jews, who named themselves after Rama, Shiva, Arjuna, and Kana, all of whom are eastern deities, Godwin's definition of Hod fits in with entry 1935 above, which conveys the attributes of God.

Once again, through the positive attributes designated by word Hod, or Hud, the word itself became a symbol for the people manifesting those positive attributes, manifesting them because they followed the way of their deities, Shiva, Kannan, Umma.

And the similarity between Howde and Hoduw or Hodu is obvious. Having established the meaning of Hodu or Hoduw, we can look ahead and easily see that Exodus = Ex-Hodus, the leaving or the exiting of the Hodu people.

The East, the Hodu, which means Hindustan,
as the Spiritual and Historical Source of Judaism.

One also sees the relevance of the Jews' veneration of the East as the place of their origins as indicated by the following words dealing with the East as the historical and spiritual source of the Jews.

6931 qadmowniy or qadmoniy, means anterior in time, oriental, i.e. of the east; ancient...they that went before, east, (thing of) old. "They that went before" is a generic description of Judaism's ancestry. This could hardly be a more specific confirmation that the roots of Israel and its religion were in the East, i.e. India and its environs. Entry 6931 like entry 6935, points to the historical source of the Adam Kadmon of the Cabala

6921 qadiym or qadim, from 6923; the fore or front part; hence (by orientation) the East; (often adverb, eastward, for brevity the east wind): east (-ward, wind).

6922 qaddiysh; corresponds to 6918--holy (One) saint. The following word deals with the eternal or everlasting aspect of their historical past in the east .

6924 qedem or qedmah: the front, of place (absolutely the fore part, rel. the East) or time (antiquity); often used as an adverb (before, anciently, eastwardly): aforesaid, ancient (time), before, east (end, part side, -ward), eternal X ever-(lasting); forward, old, past.

6926 qidmah means the forward part or relating to the East as well.

Notice the following definitions also deal with the precedence of the East, and the East as a place of beginnings, inspiring the Hebrews to name their children after the East.

6927 qadmah, priority in time; adverbially: before, afore, antiquity, former (old) estate.

6929 qedmah means precedence; and Kedemah is a son of Ishmael.

6930 qadmown means eastern and east.

6932 Qedmowth, means beginnings and Kedemoth is a place in eastern Palestine. So we see the Israelites naming both children and places after their beginnings, which are associated with the East.

6933 qadmay means first.

6934 Qadmiyel: Presence of God. The name of three Israelites.

6935 Qadmoniy: the same as 6931, ancient, that is, aboriginal; Kadmonite; the name of a tribe in Palestine; Kadmonites.

6942 qadash: a primitive root meaning to be, to make, pronounce or observe as clean, ceremonially or morally; ... consecrate, dedicate...hallow... keep holy, purify, sanctify.

6944 qodesh: a sacred place or thing; sanctity: holiness...saint, sanctuary.

2.

The Etymology of the term Exodus

Ancient Hebrew is built primarily upon Consonants,

1912 Hoduw, ho'doo, Hodu (i.e. Hindustan) , India. (From Strong's Hebrew Dictionary.)

The reader sees easily that Hodu, meaning Hindustan, is part of the word Exodus. Exodus = Ex-Hodus. The Exodus was the removal or leaving of the Hodu people of the East.

The meanings of Howde or Hud were attributes of the Hodu, the people of India. As we know from their reverence for their spiritual ancestry, which the earliest Jews admit is from the East, [qadim (6921 and 6923 in Strong's Hebrew Dictionary)], and for the qadmowniy or qadmoniy (6931 in Strong's Hebrew Dictionary) "those who came before," the original Jews not only had reverence for their past origins in India and its environs, but they also named themselves after their ancestors in India.

The Original Jews were Sabeans. and venerated Saba or Shiva.

It should be noted to the reader that Midea, where Moses lived and married, as well as Ethiopia, were considered to be an extension of India even into the first centuries of Christianity. Both areas had populations worshipping Shiva. And even some Jewish scribes and prophets of the Old Testament link the belief systems of Palestine, Egypt, Ethiopia and India as being the same. The name Shiva in ancient Hebrew is transliterated as Sheba, Seba, Saba, Tseva, and Sheva. The Sabaoth, the Lord of Hosts, is named after Shiva as Saba. The Shabbath is named after Shiva as Sheba and the related verb form Shaba. Shavu ot, the Jewish Feast of Weeks and the Christian Pentecost, as well as T'ub Shevat are both obviously derived from the Shiva root.

The Original Jews venerated El Kana.

El Kana, is one of the names of God in the Torah and El Kana is sometimes seen as El Quannon, or El Chanan. All of these name have their origins in the name Kannan, which is the Tamil Hindu name for Vishnu or Krishna. Channa and Hanna are interchangeable in ancient Hebrew, and the feast day, Chanukka, was named after Kana or Vishnu.

Asherah, Asura, was a Name given to Indra, Shiva, Vishnu. Those who overthrew the original Jews devoted to Asherah suppressed the knowledge of Judaism's Hindu origins.

We know that after the Asherah shrines were destroyed and the devotees dispersed and killed, that the original religion of the Jews, who were devoted to Asura or Asherah, was also overthrown. And those who overthrew the original Jews then established themselves as the orthodoxy of Judaism. And in order to remain in power, they had to conceal their origins as well as they could. But it was impossible for them to change the names of their deities, their patriarchs, their ancestors, and their feast days which pointed to their Hindu origins.

But the objective scholar or student, of original Judaism and Hinduism, when looking at the primary words which define Judaism, cannot miss the obvious connections between the original Jews and their Indian or Hindu origins.

As we have already seen in the list above, the Hud Root is primary and essential in Judaism. Note Strong's definitions of Yehuwd and Yehud and Yehuwdah.

3061 Yehuwd, yeh hood, Judah, Jewry, Judah, Judea.

3055 Yehud, yeh-hood' Jehud, a place in Palestine.

Hud is a form of 1935 Howde, which means grandeur, glorious, beauty, comeliness, excellency, goodly, honor, majesty. All this adulation is fitting, for India was then, and now still is, the center of the earth's spiritual splendor. Though all cultures and all religions have their spiritual giants who truly strive for the best for all humans, land occasionally for all creatures, it is the religious culture of India which has most consistently preached universal compassion for all creatures to the world.

Yehuwdah with the Hud or Hod root

Refers to the first tribe of Judaism,

In other words, the tribe of Abraham, the people from Oude.

James Strong in his Hebrew Dictionary to his Concordance of the Old and New Testament recognizes that Yehuwdah refers to the first tribe of Judaism. This further confirms the East and India as the Spiritual and Cultural Origin of Judaism.

Yehuwdah means Jah's or Jehovah's Hindustan.

Jehuwdah = Je + Hodu

3063 Yehuwdah yeh hoo daw' means celebrated (see 1935 Howde); Jehudah (or Judah), and the name of the tribe descended from the first tribe and its territory.

Yehuwdah means Jah's or Jehovah's Hindustan. Jehudea was a colony, an offspring, a rebirth of Vaishnava, Shaivite, and Sakti Hinduism and Jain Buddhism in the environs or cultural milieu called Canaan. Canaan itself is named after Kannan. Kannan is a Tamil Hindu name for Vishnu or Krishna (See Michael Jordan, Encyclopedia of Gods.)

When David Godwin in his work on the Cabal says that Hod in Hebrew is explained as Sons of the Gods, we can understand that this is a logical definition since Howde designates numerous positive attributes of the Hodu people.

The hudah in Jehudah (Je-hudah) which was shortened to Judah is the same as Hodu meaning India or Hindustan.

Jah is also a term imported from the Sanskrit speaking people of Oude.

Technically, Je-Hudah would mean God's Hindustan, for Je is merely a shortened form of Jehovah. Number 3050 in Strong's Dictionary is Yahh, a contraction for 3068, which means the Lord, most vehement, Jewish national name of God. And number 3068, Ye or Je, in Hebrew is short for Yehova.

Jah in Sanskrit (and Hebrew) refers to Shiva and Vishnu.

(See the page on Jah in Sanskrit) Ya and Yaya are designations of Shiva

In Strong's Exhaustive Concordance. Ye = Je = Ya = Jah. Therefore Jehudah means Jah's Hindustan. Ya or Jah is a known Sanskrit word meaning Shiva as Sthana and Krishna as Simhat. And Yaya is a known names of Shiva: OM AVYAYAYA NAMAHA and OM YAJNAMAYAYA NAMAHA are two chants to Shiva using the Ya or Yaya name. This name figures in the history not only of Hinduism in the East, but also in the western hemisphere, which was originally the Patala of the Hindus. See Viewzone.com for markings and petroglyphs designating veneration to Yaya among the aborigines of the western hemisphere.

Abraham from Oude is the Father of Judaism.

Therefore the prevalence of the Hud or Hod root designating Judaism in Ancient Hebrew.

All throughout this page it must be remember that Abraham initiated Judaism. He is its Father. And he transplanted the religion he had known in the Kingdom of Oude in India in Canaan and its environs. Thus the prevalence of the (h)od or (h)ud root in ancient Hebrew.

The Howdevjah variations

The Howdevjah variations show the association of Jah (Jehovah) with such splendor. And the Howde/Hoduw connection is impossible to ignore, unless one is purposely doing so.

When the reader goes to the pages at the end of this web site, dealing with the fact that that Jah and Jew are both Sanskrit terms, it becomes even more easy to see that Judaism was originally Hinduism transplanted in Canaan. Canaan itself was named after Kannan, the Tamil Hindu name for Vishnu or Krishna. The word Jah, the name of God so pervasive in ancient Hebrew, itself has a Sanskrit source. The following list of common Hebrew terms, all of which are easily traced to Sanskrit. See the six pages on etymology at the end of this site.

Yahweh and Jehu are simply transliterations of each other--scholars admit this--and Je is a familiar expression of emotional exuberance still used by Hindus. The chant "Je Ram" is common. Hu is the Divine He and She in Hinduism, and the same root is used for She and He in ancient Hebrew. Other pages on this site deal more thoroughly with the fact that Deity for the ancient Jews was Female as well as Male.

Why did the Hindustani people of the Exodus go to Canaan?
Since the People of the Exodus were the Hodus, or Hindustani People,
They went to Canaan because Canaan was named after Kannan,
a Tamil Hindu Name for Krishna,
who was also venerated by the Sabeans, the devotees of Shiva.
It was a refuge.

It was a logical plan for Moses to go to Canaan, the promised land, the land of milk and honey, favorite foods of the Hodu people, the Hindus, since the time of the Vedas. The Israelites of the Exodus head for the place of the Amorites, the Canaanites (followers of Kana, (who is Vishnu or Krishna), the Jebusites and Ivvites (who are the Shaivites) in Canaan. These other vegetarian tribes controlled much of Canaan and so it was also a refuge for the vegetarian Hodus of the Exodus, the Israelites.

During the time of the Exodus there are other facts demonstrating
that these Israelites were of the Hodu vegetarian sect?
The Israelites wore the Bindi that Hindus wear.

Moses tells the Israelites:

Exodus 13: 16 "And so it shall be as a sign upon your hand and as a symbol on your forehead that with a mighty hand the Lord freed us from Egypt."

Exodus 13: 9 "And this shall serve you as a sign on your hand and as a reminder on your forehead--in order that the teaching of the Lord may be in your mouth--that with a mighty hand the Lord freed you from Egypt."

So, though the revisers of the Torah tried to change the essentials of the original Vegetarian Torah's teachings, revising the original scriptures to have God give Noah a covenant of carnivorousness, in contrast to the vegetarian covenant of Genesis 1: 29-30, they didn't take all the evidence of the original vegetarianism of Judaism out of the Torah by any means. And as we shall see in another section of this study, the covenant of carnivorousness in the Torah is remarkably

like the description in the Book of Enoch, except that in Enoch all bloodshed is condemned, and animal sacrifices are seen as originating with the fallen angels, who become equivalent to Satans, or devils, or evil spirits. For this reason the Book of Enoch has been deemed apocryphal by the orthodox, just as the orthodox rabbis call the teachings of the late prophets, most of whom condemn animal sacrifices, as books which are secondary to the teachings of the Torah.

Later, after the vegetarians venerating the Asherah, Asura to the Hindus, were overthrown, the scriptures were rewritten to make it appear that Joshua had to fight hostile tribes in Canaan. Therefore the vegetarians of Canaan worshipping the sacred cow, as Hindus do, were made to appear as sinful, instead of what they were, people worshipping the same deities worshipped by the original Jews. And that is why the Israelites went to Canaan, to be with other friendly vegetarian tribes. We must scrutinize the notion that the Israelites, who were miraculously saved from the Egyptian army by God as Sabaoth (Saba is a name of Shiva) went from Egypt to another place of warfare, Canaan, instead of a refuge, a promised land of milk and honey (favorite foods of the Hindus since the time of the Vedas). Canaan was a promised land of refuge. But the revisers of the scriptures, knew that Canaan would be understood as a haven for the Vedic vegetarian religions that orthodox Judaism opposed. So they had to change the way in which the vegetarian inhabitants of Canaan were viewed. Therefore they became, instead of friendly tribes in a land that was a refuge, enemy tribes needing to be conquered.

A Fresh Look at the "Idolatrous" Golden Calf:

The original iconography of the Jews worshipping Shiva and El Kana was later condemned by those rewriting the Torah. The calf, some say bull, and bronze serpent, God speaking out of the pillar of fire, the burning bush itself, the staff of Moses and Aaron--all these are intimately connected with the Hodu people, with the Hindu pantheon. Shiva appears in a pillar of fire in the Lingam Purana. Shiva as Isa has a staff. The bull Nandi is sacred to Shiva or Sheba, Lord of the Shabbath. (Sitchin affirms that Elisheva aka Elisheba in Canaan meant "my god is seven" which is a designation for Shiva, Lord of Seven Worlds. (See Lost Realms by Zechariah Sitchin).

A Woman named Jehudith was a Canaanite.

In the following list note that the hud root can be found in Canaan. Jehudith is described as a Canaanite. So the Oude, the Hodu, the Hud or Hod people were in Canaan as well.

3064 Yehuwdiy, yeh hoo dee, is a Jehudite, or Jew. The yehuwd root was used pervasively to describe the Jews.

3065 Yehuwdiy, means an Israelite; Jehudi=a form of Jehu.

3066 Yehuwdiyth, yeh hoo deeth' means the Jewish language.

The Hebrew language was in fact the natural child of Sanskrit, raised in a different physical environment, somewhat modified by the nuances and influences of the surrounding cultures, but still affirming--through using the names of Hindu deities--the essential significance of Hinduism's spiritual core, which teaches vegetarianism and compassion for all creatures and egalitarianism.

3067 Yehuwdith, the feminine form of 3066, means Jewess. Jehudith, a woman of Canaan. Judith.

Further Demonstrations of the Prevalence
of the Hud or Hod Root in Ancient Hebrew

646 Ephowd, or Ephod, a girdle, specifically the high priest's shoulder piece. Here, the root howd or hod is connected with the sacred office of the high priest.

3054 Yahad, to Judaize, to become Jewish, become Jews. from 3061 Yahad is no doubt the source for the Arabic Jihad. The root Hud was also used to convey the notion of unity and preparedness and leadership among the Israelites.

164 Ehuwd, united, name of two or three Israelites.

161 Ohad, to be united, unity, an Israelite.

258 Echad, to unify.

261 Echuwd, united, the name of three Israelites. from 258.

5989 Ammiyhud or Ammihud refers to people of splendor. The hud part of Ammiyhud is the reference to splendor. And the Ammi (or Ammiy) part refers to people.

6259 Athuwd, prepared, ready, treasures.

6260 Attuwd or attud, prepared, full grown, leaders of the people.

1912 Hoduw, ho'doo, Hodu (i.e. Hindustan) , India.

1931 Huw, hoo, the feminine of which is hiy, which means he; Hu is the divine He in Sanskrit, and a sacred sound, as well as being part of the name of the youngest interrogator of Job, Elihu.

1935 Howd, hode, means grandeur, glorious, beauty, comeliness, excellency, goodly, honor, majesty.

1936 Howd, hode, the same as 1935; Hod, an Israelite. Hod, Sons of the Gods, from David Godwin's Cabalistic Encyclopedia.

AND HERE IS WHERE
THE HUD OF THE QURAN IS RELEVANT.

One of the ways in which Muhammad attempted to assure that his teachings would be transmitted faithfully was to disclose the name of the true prophet as Hud, a name which was known to designate the Hindus, the Hud or Hod of Judaism. This should be seen in context of the following facts. Muhammad was called a Sabeian by commentators on the Koran, and Sabeans, pure and simple, are followers of Saba, or Shiva. The ka aba, the sacred stone of Mecca, is fairly obviously a Shiva lingam, and the word Ka is a name of Brahma, the creator Deity in Hinduism. Rahman is one of the names of God in Islam, and is obviously a form of Rama. Rimmon and Ramman were designations of Rama among the original Jews and the Ethiopians (See Michael Hastings' Dictionary of the Bible). Also, Muhammad's name may be seen as a compound of Mah and Ahmed, meaning the "great pillar" in ancient Hebrew, which is intimately related to Arabic. Pillars were of course erected to Shiva.

Understanding these facts, which all point to Muhammad reestablishing the true tradition which the orthodoxies of Judaism and Christianity had abandoned, the objective reader must gravitate to the Shiite side of the controversy between the Sunnis, the orthodoxy of Islam and the Shiites, who state that Hazrat Ali, Muhammad's vegetarian nephew, was meant to represent the true lineage of Islam.

The Refusal by Scholars to Juxtapose India and Jah
To Connect the Oude of Abraham
With the Hud of Jehudea.

True research, some think, is genius rather than perseverance. It isn't. It is perseverance. But perhaps it does just require a particular kind of spirit to persevere, in which case both genius and perseverance are part of the equation. In any case, it is not hard for the objective reader to understand the connection between the Oude of Abraham's past, and the Hod or Hud of original Judaism. This chapter spells out A religious pluralism came with Abraham, for though he named his children in the Sabeian tradition, the Sabeans then, like the Shaivites today, consider the Vaishnava sects as brothers and sisters, as well as the Sakti and Jain sects. The vegetarians of India are far more accepting of other beliefs than are the carnivorous creeds of the world. And the religious pluralism of India today, which, though primarily a vegetarian nation, also has in its boundaries Muslims, Christians, and Jews.

1937 Howdevah, a form of 1938; Hodevah (or Hodevjah), an Israelite; Hodevah.

1938 Howdavjah, from 1935 and 3050; majesty of Jah; Hodavjah, the name of three Israelites; Hodaviah.

7772 Showa, rich; Shod, an Oriental people, Shoa. Same as 7771

7770 Shuwa;, the same as 7769; Shud; a Canaanite, Shua, Shuah.

3050 = Yahh, contraction for 3068, which means the Lord, most vehement, Jewish national name of God;

1939 Howdayevahuw, a form of 1938; Hodajvah, an Israelite; Hodaiah.

1940 Howdiyah, a form for the feminine of 3064; a Jewess; Hodiah.

1941 Howdiyah, a form of 1938; Hodijah, the name of three Israelites. Hodijah.

Whereas Strong often defines both parts of a compound word in his Exhaustive Concordance, i.e. the glory of Jah, the Favor of God, the Beloved of Jah or God, in the case of Howdevah he does not define both parts of the compound word, because it would be very embarrassing to juxtapose India and Jah to orthodox Jewish readers accustomed to hearing other nations and especially religious nations, described as heretical or infidel. The word Howdevah paints a pleasing portrait of India (and therefore of its mainly vegetarian inhabitants), which would be very embarrassing to a carnivorous culture claiming to be the only true lineage of God in religious history. The claim of orthodoxy, of course, is total nonsense and absurdity, as we see also in the Hebrew language's definition of Abel. The Hebrew language itself contains numerous denunciations of the way of Abel, whose very name means the source of lamentation and mourning, and a way that is vain and unsatisfactory. So too, another cattleman, Job, Ijob, has a name that means "the hated or the persecuted one."

Original Judaism denounced cattlemen who killed the cattle and the creatures of Shiva Pasupati, Lord of Creatures and Protector of Cattle.

So the study of the origins of the words, Exodus and Jehudea, once again take us to the land of India, and it is the ancient Hebrew language itself that proves the Hindu and Sanskrit origins of Judaism and ancient Hebrew.

SCHOLARS THROUGHOUT HISTORY HAVE ACKNOWLEDGED
THAT THE ANCIENT HEBREW LANGUAGE CONVEYS MESSAGES
THAT ARE NOT NECESSARILY ORTHODOX.

"The Hebrew language itself is a message,
independent of whatever specific message
someone thinks he is conveying in his use of that language."

Page 15 The Aramaic Version of the Bible, by Etan Levine,
Gruyter, Berlin, N.Y. 1988

We can see the logic of why the heretics who rebelled
against their vegetarian origins
had to describe those origins as idolatrous and demonic;
it was to protect the new Jewish orthodoxy from being challenged.
The same rebellion occurred in the histories of Christianity and Islam.

An introduction to the Book of Job:

The Name Job. A Mystery of the Bible Solved:

Why Job's Name is Associated with Persecution and Hatred,
and with being an Adversary.

Job suffers because he has persecuted and been an adversary
to the animals he has sacrificed.

In short, Job rebelled against the Vegetarian Covenant of Genesis.

The Theme of the Book of Job is not "the patience of Job,"
but the Bad Karma of the Cattleman.

The Name Job means the Enemy, the Hated One,
which is the role he plays to the animals he sacrifices.

Job's sufferings are frequently likened in the dialogues
to the butchering of animals.

Job is Against the Vegetarian Covenant of Genesis.

And this is the central fact of the Book,
around which the drama of the dialogues revolves.

It is only in the final chapter that Job is seen as approved by God.

The final chapter is a phoney a revision as there is in the literature of the world.

Like the Book of Genesis the final chapter has been rewritten to favor Job instead of condemn
him, which is the function

of over ninety per cent of the Book of Job. Job the cattleman suffers because he causes
suffering

to the Animals he enslaves, oppresses, and sacrifices.

The meaning of the name Job as the enemy, the hated one, is a perfect and consistent tool
in the portrayal of the main and undeniable theme of the Book: the bad karma of the cattleman.

#347 lyowb, Job, means, hated, persecuted.

#347, Job, comes from the root

#340 ayab meaning to hate, to be an enemy.

In entry 1358 we see how the author of Job cleverly named him after the very pit in which
animals who were to be sacrificed were placed.

#1358 gob: from a root corresponding to 1461; a pit, for wild animals, as cut out; a den; a place
in Palestine.

It would be a rhetorical question to ask why someone who enslaves animals, then slaughters
them, then makes a profit selling their slaughtered flesh to others should be hated or persecuted
by Deity, and specifically by Shiva Pasupati, Lord of Beasts, Protector of Cattle. Those who
have read earlier chapters on this web site know the Lord of the Jewish Shabbath to be Sheba
(Saba, Seba), the Lord of the Shabbath, or Sabbath.

The Multiple Uses of Irony in the Book of Job:

Gob also means both a husbandman (what the author no doubt thought Job should be). If we go to #1461 in Strong's Hebrew Dictionary, we have Gob meaning a husbandman, one involved in agriculture, in tilling the soil.

Job is certainly an enemy to the creatures he oppresses and thereby also an enemy to the original loving Deity who creates the creatures, and who commands them and humans to eat vegetation only.

Job the Cattleman who Sacrifices Animals
Was regarded as Satan to the Animals.

The following definitions of Satan and of words related to Satan, taken from James Strong's Hebrew Dictionary, show the similarity between Job, the animal sacrificer and what is Satanic.

7846 set, or cet, a departure from right, revolter, that turn aside.

7847 satah, to deviate from duty, decline, go aside

7852 satam, a primitive root meaning to lurk for, i.e., persecute; hate, oppose self against.

7853 satan, to attack, accuse, adversary, resist.

7854 satan, an opponent; Satan, the arch-enemy of good; adversary, Satan, withstand.

Job is Against the Vegetarian Covenant of Genesis.

"And God said `Behold I have given you every plant yielding seed which is upon the face of the earth, and every tree with seed in its fruit; you shall have them for food. And to every beast of the earth and to every bird of the air and to everything that creeps on the earth, everything that has the breath of life, I have given every green plant for food.'" I: 29-30.

From the point of view of the vegetarian covenant stating that all creatures are to be vegetarian, Job, like Abel, commits evil when sacrificing animals. He and Abel are like the patriarchs who overthrew their original vegetarian beliefs, as seen in the destruction of the Asherah, or Asura, a name given to Hindu deities, a name meaning Almighty God.

Job is not patient.

More than a few students of the Book of Job have commented on the so-called mystery of Job's name meaning hated, the enemy. Strong says that Ijob is Job, the patriarch known for his patience. But as we will see, when we line by line explicate the narrative of the Book of Job, Job is not at all patient, but quite the opposite during literally most of the narrative. After his

initial victory over despondence after the death of his offspring and the losing of his cattle--"The Lord has given; and the Lord has taken away: blessed be the name of the Lord"--he, when he himself is struck with tragedy in his own flesh, after the seven day initiatory time, a time in which he could have soothed his spirit, instead becomes the personification of despair and cynicism towards all things spiritual and is in fact described as impatient and antagonist to the divine will throughout the vast majority of the book.

The Last Chapter of the Book of Job is as Phoney a Rewrite as one will see in the literature of the world.

It is only in the coda, the ending of the Book of Job that we see Job resigning himself to the Will of Deity, and it is as patently a phoney ending as can be imagined, that even a sheer novice to literary criticism can detect, for the ending contradicts 95% of the commentary and narrative that preceded it. To all those orthodox readers of Job, I assure you that this is easily proven, as you yourself will see, by a line by line explication of the moral narrative of the book.

The Writer of the Book of Job linked the Book to the Book of Enoch.
The Ethiopic Book of Enoch Bluntly Affirms Vegetarianism.

Moreover, the writer of the Book of Job attempted to insure that his message, the bad karma of those who sacrifice animals, was carried on to posterity by linking the Book of Job to the Ethiopic Book of Enoch, in which the creation of Leviathan and Behemoth is described. Leviathan and Behemoth both play their part in the book of Job, Behemoth as a grass eating vegetarian, and Leviathan as a Vishnu like power against which the waters of the Jordan, or orthodox Judaism, are powerless.

The Moral of the Book of Job is the Bad Karma of the Cattleman.
The pervasive imagery of butchery in the Book of Job
is a constant reminder or edification to the Reader
that those who support the butchering of creatures
created by the all loving Lord of Creatures
should expect to feel their own spirits butchered by Deity,
as Job does. Or, in the language used to define the word Job,
those who are enemies of the creatures,
who support the persecution of these creatures,
are enemies of the Lord of Creatures,
and should expect to feel "persecuted" by the Divine. Our hospitals are filled with cholesterol
addicts,
Most of whom attempt to disassociate their illness from divine justice. The Book of Job
recognizes that Deity is always just,
Not only in giving us our good moods, our elation and euphoria,
but also in giving us illnesses and our depression and despair,
in order to show us how we can learn how to avoid them.

Such is the message of the Book of Job: those who support the oppression of animals will be oppressed themselves,
as we can see over and over again in the Book of Job,
wherein Job's suffering is related to the suffering
and to the butchering of animals. God as the Lord of Creatures, Pasupati, in Job

As we shall see in the later chapters, the Book of Job, except for its patently phoney ending, is an extremely strong affirmation of Deity as the Lord of all Creatures. In the Book of Job God declares that the waters where the lotus (sacred to Hindus and Buddhists alike) grows, and where Behemoth lives, are not threatened by the waters of the Jordan, the place of orthodox Judaism. Moreover, God's praise of one of his strongest creatures, the vegetarian hippopotamus--Behemoth ("he eats grass like an ox" says God) and the constant reminder to Job that Job will be at peace with creation if he changes his ways, that is, if he repents the bloodshed and slaughter that were his life's occupation, and especially the pervasive imagery of butchery that is used ironically over and over again to point out to Job that one who butchers other creatures should expect to feel his own spirit butchered by God--all these factors make it quite clear what the moral of Job is: the bad karma of the cattleman, hated by God, because the cattleman is brutal to the cattle created by Shiva, Lord and Protector of the Cattle.

It's no mystery why theology students are not immediately taught about etymology and linguistics. They'd get so knowledgeable that they'd threaten the hypocritical religious establishment whose real purpose is to support an oppressive industrial society and government.

I accept the notion of the Zeitgeist, or the spirit of the time. "An idea whose time has come" is more than a platitude; it is a recognition that, in the larger scheme of things, sub specias eternitatis, i.e. under the aspect of eternity, material will be brought to light, and mysteries explained in their own due time. The Maya, Hindus, Jains, Tibetans, and the ancient Egyptians, and most indigenous people have all had an understanding Time as controlled by divine or supramundane forces.

THE ORIGINAL CHRISTIANS
ATTACKED THE MEAT INDUSTRY. THE FIRST T.V. EPISODE OF
"FROM JESUS TO CHRIST"
SEEMED TO INDICATE THAT SOME SCHOLARS
THROUGH THE MEDIA WERE GRADUALLY PREPARING PEOPLE
FOR THE REVELATION THAT CHRISTIANITY
WAS ORIGINALLY VEGETARIAN.

The TV two hour program presented in April of 1998 "From Jesus to Christ," while not dramatically emphasizing the truth did portray the prominence of James the brother of Jesus, who is rather thoroughly documented as a vegetarian in Eusebius' Ecclesiastical History, and did focus on Pliny the Younger's often anthologized, yet seldom thoroughly analyzed, letter to Trajan, in which Pliny gives Trajan a progress report on his invasion of Bithynia, a place where Peter had preached (see the first letter of Peter in the New Testament. There Pliny and the Roman military tortured and killed the Christians who had persuaded the local population not to

eat the flesh of sacrificed, i.e., slaughtered, animals, and had therefore destroyed the local meat industry.

Pliny's military campaign was successful, for once again, Pliny writes Trajan, animals were being sold in the temple to be sacrificed.

Diane Bowder in her Constantine and Justinian well understood that the vegetarian Christians were known during the time of the Emperor Decius for interrupting things in the marketplace, which means they were no doubt informing the carnivorous people that their food came from brutally slaughtered beasts and was unhealthy as well, information which a number of animal liberation and vegetarian groups are dispensing today, not to prove they're right, but to improve the lot of all creation by respecting all animal life, and to improve the lot of humanity with optimally healthy vegetarian nutrition.

Bowder aptly describes these Christians as attacking not only the moral but the corporate, that is the economic, basis of the meat industry, that was sanctioned by orthodox scriptures in the Tanakh, that was profited from by orthodox Jewish cattlemen, and that was taxed by Rome.

Jesus Describes the Eating Habits of the Pharisees:

The Pharisees are Unseen Graves,
Whitened Sepulchers Full of Dead Animal Bones.

"From Jesus to Christ" also quoted Jesus as denouncing the scribes and Pharisees for being whitened sepulchers "full of dead bones." Notice that this quotation is not the usual "dead men's bones." In the same episode with the Pharisees, Jesus describes the hypocrites as "unseen graves," an apt literal description of their eating habits. The quotation, "full of dead bones" is echoed by Conybeare, who in his *The Origins of Christianity* also quotes the passage without inserting the word men's.

Conybeare also insists that the Ebionites, described as vegetarian, are in fact the first followers of Jesus' true teachings. There was excitement in their movement because there was healing, which is inevitable if one follows a strict vegetarian diet, and their way of life was egalitarian. The masses of the world, then, as now, longed for freedom from their governors, their slave masters. "You cannot serve both God and Mammon" was a battle cry against all authorities but God. And "love your neighbor as yourself" was not only a command to share equally with your neighbor, but it was also an implicit battle cry against the rich, who, by definition, do not love their neighbors as themselves. So Jesus and his earliest affinity groups very deliberately called themselves the poor, Ebionites, in order to let all those who suffered under the rich, the poor, that they were on their side.

JESUS: ENEMY OF THE STATE

The earliest followers of Jesus shared all things in common as did the Essenes and Nazarenes in an optimal setting. That was their law. If you wanted to be rich, you didn't associate with the Essenes, Ebionites, Rechabites, Sabeans or the other vegetarian and egalitarian groups. In the statement "You cannot serve both God and Mammon, Mammon refers to wealth, to those who have wealth (and are not in the process of distributing or sharing it), and

to those who seek wealth in stead of God. Mammon referred especially to the Roman State, the colonizer of Palestine perennially controlled by the rich. However, orthodox Jews practicing slavery, usury, as we see by the actions of the zealots, were also included as Mammon. This true teachings were subverted by the writings of Paul, and most of all, by the extremely shrewd policies of Constantine which were subverted very successfully by the teachings of Paul, who was not only rescued by the Romans from angry followers of the original Jesus, but who also taught Roman values as Christians, such as slavery, sexism, bigotry towards homosexuals, and carnivorousism.

Though orthodox Christians insist that Pauline theology, in which we are taught to honor and respect the emperor and the state, is the true interpretation of Jesus' teachings, there have been revolutionary Christians throughout the ages who have understood that Jesus and his followers were absolutely uncompromising. The zealots, among whom were Simon Zelotes (Peter) and Judas Iscariot, killed Roman and Jewish slaveholders and burned down the Temple of Records in Jerusalem where the debts owed by the poor to the rich were kept. They were true to Jesus' teachings: that he came to free the captive, and that one was to obey no authority but God, and that one was not to obey Mammon, or wealth, which in all ages has been controlled by the wealthy, who rule the state as well control industries.

What is coming through in programs like the first episode (not the second) of "From Jesus to Christ," is a historical Jesus who, like Buddha and the Hindu teachers, is creation-oriented, not simply human-oriented as is the fabricated image of Jesus in the New Testament.

VEGETARIAN MUHAMMAD

Allah, the Deity of the Quran,
is Shiva, Deity of the Sabeans A lists of Facts Demonstrating the Vegetarian Origins of Islam

THE QURAN REGARDS ALL CREATURES AS FAMILY

Most cursory students of Islam regard it as an unquestionably carnivorous religion. However, as is obvious from this study, a closer look reveals that vegetarianism and Sabeanism and the indisputably vegetarian Book of Enoch are seen over and over again in the Quran. The Quran presents the animals of the earth as being no different from the people of the earth. This is perfectly in line with the Ethiopic Book of Enoch. Note that Allah makes it perfectly clear that other animals deserve the same respect as humans: "they are peoples like unto you."

"There is not an animal in the earth, nor a flying creature flying on two wings, but they are peoples like unto you. We have neglected nothing in the Book (of Our decrees). Then unto their Lord they will be gathered." Surah 6, Verse 38

A vegetarian paradise pervades the Quran.

Shias claim the Quran was edited

by those opposed to Muhammad's successor, Ali, who was vegetarian.

The fact that Paradise is described most of the time as a vegetarian paradise, as a fruitful garden where streams flow, rather than a haven for carnivores, lets even the new reader of the Quran suspect that--as the Shias (Shi'ites), have said from the beginning--the original words of the Quran have been tampered with. This is rather easily proven. The Quran is much like the Old and New Testament in that respect, full of assertions of both vegetarianism and carnivorousness, as well as assertions of both egalitarianism and slavery.

The pages above on this web site have given ample demonstrations of the contradictory scriptures that exist in the Old and New Testaments..

Vegetarian Religions such as Hinduism and Buddhism Safeguard Health
Carnivorous Religions, such as orthodox Judaism,
orthodox Christianity, and orthodox Islam, Promote Disease.

In reality, however, as in the case of the Old and New Testament, it is easy to see the contradictions. Moreover, armed as they are now with the medical research throughout the world pronouncing animal fat as detrimental to human health, with statements from the American Medical Association, statements from personnel of Harvard and Princeton, and the heart, cancer, and diabetes foundations, the vegetarian communities of the world, not just Hindus and Buddhists, but vegan and vegetarian communities proliferating everywhere, are now being regarded as safeguarding health, rather than as proliferating disease, as are the carnivorous orthodoxies of Judaism, Christianity and Islam.

When one looks at Surah 6, Verse 38, one sees an obvious remnant of the original Vegetarian Quran. Simply speaking, one does not murder and eat the people of creation, and the Quran makes it clear that the other creatures are people like the audience to which Muhammad is speaking. This is clear evidence supporting the Shia claim that the Quran was revised after Muhammad's death.

"There is not an animal in the earth, nor a flying creature flying on two wings, but they are peoples like unto you. We have neglected nothing in the Book (of Our decrees). Then unto their Lord they will be gathered."

Vegetarian Muhammad Praises the Vegetarian Sabeans
and Affirms that Hud, a word for India, is the True Prophet.

Every orthodox Muslim knows that the Quran praises the Sabeans as "people of the Book." Although what "people of the Book" originally meant is controversial, it is not controversial that the Sabeans are praised. The Sabeans were (mainly) vegetarian followers of Shiva. This is one more bit of evidence substantiating the claims of the Muslim Shi'ites, or Shias, namely, that the lineage of authority was to pass on to the vegetarian Hazrat Ali, and not to Omar and Bakr. We should take their claims in context of the following facts about Islam:

1. The Sacred Stone is a Shiva lingam, a pillar that has been broken into seven pieces. This is universally acknowledged. See the Theosophical Glossary, Sword of Truth, and commentaries by Shauvite and Vaishnava Hindus.

2. The Quran praises the Sabeans, who are vegetarian. The Sabeans are followers of Saba, aka Seba, aka Sheba, who is none other than Shiva. The Sabbath and the Sabaoth are named after Saba or Sheba. In ancient Hebrew the Sabbath is Shabbath. While people in ancient times could overthrow the vegetarian Asherah devotees who remained true to the vegetarian covenant in "Genesis," they couldn't overthrow the ancient Hebrew language. And just as it was in India, ancient Canaan, Juda and Israel, religious names and concepts were ingrained in the language. It is known that Muhammad visited vegetarian Sabeian wilderness communities. (Robert Eisenman, James the Brother of Jesus) Jesus' name to the Muslims, Tibetans and Hindus, was Isa, which is a name of Shiva, and thus we can see that the true religion taught by Jesus was regarded as being in the Sabeian tradition as well, though it was subverted by Paul, as numerous Muslim writers inform us.

3. The Quran has numerous passages stating that Gardens of Vegetation await the true Muslim. We are all quite aware that the Quran we now have also not only allows, but commands, animal sacrifices. Those sections affirming carnivorousness, as we shall see, were later accretions and revisions made by the party opposed to the original vegetarian and egalitarian teachings of Islam.

4. Muhammad was vegetarian. This is admitted even by Sunnis. Some say he ate meat if it was offered. Even Sunnis admit that Muhammad warned people about consuming flesh. Interesting, even some Sunni scholars do not even mention that Muhammad ate flesh, but present him as totally vegetarian.

5. Ali, like Muhammad was vegetarian. The Shias claim that Ali was to be the heir to Muhammad's authority after Muhammad's death, but that this authority was usurped by Omar and Bakr.

6. (Muhammad in) the Quran praises Hud as the true prophet. The word Hud is the same as Howde in ancient Hebrew, meaning India. Muhammad was attempting to secure the original scriptures of the Quran from being perverted by later generations, by pointing to India as the nation that believed in the same deity, Shiva. From Strong's Hebrew Dictionary: Entry 1912 Hoduw, ho'doo, Hodu (i.e. Hindustan) , India. Ancient Hebrew is based primarily on consonants, so HD, seen either as Hod or Hud, is also the root of Jehudea, the earlier form of the name of Judah or Judea.

7. Scholars and seers in the ancient Arabian cultures, as noted by Chaturvedi in his Sword of Truth.com articles, venerated their Hind or Hindu ancestry.

8. Allah is described as The Lord of Seven Worlds or Heavens in the Quran. This is a well-known attribute of Shiva.

9. Ramman, an aspect of Allah, and Ramadan, the time of fasting, both derive from Ramah, one of the deities of Hinduism

10. The Quran affirms the Family of Creation in Surah 6: Verse 38. "There is not an animal in the earth, nor a flying creature flying on two wings, but they are peoples like unto you. We have neglected nothing in the Book (of Our decrees). Then unto their Lord they will be gathered."

11. The Ummat, the followers of Allah, took their name from Umma, the mate of Shiva. The Ummat, the followers of Allah, took their name from Umma, the mate of Shiva, just as the nation, community, and people of Israel named themselves Umma after her. Umma means people, congregation and nation in ancient Hebrew. There can be hardly any doubt that the Hebrew word for mother is related. And ancient Hebrew, like Arabic, are both languages stemming from Sanskrit. Umma and Shiva both lived in the wilderness and were vegetarian.

12. The Quran over and over again refers to the indisputably vegetarian Book of Enoch. The crumbling of the mountains and the lashing of the sinners with metal implements, symbolizing that they are being punished for teaching humans the predatory ways of civilization, are seen in both works.

13. Muhammad's name means The Great Pillar (of Shiva is understood). The Mu is like the Hindu Maha and ancient Hebrew Mah, meaning great or mighty, and ammad means pillar.

14. The Quran is emphatic in its concern that Allah's original covenant not be broken, and in context of the above, it is obvious that that is the vegetarian covenant of Genesis 1: 29-30.

THE ORIGINAL VEGETARIAN QURAN

The Quran frequently refers to the Book of Enoch, which affirms vegetarianism. The fall of the angels who brought bloodshed to the earth,
the crumbling mountains in the final times,
the punishment of the sinners
with the metal implements of industry and fire,
are seen in both works.

In the second Surah, Al-Baqarah, "The Cow," we are given a brief description of the Fall of the Angels. The Quran does this quite purposely. For the Quran and the second Surah, "The Cow," especially, are very much concerned with the original vegetarian covenant and with the transgression of it, as we shall see in another chapter. While those in the Sunni tradition will affirm that the Quran that we now have numerous times sanctions the sacrificing of animals, there are nonetheless sections of the original vegetarian Quran that were not revised that condemn bloodshed, or making mischief on the earth. The Sunni tradition, like the traditions of orthodox Judaism and orthodox Christianity, has vested interests in maintaining the notion that Allah sanctions their bloodshed. Sunni cattlemen have much to lose if it is realized that Islam was originally vegetarian. Their ancestors who changed the words of the Quran changed the scriptures for "financial gain," in the words of the Quran itself.

Not only was did the original Quran affirm vegetarianism, but it did so using references from the Ethiopic Book of Enoch, a book which is indisputably vegetarian in its teachings, condemning any alteration of nature whatsoever, such as mining, which alters the earth, or cosmetics, which alters the appearance of humans. The Book of Enoch is against animal sacrifices, and against any form of the shedding of blood. For example in the second Surah, "The Cow," called the Quran in little, because it is said to contain the major concerns of the Quran, criticizes the shedding of blood and making mischief on earth.

And when thy Lord said to the angels, I am going to place a ruler (khalifah) in the earth, they said: Wilt Thou place in it such as make mischief in it and shed blood? The Cow 2:30

It makes no difference that there are numerous scriptures sanctioning animal sacrifices in the Quran. So too are there numerous scriptures and facts pointing to Islam's vegetarian origins condemning bloodshed, as we see in the passage above, in which bloodshed is implicitly condemned, and as we see in our list of facts regarding Islam:

1. The Sacred Stone is a Shiva lingam. The vast majority of Shauivites are vegetarian.
2. The Quran praises the Sabeans, who are vegetarian.
3. The Quran has numerous passages stating that Gardens of Vegetation await the true Muslim.
4. Muhammad was vegetarian. Some hadiths say he ate meat if offered to him, but did not choose it as a food. It is acknowledged even by Sunnis that Muhammad warned about the eating of flesh, that it was hard on the system.
5. (Muhammad in) the Quran praises Hud as the true prophet. Hud in ancient Hebrew refers to India, whose immigrants had settled in, done business with, and profoundly influenced the cultures of the Arabian peninsula.
6. Ancient Arabia venerated their Hind or Hindu ancestry.
7. Allah is described as The Lord of Seven Worlds or Heavens in the Quran. This is a well-known attribute of Shiva.
9. Ramman, an aspect of Allah, and Ramadan, both derive from Ramah, one of the deities of Hinduism.
10. The Quran affirms the Family of Creation in Surah 6: Verse 38. Seen above.
11. The Ummat, the followers of Allah, took their name from Umma, the mate of Shiva.
12. The Quran over and over again refers to the indisputably vegetarian Book of Enoch.

As we shall see in the sections below, the very fact that the scriptures of the Ethiopic Book of Enoch are quoted points to a vegetarian origin for the Quran. The fact that Muhammad is described as a vegetarian even by Sunni sources should, one would think, be enough for open-minded Sunni Muslims to realize that the Shia claims for a vegetarian orthodoxy are valid. Let's examine some of the references to the Book of Enoch.

The falling mountains of the final days
is seen in the Book of Enoch and the Quran.

Those who have studied the Ethiopic Book of Enoch know that it begins with a description of the final days in which the mountains will crumble. It later describes the punishment by fire of the fallen angels who led humanity astray. One of the unique features of the punishment of the fallen angels is that of the sinners being lashed with metal instruments, or, depending on the translation, that of the sinners themselves being lashed with "hooked rods of iron" which is a description in the Pickthall translation of the Quran.

The Falling Mountains
In the Ethiopic Book of Enoch

Great fear and trembling shall seize them, even to the ends of the earth. The lofty mountains shall be troubled, and the exalted hills depressed, melting like a honeycomb in the flame. The earth shall be immersed, and all things which are in it perish; while judgment shall come upon all, even upon all the righteous...(Ethiopic) Book of Enoch 1: 6.

From the Quran

We have in store for the unbelievers heavy fetters and a blazing fire, choking food and harrowing torment: on the day when the earth shall quiver with all its mountains, and the mountains crumble into heaps of shifting sand. Quran 73:12

In the Quran and in the Book of Enoch
the fallen angels are scourged with metal implements.

While translations differ--the Pickthall translation has "hooked rods of iron" instead of lashed (by?) rods of iron, the point that is made in the Ethiopic Book of Enoch is that the angels are suffering for revealing the secrets of industry, dependent on metals. Mining, which alters creation, is forbidden, as are all other acts that alter what the Creator created. The Quran over and over again, when describing the punishment awaiting sinners, refers to the Book of Enoch, a work which denounces all animal sacrifices and bloodshed in general. This fact, in context of the list of facts we have mentioned, the praise of the Sabians, the reference to the family of creation, the description of Allah as the Lord of seven worlds, a classic description of Shiva, the reference to the fruitful gardens awaiting the faithful, and so on, leads the reader to conclude that the original Vegetarian Quran was, like the original Torah, and original scriptures about Jesus, revised for a profit.

Garments of fire have been prepared for the unbelievers. Scalding water shall be poured upon their heads, melting their skins and that which is in their bellies. They shall be lashed rods of iron. Quran 22: 22 And I saw there the hosts of the angels of punishment going, and they held scourges and chains 2 of iron and bronze. And I asked the angel of peace who went with me, saying: 'To whom are 3 these who hold the scourges going?' And he said unto me: 'To their elect and beloved ones, that they may be cast into the chasm of the abyss of the valley. 4 And then that valley shall be filled with their elect and beloved, And the days of their lives shall be at an end, And the days of their leading astray shall not thenceforward be reckoned. Book of Enoch 56: 1

The above scriptures are worth dwelling on for numerous reasons. The fallen angels had been elect, but chose to go astray and fall, and in so doing led those on earth astray as well.

REASONS FOR THE DELUGE

Echoes of the Book of Enoch exist in the Torah. Those who rewrote the Torah couldn't be specific about the violence and corruption that God was to destroy, for they would be talking about the very society they lived in, that sacrificed animals and was involved in

Chapter 7 of the Book of Enoch

Chapter 7 shows the mischief on earth, the shedding of blood.

And they began to sin against birds, and beasts, and reptiles, and 6 fish, and to devour one another's flesh, and drink the blood. Then the earth laid accusation against the lawless ones. 7: 5

Chapter 8 of the Book of Enoch

Making the Implements of Industry, Altering Creation.

Chapter 8 of the Ethiopic Book of Enoch is a key chapter for understanding the religious sense that pervaded the pure remnant of people in ancient times, and that pervades some renunciate groups, and back to nature groups, today. They understood that to alter creation in any way was to sin against the Creator.

1Moreover Azazyel taught men to make swords, knives, shields, breastplates, the fabrication of mirrors, and the workmanship of bracelets and ornaments, the use of paint, the beautifying of the eyebrows, the use of stones of every valuable and select kind, and all sorts of dyes, so that the world became altered.

2Impiety increased; fornication multiplied; and they transgressed and corrupted all their ways.

Chapter 9

Chapter 9, in context with the above passages, gives us a summary of why the Deluge was brought about.

5 You have seen what Azazyel has done, how he has taught every species of iniquity upon earth, and has disclosed to the world all the secret things which are done in the heavens.
9 Thus has the whole earth been filled with blood and with iniquity.

The Torah is purposely ambiguous regarding the reasons for the Deluge.

So now we also see why the Old Testament revisers could not be more specific regarding WHY God destroyed creation. The Old Testament says merely that violence and corruption existed on earth, but does not specify what types of violence and corruption existed. They could not have, for they would have condemned the values of the orthodoxy they were promoting, based on eating animal sacrifices and practicing industries such as mining.

From Chapter 10 of the Book of Enoch

6 Again the Lord said to Raphael, Bind Azazyel hand and foot; cast him into darkness; and opening the desert which is in Dudael, cast him in there.
7 Throw upon him hurled and pointed stones, covering him with darkness; 16 Then shall they be taken away into the lowest depths of the fire in torments; and in confinement shall they be shut up for ever.
17 Immediately after this shall he, (14) together with them, burn and perish; they shall be bound until the consummation of many generations.

One can see in the above passages above and below the prototype of the values of the original vegetarian Torah, the original scriptures about Jesus, and the original Quran. In the Book of Enoch the evils of other creatures and humans are both referred to. Other creatures shed blood by becoming carnivorous, and therefore their sins are parallel to the sins of the fallen angels, who taught humans how to make the implements of industry and how to sacrifice animals. Thus we see here the wisdom of Isaiah who denounced those sacrificing animals as parallel to the people of Sodom and Gomorrah, who deserved to be destroyed. Isaiah saw through the homophobia that made homosexuals the scapegoats for the destruction. The parallel condemnation and scapegoating of homosexuals in the New Testament and Quran may be regarded as typical orthodox bigotry by the patriarchs of those cultures. Isaiah saw through it, as did the Jesus of the Gospel of the Nazarenes.

"Hear the word of the Lord you rulers of Sodom! Give ear to the teaching of our God, you people of Gomorrah! What to me is the multitude of your sacrifices? says the Lord; I have had enough of burnt offerings of rams and the fat of fed beasts; I do not delight in the blood of bulls, or of lambs, or of he-goats." 1: 10-11.

Chapter 10

The Punishment of the Fallen Angels

16Then shall they be taken away into the lowest depths of the fire in torments; and in confinement shall they be shut up for ever.

17Immediately after this shall he, together with them, burn and perish; they shall be bound until the consummation of many generations.

The he in verse 17 refers to Semyase, captain of the fallen angels.

18Destroy all the souls addicted to dalliance, (15) and the offspring of the Watchers, for they have tyrannized over mankind.

19Let every oppressor perish from the face of the earth;

20Let every evil work be destroyed;

Chapter 13

1Then Enoch, passing on, said to Azazyel: You shalt not obtain peace. A great sentence is gone forth against you. He shall bind you;

2Neither shall relief, mercy, and supplication be yours, on account of the oppression which you have taught;

3And on account of every act of blasphemy, tyranny, and sin, which you have discovered to the children of men.

Chapter Nineteen of the Book of Enoch

describes the fallen angels as powerful presences on earth

who are able to lead humans astray into shedding blood. Chapter 19: 1

Sacrificing to Demons as Gods.

And Uriel said to me: 'Here shall stand the angels who have connected themselves with women, and their spirits assuming many different forms are defiling mankind and shall lead them astray into sacrificing to demons as gods...

Compare the above scripture with Baruch's scriptures:

Chapter 51

The Evils of Industry shall vanish from the earth in the Final Days.

6 In those days men shall not be saved by gold and by silver.

7Nor shall they have it in their power to secure themselves, and to fly.

8There shall be neither iron for war, nor a coat of mail for the breast.

9Copper shall be useless; useless also that which neither rusts nor consumes away; and lead shall not be coveted.

10All these things shall be rejected, and perish from off the earth, when the Elect One shall appear in the presence of the Lord of spirits.

Chapter 53

6Michael and Gabriel, Raphael and Phanuel shall be strengthened in that day, and shall then cast them into a furnace of blazing fire, that the Lord of spirits may be avenged of them for their crimes; because they became ministers of Satan, and seduced those who dwell upon earth.

Chapter 54

6There likewise I beheld hosts of angels who were moving in punishment, confined in a net-work of iron and brass.

So, by referring to the moral standards of the Ethiopic Book of Enoch we can see that Muhammad was channeling forth the optimal purity demanded by God or Allah. These included vegetarianism, a refusal to shed the blood of any creature, a refusal to bring "mischief to the earth" in the words of the Quran.

ANIMAL SACRIFICES ARE A MAIN REASON FOR THE FLOOD,
FOR THE DESTRUCTION OF SODOM AND GOMORRAH,
AND FOR THE BABYLONIAN CAPTIVITY OF THE JEWS.

Isaias, Baruch, and Essene Documents all confirm the moral views of the Book of Enoch, which condemns all bloodshed, and all animal sacrifices. Animal Sacrifices, one of the Main Reasons for the destruction of Sodom and Gomorrah, for the Deluge, and the Babylonian Captivity of the Jews. Isaias and Baruch testify that the Jews suffer because of animal sacrifices. Essene writings Denounce the Animal Sacrifices and see the Deluge as Retribution for the Sacrifices. *
Isaias Testifies that Those Who Sacrifice Animals Deserve to Be Destroyed,
As were the People of Sodom and Gomorrah.

"Hear the word of the Lord you rulers of Sodom! Give ear to the teaching of our God, you people of Gomorrah! What to me is the multitude of your sacrifices? says the Lord; I have had enough of burnt offerings of rams and the fat of fed beasts; I do not delight in the blood of bulls, or of lambs, or of he-goats." 1: 10-11.

Baruch Regards the Captivity of the Jews as a Punishment
For their Sacrificing Animals.

"Take courage, my people, O memorial of Israel! It was not for destruction that you were sold to the nations, but you were handed over to your enemies because you angered God. For you provoked him who made you by sacrificing to demons and not to God." 4: 5-7.

"He who prepared the earth for all time filled it with four-footed creatures." 3: 32. The Commentary on Hosea

A Number of Writings in The Dead Sea Scrolls

Comment on the Breaking of the Original Vegetarian Covenant of Genesis 1: 29-30:
The Manual of Discipline, the Commentary on Hosea, and the "Sermon on the Flood"

The Essenes are of course well known for their denunciation of animal sacrifices, for their consequent vegetarianism, their longevity far exceeding that of the Jewish orthodoxy, and for their communalism and their isolation from the orthodoxy. The Manual of Discipline makes a statement against animal sacrifices:

"Atonement will be made for the land more effectively than by the flesh of whole burnt offerings and the fat of sacrifices. The offering of the lips will be in justice like the pleasing quality of righteousness, and perfect conduct like a free-will gift of an acceptable offering."

The Commentary on Hosea must be seen in context of the scriptures of Hosea, and those scriptures that denounce animal sacrifices are omitted from this edition of the Commentary, so I have included them between the asterisk glyphs.

Scriptures of Hosea Denouncing Animal Sacrifices

Hosea, like the other late prophets, sees the necessity of another vegetarian covenant, a restoration of the original vegetarian covenant of "Genesis," a covenant of peace for all creation. "I will make for you a covenant on that day with the beasts of the field, and birds of the air, and the creeping things of the ground; and I will abolish the bow, the sword, and war from the land; and I will betroth you to me in righteousness and in justice, in steadfast love, and in mercy." 2: 18-19.

In the following scripture Hosea portrays God as condemning the inhabitants of Israel for their sins against each other and the rest of animal creation.)

"...for the Lord has a controversy with the inhabitants of the land. There is no faithfulness or kindness and no knowledge of God in the land; there is swearing, lying, killing, stealing, and committing adultery; they break all bounds and murder follows murder. Therefore the land mourns, and all who dwell in it languish, and also the beasts of the field, and the birds of the air; and even the fish of the sea are taken away." 4:3.

Hosea constantly condemns the animal sacrifices.

"...they have left their God to play the harlot. They sacrifice on the mountains and make offerings upon the hills." 4: 12-13.

"With their flocks and herds they shall go to seek the Lord, but they will not find him." 5:6

"For I desire steadfast love and not sacrifice, the knowledge of God, rather than burnt offerings." 6:6.

"They love sacrifice; they sacrifice flesh and eat it; but the Lord has no delight in them." 8: 13.

The Commentary on Hosea in the Dead Sea Scrolls

The commentary on Hosea plainly reveals that the Hebrews have fallen from the covenant of Genesis 1: 29-30.

"So now I am going to block her passage with thorns, her paths she cannot find..." 2: 6. Here is a reference to the thorns of the Eden after the Fall, an image that was used by a number of the late prophets. "She was not aware that it was I who had given her the grain, the wine, the oil, and the silver that I multiplied, and the gold they made into Baal." 2: 8. p. 214 Wise, Abegg, Cook, Dead Sea Scrolls.

The Commentator in the Dead Sea Scrolls
Sees the Breaking of the Vegetarian Covenant as the Fall.

"This meaning is that they ate and were satisfied and forgot God who gives them the blessings, because they left behind His commandments that He had sent them through His servants, the prophets. Instead they listened to those who deceived them. They honored them and revered them in their blindness as if they were gods." "So I will again take away my grain in its time, my wine in its season. I will withdraw my wool and my flax from covering her nakedness. Now I am uncovering her infamy in front of her lovers. No one can rescue her from my power." 2: 9-10. "I will go back to my place until they admit guilt and seek my presence. When it goes badly for them they seek Me."

5: 15. This means that God will turn His face from them....and they did not listen... "They, like Adam, broke the covenant." 6:7 This means that they abandoned God and followed the laws of the Gentiles...

"A Sermon on the Flood" "A Sermon on the Flood" in the Dead Sea Scrolls
Sees the Eating of What is Unlawful, Animal Flesh,
As Part of the Reason for the Deluge.

From "A Sermon on the Flood,"

"So he decked out the mountains with food, heaping up sustenance upon them satisfying everyone with succulent fruit. "All who do my will may eat and be satisfied," says the Lord, Then shall they bless My holy name." "But thereafter thy did what I regard as evil, says the Lord, "And they rebelled against God in following their own designs." p. 330, Dead Sea Scrolls

My Commentary: "And they rebelled against God in following their own designs" means that some of the followers rebelled against the vegetarian covenant of Genesis and the corollary to such a rebellion is that they also rewrote the scriptures to favor animal sacrifices.

"So the Lord judged them according to all their practices, according to the designs arising from their evil hearts. He thundered against them in His might, so that the very foundations of the earth were shaken. Water burst forth from the depths, all the windows of heaven were thrown open; the depths poured out their awful waters, the windows of heaven emptied themselves of their rain. So were they destroyed by the flood, every one of them perishing in the water--for they had disobeyed the commandments of the Lord."

From the Ethiopic Book of Enoch, Chapter 7

Chapter 7 shows the mischief on earth, the shedding of blood.

And they began to sin against birds, and beasts, and reptiles, and 6 fish, and to devour one another's flesh, and drink the blood. Then the earth laid accusation against the lawless ones. 7: 5

Chapter 8 of the Book of Enoch
Making the Implements of Industry, Altering Creation.

Chapter 8 of the Ethiopic Book of Enoch is a key chapter for understanding the religious sense that pervaded the pure remnant of people in ancient times, and that pervades some renunciate groups, and back to nature groups, today. They understood that to alter creation in any way was to sin against the Creator.

1Moreover Azazyel taught men to make swords, knives, shields, breastplates, the fabrication of mirrors, and the workmanship of bracelets and ornaments, the use of paint, the beautifying of the eyebrows, the use of stones of every valuable and select kind, and all sorts of dyes, so that the world became altered.

2Impiety increased; fornication multiplied; and they transgressed and corrupted all their ways.

Chapter 9

Chapter 9, in context with the above passages, gives us a summary of why the Deluge was brought about.

5You have seen what Azazyel has done, how he has taught every species of iniquity upon earth, and has disclosed to the world all the secret things which are done in the heavens.
9Thus has the whole earth been filled with blood and with iniquity.

From Chapter 10 of the Book of Enoch

6Again the Lord said to Raphael, Bind Azazyel hand and foot; cast him into darkness; and opening the desert which is in Dudael, cast him in there.
7Throw upon him hurled and pointed stones, covering him with darkness; 16Then shall they be taken away into the lowest depths of the fire in torments; and in confinement shall they be shut up for ever.

17Immediately after this shall he, (14) together with them, burn and perish; they shall be bound until the consummation of many generations.

One can see in the above passages above and below the prototype of the values of the original vegetarian Torah, the original scriptures about Jesus, and the original Quran. In the Book of Enoch the evils of other creatures and humans are both referred to. Other creatures shed blood by becoming carnivorous, and therefore their sins are parallel to the sins of the fallen angels, who taught humans how to make the implements of industry and how to sacrifice animals. Thus we see here the wisdom of Isaias who denounced those sacrificing animals as parallel to the people of Sodom and Gomorrah, who deserved to be destroyed. Isaias saw through the homophobia that made homosexuals the scapegoats for the destruction. The parallel condemnation and scapegoating of homosexuals in the New Testament and Quran may be regarded as typical orthodox bigotry by the patriarchs of those cultures. Isaias saw through it, as did the Jesus of the Gospel of the Nazirenes.

Chapter 10

The Punishment of the Fallen Angels

16Then shall they be taken away into the lowest depths of the fire in torments; and in confinement shall they be shut up for ever.
17Immediately after this shall he, together with them, burn and perish; they shall be bound until the consummation of many generations.

The he in verse 17 refers to Semyase, captain of the fallen angels.

18Destroy all the souls addicted to dalliance, (15) and the offspring of the Watchers, for they have tyrannized over mankind.
19Let every oppressor perish from the face of the earth;
20Let every evil work be destroyed;

Chapter 13

1Then Enoch, passing on, said to Azazyel: You shalt not obtain peace. A great sentence is gone forth against you. He shall bind you;

2Neither shall relief, mercy, and supplication be yours, on account of the oppression which you have taught;

3And on account of every act of blasphemy, tyranny, and sin, which you have discovered to the children of men.

Chapter Nineteen of the Book of Enoch describes the fallen angels as powerful presences on earth who were able to lead humans astray into shedding blood.

Chapter 19: 1

Sacrificing to Demons as Gods.

And Uriel said to me: 'Here shall stand the angels who have connected themselves with women, and their spirits assuming many different forms are defiling mankind and shall lead them astray into sacrificing to demons as gods...

So the reader is able quite easily to see that the moral views of Enoch and Noah had by the pure remnant of Judaism is radically different and more peaceful than that of the orthodoxy.

Abraham the Sabeian

The fact that Abraham, father of the Jewish people, made Haran, a center of the Sabeans his home, has been glossed over by orthodox, who are either ignorant of Sabeian history, or simply do not want to rock the boat of orthodox Judaism for various reasons. Haran was a center for Sabeans, the Cebaiy, or Shauvites, that is, devotees of Shiva, and had Abram/Abraham been repulsed by the Sabeans, he is not likely to have made his nest among the heathens. The point is, so much of the evidence points to the fact that Abraham himself was a Sabeian. For example, a pillar is erected on the grave of his wife Sarah, and any reader of the Torah knows that the ancient Hebrews erected pillars at sacred places, just as pillars had been erected by devotees to Shiva throughout the ancient world.

Abram/Abraham made his Home among the Sabeans of Haran for many years, not because they were opposed to his views, but because he shared their views and was comfortable in their presence, because originally Jews worshiped Sheba, and honored the seventh day as the day of their God Sheba or Saba. Thus the Shabbath or Sabbath.

Abraham's home Haran was named after Hara, the name of Shiva as the destroyer, which was not only the root of Haran, but also the root of the ancient city of Harappa in India dated by some as existing in the 3rd millennium b.c.e, about 2500, though some scholars date it earlier. Shiva was venerated in Harappa.

The name of Shiva as Hara, the Destroyer, is pervasive and ingrained

in ancient Hebrew and ancient Judaism.

And we find when looking at dictionaries of ancient Hebrew, that har or hara is the root of a number of words in ancient Hebrew signifying destruction in one form or another, words such as 2026 (in Strong's Hebrew/Chaldee Dictionary harag, to smite with deadly intent, destroy out of hand, kill, murder, put to death, slaughter, slay. Entry 2034 Haricah, from 2040, means something demolished, ruin. [Haricah appears to me to be the logical source of the English word hurricane, especially since Shiva himself is historically is connected with wind and storms.] And entry 2040 Harac to pull down or in pieces, break, destroy, beat down, ruin, thrown down utterly.

Shiva was well known for living in the mountain wilderness, and words for mountains and hills also have the Har root:

2022 Har a mountain or range of hills, from 2042 harrar, an unused root meaning to loom up, a mountain, hill.

2025 harel, mount of God.

2039 Haran from 2022, mountaineer, the name of two men. Haran.

2024 Hara is defined as mountainousness, and as a region in Media.

A specific mountain is said to have been the favorite of Shiva, Mount Kaillaisa. And in ancient Hebrew Kallai means a mountain, and may well be a compound word carrying both the name of Kali, Shiva's consort, as well as the name of Shiva as Isa. Moreover, the Lord of the mountain introduced to Abraham, El Shadday, or El Shaddai, is Shiva as well who is known as Sada.

Moreover one of the houses of ancient Israel was known as Beth Haran (Entry number 1028). Haran, the home of Abraham, was a center where Sabeans lived and venerated Shiva, Rama and Kana (who is the source of the Old Testament name for God, El Kana, or el Qanna. The names of these deities got transliterated into Sheba, Seba, Saba, Rimmon, Ramman, Kanneh, and Cainan as we move into Ethiopia, which was indisputably one of the religious centers of the ancient world, and which was well known as an agricultural center. Ethiopia's and India's pantheon were simply part of a united religious network that literally extended throughout the ancient world, throughout Asia as well as the Arabian peninsula, throughout Polynesia and Hawaii, the Yucatan and South America, as well as in Turtle Island, or North America. As Drummond noted, Abraham grew up in the midst of Tsabaism, or the Sabeian religion, which was not just local in the Eastern Hemisphere, but universal, existing in various forms in the Western Hemisphere as well.

The Sword of Truth internet site demonstrates with an abundance of etymological and historical evidence that Tsabaism or Sabeianism, the religion of Shiva, existed in pre-Islamic Arabia as early as 1850 B.C. that this Sabeianism also existed in force during the time of Muhammad, and shows that Muhammad also derived his inspiration from Tsabaism, and that the sacred stone of the Islamic faith is the Shiva lingam. Sword of Truth quotes Sir W. Drummond's *Origines*, Volumes 3 & 4":

"Tsabaism was the universal language of mankind when Abraham received his call, their doctrines were probably extended all over the civilized nations of Earth."

Drummond's probably is really a definitely. Gene Matlock is the author of *India Once Ruled the World*, and has written articles showing that the Hindus and Jews worshipped many of the same deities, and he has his own contributions to the literature discussing the fact that the Hindu stories of Brahma and Sarasvati and Jewish stories of Abraham and Sarah are stories about the same people. His work, as well as cutting edge translations of ancient writings and pictographs found throughout the world may be found at Viewzone.com. Voltaire himself was aware of the interconnection between Hinduism and Judaism.

And the Theosophical Society's glossaries on the internet provide abundant historical documentation for the fact that Hinduism didn't pervade just the eastern hemisphere, but our own western hemisphere as well and that, interestingly, prisoners and outcasts were sent to the western hemisphere, much as they were from England millennia later. The western hemisphere's lands were called Patala, meaning lower world or hell. And the Aztec's culture which sacrificed humans may in fact be seen as a degenerate form of a disturbed minor sect devoted to Shiva and Kali as well.

Once the reader had seen the indisputable connections between the deities of Ethiopia, India, and Canaan and Palestine, it becomes logical to assume that Abram/Abraham made his home among the Sabeans of Haran for many years, not because they were opposed to his views, but because he shared their views and was comfortable in their presence, because originally Jews worshiped Sheba, and honored the seventh day as the day of their God Sheba or Saba.

So now that we have adequately witnessed how thoroughly pervaded the ancient Hebrew language was by Shiva and Hara roots meaning destruction, we will have a much greater sense of what Haran meant to Abraham and his wife Sarah, whom many scholars have either likened to or identified with Brahma and his mate Sarasvati. The point is, Abraham and his family too were Sabeans.

Below are a number of Har and Hara words denoting mountains or hills, which is where Shiva liked to live. The fact that Hara is a region in Mideast, where Moses married Zipporah, a region that even early Christian Church fathers regarded as an extension of India, further reinforces the fact that in his religious beliefs Moses as a Hindu, a Hodu who led the exit of the Hodus, the Ex-Hodus or Exodus.

Terah, Father of Abraham,
named his son Abram after Ram or Ramah

Sabaeans were centered in Haran, the home of Abram for many years, and also venerated Rama, who is known in the Old Testament times as Rimmon, Raamah, Ram, Ramman, and Rahman. Let us recall that Abraham's original name was Abram, or Ab-Ram, which certainly means much more than Hebrew Dictionary's definition of high father, ram meaning high and ab meaning father in Hebrew. Terah named Abram, or Ab-Ram, after Rama, one of the Deities of Tsabaism. For as anyone can see who reads the Tanakh or Old Testament the name of Ram or Rama was quite famous, and is used as the root of the names of many people and places. He was the epic hero experiencing the adventures of the Ramayana and he was a deity in the

Hindu pantheon, so Ab-Ram as a matter of fact meant Father-Ram or fathered by Ram, that is, of the lineage of the Hindu deity Ram, and the name therefore was given by Terah to his son as a sign of Terah's Hindu spirituality and spiritual allegiance to the ideals of Hinduism, namely Tsabaism or Sauvism, which in Hebrew gets translated or transliterated into Sabean and Cebaiy.

Looking ahead to the discussion of Moses, let us remember that Moses' father is named Amram, Am-Ram, which combines the sacred Aum or Om sound with the name of Ram. Moreover the Am word very interestingly means mother in ancient Hebrew, and may simply be an abbreviation of Umma, the name of the consort of Shiva as Osseo. Umma's name means community, nation, or people in ancient Hebrew. The nation of Israel in ancient times chose to name itself after the bride of Shiva, Umma, who was the mother of them all, as a people, a nation, and a community.

The Sabeans Used Astrology

Abraham is documented as a Father of Astrology.

Abraham referred to Enoch as his teacher.

The Hellenistic historian Eupolemus, writing in 158 BC contradicts the notion that Abraham was against the practice of astrology, and in fact shows him to be a student of astrology. Similarly the Book of Astronomical writings in Enoch implies that astrological concerns were part of the Jewish experience from the very beginning, that in fact the lineage of Methuselah, Lamech, Noah and Enoch were all part of a culture, that, like the Hindu culture, used astrology for guidance. This would tend to make the reader view the claim that Abraham disowned the gods of his father as simply a lie told to discredit the Hoduwes, or Hud people, the Hindustanis who originated Judaism and its vegetarian covenant in Genesis. While the written Book of Enoch may be given a date of 72-82? to 300 B.C., the fact is that it was preserved as a record of antediluvian Judaism.

Eupolemos gives a detailed account of the biblical Abraham as having been taught astrology by Enoch, and as being an inventor of astrology to the people around him. Taught by Enoch Abraham is even regarded as the father of astrology. He taught the Phoenicians astrology, and introduced the priests of Heliopolis to the study of all sciences including astrology. He tells the priests that it is Enoch who first invented astrology.

The Great Orthodox Lie:

Abraham Abandoned the Faith of his Father. The Torah's Genealogies

Reveal that the Sabean Tradition was continued by Abraham.

His children were named after Sabean deities or Hindu tribes.

He did not abandon the faith of his fathers; he practiced it.

We are told a quite deliberate lie in the Old Testament: namely that Abraham abandoned the faith of his father, Terah, who worshipped many gods, and who used means of divination such as astrology. This is contradicted not only by Eupolemus, but by the genealogies presented in the Old Testament itself.

"Then again Abraham took a wife, and her name was Keturah. And she bare him Zimran, and Jok-shan, and Me-dan, and Mid-i-an, and Ish-bak and Shu-ah. And Jokshan begat She-ba and De-dan.'

Terah's children are quite obvious in the Sabeian tradition. Shan is a name referring to the destructiveness of Shiva. Dan comes from the vegetarian Danu tribe in India that worshipped Shiva. The prophet Daniel, known for his vegetarianism, was named after the Danu. And Shu-ah is named after Shu, the father sky God of ancient Egypt. The Shuites were well known in ancient Israel. Ish is a shortened of Ishwara or Isvara or Eshwara, all of which names refer to Shiva or Krishna. Gene Matlock of Viewzone.com states in his article "Who was ABRAHAM?" states that Isaac (Ishaak in Hebrew) is derived from the Sanskrit Ishakhu meaning "friend of Shiva."

Jokshan, Abraham's son, continued to name his children after Sabeans, Sheba being simply a transliteration of Shiva, and Dedan once again referring to the Danu tribe of India, who were no doubt one of the ancient immigrating tribes that were responsible for the flowering of Hinduism and vegetarianism in the land of Canaan. Canaan itself we know was named after Kannan, the Tamil Hindu name for Krishna.

Abraham named one of his sons Shuah, after Shu, the father sky God of Egypt, whose son was Seb (or Geb), the Lord of the Earth, who is none other than Seba. Midia, the source of Midian, was considered to be a part of India's vegetarian culture even into the times of the New Testament.

Let me remind the reader still enamoured of the notion that orthodox Judaism states that Abraham, Isaac, and Jacob were the patriarchs of a Judaism that abandoned the polytheistic faiths of the past, that the name Isa-ac itself is a reference to Isa, a name of Shiva, and that Jacob names his son Asher, after Asherah, or Asura of the Hindus. Asura originally meant Almighty God. Only later did it come to mean demon. And that the tribe of Benjamin was named after the Yamini tribe of India.

From the evidence presented in this chapter, and elsewhere on this site, we may safely say that it has been correctly conjectured that the Jewish scriptures are an intentionally garbled version of the Vedic vegetarian scriptures, of which the vegetarian covenant of Genesis 1: 29-30 are a remnant, and of Vedic deities that existed in the earliest days of Judaism.

So too many have discussed the similarities between the name Abraham or Ibrahim in Hebrew and the name of Brahma, the Hindu creator. Brahma's mate was Sarasvati and Abraham's wife was Sarah. Brahma is the creator in the Hindu trimurti, and Abraham is the father, or creator, of Judaism.

ABRAHAM

THE SABEAN AND VEGETARIAN

THERE IS AN ABUNDANCE OF EVIDENCE DEMONSTRATING
THAT THE ORIGINAL TORAH WAS VEGETARIAN.

The Sabbath and Sabaoth were named after Saba, a name of Shiva.

The pillars Jews erected in the days of Genesis were called masseva.

The name El Shaddai comes from Shiva as Sada.

The name of Shiva as the Destroyer, Hara, pervades the Torah.
Abraham came from the kingdom of Oude in India.
Hindus are called Hodu in the Old Testament.
The word Exodus means the departure of the Hodu people: (Ex-Hodus).
The Israelites of the Exodus wore the Hindu bindi or tilaka.
Abraham and his sons name their sons after Hindu deities,
and Hindu tribes and places.
Shiva was known as Pasupati, the all-compassionate Lord of Creatures.
The commandment to be vegetarian in Genesis
came from the Lord of the Sabbath, Saba, or Shiva.

The fact that Abraham father of the Jewish people made Haran, a center of the Sabeans, his home for many years has been glossed over by the orthodox, who are either ignorant of Sabeian history, or simply do not want to rock the boat of orthodox Judaism. Sabeans were devoted to Saba (Tsaba), which is a name of Shiva, and were known as the Cebaïy in ancient Hebrew, which corresponds to Shaivites in contemporary English. Had Abraham been repulsed by the Sabeans, he is not likely to have made his nest among those who were considered heathens. Evidence both inside and outside of the Torah points to the fact that Abraham himself was a Sabeian and a vegetarian.

The Shabbath was named after Sheba
(Ancient Hebrews is based on consonants). Shiva's name Saba is easily seen to be the root of Sabbath and Sabaoth.
The name El Shaddai comes from Sada, a name or description of Shiva.
The word for pillars in ancient Hebrew is masseva (masseba)
which contains the name of Shiva aka Siva or Seba.

Abraham made his home among the Sabeans of Haran for many years, because he shared their views and was comfortable in their presence, and because originally Jews such as Abraham worshiped Sheba, and honored the seventh day as his day. Thus we have the Shabbath (in ancient Hebrew) or Sabbath. The Sabaoth, the Lord of military hosts, was named after Saba aka Shiva. The Lord of the mountain introduces himself to Abraham as El Shaddai, and Shiva was known to Hindus as Sada. The numerous names given to Jews using the Isa or Is prefix, for example, Isaiah, Ishmael, Isaac, to name just a few, were intentional references to Isa, also a name of Shiva. When a pillar was erected on the grave of his wife Sarah, it was done so in the tradition of a devotee of Shiva. And any reader of the Torah knows that the ancient Hebrews erected pillars at sacred places, just as pillars had been erected by devotees to Shiva throughout the ancient world. The word for pillars in ancient Hebrew is masseva or masseba which in itself contains the name of Siva. Hara, the name of Shiva as the destroyer, was not only the root of Haran, but also the root of the ancient city of Harappa in India dated by some as existing in the 3rd millennium b.c.e, about 2500, though some scholars date it earlier. Shiva was venerated in Harappa.

The name of Shiva as Hara, the Destroyer,

is pervasive and ingrained
in ancient Hebrew and ancient Judaism.

And we find when looking at a dictionary of ancient Hebrew, that har or hara is the root of a number of words in ancient Hebrew signifying destruction in one form or another. In the Hebrew/Chaldee Dictionary of James Strong's Concordance to the Old and New Testament) we find words such as Entry 2026 harag, to smite with deadly intent, destroy out of hand, kill, murder, put to death, slaughter, slay. Entry 2034 Haricah, from 2040, means something demolished, ruin. [Haricah appears to me to be the logical source of the English word hurricane, especially since Shiva himself is historically is connected with wind and storms.] And entry 2040 Harac to pull down or in pieces, break, destroy, beat down, ruin, thrown down utterly.

Below are a number of Har and Hara words denoting mountains or hills, which is where Shiva and his consorts liked to live. Shiva was well known for living in the mountain wilderness, and the following ancient Hebrew words for mountains and hills also have the Har root:

2022 Har a mountain or range of hills, from 2042 harrar, an unused root meaning to loom up, a mountain, hill.

2025 harel, mount of God.

2039 Haran from 2022, mountaineer, the name of two men. Haran.

2024 Hara is defined as mountainousness, and as a region in Media.

A specific mountain is said to have been the favorite of Shiva, Mount Kaillaisa. And in ancient Hebrew Kallai means a mountain, and may well be a compound word carrying both the name of Kali, Shiva's consort, as well as the name of Shiva as Isa. Moreover, the Lord of the mountain introduced to Abraham, El Shadday, or El Shaddai, is Shiva as well who is known as Sada.

One of the houses of ancient Israel was known as Beth Haran (Entry number 1028). Haran was also a center where Sabeans lived and venerated not only Shiva, but Rama and Kana (who is the source of the Old Testament name, El Kana, or El Qanna. The names of these deities got transliterated into Sheba, Seba, Saba, Rimmon, Ramman, Kanneh, and Cainan as we move into Ethiopia, which was indisputably one of the religious centers of the ancient world, and which was well known as an agricultural center. These names may be found in James Hasting's Dictionary of the Bible under Sheba. The pantheon shared by Ethiopia and India was shared by many cultures and was simply part of a united religious network that literally extended throughout the ancient world, throughout Asia as well as the Arabian peninsula, throughout Polynesia and Hawaii, the Yucatan and South America, as well as in Turtle Island, or North America. As Drummond noted, Abraham grew up in the midst of Tsabaism, or the Sabeian religion, which was not just local in the Eastern Hemisphere, but universal, existing in various forms in the Western Hemisphere as well.

The Sword of Truth internet site demonstrates with an abundance of etymological and historical evidence that Tsabaism or Sabeanism, the religion of Shiva, existed in pre-Islamic Arabia as early as 1850 B.C. that this Sabeanism also existed in force during the time of Muhammad, and shows that Muhammad also derived his inspiration from Tsabaism, and that the sacred stone of the Islamic faith is the Shiva lingam. Sword of Truth quotes Sir W. Drummond's *Origines*, Volumes 3 & 4":

"Tsabaism was the universal language of mankind when Abraham received his call, their doctrines were probably extended all over the civilized nations of Earth."

Drummond's probably is really a definitely. George Matlock is the author of *India Once Ruled the World*, and has written articles showing that the Hindus and Jews worshipped many of the same deities, and he has his own contributions to the literature discussing the fact that the Hindu stories of Brahma and Sarasvati and Jewish stories of Abraham and Sarah are stories about the same people. His work, as well as cutting edge articles on ancient writings and petroglyphs found throughout the world may be found at Viewzone.com. Voltaire himself was aware of the interconnection between Hinduism and Judaism.

And the Theosophical Society's glossaries on the internet provide abundant historical documentation for the fact that Hinduism didn't pervade just the eastern hemisphere, but our own western hemisphere as well and that, interestingly, prisoners and outcasts were sent to the western hemisphere, much as they were from England millennia later. The western hemisphere's lands were by the Hindus called Patala, meaning lower world or hell. And the Aztec culture which sacrificed humans may in fact be seen as a degenerate form of a disturbed minor sect consisting of the remnant of such outcasts who considered themselves devoted to Shiva and Kali as well. These carnivorous sects are considered degenerate by the mainstream devotees to Shiva (Shaivites = Sabeans) who are vegetarians.

Once the reader had seen the indisputable connections between the deities of Ethiopia, India, and Canaan and Palestine, it becomes logical to assume that Abram/Abraham made his home among the Sabeans of Haran for many years, because he shared their views and was comfortable in their presence, because originally Jews worshiped Sheba, and honored the seventh day, the Sabbath, in ancient Hebrew Shabbath, as the day of their God Sheba or Saba.

So now that we have adequately witnessed how thoroughly pervaded the ancient Hebrew language was by Shiva and Hara roots meaning destruction, we will have a much greater sense of what Haran meant to Abraham and his wife Sarah, whom many scholars have either likened to or identified with Brahma and his mate Sarasvati. The point is, Abraham and his family too were Sabeans, and vegetarians.

Terah, Father of Abraham,
named his son Abram after Ram or Ramah

Sabaeans venerated Rama, who is known in the Old Testament times as Ramah, Rimmon, Raamah, Ram, Ramman, and Rahman. Let us recall that Abraham's original name was Abram, or Ab-Ram. Abram means much more than the Hebrew Dictionary's definition of high father, ram meaning high and ab meaning father in Hebrew, though we may see the

connection between identifying Ram as the Most High. However, it is safe to assume that Terah named Abram, or Ab-Ram, after Ram himself, one of the Deities of Tsabaism. For as anyone can see who reads the Tanakh or Old Testament the name of Ram or Rama was ingrained in the ancient Jews who used the name as the root of the names of numerous people and places. Ram or Rama was the epic hero experiencing the adventures of the Hindu epic, the Ramayana, and he was a deity in the Hindu pantheon, so Ab-Ram as a matter of fact meant Father-Ram or fathered by Ram, that is, of the lineage of the Hindu deity Ram, and the name was given by Terah to his son as a sign of Terah's Hindu spirituality and spiritual allegiance to the ideals of Hinduism, namely Tsabaism or Sauvism. The Sabeans were known as vegetarians. One of the icons discovered in the Harappa and Mohenjodaro areas was of a fertility goddess giving birth to trees. And Shiva was known as Lord of Trees.

Mosheh is a contraction of Mah (Great) Oshea (Liberator)

Looking ahead to the discussion of Moses on this web site, let us keep in mind that Haran is also the name of a region in Midea, where Moses married Zipporah, a region that even early Christian Church fathers regarded as an extension of India. Moses' father is named Amram, Am-Ram, which combines the sacred Aum or Om sound with the name of Ram. Moreover the Am word very interestingly means mother in ancient Hebrew, and is an abbreviation of Umma, the name of the consort of Shiva as Osseo. The name Umma means community, nation, or people in ancient Hebrew. In other words the nation of Israel in ancient times chose to name itself after the bride of Shiva, Umma, who was the mother of them all, as a people, a nation, and a community.

The Sabeans Used Astrology.

Abraham is described as a Father of Astrology.

Abraham referred to Enoch as his teacher.

The Hellenistic historian Eupolemus, writing in 158 BC contradicts the notion that Abraham was against the practice of astrology, and in fact shows him to be a teacher of astrology. Similarly the astronomical writings in the Book of Enoch implies that astrological concerns were part of the Jewish experience from the very beginning, that in fact the lineage of Methuselah, Lamech, Noah and Enoch, all of whom play a part in the Book of Enoch, were all part of a culture, that, like the Hindu culture, used astrology for guidance. This would tend to make the reader view the claim that Abraham disowned the gods of his father as simply a lie told to discredit the Hodus, or Hud people, the Hindustani people who originated Judaism and its vegetarian covenant in Genesis. While the written Ethiopic Book of Enoch has been given a date of 72 to 300 B.C., the fact is that it was preserved as a record of antediluvian Judaism, of Judaism before the flood. It is the prototype of passages of the Old Testament dealing with the Flood.

Eupolemos gives a detailed account of the biblical Abraham as having been taught astrology by Enoch, and as being a teacher of astrology to the people around him. In Eupolemos' account Abraham is even regarded as a father of astrology. He taught the

Phoenicians astrology, says Eupolemos, and introduced the priests of Heliopolis to the study of all sciences including astrology. He tells the priests that it is Enoch who first invented astrology.

The Great Orthodox Lie--

That Abraham Abandoned the Faith of his Father--

Is Disproven by the Torah itself. The Torah's Genealogies

Reveal that the Sabeian Tradition was continued by Abraham.

His children were named after Sabeian deities or Hindu tribes.

Abraham did not abandon the faith of his fathers; he practiced it.

We are told a quite deliberate lie in the Old Testament: namely that Abraham abandoned the faith of his father, Terah, who worshipped many gods, and who used means of divination such as astrology. This is contradicted not only by Eupolemus, but by the genealogies presented in the Old Testament itself. The genealogy of Abraham's family is in itself proof that that Abraham embraced Sabeianism.

"Then again Abraham took a wife, and her name was Keturah. And she bare him Zimran, and Jok-shan, and Me-dan, and Mid-i-an, and Ish-bak and Shu-ah. And Jokshan begat She-ba and De-dan." 1 Chronicles 1: 32

Abraham's children are quite obviously in the Sabeian tradition. Shan is a name referring to the destructiveness of Shiva. The name Dan and the name of the prophet Daniel, known for his vegetarianism, comes from the vegetarian Danu tribe in India that worshipped Shiva. And Shu-ah is named after Shu, the father sky God of ancient Egypt. Shu's son was Seb (or Geb), the Lord of the Earth, who is none other than Seba. The Shuites were well known in ancient Israel. Ish is a shortened of Ishwara or Isvara or Eshwara, all of which names refer to Shiva or Krishna. George Matlock of Viewzone.com states in his article "Who was ABRAHAM?" states that Isaac (Ishaak in Hebrew) is derived from the Sanskrit Ishakhu meaning "friend of Shiva."

Jokshan, Abraham's son, continued to name his children after Sabeians, Sheba being simply a transliteration of Shiva, and Dedan once again referring to the Danu tribe of India, who were no doubt one of the ancient immigrating tribes that were responsible for the flowering of Hinduism and vegetarianism in the land of Canaan. Canaan itself was named after Kannan, the Tamil Hindu name for Krishna.

Midia, the source of Midian, was considered to be a part of India's vegetarian culture even into the times of the New Testament.

Let me remind the reader still enamored of the notion that orthodox Judaism considers Abraham, Isaac, and Jacob as the patriarchs of a Judaism that abandoned the polytheistic faiths of the past, that the name Isa-ac itself is a reference to Isa, a name of Shiva, and that Jacob names his son Asher, after Asherah, or Asura of the Hindus. Asura originally meant Almighty God. Only later did it come to mean demon. And that the tribe of Benjamin was named after the Yamini tribe of India.

From the evidence presented in this chapter, and elsewhere on this site, we may safely say that it has been correctly conjectured that the Jewish scriptures we now have are an intentionally garbled version of the Vedic vegetarian scriptures in particular, of which the

vegetarian covenant of Genesis 1: 29-30 is a remnant, and the scriptures are also a garbled version of Vedic deities that existed in the earliest days of Judaism. And just as Abraham is the Alpha, or Father, of Judaism, so too is the vegetarian covenant Genesis 1: 29-30 the original covenant of Judaism, as is admitted even by orthodox rabbis.

So too many students of history have discussed the similarities between the name Abraham or Ibrahim in Hebrew and the name of Brahma, the Hindu creator. Brahma's mate was Sarasvati and Abraham's wife was Sarah. Brahma is the creator in the Hindu trimurti, and Abraham is the father, or creator, of Judaism.

Abraham came from the Kingdom of Oude in India.

Hodu is the Name for Hindustani in Ancient Hebrew.

The Exodus (Ex-Hodus) meant the departure of the people of Hindustani belief, that is the vegetarians.

I refer my readers to the paper, Who Was ABRAHAM? by Gene D. Matlock, B.A., M.A., which can be found at the cutting edge site of Viewzone.com. Matlock's work is of the highest quality, and should be known to the entire world of scholarship. Matlock meticulously details the interconnection between the religious cultures of India and Judaism. For example, he notes that Abraham, the father of the Jewish people, came from the Kingdom of Oude in India. In ancient Hebrew, Hoduw, or Hodu, is the word for Hindustani, or India, and the attributes of India may be seen in its likeness, the word Howde. From Strong's Hebrew Dictionary:

1935 Howde, means grandeur, glorious, beauty, comeliness, excellency, goodly, honor, majesty.

1912 Hoduw, ho'doo, Hodu (i.e. Hindustan) , India.

So we now also see the limitations of the traditional definitions of the word exodus, which has come to mean simply a leaving or departure. Exodus in fact means the departure of a very particular group of people, the Oude people, the Hodus (in ancient Hebrew), meaning the people of the Hindustani belief. In other words, the people of the Kingdom of Oude in India, who immigrated west, were the Hodus, the people of the Exodus, which may be read Ex-(h)odus.

Moses and the Israelites wore the Hindu Bindi.

"And it will be a sign on your hand and a symbol on your forehead that the Lord brought us out of Egypt with his mighty hand." Exodus 13: 16

The word Oude became Hodu, Hod, and Hud in ancient Hebrew. The Hud root is seen in the original form of the word Juda, Jehudea, which translated means God's or Jah's Hindustani people. These terms were well known to Muhammad, who in the Quran calls the true prophet Hud and praises the vegetarian Sabaeans. And, as many have said, the sacred stone, the Kabba in Mecca, is in fact a Shiva lingam.

Promoting Scriptural Lies for Profit

As this article demonstrates, the misconceptions or lies generated by so called religious orthodoxies are abundant. To ask "Why lie about the scriptures?" is a question that would be asked only by someone who is oblivious to, or does not care about the cruelty and disease that are perpetuated by the orthodoxies of Judaism, Christianity, and Islam. One of their lies, fabricated by those who rewrote the Torah, New Testament, and Quran, is that an all-compassionate Deity wishes humanity to partake in the disease-promoting diet of carnivorism, even though animal fat contributes to diabetes, cancer, heart disease, and a legion of other diseases, as well as the weakening of the immune system. As the late Jewish vegetarian prophets, Jesus, and Muhammad knew, greedy people would change the scriptures for a financial gain, even though it would contribute to the moral and physical degeneration of their own people. Zechariah says it well: the orthodox are not good shepherds tending to the welfare of their flocks of cattle; they are businessmen profiting from the brutality of the meat industry.

"Thus said the Lord my God: "Become shepherd of the flock doomed to slaughter. Those who buy them slay them and go unpunished; and those who sell them say, 'Blessed be the Lord, I have become rich'; and their own shepherds have no pity on them." 11: 4-5.

The Scriptures of Baruch and Esdras
both link the original vegetarian covenant of Genesis
with Abraham, Isaac, and Jacob

"Wherefore the Lord has had compassion on our tears, and has remembered the covenant which he established with our fathers Abraham, Isaac and Jacob." "Baruch," Chapter 6:21

And we also have further support from Esdras that the late vegetarian prophets and the earliest patriarchs believed and promoted the same vegetarian morality. "...to them I will give as leaders Abraham, Isaac, and Jacob and Hosea and Amos and Micah and Joel and Obadiah and Jonah and Nahum and Habakkuk, Zephaniah, Haggai, Zechariah, and Malachi, who is also called Malachi, who is also called messenger of the Lord." 2 Esdras I: 38-40.

Though it is probable that even their scriptures were at least partially revised as well, it is well known that most of the late prophets, even in the orthodox New Testament we now have, are vegetarian. The contention held by Abegg, Wise and Cook, editors of their edition of the Dead Sea Scrolls, that there was an original Vegetarian Bible that was subsequently revised, is valid. We need only to look at forbidden history, history censured and suppressed by the orthodoxies of Judaism, Christianity, and Islam, in order to discover that this is true.

A Capsule Summary:

How the orthodoxies of Judaism, Christianity and Islam
promote resentment-beeding societies of rich and poor among people,
sexism and gender bias, the slavery of conscription,
atrocities towards other creatures,
and the disease-promoting diet of carnivorism,
all in the name of an all-loving God!

Racism:

the condition in which the people of one race
believe they are superior to people of other races,
and that they may subdue and have dominion over them.

The most prevalent form of racism in modern times, though certainly not the only form, has been that of whites claiming to be superior to the darker races. This claim is usually based on the notion that whites have been responsible for many industrial inventions. Ironically, this is the very mark of being demonic in the Ethiopic Book of Enoch. In that work it is the fallen angels who teach humans the "sorceries of fallen angels," such as mining, the making of weapons, animal sacrifices, writing, and the use of cosmetics. The mark of righteousness is to accept creation as it is, and not to change it, or invade it, as is done through industry. All bloodshed, all animal sacrifices, are forbidden in the Book of Enoch. It was one of the foundations of original Judaism, Christianity and Islam. The Torah, New Testament and Quran all allude to it.

How Racism is Entrenched in the U.S. Government

Richard Cheney did not want the Apartheid-Slavery Government of South Africa
to be Boycotted, and did not want Freedom Fighter Nelson Mandela
to be released from prison.

The Christian U.S. and Jewish Israel were the two main supporters of apartheid in South Africa. These nations did not leave South Africa because those in the governments of the U.S. and Israel had a change of heart, but only because their evils were exposed. Richard Cheney did not want to boycott South Africa because of its policies of apartheid slavery, and moreover did not want the freedom fighter Nelson Mandela to be released from prison! His election as Vice President is a perfect example of how racism is deeply entrenched among the rich elite of the United States.

It must be said on behalf of the Islamic nations that they did not support the apartheid government of south Africa and in this matter proved themselves morally superior to the racism of Jewish Israel and Christian United States.

THE ICONS OF TYRANNY STILL STAND Monuments to Slaveholders such as Washington,
Jefferson, and Colombus
are an on-going mockery of the rights of Black and Native Peoples.

All the statuary of slave-holders, such as Washington, Jefferson, and Colombus, and
thousands of these false idols exist throughout the United States, are testimonies to the fact that

even now the U.S. government is able to mock its minorities without complaint. Where are the representatives of minorities? Why don't they demand that all the icons of tyranny be removed? They have sold out, just as the unions have, to having a personal economic comfort zone, though those whom they supposedly represent do not have such comfort.

SEXISM AND GENDER BIAS

The battering of women
Hate crimes against homosexuals
is promoted by orthodox Judaism, Christianity and Islam.

Sexism: the condition in which members of one sex, in our history those of the male sex, believe they are superior to the other sex, and that the female is to be submissive to the will of the male. In other words, the will of the female is to be subdued and had dominion over by the male will.

Women are still not receiving equal pay in the work place. The battering of woman has its foundations in the scriptures of the Jews, Christians and Muslims, which subordinate the will of woman to the will of man, and which advocate disciplining the subordinate. Paul's scripture in Romans "Wives obey your husbands; slaves obey your masters" is a perfect example of such sexism.

Paul's scriptures are considered an essential part of orthodox Christianity, even though they blatantly contradict the teachings of Jesus. His statement to exclude homosexuals from the Christian community is the basis of fundamentalist Christianity's bigotry against homosexuals, which in turn spawns hate crimes against them. The Torah, New Testament, and Quran are all guilty of promoting such hate crimes.

SPECIESISM

Speciesism: the condition in which the human species asserts that it is superior to others, and that humans have the right to subdue other creatures and have dominion over them; that is, they have the right to invade nature and displace, abuse and kill other creatures.

According to orthodox Judaism and its offspring, orthodox Christianity and orthodox Islam, Genesis 1: 26-28, and Genesis 9: 2-3 give humans the right to oppress and kill other creatures. Since these scriptures contradict the vegetarian covenant and the eulogy to creation that begins "Genesis," it is more than obvious that these scriptures were inventions of those who wished to fabricate a divine sanction for their profit-making schemes. For example, cattlemen had the scriptures changed so that it would appear that God desired humans to eat the flesh of other creatures. Therefore the profits of the meat industry. In fact, Jesus cleansed the temple of the animals to be sacrificed and saw his mission to be to abolish animal sacrifices ("Epistle to the Hebrews," and Muhammad praised the vegetarian Sabeans and declared all creatures to be family. In other words, the real Moses, Jesus and Muhammad all taught compassion towards all creatures.

It must be said that carnivorousness, the diet of orthodox Jews, Christians and Muslim, promotes heart disease, cancer, diabetes and a legion of other disease. It has been more than amply proven, for some time now, that the ingestion of animal fat leads to various diseases, and

that vegetarians have a longer life-span. Ironically, these orthodox faiths continue to promote the disease-generating diet of carnivorism, even though their own children fall victim to these diseases. They are too addicted to the vice of carnivorism and refuse to evolve beyond their personal addictions.

EGOTISM AND ECONOMIC ELITISM

Egotism: the condition in which an individual asserts his or her will as superior to that of other humans, and that one's own rights and privileges ought to be greater than the rights and privileges of others.

"Love your neighbor as your self" is an egalitarian teaching with economic ramifications. The Essenes owned nothing of themselves. All was owned communally. The earliest Christians in "Acts" were ordered to give all they had to the Christian community. Epiphanius in the Panarion states that the earliest Christians were called Essenes and Nazarenes. Both groups were vegetarian and egalitarian. The earliest vegetarian Jews, before they clamored for a king, and the earliest vegetarian Muslims, apparently had the same ideas of equality.

All the religious founders of the above religions had a keen sense of how authoritarianism, and the class systems which emanate from it, breeds resentment between the rich and poor, the haves and have nots. Imperialism is the common denominator explaining the fact that carnivorous nations are more violent than vegetarian ones. When one is raised in a carnivorous culture, one by nature becomes an imperialist, believing that one's human will is superior to the will of the animal one pays to be killed so that it can be eaten. It has been wisely conjectured by some that the clamoring for a king was concomitant with the Jews becoming carnivores.

The governments and industrialists in the nations governed by Judaism, Christianity and Islam all promote elitism, governments ruled by the rich. In the U.S. for example, only the rich can become president, for no one can run for president who does not have the support of millionaires and billionaires. Needless to say, the rich support their status quo, and not the aspirations of the have nots.

AUTHORITARIANISM AND MODERN SLAVERY

Conscription is a perfect example of how individuals are slaves of the state.

Authoritarianism: the idea that all other opinions, actions, policies are to be subordinated to the will of the authorities, whether of the state or of the church. A close study of history reveals the indisputable fact that the church, or religious authorities, exist only by the grace of the authorities of the state, who allow them to exist as long as the religious authorities teach fascist teachings such as "slaves obey your masters" and "respect all authority as divinely established, as did Paul.

Imperialism, the notion that one's nation has the right to conquer other nations and to profit from their resources, is a form of authoritarianism on an international scale. It has been shown conclusively in *The Scientific Basis of Vegetarianism* by William Harris that carnivorous cultures such as those of Judaism, Christianity, and Islam, are imperialistic when they have

the power to be. In contrast, for the most part, the vegetarian Hindu and Buddhist nations are not.

Authoritarianism is the tool of all governments of so-called civilized nations, who demand that their subjects follow the laws of the state and/or church.

The subordination of the individual conscience to the state or church is the direct antithesis of Jesus' distinction between one's individual conscience, and Mammon, the authority of those who control wealth, that is, the powers of industry, state, and established religions. Jesus' statement "you cannot serve both both and Mammon" is an affirmation that the voice of God within each of us is superior to the laws of the state.

Conscription, the Draft, is a Perfect Example
of how Individuals are Slaves of the State.

Conscription, or the draft, is a perfect example of how governments own people as slaves. Those who are drafted have no choice to refuse to be drafted. They are either drafted or sent to prison for refusing to be drafted. A few who claim to be conscientious objectors for religious reasons are exempted, but those who refuse to serve because they complain that their nation is involved in an unjust war, or in unjust wars, are not exempt. They must either allow themselves to be drafted or they are sent to prison. It is a clear example of slavery, which is a condition in which one's own conscience is subordinate to the will of another.

The orthodoxies of Judaism, Christianity, and Islam all have laws stating that the conscience and will of the individual is inferior to the laws of the state. If the people in government in nations ruled by orthodox Judaism, Christianity and Islam were to be brought before an International Tribunal such as that which existed at Nuremberg, Germany, because of the wars they have instigated against other nations, those who conscripted unwilling people would be prosecuted and executed.

SLAVERY

All who are familiar with the scriptures of the Torah, the New Testament and the Quran know that all three of these bodies of scriptures declare that Deity desired some humans to enslave others. In actuality the founders of each of these religions asserted as Jesus did: "I have come to free the captive," and that one should "love your neighbor as your self," that is, not as an inferior, but an equal.

As this and other web sites have so amply demonstrated, it is quite obvious that the original scriptures were changed. In order for a new religion to be successful it must heal--carnivorism degenerates; it must be inspire--to be of a lower class is to be denigrated; it must enlighten--the orthodoxies of Judaism, Christianity and Islam do not enlighten but condition their followers.

Those who know what greed can do
Will not at all think it odd
That businessmen revised the scriptures
And said they came from God.

The Antiquity of the Essenes Pliny the Elder said the Essenes existed for "thousands of ages."
The Essenes claim they go back to the time of Shem, Noah's son.

The Hindu Sabeian Lineage of Noah is Obvious.

Edgar Cayce, like Pliny and the Essenes themselves,

Affirms that the Essenes existed in the early days of Judaism. Why the Christian Orthodoxy
Downplayed

the Significance of the Dead Sea Scrolls

and Nag Hammadi documents. Vegetarianism is a Taboo Subject for Orthodox Scholars.

Though it is admitted that the Vegetarian Covenant in Genesis

was God's original Dietary Covenant,

orthodox scholars refused to admit the possibility

of a renunciate vegetarian tradition such as the Essenes

having existed since the earliest days of Judaism. The majority of people understand that the
victors write

both history and scriptures, and that the original message of Jesus was

perverted by Paul and by Constantine's bishops at Nicea,

in order to produce a New Testament that was conformable to Rome. Suspicions Regarding the
treatment by orthodox scholars of the

Dead Sea Scrolls of the Essenes and the Nag Hammadi documents are justified. A Brief List of
Facts Demonstrating the Vegetarian Origins of Christianity.

1. The Nag Hammadi documents name James the Brother of Jesus
as the Heir to Jesus' authority.

2. James is well documented as a vegetarian, even a vegan,
in Eusebius' Ecclesiastical History.

3. Matthew is described as eating no flesh in "The Pedagogue" (The Teacher)
by Clement of Alexandria.

4. Peter is described as vegetarian in the Clementine literature.

5. The Panarion by Epiphanius says the Ebionite Gospel portrayed John the Baptist, Jesus and
his disciples as eating no flesh and condemning the Pentateuch's sanction of animal sacrifices
as false scriptures.

6. Roman histories confirm that the earliest Christians rejected animal sacrifices.

7. The "Gospel of Philip" in the Nag Hammadi documents states directly that "this world eats
corpses" and that Jesus did not.

The discoveries of the Dead Sea Scrolls at Qumran in 1947 and the scriptures found at
Nag Hammadi in Egypt at about the same time resulted in a number of publications regarding

them both. but except for the studies and publications by Edmund Bordeaux Sziekley on the Essenes in which vegetarianism, and, apparently, lacto-vegetarianism are promoted--works which are summarily ignored by the orthodox--I have not found any orthodox scholars of the Dead Sea Scrolls who are conversant with the Hindu/Jain origins of Judaism. And only Robert Eisenman's work such as in *James the Brother of Jesus* and that of Hugh Schonfield in *The Jesus Party* and *Those Incredible Christians*, both of which are quite witty and intentionally sarcastic titles, that affirmed the validity of vegetarianism as the diet of the earliest Christians. Schonfield in *The Essene Odyssey* shows how the vegetarian Nazarenes and Essenes were denounced by the growing Pauline Christian orthodoxy. Abegg Wise and Cook, in their edition of the Scrolls also affirm that vegetarianism was the diet of the earliest Christians.

The "Gospel of Thomas" in the Nag Hammadi documents names James the brother of Jesus as the heir to Jesus' authority, and James was recognized as a vegetarian, even a vegan, in Eusebius' *Ecclesiastical History*. Matthew is described as vegetarian by Clement of Alexandria in his work "The Pedagogue," ("The Teacher"). Peter is described as vegetarian and as denouncing the animals sacrifices in the Clementine literature. And the "Ebionite Gospel" as discussed by Epiphanius in his *Panarion* portrays Jesus and his disciples as vegetarians stating that the Pentateuch was rewritten in order to sanction animal sacrifices.

The *Panarion* also states that the original followers of Jesus are described as Nazarenes and Jessenes or Ossaenes, both of which refer to the Essenes. Both Essenes and Nazarenes were vegetarians, and it is known by most people who have had a Christian education, as I have, having gone to a Catholic grade school, and the Jesuit University of Detroit for three years, that the Nazarenes are, or at least were, seldom mentioned, and vegetarianism was a taboo subject, for the scriptures say that Jesus said "all foods are clean," and that was that. To bring up the possibility that Jesus was an Essene or Nazarene, or was strongly influenced by them, is to open a Pandora's Box holding numerous facts that show Christian orthodoxy is false.

So vegetarianism, and vegetarian groups such as the Nazarenes and Essenes went unmentioned by orthodox scholars precisely because such knowledge contradicts the doctrines of the carnivorous orthodoxies of Judaism and Christianity. Islamic scholars clearly understood that the original message of Jesus and his disciples was overthrown by Paul, and I have no doubt that vegetarian Shiite Muslim scholars have studies regarding the origins of Islam that are parallel to the one you are now reading regarding early Christianity. The Muslim majority comprise the Sunni sect who have values essentially the same--regarding women, homosexuals, slavery, and animal sacrifices--as the Christian orthodoxy and Jewish orthodoxy, except that they regard Muhammad as the last of the prophets. Other pages of this study deal with the vegetarian origins of Islam.

Vegetarianism: the Taboo Subject for Orthodox Scholars Though it is acknowledged that vegetarianism was God's original dietary covenant with creation, scholars refuse to admit the possibility that a renunciate vegetarian tradition existed from the earliest days of Judaism.

The point made in this study is this: these vegetarians, the Essenes and Nazarenes and Jesus and the Ebionites, all regarded themselves as the pure remnant of Judaism. And one of the great ironies of so-called religious scholarship is this: though the vegetarian covenant of

Genesis 1: 29-30 stares the orthodoxies of Judaism, Christianity, and Islam in the face, none of these orthodoxies wish to acknowledge the possibility that a renunciate and vegetarian tradition could have existed from the earliest days of Judaism.

Orthodox Jewish and Christian Scholars Ignore the Heart of the Matter:
The Egalitarianism and Vegetarianism of the Essenes and first Christians.

Glenn Kittler summarizes the attitude of many by quoting John Allegro's article in Harper's Magazine: "The very scholars who should be most capable of working on the documents and interpreting them have displayed a not altogether surprising, but nonetheless curious, reluctance to go to the heart of their matter. The scholars appear to have held back from making discoveries which, there is evidence to believe, may upset a great many basic teachings of the Christian church. This in turn would greatly upset many Christian theologians and believers. The heart of the matter is, in fact, the source and originality of Christian doctrine." [Aug. 66]

Orthodoxy's Suppression of the Panarion

Kittler no doubt understood that if Allegro did not specify the specific orthodox doctrines that are challenged by the Dead Sea Scrolls, Allegro nonetheless understood that the orthodox Christian churches especially would deny that the values of the Essenes were the values of the earliest Christians. [Allegro's study, *The Magic Mushroom and the Cross*, though scorned by the Christian orthodoxy, should none the less be read by all those who understand that orthodox Christian scholars are more apt at putting up smokescreens, than they are at revealing the truth about Christian origins.] The Roman Catholic Epiphanius of Salamis in the fourth century in his *Panarion* would however make just such a startling assertion, namely, that the earliest followers of Jesus were called Jessenes (Essenes) and Nazarenes, we know that these groups were vegetarian. Never heard of the *Panarion*? The Christian orthodoxy is not about to saw off the very slim limb it is tottering on, and that is what would occur if students of Christianity were to study the documents of early Christianity. They would realize that they have been bamboozled by the orthodoxy. Most sincere students of Christianity have such a realization already, because of the fact that the New Testament, which is supposedly divinely inspired, promotes sexism, slavery, elitist class structures, bigotry towards homosexuals, and the disease-promoting diet of carnivorousism.

The Antiquity of the Essenes
The Essenes Admit the Influence of India
and trace their lineage to Shem, son of Noah.

The Essenes in the Dead Sea Scrolls in a word on medicine credit the wise men of India. And they also trace their lineage back to Noah's son Shem, thus affirming what Pliny the Elder stated of the Essenes, that they had lived "for thousands of ages," meaning, we may infer, thousands of years, a claim predictably denied by the carnivorous orthodoxies of Judaism and Christianity.

One may not see the above facts as related, but they are. Shem was probably named after Sambu, a name given to Shiva, which became the root of Samuel. [Samuel = a contraction of Sambu-El]. Shem alone of Noah's sons is described as having an immense longevity, which Pliny and Philo both describe as characteristic of the Essenes, who had centenarians commonly living among them, living on a diet free of animal sacrifices.

The "Hinduism" of Noah and his sons is more than obvious. Noah's Name is a Hebrew form of Nu, meaning spirit in Sanskrit. The lineage of Noah names their children after Hindu Deities.

Noah's name, which means comforter in Hebrew, and comforter may be seen as an attribute of divinity, is related to Nu in Sanskrit, which means spirit. Vish means pervasive, and thus we see the logic in the name of Vishnu, the pervasive Spirit. The deity Vishnu is regarded as a sea serpent incarnation of Krishna, and is related to the primal serpent that we see in the pantheon of Egypt. Noah is the Manu of the Jews. Manu to the Hindus is the survivor of the deluge. His name may be seen as a combination of Ma(ha) and Nu. Nu refers to the divine spirit in Sanskrit (and is the root of noumena and phenomena). Ma means great or mighty. The name Manu is not seen in the literature of Judaism or Christianity except in the name of Immanuel, which may be seen as a three-part compound name:

Immanuel = Im-Manu-El

Im = with

Manu = the lawgiver, as Noah was

El = God.

The name Immanuel, Im-manu-el, in Isaiah is a reference to Manu, the lawgiver. Im means with; Manu is the lawgiver; and El means God. So too was Noah a lawgiver in the Torah but his laws convey values that are the opposite of those conveyed in the majority of laws in the Hindu Laws of Manu, which, to the reader in comparative religions, may be seen as a kind of inverted Torah. Whereas a majority of scriptures in the Torah sanction animal sacrifices, and a lesser number condemn them, in the Laws of Manu it is different: the vast majority of scriptures condemn animal sacrifices and the ill-treatment of animals, and for that matter, the bad treatment even of plants, and a few scriptures, no doubt revisions, sanction animal sacrifices.

The Hindu, Jewish and Ethiopic Noachs

The most compassionate Noah, the Noah who is more compassionate than the Noah of the Torah and the Manu of the Hindus, is the Noah in the Ethiopic Book of Enoch. This Book of Enoch is a record of the Jews before the Deluge, and it is referred to throughout the Old and New Testament and Koran. The Noah in the Book of Enoch forbids all bloodshed, and all interference with nature, be it mining, the making of weapons, or cosmetics. This Noah also forbids writing. One is to live directly with creation and not interfere with it, and not create intellectual abstractions about it in writing. In other words, excavating the ground, creating

monuments and roads and cities, which are essential to industrial civilization, are all forbidden. The Sumerian version of Noah is not directly relevant here.

Hindu Concepts and Deities in Noah's Lineage:

1. Ham's name is a form of Aum, the Sacred Sound of the Hindus.
2. Ham names his sons Rama and Sheva, after the Hindu/Ethiopian Deities.
3. The grandson of Noah through Ham, Kush, founded Ethiopia, a Sabeian nation worshipping Shiva, and keepers of the Ethiopic Book of Enoch. The Sabaeans of Ethiopia like the Shaivites and Vaishnavas of India and the original Jews worshiped Shiva, Kanneh, and Ramman. Shiva among the Hebrews and Ethiopians was known as Seba, Sheba, and Saba. The Sabbath, the Sabaoth, and the pillars erected to God, the masseva, are all derived from the name of Shiva. Kanneh and Cainan of the Ethiopians is the same deity as El Kana or El Chanan. Kannan is the Tamil Hindu name for Vishnu or Krishna. And the Ethiopian Ramman is none other than Rama of the Hindus and Jews. Studies demonstrating that the Vedic religion had spread throughout the world may be found at Viewzone.com, Sword of Truth, and Hinduism Today, all on the net.
4. Kush named one of his sons Seba, a transliteration of Siva.
5. Seba (ceba), the son of Kush, founded the nation of Sheba, which is also a transliteration of the name of Shiva.

So, looking at the above facts, that the name of Ham, Noah's son, is a form of Aum, the sacred sound of the Hindus; that Ham names two of his sons after the Hindu vegetarian personalities and deities, Ramah and Sheva; and that Kush continues the Sabeian tradition--all these facts would have been interpreted as an absolute act of defiance towards the orthodox patriarchy claiming that Jehovah was the one and only true deity.

(The antiquity of the Essenes has also been asserted by psychic Edgar Cayce, who affirms they went all the way back to Elijah and the school of prophets raised by him; thereby Cayce, though carnivorous himself, in his trance state also allied the way of the Essenes with Elijah, and Cayce thereby indirectly attacked the Old Testament's version of Elijah as carnivorous.) 2 Ezra also sees early Judaism's patriarchs as aligned with the later prophets of Judaism, most of whom denounced the animal sacrifices.

Let us look at Edgar Cayce's assertions that the Essenes were indeed a tradition which we may call renunciate, and that they existed during the time of Elijah, Elisha (Elisha) and Samuel.

Edgar Cayce, like Philo and the Essenes themselves,
Affirms that the Essenes existed in the early days of Judaism.
From Edgar Cayce on the Essenes

254-109 Essenes: When there were the activities in which there were to be the cleansings through which bodies were to become channels for the new race, or the new preparation, these then were restricted - of course - as to certain associations, developments in associations, activities and the like. We are speaking here of the twelve women, you see - and all of the women from the very beginning who were dedicated as channels for the new race, see?

Hence the group we refer to here as the Essenes, which was the outgrowth of the periods of preparations from the teachings by Melchizedek, as propagated by Elijah and Elisha and Samuel.

These were set aside for preserving themselves in direct line of choice for the offering of themselves as channels through which there might come the new or the divine origin, see? Their life and work during such periods of preparation were given to alms, good deeds, missionary activities - as would be termed today. 1158-6 9.

Did not John come as the voice of one crying in the wilderness and in the spirit of Elijah? Yet he WAS Elijah.

As in the present, here, proceed - but remember that given - each must know his OWN book of remembrance, and read same. 1472-3 4.

Some four and twenty years before the advent of that entity, that soul-entrance into material plane called Jesus, we find Phinehas (?) and Elkatma (?) making those activities among those of the depleted group of the prophets in Mt. Carmel; that begun by Samuel, Elisha, Elijah, Saul, and those during those early experiences.

Essenes that had cherished not merely the conditions that had come as word of mouth but had kept the records of the periods when individuals had been visited with the supernatural or out of the ordinary experiences; whether in dreams, visions, voices, or what not - they brought the life of their child, Judy, and dedicated it to the study and the application of self to the study of those things that had been handed down as a part of the EXPERIENCES of those who had received visitations from the unseen, the unknown - or that worshiped as the Divine Spirit moving into the activities of man. 1472-3 12.

So there was the setting about to seek means and manners for the preservation, and for the making of records of that which had been handed down as word of mouth, as tradition. Such channels and ways were sought out. And eventually the manner was chosen in which records were being kept in Egypt rather than in Persia, from which much of the tradition arose - of course - because of the very indwelling of the peoples in that land. 13.

Hence not only the manners of the recording but also the traditions of Egypt, the traditions from India, the conditions and traditions from many of the Persian lands and from many of the borders about same, became a part of the studies and the seeking of the entity Judy early in the attempts to make, keep and preserve such records. until there were those visitations by what ye call the Wise Men of the East - one from Persia, one from India, one from the Egyptian land. 15.

These reasoned with the Brethren, but more was sought from the studies of the entity Judy at that experience. EACH and every soul MUST BECOME, MUST BE, the SAVIOR of some soul! to even COMPREHEND the purpose of the entrance of the Son INTO the earth - that man might have the closer walk with, yea the open door to, the very heart of the living God! 29.

And the entity, as would be termed, was hounded, yea was persecuted the more and more; yet remaining until what ye would call the sixty-seventh year AFTER the Crucifixion; or until Time itself began to be counted from same. 30.

For the records as were borne by the entity, it will be found, were BEGUN by the activities of the entity during what ye would term a period sixty years AFTER the Crucifixion. 31. And then they were reckoned first by the peoples of Carmel, and then by the brethren in Antioch, then a portion of Jerusalem, then to Smyrna, Philadelphia, and those places where these were becoming more active. 32.

The entity [Judy]- though receiving rebuffs, yea even stripes in the body - died a natural death in that experience; at the age then of ninety-one. 262-61 In no land in which JESUS presented Himself was He accepted;

I have left in some material which helps to elucidate the concerns of Edgar Cayce in his trance state. In any case, we see that he states that there was a school of prophets going all the way back to Elijah, Samuel, Illisha, and even Melchizadek. The numbers of these prophets declined, we may infer, because of the rise of what we call Jewish orthodoxy, which suppressed the pure vegetarian remnant represented by the Essenes. Some of my readers are no doubt familiar with Edgar Cayce's readings on "Revelations," which affirm that the dynamic of the chakra system of the Hindus underlies much of the book.

So, now that we have cleared away some of the illusions of the orthodox, understand that even rabbinical scholars admit the first dietary covenant was vegetarianism, and understand that the religion of the earliest Jews and the Hindus sprang from the same source, then it is not hard to understand that the term Essene also came from a name, or possibly even two names of Shiva, Asani and Isana. We can also comprehend that Ossaens or Osseans came from the name Oseo or Osseo, which is also a name of Shiva. And we can also comprehend that Jessenes has its root in Jesse, which comes through as Isa, or Issa, which is also a name of Shiva. The following page on the Essenes elaborates on these facts.

Islamic scholars clearly understood that the original message of Jesus and his disciples was overthrown by Paul. Sunni Muslims are thereby caught in their own Catch 22 situation. On the one hand Muslim tradition clearly denounces Paul as an apostate, just as did the now non extant "Ascents of James" by James the brother of Jesus. On the other hand, the teachings of Paul regarding slavery, sexism, bigotry towards homosexuals, and animal sacrifices, are all found in the Quran we now have! This, I will show in other chapters, proves that the Quran, like the Torah and the New Testament, is a revised document.

The point made in this study is this: these vegetarians, the Essenes and Nazarenes and Jesus and the Ebionites, all regarded themselves as the pure remnant of Judaism. And there is an abundance of material proving that they in fact were that pure remnant. There are excellent contemporary Essene web sites on line, as well as Mandeian sites, that confirm the vegetarian/egalitarian origins of Christianity.

THE DEMONIC ORIGINS OF ORTHODOX JUDAISM

How Actions and Attributes of the Demon Mastema

Become Attributes of the Orthodox Jewish God.

Mastema is discussed in "Jubilees" and "A Zadokite Fragment."

Mastema teaches humans to make idols and to shed blood,

that is, to sacrifice animals.

It was Mastema who urged God to test Abraham
by asking him to sacrifice Isaac.

The Demonic Origin of the Passover:

The Demon Mastema is responsible
for the Passover Slayings, Not God.

Mastema himself opposed Moses in Egypt.

The web site [Mastemajewishgod.doc](#) summarizes portrayals of Mastema, which demonstrate the contentions of Baruch, the late Jewish vegetarian prophets, Origen, and Tertullian that the Jews did in fact worship demons when they sacrificed animals. Mastema is described in "The Book of Jubilees" as well as in "Fragments of a Zadokite Work." Part of the following on Mastema is my paraphrasing and commenting on the material in the above site.

In the "Book of Jubilees," Mastema is of the One/Tenth of Fallen Angels
Whom God in the Book of Enoch allowed to remain out of the fires
and to stay on earth to tempt mankind.

"When Mastema, the leader of the spirits, came, he said: 'Lord creator, leave some of them before me; let them listen to me and do everything that I tell them, because if none of them is left for me I shall not be able to exercise the authority of my will among mankind. For they are meant for (the purposes of) destroying and misleading before my punishment because the evil of mankind is great.' Then he said that a tenth of them should be left before him, while he would make nine parts descend to the place of judgment." - Jubilees 10:8-9

The Name Mastema is a kind of Satan, or Adversary

The name Mastema is thought to have been derived from the Hebrew, Mastim, a participle of Satam, meaning 'one who is adverse' or 'inimical.' This definition is much like the definition of Satan as the adversary. The word is sometimes used in the plural to show there was a Mastema class as well as a prince Mastema, a system which is also parallel to the the system among demons described in 1 Enoch 40:7).

Mastema teaches humans to make idols and to shed blood,
that is, sacrifice animals.

"And they made for themselves molten images, and they worshipped each the idol, the molten image which they had made for themselves, and they began to make graven images and unclean simulacra, and malignant spirits assisted and seduced (them) into committing transgression and uncleanness. And the prince Mastema exerted himself to do all this, and he sent forth other spirits, those which were put under his hand, to do all manner of wrong and sin, and all manner of transgression, to corrupt and destroy and to shed blood upon the earth.
Jubilees 11:4-6

It was Mastema who urged God to test Abraham
by asking him to Sacrifice Isaac.
To those understanding that God is All-compassionate,
Such an act would obviously be of a demonic origin.

"Then Prince Mastema came and said before God: 'Abraham does indeed love his son Isaac and finds him more pleasing than anyone else. Tell him to offer him as a sacrifice on an altar. Then you will see whether he performs this order and will know whether he is faithful in everything through which you test him.'" - "Jubilees" 17:16

Another Bizarre Contradictory Event in the Torah Explained:
God wishing to kill Moses in Exodus 4: 24,
in "Jubilees" is seen as the demon Mastema wishing to kill Moses.

"You know who spoke to you at Mt. Sinai and what the prince of Mastema wanted to do to you while you were returning to Egypt - on the way at the shady fir tree. Did he not wish with all his strength to kill you and to save the Egyptians from your power because he saw that you were sent to carry out punishment and revenge on the Egyptians?" - "Jubilees" 48:2-3

These scriptures expose the demonic origins of orthodox Judaism, and in fact the origins of all orthodoxies which support the governments of the world, and have been likened to the scriptures in 1 Chronicles 21:1 and 2 Samuel 24:1 in which it is Satan and not God who asks David to take a census. The omniscient God of all life does not need to take a census, but governments of this world, which are all initiated by Satan, desiring to control their populations, do.

Mastema himself opposed Moses in Egypt.

"And the prince Mastema stood up against thee, and sought to cast thee into the hands of Pharaoh, and he helped the Egyptian sorcerers, and they stood up and wrought before thee the evils indeed we permitted them to work, but the remedies we did not allow to be wrought by their hands." - Jubilees 48:9-10

"And notwithstanding all (these) signs and wonders the prince Mastema was not put to shame because he took courage and cried to the Egyptians to pursue after thee with all the powers of the Egyptians, with their chariots, and with their horses, and with all the hosts of the peoples of Egypt." - Jubilees 48:12

The Demonic Origin of the Passover:
The Demon Mastema is responsible
for the Passover Slayings, Not God.

It is the demon Mastema who slays the first-born in the land of Egypt, though this is attributed to the Lord in Exodus 12:29.

"For on this night -the beginning of the festival and the beginning of the joy- ye were eating the passover in Egypt, when all the powers of Mastema had been let loose to slay all the first-born in the land of Egypt, from the first-born of Pharaoh to the first-born of the captive maid-servant in the mill, and to the cattle." - Jubilees 49:2

To Worship Demons is to Slaughter Animals
and to Internalize the Atrocity
By Eating their corpses.
The Passover: A Ritual Killing by a Demonic Cult

"...the whole assembly of the congregation of Israel shall kill their lambs in the evening. Then they shall take some of the blood and put it on the two doorposts and the lintel of the houses in which they eat them. They shall eat the flesh that night." Exodus 12: 6-8.

"Then Moses called all the elders of Israel and said to them `Select lambs for yourselves according to your families, and kill the passover lamb. Take a bunch of hyssop and dip it in the blood which is in the basin and touch the lintel and the two doorposts with the blood which is in the basin.'" Exodus 12: 21-22.

This orthodox portrayal of Moses was a lie taught by animal-sacrificing cattlemen for profit. It is a fabrication that contradicted the vegetarian covenant for all creatures commanded by Deity in the first chapter of Genesis in verses 29 and 30. This false Moses contradicts the prophecies of peace for all creatures.

And Here the Moses constructed by the cattleman cult that became orthodox Judaism promotes carnivorism as an eternal practice, thus contradicting all the prophecies of peace for all creation by Jewish, Hindu, Tibetan, Hopi and Mayan seers and prophets.

"You shall observe this rite as an ordinance for you and your sons forever." Genesis 12: 24.

Cruelty is inherent in all sacrifice. A deity of infinite love is cast aside by the orthodox Moses constructed by cattleman in favor of a entity--history calls these entities demons--desiring blood and sacrifice.

Sacrificing the first-born:

"All the firstlings of your cattle that are males shall be the Lord's. Every firstling of an ass you shall redeem with a lamb, or if you will not redeem it, you shall break its neck." Exodus 13: 12-13.

The late Jewish prophets regarded this Moses as a lie taught by false prophets. So too Jesus, James, Peter and John the Baptist as presented in the Ebionite Gospel by Epiphanius regarded the Pentateuch as false scriptures written by men. See Epiphanius' discussion in the Panarion.

The "Extreme" Purity of the Book of Enoch Rechabites, Nabateans, Righteous Tilling and the Renunciate Life

I wish here to quote Barry Goldwater, not because I share his politics, or the politics of any established party, for the spiritual person realizes that there is no authority but God, and that all worldly authorities are Mammon, or Money, but because he did have a keen sense of truth when he said: "Extremism in the cause of virtue is no vice; and extremism in the cause of vice is no virtue." Industrial civilization as we know it is in fact extremism in the cause of vice, in that industries' toxins have polluted the very air we breathe and water we drink, and even much of the earth we walk upon. The pejorative connotations of the word "extremism" have the connotation of going overboard, of going too far, of being excessive. In the spiritual life, one cannot be excessive in extending compassion to the creatures of the earth, and to creation. As great as one's compassion may be, it is limited compared to the infinite love and all-compassionate nature of Deity, as seen by Hindus and Buddhists or the true remnant who may be of any faith. The Jains see the Forces of Creation as a Divine Order, rather than as specific divine personalities.

So the reader of "Jubilees" and the Ethiopic Book of Enoch sees that the demonic origins of what is now regarded as orthodox Judaism was more obvious in times past, and we see also why the orthodox do not encourage studying opposition views and non-orthodox scriptures, such as "Jubilees" and the Ethiopic Book of Enoch. These scriptures make it plain that there was a strong tradition asserting that bloodshed of any kind was evil, and that this definitely included the sacrificing of animals. Moreover, the renunciate life was seen as ideal in that it did not interfere with nature. The life of the householder, who married and raised crops and perhaps animals for their milk, was not regarded as as high a calling as the renunciate life. Consult the Jain Sutras, the Laws of Manu, and the Institutes of Vishnu. The Jewish Rechabites and the Arab Nabateans were two groups that would not even cultivate the ground, because of the "unrighteous tilling" of the soil. The Book of Enoch makes it clear that even those who plant crops are to till the soil righteously, which means that they were involved in types of agriculture that we would call top of the ground mulching or non-invasive permaculture, so as not to disturb the animal and plant life that already exist. The Nabateans, one may conjecture, could have received their teachings from Moses on Mt. Nebo. For the word manna in ancient Hebrew was simply the Sanskrit word manas, which meant spiritual knowledge. The forty years in the Sinai wilderness was in fact a time of immense spiritual purity in that the entire community of Israelites, who wore the Hindu bindi, were in conformity with the vegetarian covenant of Genesis. Naba is the root of words meaning both fruit and prophecy, and to the original Jewish renunciate, as well as to the Jain and Brahman renunciates, the two were related. The fruit eaters, those who ate from the trees and bushes of nature, were spared even the karma of growing vegetables from soil where insects and other animals were displaced, killed or abused. Those who have lived in the wilderness for periods of time, eating only what is there, and drinking from natural sources, at the same time meditating and praying to be a pure channel, know that these acts of "extreme purity" cause an inner transformation and enlightenment. It is in fact a formula for transformation that has always worked as long as my intentions were pure.

Orthodox Judaism is the Religion of the Demon Azazel,
Who taught humans how to Sacrifice Animals.

The Pre-Deluvian Diet of Judaism was Vegetarian. Azazel is the Fallen Angel Condemned in the Ethiopic Book of Enoch.

Fallen Angels and their Offspring were regarded as Demons. "And the whole earth has been corrupted through the works

that were taught by Azazel: to him ascribe all sin." The Rationale behind the Laws of "Leviticus" Is Utterly Destroyed by the Ethiopic Book of Enoch,

and that is Why Orthodox Judaism, Christianity, and Islam

Have Studiously Avoided Exposing the Work to its Followers. The Ethiopic Book of Enoch Absolutely Condemns

All Animal Sacrifices and All Bloodshed.

The reason that the Ethiopic Book of Enoch was called Apocryphal by those who chose the books to be included in what we call the "Torah" or Old Testament is easy enough to comprehend once the Book of Enoch is read. The Ethiopic Book of Enoch is creation-centered, and condemns all killing, not just the killing of humans, as does the strictly human-centered Ten Commandments or Decalogue. In fact the Ethiopic Book of Enoch condemns all actions of subjection and domination that are sanctioned by Genesis 1: 26-28, which says that humans are to subdue the earth and have dominion over other creatures.

The Ethiopic Book of Enoch espouses the ideals of

Renunciate Hinduism and Jainism. Nature is created perfect as it is. There is to be no mining, no making of weaponry,

no killing of animals, no bloodshed at all,

no cosmetics, no writing.

According to the Ethiopic Enoch there is to be no subduing of other creatures or of other humans, and no dominating of other beings. The book makes it clear that nature as created is perfect as it is. God created numerous trees, i.e. vegetation, to feed humans, to spice their food, and to give them shade. Large sections of the Book describe the trees that offer shade, food, spices and aroma to humanity. Trees are described over and over again, making it clear that the message of Enoch is that vegetation is all humans need. Humans are not to mine the earth. The earth is the home of other creatures, and when excavated or invaded, the creatures who live there are wounded, displaced, killed. We can see in the Book of Enoch one of the sources of morality for the Jewish Rechabites and Arab Nabateans, neither of whom would cultivate, i.e. invade the earth. The Deity of the Book does not want humans to make the iron of weapons, nor the gold and silver of mines that are used to ornament the body. In fact cosmetics in general are forbidden. In other words, nature is the Creator's creation and is perfect as it is. To attempt to improve on nature is to be a fallen being. Bloodshed of any kind, of animals, or of humans, is absolutely forbidden. And humans (with the exception of Enoch, the chosen messenger of God) are not to write. Paradise is to be lived in, not written about.

Once it is understood that renunciate values existed in the earliest days of Judaism, then it is easier to understand the influence of the Jain tradition which came hand in hand with the

Hindu tradition. The following quotations from the Ethiopic Book of Enoch show that the book was in conformity with the vegetarian covenant of Genesis 1: 29-30.

Chapter 7

Chapter 7 demonstrates that the fallen angels and their followers are predators on earth, subduing and dominating other creatures, and shedding blood.

"And they began to sin against birds, and beasts, and reptiles, and 6 fish, and to devour one another's flesh, and drink the blood. Then the earth laid accusation against the lawless ones." 7: 5

From Chapter 8 of the Book of Enoch: Altering Creation is Evil.
Azazel taught mining and making the Implements of Industry,
Altering Creation.

Chapter 8 of the Ethiopic Book of Enoch is a key chapter for understanding the religious sense that pervaded the pure remnant of people in ancient times, and that pervades some renunciate groups, and back to nature groups, today. They understood that to alter creation in any way was to sin against the Creator.

"1 Moreover Azazyel taught men to make swords, knives, shields, breastplates, the fabrication of mirrors, and the workmanship of bracelets and ornaments, the use of paint, the beautifying of the eyebrows, the use of stones of every valuable and select kind, and all sorts of dyes, so that the world became altered.

2 Impiety increased; fornication multiplied; and they transgressed and corrupted all their ways."

Chapter 9

Chapter 9, in context with the above passages, gives us a summary of why the Deluge was brought about. It was because Azazel and the other fallen angels had corrupted not only humanity, but other creatures as well, as we can infer from God's statement that he came to convict all flesh.

1 And then Michael, Uriel, Raphael, and Gabriel looked down from heaven and saw much blood being

2 shed upon the earth, and all lawlessness being wrought upon the earth. And they said one to another: 'The earth made without inhabitant cries the voice of their crying up to the gates of heaven.

3 And now to you, the holy ones of heaven, the souls of men make their suit, saying, "Bring our cause

4 before the Most High.'" And they said to the Lord of the ages: 'Lord of lords, God of gods, King of kings, and God of the ages, the throne of Thy glory (standeth) unto all the generations of the

5 ages, and Thy name holy and glorious and blessed unto all the ages! Thou hast made all things, and power over all things hast Thou: and all things are naked and open in Thy sight, and Thou seest all

6 things, and nothing can hide itself from Thee. Thou seest what Azazel hath done, who hath taught all unrighteousness on earth and revealed the eternal secrets which were (preserved) in heaven, which

7 men were striving to learn:

Here below we see that the fallen angels bore children. These children were regarded as demonic, and indulged in sacrifices, the spilling of blood.

"9Thus has the whole earth been filled with blood and with iniquity.

And the women have

10 borne giants, and the whole earth has thereby been filled with blood and unrighteousness."

Chapter 10

The Punishment of the Fallen Angels

1 Then said the Most High, the Holy and Great One spake, and sent Uriel to the son of Lamech, 2 and said to him: 'Go to Noah and tell him in my name "Hide thyself!" and reveal to him the end that is approaching: that the whole earth will be destroyed, and a deluge is about to come 3 upon the whole earth, and will destroy all that is on it. And now instruct him that he may escape

4 and his seed may be preserved for all the generations of the world.' And again the Lord said to Raphael: 'Bind Azazel hand and foot, and cast him into the darkness: and make an opening 5 in the desert, which is in Dudael, and cast him therein. And place upon him rough and jagged rocks, and cover him with darkness, and let him abide there for ever, and cover his face that he may

6,7 not see light. And on the day of the great judgement he shall be cast into the fire. And heal the earth which the angels have corrupted, and proclaim the healing of the earth, that they may heal the plague, and that all the children of men may not perish through all the secret things that the

8 Watchers have disclosed and have taught their sons.

"16Then shall they be taken away into the lowest depths of the fire in torments; and in confinement shall they be shut up for ever.

17Immediately after this shall he (Semyase), together with them, burn and perish; they shall be bound until the consummation of many generations."

18Destroy all the souls addicted to dalliance, (15) and the offspring of the Watchers, for they have tyrannized over mankind.

19Let every oppressor perish from the face of the earth;

20Let every evil work be destroyed;"

One can see in the above passages above and below the prototype of the values of the original vegetarian Torah, the original scriptures about Jesus, and the original Quran. In the Book of Enoch the evils of shedding the blood of other creatures and of humans are both

referred to. Other creatures shed blood by becoming carnivorous, and therefore their sins are parallel to the sins of the fallen angels, who taught humans how to make the implements of industry and how to sacrifice animals.

Thus we see here the wisdom of Isaías who denounced those sacrificing animals as being like the people of Sodom and Gomorrah, who deserved to be destroyed. Isaías saw through the homophobia that made homosexuals the scapegoats for the destruction. The parallel condemnation and scapegoating of homosexuals in the New Testament and Quran may be regarded as typical orthodox bigotry by the patriarchs of those cultures. Isaías saw through it, as did the Jesus of the Gospel of the Nazirenes.

Chapter 13:

The Fallen Angels are imprisoned in Abelsjail, i.e. the prison of Abel. In the original story of Cain and Abel,

Cain the farmer was the hero and Abel the cattle raiser the villain.

The Original story was told in conformity with the Vegetarian Covenant of Genesis 1: 29-30.

One of the so-called "mysteries of the Bible" is why Abel's name means "vain and illusory, unsatisfying." Abel's name is also associated with mourning and lamentation and leading astray. There is no mystery, once one understands that the scriptures have been revised to favor the views of those seeking to sanction their making profit through doing evil, evil such as killing animals in order to sell their carcasses to eat. Cain's name on the other hand relates with Chanan, El Chanan and El Kana, and signifies "being favored of God." Cain in fact in R. H. Charles' Apocrypha and Pseudoepigraphia of the Old Testament has Cain's name is also associated with the word kannan, meaning zealot, or avenging priest, which rather precisely defines Cain. From the point of view of the vegetarian covenant of Genesis, Cain was God's avenging priest, killing Abel because he slew God's creatures, against the command of God in Genesis 1: 29-30.

Fallen angels are imprisoned in Abelsjail.

The Name Abel is a Name that Designates

Those who obscured or contradicted El or God.

Abel's Name is the Probable Source for the Word Evil. The prefix Ab in ancient Hebrew, like the prefix Ab in Abnormal,

was used as a prefix of contradiction.

Ab-Normal

Ab-El

The original God of the Jews commanded vegetarianism as seen in Gen. 1: 29-30. Those who sacrificed animals, like Abel, were originally seen as evil. The Hebrew name Abel is the origin of the English word evil. Chapter 13 helps clarify the fact that the original "Torah" was revised to suit businessmen who revised the scriptures in order to sanction animal sacrifices. Other pages of this study deal with the fact that Abel's name means illusory, vain, unsatisfying, and is associated with leading astray and mourning. The Mystery of the Bible is easily solved

once one realizes that Abel was one who contradicted God and the original vegetarian covenant, and that for that reason the prefix of contradiction, uwb or Ab preceded the name of God, El, and thereby designated one who contradicted God. Abel's name is the source of the word Evil, rendered Ubel in German, which shows the similarity even more clearly than English.

1 And Enoch went and said: 'Azâzêl, thou shalt have no peace: a severe sentence has gone forth
2 against thee to put thee in bonds: And thou shalt not have toleration nor request granted to thee, because of the unrighteousness which thou hast taught, and because of all the works of godlessness
3 and unrighteousness and sin which thou hast shown to men.' Then I went and spoke to them all
4 together, and they were all afraid, and fear and trembling seized them. And they besought me to draw up a petition for them that they might find forgiveness, and to read their petition in the presence
5 of the Lord of heaven. For from thenceforward they could not speak (with Him) nor lift up their
6 eyes to heaven for shame of their sins for which they had been condemned. Then I wrote out their petition, and the prayer in regard to their spirits and their deeds individually and in regard to their
7 requests that they should have forgiveness and length. And I went off and sat down at the waters of Dan, in the land of Dan, to the south of the west of Hermon: I read their petition till I fell
8 asleep. And behold a dream came to me, and visions fell down upon me, and I saw visions of chastisement, and a voice came bidding (me) to tell it to the sons of heaven, and reprimand them.
9 And when I awaked, I came unto them, and they were all sitting gathered together, weeping in
10 'Abelsjâîl, which is between Lebanon and Sênêsêr, with their faces covered. And I recounted before them all the visions which I had seen in sleep, and I began to speak the words of righteousness, and to reprimand the heavenly Watchers.

Chapter 15

"Evil spirits have proceeded from their bodies; because they are born from men and from the holy Watchers is their beginning and primal origin;

10 they shall be evil spirits on earth, and evil spirits shall they be called. [As for the spirits of heaven, in heaven shall be their dwelling, but as for the spirits of the earth which were born upon the earth, on the earth shall be their dwelling.]

And the spirits of the giants afflict, oppress, destroy, attack, do battle, and work destruction on the earth, and cause trouble: they take no food, but nevertheless

12 hunger and thirst, and cause offenses. And these spirits shall rise up against the children of men and against the women, because they have proceeded from them.

Chapter 18

Even the fallen angels can be forgiven after 10,000 years.

16 And He was wroth with them, and bound them till the time when their guilt should be consummated (even) for ten thousand years.'

A Penance of 10,000 Years on Semyase has been lifted?

In this regard, we have an event in the later 20th century which will be judged as incredible and fictitious by some, but which has an amazing amount of photographic support, and support from some scientists, the claim by Billy Meyers that he had made contact with extraterrestrials. The amazing and rather high quality photographs have led even some high-ranking authorities to state that they find no flaws in the pictures. Moreover, Meyers claims to have been given a piece of metal that when examined under an electron microscope by established scientists was found to be an alloy of plastic and metal, an alloy that humans have not yet been able to create.

What is truly amazing is that one of the extraterrestrials Meyers claims to have made contact with is a woman named Semyase, who claims to have been part of a group that led humanity astray. Semyase is the name of one of the captains of the fallen angels in the Ethiopic Book of Enoch.

Semyase's message to the world through Billy Meyers is truly in harmony with the drama of the Book of Enoch. Admitting that she had once helped to lead humanity astray, Semyase now teaches that all creatures, indeed, all creation, is to be regarded as sacred. This is important, because it was, according to the Book of Enoch, the fallen angels who taught humans to sacrifice animals.

Chapter 19

Carnivorism as Evil: "Sacrificing to Demons as Gods."

Chapter Nineteen of the Book of Enoch describes the fallen angels, once again, as powerful presences on earth who were able to lead humans astray into shedding blood.

19:1 And Uriel said to me: 'Here shall stand the angels who have connected themselves with women, and their spirits assuming many different forms are defiling mankind and shall lead them astray into sacrificing to demons as gods, (here shall they stand,) till the day of the great judgement in which they shall be judged till they are made an end of.

This first verse of Chapter 19 was alluded to or echoed in Baruch. 4" 5-7. In order to understand, however, that Baruch saw the sacrificing of animals itself as a sacrifice to demons, I have put the quotation in context of other scriptures in his book.

"Why is it, O Israel, why is it that you are in the land of your enemies, that you are growing old in a foreign country..." 3:10.

"...you have forsaken the fountain of wisdom. If you had walked in the way of God, you would be dwelling in peace for ever." 3:13.

"He who prepared the earth for all time filled it with four-footed creatures." 3:32.

"Take courage, my people, O memorial of Israel! It was not for destruction that you were sold to the nations, but you were handed over to your enemies because you angered God. For you provoked him who made you by sacrificing to demons and not to God." 4: 5-7.

By accepting the fact--and it is impossible not to do so--that the Book of Enoch the reader is able quite easily to see that the moral views of Enoch and Noah had by the pure remnant of Judaism is radically different and more peaceful than that of the orthodoxy.

Chapter 55

R. H. Charles describes chapters 55 and 56 as: Final Judgement of Azazel, the Watchers and their children. Note that deity in both the Ethiopic Book of Enoch and "Genesis" repent of what they had done. When one realizes that the original vegetarian covenant of Genesis is in harmony with the Ethiopic Book of Enoch then the temporal precedence, that is, which book came first, becomes secondary to the moral precedence. That is, the Book of Enoch presents abundant evidence that the vegetarian covenant was the only real dietary covenant taught by God, and the the god who changes his mind in Genesis is a fiction made up by the cattle raisers to justify the slaughtering of animals and the selling of slaughtered flesh, which was seen as demonic in the earliest days of Judaism, as the study here, and the study of Mastema in "Jubilees" both affirm.

1 And after that the Head of Days repented and said: 'In vain have I destroyed all who dwell
2 on the earth.' And He swore by His great name: 'Henceforth I will not do so to all who dwell on
the earth, and I will set a sign in the heaven: and this shall be a pledge of good faith between
Me and them for ever, so long as heaven is above the earth. And this is in accordance with My
command.

3 When I have desired to take hold of them by the hand of the angels on the day of tribulation
and pain because of this, I will cause My chastisement and My wrath to abide upon them, saith
4 God, the Lord of Spirits. Ye mighty kings who dwell on the earth, ye shall have to behold Mine
Elect One, how he sits on the throne of glory and judges Azâzêl, and all his associates, and all
his hosts in the name of the Lord of Spirits.'

Chapter 56

One of the Great Moral Ironies:
The Fallen Angels are scourged
with the very metals they taught humans to mine and forge.

1 And I saw there the hosts of the angels of punishment going, and they held scourges and chains
2 of iron and bronze. And I asked the angel of peace who went with me, saying: 'To whom are
3 these who hold the scourges going?' And he said unto me: 'To their elect and beloved ones,
that they may be cast into the chasm of the abyss of the valley.
4 And then that valley shall be filled with their elect and beloved, And the days of their lives shall
be at an end, And the days of their leading astray shall not thenceforward be reckoned.

Commentary. Karma: What you create through invading the earth comes back to haunt you. Violate and you shall be violated. The fallen angels who have taught humans industry are beaten and scourged with the very metals and weapons they have taught the humans to mine and make. Mining and making weaponry are regarded as the "sorceries of fallen angels."

The Perfect World is a Vegetarian World,
Free of Offending the Creatures of God. PLANTS AS A SYMBOL OF RIGHTEOUSNESS

Though the symbol of the burning bush, the energy derived from eating plants, was marginalized by the emphasis on animal sacrifices in the Pentateuch, yet the plant of righteousness imagery, the righteous bough imagery is still there, and is a theme seen throughout the Old Testament. Since to praise a human as a plant seemed harmless enough to those who revised the scriptures, and who put in a huge quantity of scriptures proclaiming that God sanctioned animal sacrifices, the revisers didn't worry about the implied contradictions: namely, that if plants were a symbol of righteousness, then the carcasses of slaughtered animals would be seen as a manifestation of unrighteousness, as indeed they were, and emphatically so, by the late prophets

Chapter 10

Vegetarianism is the Diet taught by the Book of Enoch.

The Plant of Righteousness in the Ethiopic Book of Enoch

Is the same as the Image of the Righteous Bough seen in the "Torah."
It represents a vegetarian teacher or messiah.

In reality the "righteous bough" image seen in the Old Testament is a symbol of the vegetarian messiahs or teachers who will come to clear the errors of the established religions, and to restore the original order that existed in the days when the vegetarian covenant was obeyed by humanity and by other creatures. Teachers are what they eat, vegetation, and therefore are described as a righteous bough.

"Destroy all wrong from the face of the earth and let every evil work come to an end: and let the plant of righteousness and truth appear: and it shall prove a blessing; the works of righteousness and truth' shall be planted in truth and joy for evermore."

Let Vegetarianism Prevail

is the theme of the following verses. The image of the whole earth being tilled in righteousness shows that

The author of the Book of Enoch was aware of invasive agricultural methods that oppress, displace or kill creatures.

17 And then shall all the righteous escape,
And shall live till they beget thousands of children,
And all the days of their youth and their old age
Shall they complete in peace.

18 And then shall the whole earth be tilled in righteousness, and shall all be planted with trees and be full of blessing. And all desirable trees shall be planted on it, and they shall plant vines on it: and the vine which they plant thereon shall yield wine in abundance, and as for all the seed which is sown thereon each measure (of it) shall bear a thousand, and each measure of olives shall yield

20 ten presses of oil. And cleanse thou the earth from all oppression, and from all unrighteousness, and from all sin, and from all godlessness: and all the uncleanness that is wrought upon the earth

21 destroy from off the earth. And all the children of men shall become righteous, and all nations
22 shall offer adoration and shall praise Me, and all shall worship Me. And the earth shall be cleansed from all defilement, and from all sin, and from all punishment, and from all torment, and I will never again send (them) upon it from generation to generation and for ever..."

Most of the above quotes come from the Book of Enoch (edited by R. H. Charles).

A Summary of the Above: The Values of Azazel are the Values of Civilizations and False Religions

like orthodox Judaism, Christianity and Islam which claim that humans may subdue the earth and have dominion over other creatures.

The fallen angel Azazel was responsible for animal sacrifices, and for mining, which excavates the earth and oppresses, displaces, and kills the creatures living there, as well as destroying the plant life there. The Ethiopic Book of Enoch denounces cosmetics, because of the artificiality involved. Moreover, writing itself, which is abstracted from life, is forbidden, except for Enoch, who was commanded by God to write, no doubt in order to clarify the errors that the eye of God knew would come about in fallen humanity, namely the animal sacrifices, war, human slavery.

The "Extreme" Purity of the Book of Enoch

Rechabites, Nabateans, Righteous Tilling and the Renunciate Life

I wish here to quote Barry Goldwater, not because I share his politics, or the politics of any established party, for the spiritual person realizes that there is no authority but God, and that all worldly authorities are Mammon, or Money, but because he did have a keen sense of truth when he said: "Extremism in the cause of virtue is no vice; and extremism in the cause of vice is no virtue." Industrial civilization as we know it is in fact extremism in the cause of vice, in that industries' toxins have polluted the very air we breathe and water we drink, and even much of

the earth we walk upon. The pejorative connotations of the word "extremism" have the connotation of going overboard, of going too far, of being excessive. In the spiritual life, one cannot be excessive in extending compassion to the creatures of the earth, and to creation. As great as one's compassion may be, it is limited compared to the infinite love and all-compassionate nature of Deity, as seen by Hindus and Buddhists or the true remnant who may be of any faith. The Jains see the Forces of Creation as a Divine Order, rather than as specific divine personalities, but the principle of ahimsa, or harmlessness, which is translatable as love or compassion, is the same.

So the reader of "Jubilees" and the Ethiopic Book of Enoch sees that the demonic origins of what is now regarded as orthodox Judaism was more obvious in times past, and we see also why the orthodox do not encourage studying opposition views and non-orthodox scriptures, such as "Jubilees" and the Ethiopic Book of Enoch. These scriptures make it plain that there was a strong tradition asserting that bloodshed of any kind was evil, and that this definitely included the sacrificing of animals. Moreover, the renunciate life was seen as ideal in that it did not interfere with nature. The life of the householder, who married and raised crops and perhaps animals for their milk, was not regarded as as high a calling as the renunciate life. Consult the Jain Sutras, the Laws of Manu, and the Institutes of Vishnu. The Jewish Rechabites and the Arab Nabateans were two groups that would not even cultivate the ground, because of the "unrighteous tilling" of the soil. The Book of Enoch makes it clear that even those who plant crops are to till the soil righteously, which means that they were involved in types of agriculture that we would call top of the ground mulching or non-invasive permaculture, so as not to disturb the animal and plant life that already exist. The Nabateans, one may conjecture, could have received their teachings from Moses on Mt. Nebo. For the word manna in ancient Hebrew was simply the Sanskrit word manas, which meant spiritual knowledge. The forty years in the Sinai wilderness was in fact a time of immense spiritual purity in that the entire community of Israelites, who wore the Hindu bindi, were in conformity with the vegetarian covenant of Genesis. Naba is the root of words meaning both fruit and prophecy, and to the original Jewish renunciate, as well as to the Jain and Brahman renunciates, the two were related. The fruit eaters, those who ate from the trees and bushes of nature, were spared even the karma of growing vegetables from soil where insects and other animals were displaced, killed or abused. Those who have lived in the wilderness for periods of time, eating only what is there, and drinking from natural sources, at the same time meditating, and praying to be a pure channel, know that these acts of "extreme purity" cause an inner transformation and enlightenment. It is in fact a formula for transformation that has always worked as long as my intentions were pure.

The Carnivorous Orthodox Cultures
of Judaism, Christianity and Islam are Imperialistic.
The Vegetarian Cultures of Hinduism and Buddhism are Not.

Shia vs. Sunni,
Vegetarianism vs. Carnivorism,
Harmony vs. Imperialism

William Harris in The Scientific Basis of Vegetarianism documents the
fact that carnivorous cultures are far more prone to violent
crimes than vegetarian cultures.

Shias are 55% of population in Iraq, but are not in political power. They are mostly
vegetarians, by the way, as opposed to the Sunnis. They are oppressed by Saddam's forces.

Shias are 65% of the population of Iraq and fed captured U.S. intelligence vegetarian food.
Shias there were originally politically coalesced by Khomeini, and are much more organized.

Behind the scenes of the U.S.- Iraq controversies is the Shia-Sunni controversy, Shias
claiming that the vegetarian Ali was the rightful heir to Muhammad's authority. The chosen diet
of Muhammad, by the way, it is admitted even by Sunnis, was vegetarian. He reportedly only
ate meat occasionally if it was offered to him, and warned people that flesh is hard on the
system.

The Quran praises the Sabians, who are worshippers of Saba aka Seba aka Siva or Shiva,
who teaches vegetarianism. The sacred stone, as many have noted, is a Shiva lingam. Verse
38 of Surah 6 of the Quran reads:

"There is not an animal in the earth, nor a flying creature flying on two wings, but they are
peoples like unto you. We have neglected nothing in the Book (of Our decrees). Then unto their
Lord they will be gathered. Quran, Surah VI: 38

In other words, in spite of all the assertions that it is okay to slaughter animals, there is still a
remnant of the original Quran which asserts the family of creation. Do you oppress, enslave,
and murder your own family? (This is supposed to be a rhetorical question.)

Orthodox Islam, like orthodox Christianity and Judaism, were all originally vegetarian. It's
more easily proven than one thinks, as numerous web sites including this one show.
Carnivorism doesn't heal--it kills, and those who promote carnivorism, whether you eat meat
yourself or feed it to your offspring, promote disease and brutality. Major religious movements
do not occur unless there is healing and uplifting, or inspiration. Carnivorism offers bad health
and bad moods. Hindu and Buddhist writers have been noting this for millennia.

William Harris in his The Scientific Basis of Vegetarianism documents the fact that the ratio
of violent crimes and imperialism is highest among carnivorous cultures. Hindus and Buddhists
are not imperialists--they do not invade other nations and take over their natural and human
resources, as do the conquering cultures of orthodox Judaism, Christianity, and Islam. But
whether practicing ahimsa as the Hindus did against the British, or fighting back victoriously, as
did the Korean and Vietnamese Buddhists, these vegetarian cultures are formidable enemies.

Total peace on earth will never be brought about by carnivores. Since they eat flesh, and
therefore contribute to the slaughter of flesh and blood, it is literally a part of their biological

make-up to be imperialists. That's why Judaism, here for over 4 thousand years, Christianity, here for 2 thousand years, and Islam, here for over a thousand years, have not accomplished peace, and have not been representatives of peace. Their respective histories are blatant proof of their falsehood.

From the Point of View of Ancient Demonologies The Orthodoxies of Judaism, Christianity and Islam are Demon Cults

Those who literally eat the corpses of murdered animals are, if one reads material on demonology, linking themselves with the demonic. Animal sacrifices in Judaism were inspired--as the Ethiopic Book of Enoch states--inspired by the fallen angel Azazel. Animal sacrifices, all bloodshed, all exploitation of earth, are forbidden in that book, which is quoted as authoritative in the Old and New Testament and the Quran, all of which originally taught vegetarianism. "Jubilees" presents the demon Mastema as initiating the Passover slaughters.

How the orthodoxies of Judaism, Christianity and Islam breed elitism and resentment among people and atrocities towards other creatures. Sources of Disharmony on Earth: Racism, Speciesism, Sexism, Gender Bias, Slavery, Industrialism. The Torah, New Testament and Quran teach the validity of most of the above vices. While racism is not generally preached explicitly, it is preached indirectly in the teachings against the ways of pagans and infidels. Therefore we have the "no tolerance" war on drugs, which is a war against the Shamanic Religion, which pervades most indigenous cultures adhere.

RACISM

Racism: the condition in which the people of one race believe they are superior to people of other races, and that they may subdue and have dominion over them. The most prevalent form of racism has been that of whites claiming to be superior to the darker races. This claim is usually based on the notion that whites have been responsible for progress in the world through their many industrial inventions. Ironically, this is the very mark of being demonic in the Ethiopic Book of Enoch. It is the fallen angels who teach humans the "sorceries of fallen angels," such as mining, which is essentially to many industries, the making of weapons, animal sacrifices, writing, and the use of cosmetics.

In the modern world, racism has been a problem mainly in the white dominated Euro-American Judaeo-Christian cultures. The Christian U.S. and Jewish Israel were the two main supporters of apartheid in South Africa. They did not leave South Africa because they had a change of heart, but only because their evils were exposed. Richard Cheney, the present vice president of the U.S. did not want to boycott South Africa because of its policies of apartheid slavery, and moreover did not want the freedom fighter Nelson Mandela to be released from prison! His election as Vice President is a perfect example of how racism is entrenched among the rich elite of the United States.

SEXISM

The Torah, the New Testament, and the Quran, as they now exist,
All promote the notion that the will of women is to be inferior to the will of men.

Sexism: the condition in which one sex, in our history those of the male sex, believes they are superior to the other sex, and that the female is to be submissive to the will of the male. In other words, the will of the female is to be subdued and had dominion over by the male will. Women are still not receiving equal pay in the work place. The battering of woman has its foundations in the scriptures of the Jews, Christians and Muslims, which subordinate the will of woman to the will of man, and which advocate disciplining the subordinate. Paul's scripture in Romans "Wives obey your husbands" is a perfect example of such sexism. Paul's scriptures are considered an essential part of orthodox Christianity, even though they blatantly contradict the egalitarian teachings of Jesus, who regarded women as equals having a high status in his spiritual community.

Gender bias in general is a form of sexism. The orthodoxies of Judaism, Christianity and Islam all have scriptures condemning homosexuals and relegating woman to a status inferior to man.

SPECIESISM

Speciesism: the word was coined by Peter Singer in his Animal Liberation, a book which is credited with founding, or at least strongly catalyzing the animal rights movement in the twentieth century. The word refers to the condition in which members of the human species asserts that it is superior to others, and that humans have the right to subdue other creatures and have dominion over them; that is, they have the right to invade nature and displace, abuse and kill other creatures.

The Movement from Universal to Selective Compassion:

"The love of a man is for his neighbor, but the love of the Lord is for all living beings."
Sirach: 13: 18. Buddha's simple but profound statement, "May all creatures be happy,"
Corresponds with the teachings of the Jains,
and with the teachings of Shiva and Krishna as presented by Mainstream Hindus:
Universal Compassion was once the root
of the major religions of Judaism, orthodox Christianity, and orthodox Islam.

Scriptures which support speciesism are Genesis 1: 26-28, and Genesis 9: 2-3. They are obviously false scriptures. Genesis 1: 26-28 was strategically inserted before Genesis 1: 29-30, the original and only logical dietary covenant given by God. Even orthodox Jewish rabbis admit that Vegetarianism was the original diet commanded by God. The revised dietary covenant exists in Genesis 9: 2-3, a passage in which the morbid language itself to the objective proves that the notion of an all-compassionate deity has been abandoned by the false scribes in order to present a deity who supports what the original Jews regarded as demonic, namely the sacrificing of animals.

These scriptures are obviously insertions, for they contradict the original vegetarian covenant, as well as the teachings of the actual deities the original Jews worshipped, such as Shiva, whose name is seen in the Jewish holidays Shavu'ot, and T'ub Shevat. Shiva was known as Saba by ancient Jews and Ethiopians, and this is the root of Sabaoth. The pillars erected to God in the Torah were called masseva which contains the Siva root as well. The deity El Kana or El Chanan (the spellings are various) and the feast of Channuka, as well as the land of Canaan, all have their root in Kannan, the Tamil Hindu name of Krishna.

Though the respective histories of Shiva aka Rudra, and Krishna aka Vishnu, are complex, their being deviant sects in their ancient history which sacrificed animals, both Shiva and Krishna are noted for teaching compassion towards all creatures and therefore for teaching vegetarianism. Only the deviant Hindu sects taught that animal sacrifices were sometimes valid. This point is unnecessary to make, however, since we know from Genesis 1: 29-30 that the original Jews were vegetarian. Even orthodox Jewish rabbis admit this. This being established as true even by orthodox Jews, then it is logically seen that the earliest Jews were followers of the mainstream vegetarian teachings rather than the deviant teachings sanctioning animal sacrifices. To those such as Muhammad, who understood the unhealthy effects of meat on the human body, and to those who heed the results of medical researchers of every major faith in the world who collectively assert that ingesting cholesterol, or eating meat, leads to heart disease, cancer, diabetes, and a weakening of the immune system which in turn spawns a legion of other diseases, there is no questioning the fact that the teachings sanctioning animal sacrifices in the Old and New Testament and Quran are false teaching inserted by those who wished to provide a divine sanction for their evil acts that gained them profits. Muhammad well understood that some "invented scriptures for gain."

According to orthodox Judaism and its offspring, orthodox Christianity and orthodox Islam, Genesis 1: 26-28, and Genesis 9: 2-3 give humans the right to oppress and kill other creatures. Since these scriptures contradict the vegetarian covenant and the eulogy to creation that begins Genesis, it is more than obvious that these scriptures were inventions of those who wished to fabricate a divine sanction for their profit making schemes.

AUTHORITARIANISM

Authoritarianism: the idea that all other opinions, actions, policies are to be subordinated to the will of the authorities, whether of the state or of the church. A close study of history reveals the indisputable fact that the church, or religious authorities exist only by the grace of the authorities of the state, who allow them to exist as long as the religious authorities teach fascist teachings such as "slaves obey your masters" and "respect all authority as divinely established, as did Paul.

Imperialism, the notion that one's nation has the right to conquer other nations and to profit from their resources, is a form of authoritarianism on an international scale. It has been shown conclusively in *The Scientific Basis of Vegetarianism* by William Harris that carnivorous cultures such as those of Judaism, Christianity, and Islam, are imperialistic when they have the power to be. In contrast, the vegetarian Hindu, Buddhist, and Shia cultures are not imperialistic. In other words, Hindu, Buddhist, and Shia Muslim cultures are not noted for invading other cultures,

taking over their resources, and employing the people of the conquered cultures as wage slaves.

Authoritarianism is the tool of all governments or so-called civilized nations, demanding that their subjects follow the laws of the state and/or church.

The subordination of the individual conscience to the state or church is the direct antithesis of Jesus' distinction between one's individual conscience, and Mammon, the authority of those who control wealth, that is, the powers of industry, state, and established religions. Jesus' statement "you cannot serve both both and Mammon" is an affirmation that the voice of God within each of us is superior to the laws of the state.

Conscription, the Draft, is a Perfect Example

of how Individuals are Slaves of the State If your conscience is subordinate to the will of the state,
you are nothing but a slave.

Conscription, or the draft, is a perfect example of how governments own people as slaves. Those who are drafted have no choice to refuse to be drafted. They are either drafted or sent to jail for refusing to be drafted. A few who claim to be conscientious objectors for religious reasons are exempt, but those who refuse to serve because they complain that their nation is involved in unjust war(s), are not exempt. They must either allow themselves to be drafted or they are sent to prison. It is a clear example of slavery, which is a condition in which one's own conscience is subordinate to the will of another.

The orthodoxies of Judaism, Christianity, and Islam all have laws stating that the conscience and will of the individual is inferior to the laws of the state. They therefore contradict the basic notion that one is to be loyal to God above all other loyalties.

SLAVERY

Teachings of Egalitarianism in the New Testament:

Love your neighbor as your self.

Do unto others as you would have them do unto you.

All who are familiar with the scriptures of the Torah, the New Testament and the Quran know that all three of these bodies of scriptures declare that Deity desired some humans to enslave others. In actuality the founders of each of these religions asserted as Jesus did: "I have come to free the captive," and that one should "love your neighbor as your self," that is, not as an inferior, but an equal. Jesus' and James' command to love your neighbor as yourself, to do unto others as you would have them do unto you totally contradicts the notion that slaves should obey their masters as taught by St. Paul.

As this and other web sites have so amply demonstrated, it is quite obvious that the original scriptures were changed. In order for religion to be successful it must heal--carnivorism degenerates; it must inspire--to be of a lower class is to be denigrated and insulted; it must enlighten--the orthodoxies of Judaism, Christianity and Islam do not enlighten but condition their followers.

EGOTISM

Egotism: the condition in which an individual asserts his or her will as superior to that of other humans, and that one's own rights and privileges ought to be greater than the rights and privileges of others. Collective egotism is manifested in the fact that throughout western civilization the Elite Rich Classes control the classes under them.

"Love your neighbor as your self" is an egalitarian teaching with economic ramifications. The Essenes owned nothing of themselves. All was owned communally. The earliest Christians in "Acts" were ordered to give all they had to the Christian community. Epiphanius in the Panarion states that the earliest Christians were called Essenes and Nazarenes. Both groups were vegetarian and egalitarian. The earliest Jews, before they clamored for a king, and the earliest Muslims apparently had the same or similar ideas of equality. A concern for the needy was regarded as essential.

All religious founders had a keen sense of how authoritarianism, and the class systems which emanate from it, breeds resentment between the rich and poor, the haves and have nots.

When one is raised in a carnivorous culture, one is conditioned to become an imperialist, believing that one's human will is superior to the will of the animal one pays to be killed so that it can be eaten. It has been wisely conjectured by some that the event of the Jews clamoring for a king was concomitant with the Jews becoming carnivores.

INDUSTRIALISM:

The Illusion of Industrial Progress Even the right to drinking water is being taken away by Industrialists.

A mere 75 years ago, most of the ground water in streams and rivers away from industrial centers could still be drunk. They were not yet contaminated with the toxins of industry. Now only some well and spring water sources survive as pure. Only the demented mind would say that this is a sign of progress. Industrialists, keenly aware that having water is a necessity for every human, are now trying to control the pure water sources of the world, so that drinking water will no longer be a natural resource (given by the Creator or Creative Forces of the Universe) deserved by all creatures, but now it will be a commodity, sold by industrialists, even though it is a necessity for all humans.

Only the demented do not understand that it is demonic for some humans to deny others the basic rights to have the necessities to survive.

But we've already been conditioned to believe The Great Illusion: that Authorities will assure our well-being. For example, human beings under virtually all the governments of the world, do not have the right to go to live in the wilderness except in National Park areas. And most National Park areas in the tropics are under the strict control of the state.

For example, I was living in Hawaii from 1975 to 1980. During that time I lived for 2 1/2 months in a tent in Kauai, in the valley of Hanakapiai, which at that time was some 15 miles or so distant from my tent. For that period of time I relied on outside sources, that is, stores back on the road, for my supplies, as I ate some of the indigenous plants, green plants on the ground, and whatever fruit I found. At the same time I was learning what plants were edible.

Meanwhile a group of people had already, some years before, formed a commune of sorts at Taylor Camp, near the end of the road on the eastern side of Kauai. Some had planted gardens from which they ate. Some gathered seaweed. Some went and culled the fruit that grows fairly abundantly in most tropical areas, which included mangoes, papayas, mountain apples (rose apples), pomelos, passion fruit, and guavas. There were also many edible green plants such as watercress, gotu kola, spider-grass, wild lettuce and dandelion. There was also taro plants which must be cooked for a long time before eating.

Those who know what greed can do
Will not at all think it odd
That businessmen revised the scriptures
And said they came from God.
Islam was Originally Vegetarian.
The Shia Contention is Valid.
One can see the Residues of the original Vegetarian Quran.

Making mischief and shedding blood is condemned in the Quran. This fits in with Surah 6 Verse 38 affirming the Family of Creation. Shiva was the original Lord of Seven Worlds to the Muslims,
Just as Shiva is Lord of the Seven Worlds to the Hindus. Reincarnation can be easily seen to be an original Islamic belief. The references to fallen angels and the Ethiopic Book of Enoch both support the fact that the original Muslims were vegetarian.

The Quran Asserts the Family of Creation
No Creature is excluded from the All-Compassionate Love of Allah

"There is not an animal in the earth, nor a flying creature flying on two wings, but they are peoples like unto you. We have neglected nothing in the Book (of Our decrees). Then unto their Lord they will be gathered. Quran, Surah VI: 38

When Allah says that "We have neglected nothing in the Book" after mentioning the animals, the astute reader sees that here the voice of Allah is not exclusive. Allah the all-compassionate expresses love towards all creatures, and none are left out: "we have neglected nothing."

MAKING MISCHIEF AND SHEDDING BLOOD Surah 6 Verse 38 should be juxtaposed next to the verses in "The Cow"

which forbid the shedding of blood. Muhammad, like Moses, Buddha and Jesus before him, well understood that his frequent use of the attribute,
the "all-compassionate one"
would not prevent greedy men from revising the Quran for a financial gain. The Quran was revised by those
whom we now regard as being in the Sunni tradition
to support making a profit or financial gain.

Not only was did the original Quran affirm vegetarianism, but it did so using the supportive scriptures of the Book of Enoch, a book which is indisputably vegetarian in its teachings. The

Book of Enoch condemns any alteration of nature whatsoever, such as mining, which alters the earth that is mined, or cosmetics, which alters the appearance of humans. The Book of Enoch is against animal sacrifices, and against any form of shedding blood. Its teachings are opposed to animal sacrifices, seeing them occur on earth as a result of the teachings of the fallen angel/demon Azazel.

In the second Surah, "The Cow," we read:

"And when thy Lord said to the angels, I am going to place a ruler (khalifah) in the earth, they said: Wilt Thou place in it such as make mischief in it and shed blood? [underlining mine.] And we celebrate Thy praise and extol Thy holiness. He said: Surely I know what you know not." (The Cow 2:30)

In other words, the original Quran was in line with the morality of the Book of Enoch, which forbid all sacrifices and bloodshed. From its context the term "Making mischief" in the Quran is an idiom which includes the shedding of blood.

Further down in the text we find mention of Satan's defiance against the will of God as he refuses to submit himself to the authority of the new ruler of the earth.

"And when We said to the angels, Be submissive to Adam, they submitted, but Iblis did not. He refused and was proud, and he was one of the disbelievers." ("The Cow" 2:34)

The Ethiopic Book of Enoch is of course the classic reference and source of information about the fallen angels. Most orthodox Jews, Christians, and Muslims have never heard of it, for very obvious reasons: the book, in keeping with the notion of being all-compassionate, forbids the shedding of any blood that of other animals or of the human animal; it also forbids the exploitation of nature in any form whatsoever. Orthodox Jews, Christians and Muslims all support industrialism, which oppresses, enslaves, and kills other creatures on a routine basis.

The Influence of the Book of Enoch on the Quran

The Quran's numerous references to the Book of Enoch, which condemns animal sacrifices as diabolical, and which condemns all shedding of blood, and which condemns all industry, can be seen as proof that, as stated by Shias, the original Quran taught vegetarianism and respect for the earth's creatures and its environment, not the notion that humans were to subdue or have dominion over the environment or its creatures. Other chapters of this study deal with the influence of the Book of Enoch more fully.

The present Quran numerous times points to the punishment by fire of those dedicated to evil, which is seen in the Book of Enoch, and also to the final times as a time when the mountains will crumble and fall, which is seen in the first chapter of the Book of Enoch.

Shiva, the Lord of Seven Worlds, or Seven Heavens is referred to in Surah 2 just as He was in Surah 1.

The reader of the Quran is well aware that the first Surah is called "The Opening," in Arabic, Saba'an min al-Mathani, in English "seven of the oft-repeated" (verses is understood). Saba, meaning seven in ancient Hebrew and the root of Saba' an in Arabic, was in fact a well

recognized name of Shiva throughout the times of ancient Judaism, early Christianity, and early Islam. It is well known that Muhammad associated with Sabeian wilderness communities that were vegetarian. 2: 29 He it is Who created for you all that is in the earth. Then turned He to the heaven, and fashioned it as seven heavens.

These facts are to be taken in context of the Quran's praise of the Sabeans, a fact which the Sunni tradition marginalizes or does not explain. In fact, thus far all the Sunni books I have studied do not at all recognize the fact that the Sabeans are Shaivites worshipping Shiva, the Lord of Creatures, or Pasupati, and Protector of Cattle. Shiva teaches vegetarianism. And Shiva is known as Lord of the Seven Worlds in Hinduism as well. So too we see the criticism of those who would make mischief and shed blood.

The Exigetes' Confusion is Proof Enough of the Alteration of Scripture

Just as thousands of scholars have pointed out the contradictions of the Torah and the New Testament, so too have many people pointed out the contradictions of the Quran. Those who state that all scriptures over time are bound to be confusing have only to look at the Ethiopic Book of Enoch in order to see a work that is consistently against any view which regards creation as something to be exploited.

REINCARNATION:

The Cow, Surah 2: 28

In keeping with the Sabeian beliefs of Muhammad that no doubt originally permeated the entire Quran, we have the doctrine of reincarnation. Though this verse is liable to several interpretations that exclude reincarnation, the verses, in context of the Quran's praise of the Sabeans, very definitely lend themselves to being interpreted as an affirmation of reincarnation in the Hindu sense of the term, and therefore shows that Muhammad, himself a vegetarian, taught vegetarianism.

"How disbelieve ye in Allah when ye were dead and He gave life to you! Then he will give you death, then life again, and then unto Him ye will return."

William Harris in his *The Scientific Basis of Vegetarianism* affirms

That Violent Diets Lead to Violent Crimes,

That Carnivorous Nations or Cultures

are Far More Violent than Vegetarian Nations.

Based on the Wars of History waged by the nations governed by the orthodoxies of Judaism, Christianity and Islam,

there is absolutely no doubt that Carnivorous Cultures

Wage wars far far more often than do vegetarian cultures.

The results are there for every objective observer to see.

If the leaders of nations would look at the facts objectively,

They would close down the slaughterhouses and the animal foods industries.

William Harris in his *The Scientific Basis of Vegetarianism*, a statistical study of violent crimes in the world points conclusively to the correlation between carnivorism and

violence. It is indisputable according to his statistics that the carnivorous countries of the world commit the highest proportion of violent crimes. A diet of violence leads to violent behavior. We are what we eat. Michio Kushi's Diet and Crime, a similar study based on feeding prisoners macrobiotic food, for the most part vegetarian, makes a similar conclusion, although Kushi ironically finds the use of fish, though filled with perhaps even more industrial toxins than other forms of flesh, as acceptable.

None the less, though Kushi's study is microcosmic, based on prison wards, and Harris' study is macrocosmic, based on crime statistics from nations all over the world, both Harris and Kushi come to the same conclusion, that the vegetarian diet is pacifying and does not stimulate violent behavior. Buddhist and Hindu teachers have for millennia been reporting the same data from their observations. And most honest carnivorous observers come to the same conclusions, just as honest medical professionals are admitting that animal flesh leads to heart attacks, diabetes, cancer, and a diminishing of the power of the immune system, which in turn leads to a legion of other diseases.

A retired MD friend named Walter Kujawski shared his observations with me before his passing on; his study of the histories of carnivorous and vegetarian nations came to the same conclusion, a conclusion with which all honest students of history agree. The facts are there: Hindu, Buddhist, Shia and indigenous Shamanic cultures open their countries to people of all faiths, even to people who malign the residing religions, and they are not prone to imperialism, to the conquest of other nations in order to gain wage slave labor or the resources of those nations. There is no questioning the fact that these faiths are more tolerant than the violence-prone cultures headed by the orthodoxies of Judaism, Christianity, and Sunni Islam.

Yet our government, media, and schools, like those of most industrial nations, continues to promote a diet involving brutality towards animals, and promoting more disease and early deaths among humans. The leaders of industrial nations are now unfortunately not like the people in Nineveh in the Old Testament, a people willing to change in order to escape the wrath of God. The governments of the world, especially those of the industrial nations, are nothing more than the modern Masters by force of those who are Slaves, the governed. Everyone knows only those who have the support of millionaires and billionaires can run for president in the U. S. and I have no doubt that it is the same in most other industrial nations. And though the U.S. for example, has existed for almost three centuries, all the while preaching the notions of equality and liberty, we still have a class society of Rich and Poor, the Wealthy and the Needy, the Privileged and the Unprivileged, billionaires in mansions having more money than they or their offspring would logically spend in a number of lifetimes, at the very same time that we have homeless people and people with no medical care, thereby proving beyond any doubt that there never was a sincere effort on the part of those governing the United States to eliminate poverty and to create a society in which all are equals. The Rich are those who by definition do not love their neighbors as themselves.

The Orthodoxies of Judaism, Christianity and Islam

Are not only Unwilling but are Unable to Promote Peace.

Carnivorism is a manifestation of the Imperialist Impulse towards Animals.

Elitism, Societies of Rich and Poor, are a manifestation
of the Imperialist Impulse towards humans.

It should be obvious that peace will be achieved only when elitism, when societies of rich and poor are eliminated, and all people are equally free. In turn, the lessons of history show us that orthodox Judaism, which promotes elitism, and has existed for over 4 thousand years, that orthodox Christianity, which promotes elitism and has existed for 2 thousand years, and orthodox Islam, which promotes elitism and has existed for over 1300 years, have been not only unable to promote peace among humans, but have actively promoted slavery, whether the abject slavery of the past or the wage slavery of the present, in order to support the desires of the Rich, the Upper Classes, the Industrialists.

And what example have the orthodox Jews given the world lately? They with the fundamentalist Christian government of the United States were one of the two chief supporters of the racist apartheid government of South Africa, they invaded and killed the people of Palestine, they do not admit black Rabbis except under special conditions (once again manifesting their racism), they have constantly sold munitions to fascist governments suppressing egalitarian movements, and their rabbis are even today speaking about rebuilding a temple of animal sacrifices. The orthodox Christians of the United States, who bully less powerful nations throughout the earth, and who have literally killed millions of people in other lands such as Korea, Vietnam, El Salvador, Guatemala, Panama, Iraq, Bosnia, and Afghanistan, and the orthodox Jews of Israel, must be considered two of the most exploitive societies on the face of the earth, rivaled only by Islamic extremists. Their industrialism and wealth is in proportion to their violence, and in fact, these have always gone together in history.

I do not dismiss the crimes of Saddam Hussein who has persecuted Kurds and Shiites. As one Arabic woman so tersely stated: the choice between George Bush and Saddam Hussein is a choice between two demons. The fact that George Bush chose as his Vice President Richard Cheney, who supported the apartheid regime of South Africa, and who did not want to boycott it, and who also objected to the release of Nelson Mandela, once one of the notable freedom fighters of the world, from prison, should have been adequate reason enough for the voting population of the United States to object to him. But instead, the Republicans of the U.S., who are noteworthy for being even more immoral than democrats, rallied behind the supporter of slavery, and elected (by hook and crook) him and George Bush, whose father's tyranny is now legendary to the masses of the world for having killed 300,000 Iraqis, half of them civilian, in the bombing of Baghdad.

The Myth of Free Elections

The choice between Industrialist Tyrants is a Choice between Demons.
Because the Russians didn't let Communist Principles
influence their Attitude towards other creatures, their experiment failed.

It isn't lost on many people at all anymore that free elections are a myth, that only those who have the support of billionaires can run for federal office, that the rich elite are far more likely to stick together in order to control the masses, that is, the rest of us, than the masses are likely to stick together in order to remove the upper classes from society, which is certainly a prerequisite for any form of peace existing in the world. It wouldn't be enough however, even if the miracle occurred: the miracle being the peaceful coexistence of all carnivorous nations. For until the

imperialist impulse which drives humans to oppress, displace, enslave or kill other creatures is eliminated, the inner violence will still be there.

A vegetarian world, even more specifically, a vegan world, is necessary for the demons to be exorcised thoroughly. As has been said in the Introductory Outline to this section:

The results are there for every objective observer to see.

If the leaders of nations would look at the facts objectively,

They would close down the slaughterhouses and the animal foods industries.

A return to an egalitarian and vegetarian world would entail the elimination of all social elitism and all industries. We would return to being equal beings in creation, with no industrial crutches. Pure food, with no industrial toxins, pure water, with no industrial toxins, pure air, with no industrial toxins, pure equality among people, without industrial elites, pure compassion for all creatures, with no industrialists to subdue them or have dominion over them. When these exist, we will have returned to the purity of the Ethiopic Book of Enoch. When Jesus said "Be ye perfect even as your Parent in heaven is" (the Jesus of the Gospel of the Nazirenes was not sexist), he meant it, and that is why he not only retreated to, but lived in, the wilderness. So too had Shiva the shatterer of cities) and his associates; so too had Moses and the Israelites lived in the Sinai wilderness, intent on arriving in Canaan, where other vegetarian tribes, devoted to Kannan (who is Vishnu or Krishna) lived similarly. So too many of the renunciates of history, whether Jain Buddhist, Brahmin, Buddhist, Sakti, Vaishna, or Shaivites, Rechabites, and Nabateans.

We are at an impasse in our history. The industrialists' power must be eliminated. Their offenses against the Earth and its waters, air, and ground, and against the living flesh and blood creatures whom they oppress, displace, enslave, and kill have reached an intolerable level. Those who separate the Mind and Intention of the Creator from Creation are fools.

The Industrial Nations of the World
and all who support them,
Are Inviting Divine Retribution.

The global warming caused by industrialists causes a dramatic increase in the floods and storms throughout the earth. Industrial toxins have tainted the very air we breathe and poisoned the waters that we could once drink from without fear. And much of the ground of the earth has been dirtied with industries' filthy runoffs. The industrial revolution has been a dramatic failure. It has not increased the human life span by so much as five good years. Far from making the world free of disease, industrial pollution has created more and new diseases. Most people like to think the immense longevity of people living in Old Testament times is a myth, even though there is adequate evidence, admitted by professors of Harvard and Princeton that vegetarian cultures in the Himalayas, Siberia, and Latin America live longer. Because even vegetarians today have to live in a world contaminated by industry, we cannot logically expect the longevity of people today to match those of people in ancient times when industry, where it existed, was not so enormous a cancer on the body of the earth as it is now.

The Mind of Creation

Animal Sacrifices, Sodom and Gomorrah, and our Industrial World

Just as Isaias likened those who sacrificed animals to the people of Sodom and Gomorrah, and just as it was understood by Origen as well that animal sacrifices invited divine retribution, so too are the bloodbaths of slaughtered creatures that occur every day in the industrial nations especially an invitation to Creation to eliminate the industrial scum that contaminates it.

Inventing Scriptures for a Gain

Changing the Scriptures of the Quran

and Creating the Sunni Tradition is Parallel to

Changing the Original Vegetarian Scriptures of the Torah

and Creating the Orthodox Jewish Tradition which exists today,

And to Destroying the original vegetarian and egalitarian Ebionite Scriptures

and putting together the New Testament

which departs from Jesus' teachings and conforms with Roman norms.

The Historical Validity of the current Quran is questionable according to Some Shias.

Many who had memorized the Quran were killed

in order to obliterate the content of the Original Vegetarian Quran.

Muhammad was born at Mecca. Meccans claimed they had descended from Abraham through Ishmael. The tradition of the Meccans said their temple, the Ka'bah, had been built by Abraham for the worship of the One God. it was called the House of Allah. (In Sanskrit Kha is one of the names of Brahma, whom many have noted is the Hindu model for Abraham.) In the temple were icons that some called "daughters of Allah and intercessors," but others called them idols. Some felt disgust toward the icons, seeing them as idolatrous. Some felt a longing for what they regarded as the true tradition of Abraham. These few were known as the Hunafa, Hunafa originally meant "those who turn away." Muhammad was well known to be honest in his dealings with others. He was married 26 years to Khadijah, an older woman for whom he had conducted business.

In the fortieth year of Muhammad's life Gabriel spoke to Muhammad and said "Read" twice and twice Muhammad replied "I cannot read." The third time the angel said to read, Muhammad replied

"What can I read?" And Gabriel replied "Read: In the name of thy Lord who createth, Createth man from a clot." "Read: And it is thy Lord the Most Bountiful "Who teacheth by the pen, Teacheth man that which he knew not."

Therefore the Sacred Book of Muslims is called Al-Quran, "The Reading," the "Reading of the man who knew not how to read."

For the first three years of his mission, Muhammad preached only to his family members and to his friends. His first convert was Khadijah, his wife, and his second was Ali, his first cousin. At the end of his third year he received the command to "arise and warn," so then he began to preach in public.

Testing the Spirit, Moral Discernment False Faiths are Destroyed by Testing the Spirit.

Early biographers tell how Khadijah "tried the spirit." This is significant, because it shows that Muhammad and his revelations were "tested" even by his own wife, and found to be true. Were Sunnis to "try the spirit" or even to study the Quran in an objective manner, taking Surah 6 Verse 38, seriously as well as the Quran's praise of the vegetarian Sabeans, and naming the true prophet Hud [a term related with Hindustan in ancient Hebrew (Abraham came from the kingdom of Oude in India)] they would, if they were logical, surely convert to the Shia way. Similarly, so would all sincere objective Jews and Christians (who are not totally addicted to the false teachings of their orthodoxies) change their views if they truly studied objectively the documents relating to their origins.

Retreating from Civilization and Fasting are Attributes of Vegetarian Teachers
Ramadan contains the name of Rama.
Shiva was a renunciate.
Moses, Jesus, Muhammad all fasted forty days.

Muhammad retreated for a month of every year to a cave in the desert for meditation. His place of refuge was called Hira, a desert hill not far from Mecca. And his chosen month was Ramadan, the month of heat, named after?...Rama, of course. And the desert hill, Hira, may well be the Arabic version of Hara, meaning mountain or hill in Hebrew. Hara is the name of Shiva as the Destroyer. Both Rama and Shiva are regarded as vegetarians by mainstream Hindus. Rama is considered by some to be an incarnation of Vishnu or Krishna. And Shiva was the renunciate who lived in the wilderness. Muhammad's habits were not that of a carnivore. Retreating and fasting are more typical of teachers in vegetarian cultures, such as the Hindu, Buddhist, Pythagorean, and the early Maya cultures following Quetzalcoatl who forbid all bloodshed. It was known that Muhammad visited and lived in Sabeen vegetarian wilderness communities. The fact that Muhammad's preferred diet was admittedly vegetarian, that he warned his followers in hadiths, statements on Islam outside of the Quran, about the health danger of eating flesh, which he said was hard on the body, that the person excluded by force from carrying on the lineage of Muhammad was Ali, his vegetarian cousin, makes the facts rather obvious. However, orthodox Sunnis, like orthodox Jews and orthodox Christians, do not represent purity of religion which logically follows an all-compassionate Deity, but a businessmen's cult, which created a Quran as well as numerous hadiths which support the orthodox Sunni party line.

Even today, the fasting during the day by Muslims is a drastic compromise of the notion itself of fasting. Whereas Moses, Jesus, and Muhammad fasted both day and night from solid food, Muslims fast only during the day and eat at night. The compromise reminds us of John the 23rd's pronouncement that Roman Catholics could now eat any kind of flesh on Fridays. Though the world in general welcomed John the 23rd's social policies, which made the Roman Catholic church somewhat more approachable to those who detested its elitism, its authoritarianism, John's policy towards eating all animals was not in the best interests of either

the animals of creation nor did it promote the health of the Roman Catholics over whom he governed. As stated elsewhere, carnivorousness is a lose/lose situation: the animals lose their lives; humans lose their health by eating the flesh of those animals.

Whereas it is recorded by Epiphanius in his Panarion that the earliest followers of Jesus, the Ebionites, ate no flesh at all and condemned the practice as well as the tradition of animal sacrifices, many of the earliest Roman Catholics, even those who were carnivorous, still fasted from flesh several times a week. They no doubt logically put together the notion that Jesus' mission as stated in "Epistle to the Hebrews" was to abolish the animal sacrifices with Jesus' cleansing of the temple of the animals who were to be sacrificed, and by fasting from flesh two or three times a week, gave Jesus' animal liberation actions a token respect. But we may logically conclude that the earliest Jews following the Vegetarian Covenant; Jesus and his earliest followers who condemned the animal sacrifices; and Muhammad and his earliest followers, were not into such compromises. But, as in the histories of Judaism and Christianity businessmen took over Islam pretty much immediately after Muhammad's death, squelching the claim that the vegetarian Ali was the logical heir to Muhammad's authority, and changing the scriptures of the Quran to sanction animal sacrifices, slavery and bigotry towards both women and homosexuals.

Inventing Scriptures for a Gain

According to the Sunni version of history, all surahs in the Quran had been written down, and there were many Muslims who had committed the entire Quran to memory. During the time of the Caliphate of Abu Bakr, who is considered by the Shias to be one of the heretics breaking away from the original teachings of Muhammad contained in the Quran, within two years of Muhammad's death, a large number of people who had memorized the Quran were killed. They knew too much about the deceptions of those who were inventing scriptures for a gain.

So, a collection of the whole Quran was made in the Caliphate of Othman, when all existing copies of surahs were called in, and an authoritative version, based on Abu Bakr's collection and the testimony of those who had memorized the Quran (those who had not been killed). Abu Bakr, however, we must note to the reader unaware of early Islamic history, is considered by Shias to be one of the heretics or apostates who departed from the true teachings that were supposed to be carried on by the lineage of Ali, Muhammad's vegetarian cousin, whom Shias state was supposed to be the authority on Islam after Muhammad had passed.

Bias in Pickthall's Refusal to Mention Non-orthodox History

The Sunni Refusal to explore fully the Shia (aka Shiite) version of Islamic History is parallel to the refusal of the Jewish and Christian orthodoxies to examine the vegetarian and egalitarian origins of their religions.

Interestingly, while reading a Sunni version of what occurred, in Mohd. M. Pickthall's Holy Quran, I realized that Pickthall didn't even bother mentioning the Shiite's point of view, which is a quite different version of what had occurred. The Shiites (Shias) claim that surahs of the Quran were revised, and did not express the original purity that Muhammad had channeled into the surahs. The same attitude prevails among the orthodox writers of Judaism and Christianity

as well, namely, that there is only one true version of what occurred, and that minority and non-orthodox versions of what occurred after Muhammad's death are literally not worth mentioning, at least not in this introduction to the Quran. Pickthall is quite content to say that Othman and his helpers had been Comrades of the Prophet and therefore collated the Surahs into a legitimately authoritative Quran.

The same is not true in The Iranian Revolution, which in fact discusses in some detail the different Shiite versions of the same events, never even using the word vegetarianism, however, since that concept is abhorrent to the Sunni orthodoxy, as well as to the orthodoxies of Judaism and Christianity.

The Parallels:

The Scriptures of the Torah, the New Testament, and the Quran
were all changed by Business Interests who wished
to creature a Scriptural Sanction for the Animal Sacrifices and Slavery.
Both were and are Extremely Profitable Institutions.
The Wage Slavery of Today is different only in degree not kind
from the state Abject Slavery described in history.

So, those who have already read in previous chapters how a cattleman cult in Israel wishing to profit from selling the flesh of sacrificed animals, as well as from the institution of wage slavery, changed the original Vegetarian Torah into a book which sanctioned animal sacrifices and slavery; and how Paul and Roman sympathizers changed the scriptures to sanction the animal sacrifices and how finally Constantine, a Roman Emperor banished bishops who disagreed with him, supervised the final writing of the official Christian Scriptures, the New Testament, which also sanctioned animal sacrifices and the institution of slavery, and had copies of the New Testament printed and passed out in the Roman Empire, at the Empire's expense. So too, the ostensible followers of Muhammad's teachings took over and marginalized the Quran's praise of the vegetarian Sabeans, and the fact that the Quran praises Hud as the true prophet, Hud being a name that to the earliest Muslims, and Jews and Christians aware of their history, signified the Hindustani (Hoduwe) origins of Judaism, and therefore the origins also of Christianity and Islam.

On the Necessity of Iconoclasm: The Icons of Babylon (the United States) If we were truly a people of liberty,

Would we honor Christopher Columbus,
who dismembered natives in front of their families,
and who took them for slaves?

The Knights of Columbus is a Roman Catholic organization,
Named after this oppressor of indigenous people,
So we know where the Roman Catholic Church stands regarding this issue.

They like the fundamentalist orthodox Christians
of Europe and the United States,

Support the notion that a conquered people is an inferior people. Would we honor George Washington and Thomas Jefferson,

Who were slaveholders and who supported the subjugation of Indigenous,
Yet we have monuments to these slaveholders,
to these oppressors of native americans and blacks
all over the United States.
So we know where the government of the United States Stands. Would we honor Anthony
Wayne,
Who was called "Mad Anthony"
because of his zeal in subduing and killing natives,
Yet we name universities and counties and streets
After this oppressor of native americans,
So we know where those who direct our educational systems stand. If we were truly a people
devoted to equality,
We would assure ourselves and our children an environment
Free of the false idols who are the enemies of equality,
such as Christopher Columbus, George Washington,
Thomas Jefferson and Anthony Wayne,
who supported the oppression and enslavement of people. If we were truly a people who
believed that we should
Love your neighbor as your self,
that is, treat them as an absolute equal,
sharing all things equally,
then we would see the evil of such scriptures
that say, wives obey your husbands, slaves obey your masters,
scriptures that say homosexuals should be excluded
from our religious centers,
scriptures that say we can oppress and enslave animals. If we were truly a people who chose to
be all compassionate,
in our efforts to be one with the All-compassionate Creator,
we would not exclude any creature from our compassion.
We would abandon all industries
that oppress, displace, enslave, or kill other creatures.
We would not cause pain to any being.
We would be compassionate to all creatures. You who say that these thoughts are the thoughts
of a utopian dreamer--
Look at the hellish world you so called realists have created!,
A world in which the air, the water, and much of the earth itself
have all been polluted by the toxins of industry.
Industry is not evolution to greater states of good;
It is regression to deeper states of degeneration and evil.
Industry does not heal us.
To the contrary, it is necessary to heal ourselves
from the poisons that industries have created.

SHIVA'S TONGUES OF FLAME

are seen in The Ethiopic Book of Enoch,
Shavu ot of the Jews, and the Pentecost of the Christians.

Quartz is associated with Shiva's realm in The Ethiopic Book of Enoch
and in Danyel Brinkley's Saved by the Light. Shiva and the Tongues of Flame at the Pentecost

Tongues of flame are associated with Shiva, are seen as an attribute of Shiva by Hindus, and are seen as the tongues of flame at the first Pentecost celebrated by Jesus' followers. In Hebrew Shavu ot is the term for Pentecost, showing the root connection with Shiva. Several words in ancient Hebrew with the Seb and Shab root, connect with Shiva or attributes of Shiva.

7631 sebiyb, means flame and corresponds to

7632 shabiyb, flame (as split into tongues).

The definitions of Sebiyb and Shabiyb are both also related to the presentation of Shiva the Deity of the Ethiopic Book of Enoch. There is no question of Ethiopia or Kush being a Sabeian nation. James Hastings in his Dictionary of the Bible under Sheba describes the Ethiopians as worshipping Seba, Saba, aka Sheba or Shiva, all of which names are simply transliterations of each other. Let us look at Chapter 14: 8-25:

8 Behold, in the vision clouds invited me and a mist summoned me, and the course of the stars and the lightnings sped and hastened me, and the winds in 9 the vision caused me to fly and lifted me upward, and bore me into heaven. And I went in till I drew nigh to a wall which is built of crystals and surrounded by tongues of fire: and it began to affright 10 me. And I went into the tongues of fire and drew nigh to a large house which was built of crystals: and the walls of the house were like a tessellated floor (made) of crystals, and its groundwork was 11 of crystal. Its ceiling was like the path of the stars and the lightnings, and between them were 12 fiery cherubim, and their heaven was (clear as) water. A flaming fire surrounded the walls, and its 13 portals blazed with fire. And I entered into that house, and it was hot as fire and cold as ice: there 14 were no delights of life therein: fear covered me, and trembling got hold upon me. And as I quaked 15 and trembled, I fell upon my face. And I beheld a vision, And lo! there was a second house, greater 16 than the former, and the entire portal stood open before me, and it was built of flames of fire. And in every respect it so excelled in splendour and magnificence and extent that I cannot describe to 17 you its splendour and its extent. And its floor was of fire, and above it were lightnings and the path 18 of the stars, and its ceiling also was flaming fire. And I looked and saw therein a lofty throne: its appearance was as crystal, and the wheels thereof as the shining sun, and there was the vision of 19 cherubim. And from underneath the throne came streams of flaming fire so that I could not look 20 thereon. And the Great Glory sat thereon, and His raiment shone more brightly than the sun and 21 was whiter than any snow. None of the angels could enter and could behold His face by reason 22 of the magnificence and glory and no flesh could behold Him. The flaming fire was round about Him, and a great fire stood before Him, and none around could draw nigh Him: ten thousand times 23 ten thousand (stood) before Him, yet He needed no counselor. And the most holy ones who were 24 nigh to Him did not leave by night nor depart from Him. And until then I had been prostrate on my face, trembling:

and the Lord called me with His own mouth, and said to me: ' Come hither, 25 Enoch, and hear my word.' And one of the holy ones came to me and waked me, and He made me rise up and approach the door: and I bowed my face downwards.

Shiva and the Pentecost, the Shavu ot.

"Acts of the Apostles" quotes the vegetarian Vegetarian Joel

In the "Book of Acts," or "Acts of the Apostles," the beginning of chapter two describes the Pentecost, the descent of the tongues of fire upon the assembled: "And there appeared to them tongues of fire, distributed and resting on each one of them. And they were all filled with the Holy Spirit and began to speak in other tongues, as the Spirit gave them utterance." Peter, answering the accusation that those speaking in tongues were filled with new wine, says that what was prophesied by the vegetarian prophet Joel has been fulfilled: "And in the last days it shall be, God declares, that I will pour out my Spirit upon all flesh, and your sons and daughters shall prophesy."

The Deity as Presented in Saved by the Light by Danyel Brinkley
Corresponds with the Deity of the Ethiopic Book of Enoch.
Tongues of Fire, Quartz, and being Lord of Seven Worlds.

The Book of Enoch and the Quran describes Allah or God as being Lord of Seven Worlds.

Fascinatingly, Danyel Brinkley's Saved by the Light, a book describing the near death experience of its author, describes Brinkley's near death encounter with the divine dimension as involving quartz as well. Thus Brinkley inadvertently connects with the ancient and original deity of the Jews and Christians who is portrayed in the Ethiopic Book of Enoch. Shiva was and is also known as the Lord of Seven Worlds by Hindus, thereby buttressing even more fully the realization that the Lord of Seven Worlds presented by the Quran, which also praises the Sabaeans, the followers of Shiva or Saba, is also the same Deity, though masked by those whom we now regard as the orthodoxy of Islam, whose predecessors did what the original Quran precisely forbid them to do: they invented scriptures to gain a profit. The original Quran like the original Torah and the original Ebionite Gospel of Jesus all taught vegetarianism and egalitarianism, for these practices of compassion are proofs of compassion for other creatures, and for other humans, that carnivorous people and elitist societies of rich and poor do not have. We feel comfortable only among equals and not among authorities.

To make it more obvious, the person who does not kill the cow is more compassionate than the person who kills the cow. And the person who treats all people equally is more compassionate than the person who treats others as non-equals. Therefore we see that Shiva like Jesus and like the original deities of Judaism such as Kannan, or Krishna, and Shiva, and Uma, treated each other with sacred respect.

A Rap

on Killing, Cholesterol, and Karma How can killing creatures

Be loving at all?
And how logical is it
To eat cholesterol? Ignoring the facts
and not feeling well,
Are part and parcel
Of the flesh eater's hell. You may say you're godly;
But what could be worse,
Than daily eating
An animal's corpse? Cholesterol is karma
All wise people know,
You gotta make loving choices,
for your spirit to grow. The meat industry's making
A ton of money,
And you're in the hospital
And it's not funny: No it really hurts--
It's not funny at all
Your heart disease is caused
By cholesterol. You say you like
the taste of beef;
But it doesn't give you
Or the cow relief: There you are in the hospital
Feeling so sad:
And you ask crazy questions like:
Why do I feel bad? Do you think it's logical?,
that you should feel good instead?
If you pay somebody
To shoot a cow in the head? Is Karma gonna
Make you dance and laugh
If you pay somebody
To cut a living pig in half? Yes that's how you get
your bacon pork and ham.
you like to think you're kind--
but you don't give a damn. Why should you expect
Not to feel like heck
When you pay somebody
To wring a chicken's neck? I know it hurts--
It's not funny at all
Your cancer is caused
By cholesterol. Do you think God's gonna
Give you your wish
If you pay somebody
To suffocate a fish? Oh my God,
You're losing your breath--
You're getting closer

and closer to death. I know it hurts--
It's not funny at all
Your stroke is caused
By cholesterol. Cholesterol is karma
All wise people know,
You gotta make loving choices,
For your spirit to grow. What do you expect
When you've been cruel?
Do you measure other creatures
With your golden rule? I know it hurts--
It's not funny at all
Your diabetes is caused
By cholesterol. The supreme being is All Love
All religions say;
But that's not the way
Their god is portrayed. The real God's all loving
and has a perfect Mind,
But it's more than obvious
what they call god
is blind. Look at their scriptures--
Please please do--
And you'll see how they try to make
A fool of you: They don't like gays
And women are inferior,
And most rabbis priests and ministers
Treat the rich as superior. You know it's true--
Now don't be a fool;
in their religions
the rich people rule. But Equality, Equality!
Is the real Law--
It's not hard
to see that at all. So called religions
all agree and say
that even slavery
Is okay. Where there's master and slave,
rich and poor,
It's not hard to see,
There'll be resentment
Violence and misery. And their scriptures say
if you're good
you'll obey the state;
what a perfect way to teach
Slavery and hate! It's not hard to figure out--
It's not hard at all,

People like
The Nazis at Nuremberg
Are supported
by the teachings of Paul.
They go along with dictators,
crush other peoples' liberty,
and say God desires such atrocities. Paul said we should obey
The emperor and the state
Even if they teach
Bigotry and hate. He said: "Wives obey your husbands,"
And "Slaves obey your masters."
All free minds should get after
So-called Saint Paul faster. Orthodox religions
are a businessman's scam;
It's when you follow them strictly
That you're sure to be damned. They teach you bad scriptures,
They train you to be evil;
cause you gotta be educated
to act like a devil. Everyday give some money
To people who kill
So you can satisfy
Your evil will
To eat what was
Once living flesh. Only demons
and their followers
Could enjoy
Such a bloody mess. And please tell me again
why you think
you should feel well
when you treat
other creatures like hell? And why should you wonder
about bad moods?
When the products of slaves
And the corpses of animals
Are your foods? When you're in church,
The preacher says God is just;
So why should you think
some people have their nerve,
When even they say
that you get exactly
What you deserve. No, it's not funny,
It's not funny at all,
bad moods are caused
by cruel foods,

And your disease is caused
By cholesterol. The supreme being is All Love
All religions say;
But that's not the way
Your god is portrayed. The data is in:
Cholesterol's hazardous to your health.
Will you promote healthy living?
Or brutality for wealth? How can killing creatures
Be loving at all?
And how logical is it
To eat cholesterol? Ignoring the facts
and not feeling well,
Are part and parcel
Of the flesh eater's hell.

Compulsory Education and Speciesism: The Establishment of Mammon by the U.S. Government

Compulsory Education was really Dewey's legacy in contributing to the conditioning of our young people to accept the atrocities of industry, sanctioned by the garbage in scriptures saying humans have the right to subdue the earth and have dominion over others. I call such scriptures garbage because they were obviously rewritten by those in power in order to fabricate a divine sanction for profitable evils. Once speciesism is accepted, so is every atrocity against other creatures, ala Genesis 9: 2-3:

"The fear and the dread of you shall be upon all the beasts of the earth and upon all the birds of the sky--everything with which the earth is astir--and upon all the fish of the sea; they are given into your hand. Every creature that lives shall be yours to eat; as with the green grasses, I give you all these." Genesis 9: 2-3

We understand that racism leads to atrocities against blacks, indigenous people, and other minorities, that sexism leads to battered women, that bigotry against homosexuals leads to hate crimes. We have not yet, as a people, seen, or at least admitted, the evils of speciesism. And speciesism is really one of the main points that is made in the Compulsory Education agenda.

Our educational systems, generally speaking, with a few exceptions, teach students that it is not only permissible, but that humans have a divine right to exploit nature and its creatures. Our educational systems are a manifestation of Mammon par excellence. "You cannot serve both God and Mammon."

Those who contribute to industries that exploit, enslave, displace, oppress, and kill other creatures manifest the way of Mammon, or Wealth, and not Divinity.

"To Set Slaves Free"

Surah 2: 177

To Free the Slave, the Captive,

Was the Intent of Moses, Jesus and Muhammad.

The Methodology of those revising the Koran. Muslims following

the Teachings of the Original Koran were Against Slavery,

As were the Original Jews, and the Original Christians.

The fact is that teachings both affirming slavery and denouncing slavery as evil exist in the Torah, New Testament and Quran.

In keeping with the principles of those revising scriptures,

To mollify or contradict scriptures against the Sunni Orthodoxy,

Surah 2: 177 stating that it is the aim of the true Muslim to set slaves free

Is immediately contradicted by Surah 178 defending the institution of slavery.

While most of the Surahs of the Quran promote the notion that it is permissible to have slaves, surah 2: 177 absolutely does not. Let us look at a Surah in "The Cow" in which Muhammad sees justice existing in using one's wealth to set the slaves free.

It is not righteousness that ye turn your faces to the East and the West; but righteous is he who believeth in Allah and the Last Day and the angels and the Scripture and the Prophets; and giveth his wealth, for love of Him to kinsfolk and to orphans and the needy and the wayfarer and to those who ask, and to set slaves free. 2: 177

The Methodology of the Revisers of Scripture
Surah 178 was inserted in order to contradict
the liberating influence of Surah 177.

Now the Sunni exegete will no doubt immediately assert, and quite correctly so, that the very next verse after 2: 177 affirms that slavery was justified by Allah. Here we see a methodology that was used in the Old and New Testaments as well. The revisers of the Koran placed false scriptures next to true ones in order to soften the effect and even contradict those scriptures. Surahs 177 and 178 are a classic example of such strategic placement.

O ye who believe: Retaliation is prescribed for you in the matter of the murdered; the freeman for the freeman, and the slave for the slave... 2: 178.

The fact that Surah 178 contains an implicit acceptance of the institution of slavery and thereby contradicts 177 shows us the methodology of the revisers of scripture. Just as the vegetarian dietary covenant of Gen. 1: 29-30 is contradicted by the scriptures of Gen. 1: 26-28 which justify the subduing and dominating of other creatures, so too is the liberating aspect of Surah 177 contradicted by Surah 178. So too Genesis was rewritten so that Gen. 9: 2-3, the covenant of carnivorousness, would be seen as a logical covenant by a God who saw humans as too weak to follow the original vegetarian covenant. In keeping with the egalitarianism that also existed among the earliest Jews and the earliest Christians, so too were the original followers of Muhammad against the horrendously evil institution of slavery.

The Original Jews were against Slavery
Shiva and his followers did not follow the caste system
and associated with all people as equals.

In the history of India it is known that the Aryans enslaved the aboriginal Dravidians, who were Shavites, or Sabeans. One of the noteworthy and significant attributes of Shiva was his refusal to assent to the caste system. Shiva congregated with sudras and women both. Moreover, he lived in the wilderness, not in the cities, nor on ranches and farms, where wealth was amassed, and where the caste system dominated in order to assure the fact that the upper castes had sufficient sudras to do their work. One of the noteworthy attributes of Shiva to many Hindus is this very fact, Shiva's egalitarianism, his refusal to see women as inferior, and to see sudras or those described as being in the lower class as "untouchable" or not to be congregated with. The institution of slavery, like the caste system was presented as divinely sanctioned in order to justify the subjugation of aboriginal cultures to the Aryan invaders, or other groups wishing literally to harness, that is enslave, the population of indigenous people.

We have already seen that the sacred stone of the Muslims is a Shiva Lingam, that the Lord of Worlds is Shiva, and that the praise of Sabeans in the Koran is a eulogy to those who revere Shiva, or Saba, that Surah 6: 38 sees creation as a family, and therefore forwards the notion of Allah or Shiva being the Lord of Creatures and Protector of Cattle.

Like other wilderness communities, the earliest Jews were tribal, and lived with creation harmoniously, as commanded by the Ethiopic Book of Enoch.

So too the original Jews we are told in the Tanakh itself lived as tribes before they clamored for a king, for institutionalizing their own subjection to another human. Now, some have affirmed that in some tribal societies the chief or leader was appointed so precisely because of wisdom or strength, an ability to maintain an egalitarian order, or a system of justice, in case problems should arise within the tribal society. The clamoring for a king in ancient Judaism, however, strikes the egalitarian as a profound moral defect. The desire to be ruled is the sign of inner confusion; it is the sign of a carnivorous culture, with its brain cells clotted with animal flesh, unable to see moral choices clearly, and wanting, on a basic level, to have one's decisions made by another. Contrary to this is the archetypal feeling manifested in Jesus' statement that you cannot serve both God and Mammon or Money. Muhammad carried on this egalitarian tradition.

Freeing the Israelites from Slavery to Egypt--

Moses manifested Jesus' and Muhammad mission to Free the Captive.

I would ask my readers to underscore the fact that there is enough evidence in the scriptures as they exist that Moses, Jesus, Muhammad and the prophets in general were all against slavery. It is unthinkable that Moses, servant and channel of the Almighty in releasing the Israelites from the slavery of Egypt, should therefore, according to the Old Testament, none the less have desired other people to be under the yoke of the Israelites, or that he was the channel for such evil teachings. However, making what is unthinkable out to be supposedly sanctioned by God is the very motive of those who revise the scriptures. Therefore, the rich invented lies in order to justify numerous atrocities that were profitable. One such lie was the institution of animal sacrifices, which was obviously invented to profit those who would be the killers and butchers of God's creatures, namely, the Jewish meat industry, centered in the very temple of Jerusalem. Another was the institution of slavery. All those who say that God wishes some humans to lord it over other humans, or other animals, are absolutely devoid of understanding what it means to be equitable, egalitarian, or compassionate. The fact that orthodox Judaism has existed for over four thousand years, orthodox Christianity for two, and Sunni Islam for over 1300 years, and that these sects have continued to believe that an all-compassionate God desires that humans cause suffering to other humans or other creatures, is proof enough, to the objective reader, that these creeds are mentally defective, and also, proof enough, that those who adhere to them are mentally limited, no doubt because of the consumption of animal flesh which clogs the arteries of the brain as much as it clogs the arteries of the heart.

The Original Followers of Jesus named themselves Ebionites,
or The Poor, to let all others know they were unalterably opposed to the Rich,
Who were the manifestation of Mammon, or Money.
So too the original teachings of the Quran
see as desirable the Freeing of Slaves.

And the earliest followers of Jesus called themselves the Ebionites, after the Hebrew word ebionim, meaning the poor, to show that they were unalterably opposed to the rich, to Mammon, to Money. And it was for this reason that the Ebionite Gospel was immediately burned by the Roman emperors; it was a threat to Roman supremacy and to the Roman institution of slavery. For in that Gospel Jesus' teaching that "you cannot serve both God and Mammon" was not subverted by the letters of Paul or Pauline teachings stating that the emperor and the state were institutions sanctioned by God. And this is why the zealots, or Canaanians were attracted to Jesus' teachings, for Jesus refused to submit to any authority but God. The zealots, we know from Josephus, burned down the Temple of Records in Jerusalem, wherein were recorded the debts owed by the poor to the rich; they attacked Roman arsenals and stole their weapons; and they killed slave holders, whether Jewish or Roman. The Canaanians still followed Kannan, a name for Krishna or Vishnu. This is not taught by orthodox scholars because either they are ignorant of the Hindu origins of Judaism, or they have suppressed this knowledge because it conflicts with the existing religious orthodoxy.

Jesus Versus Paul

Jesus was in tune with the absolutely peaceful stance towards creatures and creation that exists in the Ethiopic Book of Enoch. Unlike Paul, Jesus did not have a job, he lived frequently in the wilderness, and he said not to be anxious about one's needs for tomorrow, for God would provide, as is seen in the sixth chapter of Matthew. For those who make money generally do so by exploiting the creatures of creation as well as other humans, for example with the institution of slavery, or in the institution of elitist societies in which the rich rule the poor, which is simply just a less abject state of slavery, but slavery none the less. It was this latter slave society that Paul supported: let him who does not work not eat.

Jesus was against slavery; Paul was not. Jesus' Teachings:
I have come to free the captive.
The meek shall inherit the earth.
Love your neighbor as your self.
Do unto others as you would have them do unto you.
Early followers of Jesus called themselves the Ebionim, the Poor.

The quotations above show us a number of Jesus' teachings that are absolutely egalitarian. It should be quite obvious to the objective reader that other scriptures in the New Testament which affirm that we should give to Caesar the things are are Caesars, are revisions of the original scriptures. "I have come to free the captive," "the meek shall inherit the earth," "love your neighbor as your self," and the golden rule to "do unto others as you would have them do unto

you," are all statements denouncing even the slightest inequality among people. Slavery was to Jesus, as it was, or is, to any reasonable person, the most horrific of crimes against humanity or against other creatures.

If Jesus actually said: "Render unto Caesar the things that are Caesars,"
He was being sarcastic.

In fact, the statement "Render unto Caesar the things that are due Caesar," if indeed actually spoken by Jesus, would indicate a Jesus who was using sarcasm, much as Moses' God does when he tells the depraved Israelites craving flesh that he will give them so much flesh that it will come out of their noses.

The Original Followers of Jesus named themselves Ebionites,
or The Poor, to let all others know they were unalterably opposed to the Rich,
Who were the manifestation of Mammon, or Money.
So too the original teachings of the Quran
see as desirable the Freeing of Slaves.

And the earliest followers of Jesus called themselves the Ebionites, after the Hebrew word ebionim, meaning the poor, to show that they were unalterably opposed to the rich, to Mammon, to Money. And it was for this reason that the Ebionite Gospel was immediately burned by the roman emperors. For in that Gospel Jesus' teaching that "you cannot serve both God and Mammon" was not subverted by the letters of Paul or Pauline teachings stating that the emperor and the state were institutions that were justified by God. And this is why the zealots, or Canaaneans were attracted to Jesus' teachings, for Jesus refused to submit to any authority but God. The zealots, we know from Josephus, burned down the Temple of Records in Jerusalem, wherein were recorded the debts owed by the poor to the rich; they attacked Roman arsenals and stole their weapons; and they killed slave holders, whether Jewish or Roman. The Canaaneans still followed Kannan, a name for Krishna or Vishnu. This is not taught by orthodox scholars because either they are ignorant of the Hindu origins of Judaism, or they have suppressed this knowledge because it conflicts with the existing religious orthodoxy.

Jesus was in tune with the absolutely peaceful stance towards creatures and creation that exists in the Ethiopic Book of Enoch. Unlike Paul, Jesus did not have a job, he lived frequently in the wilderness, and he said not to be anxious about one's needs for tomorrow, for God would provide, as is seen in the sixth chapter of Matthew. For those who make money generally do so by exploiting the creatures of creation as well as other humans, for example with the institution of slavery, or in the institution of elitist societies in which the rich rule the poor, which is simply just a less abject state of slavery, but slavery none the less. It was this latter slave society that Paul supported: let him who does not work not eat.

Making the Unthinkable Sanctioned by God:

The Intent of those Rewriting Scriptures:

The Institution of Slavery, in whatever form, is cruel to Humans and Animals.

Animal Sacrifices are Bloody Atrocities against God's Creatures,

And their Ingestion is Harmful to Human Health.
The Diet of Orthodox Jews, Christians, and Muslims
Clogs the Arteries of the Heart and Brain.

The fact that huge populations on the face of the earth, namely the orthodoxies of Judaism, Christianity and Islam, do not have the moral discernment to understand that an all-just Divinity does not play favorites among the species, or among the members of the same species, allowing some to cause others to suffer or even die, is, once again, proof enough that the brain cells of the orthodox are clogged and less inclined to discern what is morally reprehensible than are the unclogged brains of those who do not consume animals.

I am not retreating into the escapist notion that criminal acts are due to mental illness.

Early Muslim Writers were Correct in stating that Paul Subverted Jesus' Teachings.

In this regard the early Muslim writers were absolutely correct in stating that the message of Jesus was subverted by Paul. Whereas women occupied a high place in Jesus' life--note his reverence towards not only his mother, but Mary Magdalene, Mary and Martha, and the fact that a group of women come to his tomb--Paul regarded women as subordinate to men: "wives obey your husbands, slaves obey your masters." Whereas Jesus taught "I have come to free the captive," "love your neighbor as yourself," and the golden rule, all of which are totally incompatible with slavery, a fact that the cholesterol-filled brains of the orthodoxy are apparently unable to fathom, the orthodoxies of Judaism, Christianity and Islam all teach the validity of slavery.

Jesus was associated with the Canaanians, or zealots, Simon Zelotes and Judas being thought by many scholars to have been zealots. And we know from Josephus that the zealots burned down the Temple of Records in Jerusalem which contained the records of the debts owned by the poor to the rich. Zealots also invaded Roman arsenals and stole their weapons, and killed slave-owners, Jewish or Roman. In context of these events, and in context of Jesus' egalitarian teachings, the teachings of Paul are easily recognized as false by anyone having even a residue of moral discernment.

Who would teach the validity of slavery, except those who profit from slavery?

Who would teach the validity of human governments except those who profit from them?

Who would teach the validity of sexism except egotistical men desiring to rule women?

Who would teach prejudice towards homosexuals except bigots?

Who would teach that animal sacrifices, which are brutal towards creatures, and unhealthy to consume, are valid except those who profit from them?

And all of these evil teachings are taught
by the orthodoxies of Judaism, Christianity, and Islam! All religious teachers who defend the institution of slavery,
should be seen as teaching Evil in one of its worst forms.

It may justly be said of all those who state that slavery is sanctioned by Divinity, that they have little or no moral or mental discernment, that they are not at all in tune with the universality of

divine justice, because they see God's favor as being poured upon the elite, the rich, more than it is poured upon the poor. In this respect, those Hindus still honoring the caste system, and Buddhists honoring its residue in their societies, are at fault as well; for though one may accept the common teaching of Hinduism is that those who are born into wealth deserve that wealth, what is not taught as a corollary to that principle is that these people have the responsibility to share that wealth with others as equals and alleviate suffering.

Only those with no moral discernment defend the institution of slavery.
What the reader of history notes is that it is among the carnivorous sects of orthodox Judaism, orthodox Christianity, and orthodox Islam that slavery in its most abject forms has been practiced. The fact that the orthodoxies of these religions, Judaism, Christianity, and Islam, continue to believe in these evils as sanctioned by God, and continue to promote these evils, is proof positive that they are unwilling to discern what is moral from what is immoral. The majority of orthodox people lack the desire to discern what is moral or immoral, and do not want to test the spirit of scriptures which sanction their evils. What contributes to this unwillingness to see their own evil acts? What do all these orthodoxies have in common?

A diet of animal flesh which clogs not only the arteries of the heart but the brain.

And what is vegetarianism but egalitarianism towards animals, treating them as beings who like humans have the right to live as free beings on earth, instead of erroneously seeing them as creatures who were created to be exploited by humans.

Vegan and Lacto-Vegetarian Groups Still work Together.
It is only the carnivorous orthodoxies of Judaism, Christianity, and Islam who are enemies of one another.
No human religious teacher is to be seen as equivalent to God.
Vegetarian religious groups are more openminded than their carnivorous counterparts. The Notion of the Sacred Cow: Myths and Realities.

Prabhupad to me is one of the truly great religious figures of the 20th century. He came to the U.S. with virtually nothing except his clothes and books and his teachings have helped convert (either directly or indirectly) millions of people to vegetarianism.

This chapter or page is a response to an email describing a rift in Iskon that I think even most people in Iskon think should not exist. But it also catalyzed in my mind some conflicts which need to be aired, which I will get to after the preliminary discussion.

Isn't it Prabhupad's teaching also that he is in a line of divinely sent teachers? I believe that, just as I believe the teachers of Veganism from different organizations are. I am quite sure that people like Ingrid Newkirk, John Robbins and others would smile and reject the

notion that they should be regarded as spiritual gurus, as such. But I am also convinced that Prabhupad would criticize anyone who attempted to deify him or to say that his teachings are the final word on everything. He would say that that is idolatry. Most of the teachers of the Vegan diet that I know of (and there are a great many who are not in the public eye) would say that divine guidance pervades all that every true seeker does.

I am always inspired, for example to see Iskon (devotees of Krishna) groups who respect, for example, the vegan diets of people like myself, and also raw food diets. I went to the Vegetarian Food Fair in Toronto, and I was so pleased that they, the followers of Krishna, whom I venerate, and to whom I sometimes chant, served vegan food. It showed the vegans and vegetarians there that the Iskon groups are open-minded spiritually.

I do not wish to offend those in Iskon whom I have lived with in Hawaii, and those who are teaching the world the virtues of vegetarianism, but to say that one's belief system is already totally perfect, and that there is nothing more to learn spiritually regarding compassion to other creatures, is to close ourselves off from both the all knowing and the all compassionate nature of Deity, from one point of view, as well as simply to be close-minded from another.

When people I meet want to know about vegetarian affinity groups, I give them the addresses of the Buddhist temples in Detroit and Hamtramck and send them to the Govinda Krishna temple in Detroit, and I also inform them of vegetarian and vegan groups. Those whom I now relate with all know that I myself am vegan and organic.

I want to work together with all vegetarian groups for the benefit of all creatures (including humans). All vegetarian groups are more spiritual in their diets than those who eat the corpses of God's creatures. Both Krishna and Shiva, I know, are known as Pasupati, Lords of the Creatures, and Protectors of Cattle, and I'm sure that Kali, Uma, Raddha, Parvati have the same titles. And we all know that the Buddhas taught "may all creatures be happy," and that we should not sacrifice animals.

When I lived with Iskon in Kauai, Hawaii, I learned much that was for my spiritual benefit, but there was still some coercion over other creatures among the devotees. During on feast day, one member of Iskon prodded a bull into pulling the Juggernaut wagon. The devotee was not happy with the task. We all could visibly see that by the expression on his face. Because he had been taught to be compassionate to all creatures he prodded the bull very softly at first, more like little pushes than actual prods, but then, to his obvious regret, when the bull didn't budge, he prodded more forcefully, in order to get the unwilling bull finally to move and pull the wagon. The devotee was obviously unhappy about the situation, and it was an object lesson, a living case study, for those of us who wanted to treat all creatures compassionately. We saw that, though we daily ate our vegetarian food, we were still involved in coercing other creatures, and that this too was part of the tradition we now embodied.

So too in the matter of dairy products, virtually always cows do not give their milk willingly to humans, only to their calves. Humans have to tether the milk cows in some way. And this is coercion.

The truth is to be spoken and lived by us all. Prabhupad taught and practiced the truth in a manner far superior to the fundamentalist Jews and Christians of the United States. It took great courage for him to do so. I love him and admire him for doing so. This does not mean that his teachings should be regarded as perfect and that they cannot be improved upon. To say that Prabhupad's word, or that the Vedas, for that matter, are a totally perfect compendium of truth

that needs no improving upon is to enter the same arena of bigotry and narrow-mindedness in which the fundamentalist Jews, Christians, and Muslims excel when they point to their scriptures as the final truth.. All of these groups say that the complete truth necessary for our spiritual lives exists in the Torah, the New Testament and the Quran, respectively.

So too are all of these carnivorous cultures imperialistic, unlike the Hindu and Buddhist vegetarian cultures. All of these cultures are a scourge and curse on the creatures of earth and on nature, the environment, supporting as they do the predations of industry. Yet they claim their teachings are perfect! One may safely conclude that these cultures that say they already have the total perfect truth, and do not need to improve, are precisely those which manifest the most evil. In contrast, though the various temples of Iskon may be somewhat different in their emphases, they all are vegetarian and have far more respect for the creatures and creation.

In my personal life, it's my constant prayer to Deity to recognize that I am but a spirit in the form of flesh, and to help me see my faults and to grow deeper in truth and love. I prayed to be humble and to know the truth when it was time for me to leave behind carnivorism, a tradition in which I had been raised for over thirty years. It was hard to leave behind my family's diet, and a diet accepted in the scriptures of the Old and New Testament (I had not read the Quran at that time), and a diet that I had said (to vegetarians criticizing my diet) I would defend till my dying days. Changing to a vegan diet was by far the greatest spiritual event of my life, however, and once I decided to not eat animal foods, I literally felt an emotional weight lifted from me. But before that occurred I had to go through the painful admission that I had believed something that was wrong, namely, that God said animals could be killed and enslaved so I could eat those foods. It was a belief I had carried with me for over thirty years.

All praise and inspiration to those devotees of Krishna who recognize that we all need to walk further on the path to perfect love, and that it may mean that we have to give up falsely cherished notions in order to do so. If we choose to believe that we know all there is to know about love and truth, and that we no longer have any moral challenges left in our lives, aren't we, though vegetarians, still similar though on a lesser scale to the Falwells and Pat Robertsons and televangelists who regularly teach the evils of absolute obedience to tyrannical state governments; who sanction animal sacrifices and the disease-promoting diet of carnivorism; who promote sexism, leading to the crimes of battering women; and bigotry towards homosexuals, leading to hate crimes against them?

We must not be like the carnivorous sects of the world. Iskon members, devotees of the Mother, Shauvites, Shias, Buddhists and vegetarians and vegans of all kinds should seek each other out and support each other in so far as they are able.

At one point in history, as Helen Ellerbe points out in her *The Dark Side of Christianity*, Jews, Christians and Muslims all worshipped together in places that were controlled by French and Italian Albigensians or Cathars (whose teachers were vegan). What we are not aware of is that these vegetarian Jewish, Muslim and Christian groups were all influenced by Hindus and Buddhists. Nor are most people aware that the vegetarian Sabeans are praised in the Quran. The Sabeans were followers of Saba, which is just another name for Shiva. All religions began as vegetarian and egalitarian. The original Jews, Christians and Muslims knew that. Carnivorism is the diet and mind-frame from which we are to be healed. Carnivorism, every objective person, and every doctor who has read the journals of medical research knows, promotes many diseases.

I trust that Iskon members, though having their differences will respect each other and not act as the fundamentalists of Judaism, Christianity and Sunni Islam act, who condemn all who do not believe as they do as infidels.

The Sacred Cow

The Necessity for Change in Hinduism

If Hindus are to practice fully compassion towards all creatures.

The question of the sacredness of the cow, for example, must be raised. Do we truly venerate the cow as sacred if we exert even the smallest force in order to milk it? It is, of course, a rhetorical question. The assertion that cows are sacred is regarded by some as having a Shamanic source in that psyllisymbium mushrooms spawn from cow dung; by others as having an economic source, the cow being the source of milk, dung, and (once dead) leather, as well as being a source for transportation and useful in agriculture pulling plows; and by others as a simple realization that all creatures are sacred, and that since the cow and its products were so functional in ancient Hindu civilizations, perhaps that the cow was even more to be venerated than other animals, which of course is just another form of speciesism.

Most of us who haven't been to India have heard stories about how traffic stops in certain areas whenever cows come on the roads, and how in some places people in cars simply wait until the cow leaves the road in order to proceed. There are a number of variations of this which I have heard. To me these events display the wonderful Hindu appreciation for the sacredness of all life, which is also seen in those wilderness villages in which monkeys roam the streets and even steal (usually food, but other things as well) from unwary humans. These true accounts have also stimulated in me a desire to go to these places in India and live there for a while and witness first hand the compassion for all creatures that I have heard about.

But though the cows mentioned above are venerated, cows from whom dairy is derived are treated differently, and tethered, so that they can be milked. The cows are tethered because the cow objects to its milk being taken from it by any being other than its calves. No matter how one rationalizes this event, it is slavery. I would not want to be tethered even for a moment by anyone. And should this occur, it would only be because I was enslaved and it was out of my power. I pray to have the good karma not ever to experience such enslavement. And I'm sure that every cow wishes the same.

Still, those who use dairy products shouldn't feel as though they are being totally condemned. At least you're not enslaving the cows (though one Iskon branch became notorious for experimenting with factory farming) in the typical manner of the animal foods industry, and at least the cows are not being slaughtered.

The ideal as taught in the Ethiopic Book of Enoch is for us not to tamper at all with creation, and to live with it as it is. It's really simple. It is only our shortcomings, living and accustomed to living with the needless gadgets of industry, gotten at the price of polluting our planet, that make us think that it requires some superhuman force in order to living without offending the creatures of creation. We can learn and employ non-invasive types of agriculture in order to live a life that is free from exploiting even the smallest of creatures. We don't need to tether animals, to make them pull plows, carts or chariots or wagons for transportation. We certainly don't need to break

the spirits of elephants or horses in order to ride them. All of these are radically evil offenses against other creatures; they are acts of enslavement.

So, if one follows the above logic, our work is cut out for us. We are to establish non-invasive forms of agriculture to support ourselves when nature's foods are not abundant enough. Therefore we won't be oppressing, displacing, killing or in any way abusing the insects and small creatures living in the earth. Needless to say, if businesses and governments would use their money to establish such non-invasive vegetarian agricultural communities, the crime rate would drop radically. Our present society, so dependent on animal foods, would no longer exist. It would truly be a non-industrial new world. The ideals of the Book of Revelations would be put into action: there would be no sorrow, death or dying, and therefore no animal sacrifices.

And what the Book of the Hopi says was the original condition of creation, a time when animals and humans lived peacefully together, would once again exist.

Carnivorism and Horror Films

Humans link with Horror Films because they portray in an acceptable form the Bloody Atrocities, the Secret Sins of the Slaughterhouse, the Meat Industry, which they do not want to confront directly in their consciousness. Imagine the Animal Sacrifice Rituals of "Leviticus" and "Exodus" being in Children's Books.

If the Old Testament persona masking as Moses were truly a representative of a loving deity, then certainly his actions would be exemplary, they would be a wonderful theme for children's books. But in fact, Moses' laws on animal sacrifice, as carried out by the priests conducting animal sacrifices, would be too grim even for Grimm's tales. Even some of the bloodiest film classics of our time, "Night of the Living Dead," "Nightmare on Elm Street," "Halloween," "The Evil Dead", and "Hellraiser" pale in comparison to parts of the Old Testament dealing with the animal holocausts.

When I watch horror films or films like "Natural Born Killers," and other Tarantino films, I always laugh a great deal. They are tremendously entertaining epitomes of God's justice, because people are being treated the way they treat animals every time they buy flesh to eat. In "Natural Born Killers" Woody Harrelson (certainly one of the most moral people in Hollywood in his private life) before proceeding (in the film) on his life of bloody violence, comes in to the house of his partner to be carrying many pounds of ground flesh. Shortly thereafter the father and mother are slaughtered, and then the partners proceed on executing many people for their "secret sin." The connection between the ground flesh is generally lost on the carnivore who doesn't want to raise questions regarding violence to God's creatures, questions that have been suppressed or repressed. But, as a vegan who long ago confronted the question honestly, I found "Natural Born Killers" hilarious. Hypocrites right and left are being bloodily oppressed and killed, just as they have been responsible for oppressing and killing others.

Francis Ford Coppola's "Dracula" is another classic which juxtaposes scenes of the bloody attack of Dracula on his victims with bloody plates of meat, beef and red wine. I don't know if Coppola is vegetarian, but he keenly sees the connection of horror between carnivorism and vampires. In fact carnivores may quite be seen realistically not only as serial killers of animals but symbolically as vampires living off the bloodshed of other creatures as well.

In another entertaining film, "Serial Mom," a normal mother who might as well be Mrs. Beaver, goes on a killing rampage of all those who have incurred her dislike. And her killing is juxtaposed with the tearing apart of a chicken's corpse in order to eat it. Another time she bludgeons one of her victims with a large leg of an animal who was being eaten on the dinner table of the victim. It was hilarious. It was a humorously capsulated version of people justifying their meat eating at the same time that they are dying of cholesterol promoted diseases.

In another film which has been absolutely suppressed and repressed by the industrialists who rule the film industry, "Rock and Real" the film capsules the reality of what is good and what is evil by showing a cook chasing a cow with a meat cleaver in his hand, while the question is being asked, what is good and what is evil? It was such a strong denunciation of carnivorousism that the film was rather quickly taken out of circulation so that hardly anyone even knows about the film.

Mad TV and Saturday Night Live sometimes show rather brilliant animal rights cartoons, one, for example, in which people eat the fat of animal corpses at well known fast food restaurants until their buttocks are bulging, or of Plucky the Chicken, who, though a live chicken himself, advertises the eating of chicken corpses. So we have the irony of a living happy chicken saying "Look that's me," as live chickens are slaughtered, dismembered, and put on a line to be packaged. So too in a classic Saturday Night Live performance Belushi and a friend go through Manhattan shooting people wearing fur coats, then taking their fur coats off of them, a simple but profound way of linking the suffering of animals with the suffering of humans. In another cartoon, a monkey escapes from a vivisection lab, and after freeing the animals performs the same vivisection experiments on the vivisectioner who screams in horror while the animals, and the audience, applaud.

"A Vampire in Brooklyn" as I recall also juxtaposes the eating of an animal's corpse with a vampire feeding on a human.

The horrors of "Leviticus" and "Exodus," though they are books of the Torah supposedly from God, are not made into realistic Bible Story Books, because both parents and children would be repulsed by the violence.

Philip in the Nag Hammadi Gospel of Philip Condemns Carnivorousism saying that "This world eats corpses,"

and that the Fall was "eating from the Tree of Animals."

Philip's Morality is in harmony with the Book of Enoch,

Which condemns all bloodshed, whether of other animals or humans,

and which contrasts the Tree of Life with the Tree of a Carnal Odor.

The Tree of Life in "Revelations" is derived from the Book of Enoch.

Esdras' Vision of the Earliest Patriarchs and the Late Prophets

Sharing the Same Vegetarian Values is Supported.

Esdras' Vision of the Final Times in Paradise is in Harmony

both with the Book of Enoch and with "Revelations."

Carnal odor described as "a pleasing odor" by those killing animals.

Philip in the Nag Hammadi "Gospel of Philip" says that the Fall was "Eating from the Tree of Animals." He also says that the animal sacrifices were offered by those who ate from the

tree of animals and who became like animals themselves, animals here meant in a pejorative sense, as being "brutal." Philip contrasts those who eat animals with Jesus who is vegetarian and who brings instead the bread of truth. We have already discussed the fact that the event of the cleansing of the temple of the animals to be sacrificed is in harmony with the many denunciations of the animal sacrifices by the late prophets, with the actual meaning of "I desire mercy and not sacrifice" from Hosea, whose scriptures are filled with denunciations of eating flesh; with the correct or original meaning of the scripture repeated here referring to the bodies of hypocrites as "whitened sepulchers full of dead bones" of animals and not men's bones (See Conybeare's *The Origins of Christianity*); with Jesus' reference to the Pharisees or hypocrites as "hidden graves" because their bodies literally contain, and in fact are made up of, the bodies of the animals they have eaten, and with Jesus' statement regarding the Final Times, that in the final days the lightning will shine from the East (home of Hinduism and Buddhism and vegetarianism) even unto the west, and that where the carcass is the vultures will be also, that is, where the murdered body of an animal is, the carnivores will feed.

In the Ethiopic Book of Enoch in the final times the Elect are to eat from what John in "Revelations" later calls the Tree of Life. Significantly, the tree is described as not having a carnal odor, that is, not having the odor of flesh. In other words, at the end, in the Omega of the Final Days, the elect shall eat vegetation, as they did in the Alpha of Genesis 1: 29-30, when all creatures ate vegetation.

And that tree of an agreeable smell, not one of carnal odor, there shall be no power to touch, until the period of the great judgment. When all shall be punished and consumed for ever, this shall be bestowed on the righteous and humble. The fruit of the tree shall be given to the elect. For towards the north life shall be planted in the holy place, towards the habitation of the everlasting King. From Chapter 24: 9, Book of Enoch, edited by R. H. Charles.

And the following verses show that the Book of Enoch was central to "Revelations," wherein the elect are to eat from the Tree of Life.

And I blessed the Lord of glory, the everlasting King, because He has prepared this tree for the saints, formed it, and declared that He would give it to them. Enoch 24: 11

Then shall they greatly rejoice and exult in the Holy One. The sweet odor shall enter into their bones; and they shall live a long life on the earth as your forefathers have lived; neither in their days shall sorrow, distress, trouble, and punishment afflict them. Enoch 24: 10

Notice that the above verse is the clear source of the following verses in "Revelations:"

"Behold, the dwelling of God is with men. He will dwell with them and they shall be his people, and God himself will be with them; he will wipe away every tear from their eyes, and death shall be no more; neither shall there be mourning nor crying nor pain any more, for the former things have passed away. "Revelations" 21:1-4.

We can see clearly that John of Patmos' mind in "Revelations" was thoroughly permeated with the scriptures of the Book of Enoch.

"To him who conquers I will grant to eat of the tree of life, which is in the paradise of God." 2: 7.

In Revelations 22, in the description of the New Jerusalem, we have the lifting of the curse against animal creation, and a return to the tree of life:

"the tree of life with its twelve kinds of fruit, yielding its fruit each month; and the leaves of the tree were for the healing of the nations. There shall no more be anything accursed..."Revelations" 22:2-3 "Blessed are those who wash their robes, that they may have the right to the tree of life and that they may enter the city by the gates. Outside...are murderers and idolaters and everyone who loves and practices falsehood." Rev. 22:14-15.

We have already seen that Esdras stated that the same truth was taught by the late prophets denouncing the animal sacrifices and the earliest Jewish patriarchs.

39. Unto whom I will give for leaders, Abraham, Isaac, and Jacob, Oseas, Amos, and Micheas, Joel, Abdias, and Jonas,
40. Nahum, and Abacuc, Sophonias, Aggeus, Zachary, and Malachy, which is called also an angel of the Lord. II Esdras 1: 39-40

And we have also already seen how the scriptures of most of the late prophets denounce animal sacrifices. See the chapter Against Sacrifices. Hosea (Oseas), whose name is a form of Oseo, a name of Shiva, Amos, Joel, and Zechariah (whose name is built on the Hindu word for teacher, Acharya) are quite bluntly against the animal sacrifices. For example, let us look at scriptures from Hosea and Zechariah.

From Hosea

"...for the Lord has a controversy with the inhabitants of the land. There is no faithfulness or kindness and no knowledge of God in the land; there is swearing, lying, killing, stealing, and committing adultery; they break all bounds and murder follows murder. Therefore the land mourns, and all who dwell in it languish, and also the beasts of the field, and the birds of the air; and even the fish of the sea are taken away." 4:3.

"...they have left their God to play the harlot. They sacrifice on the mountains and make offerings upon the hills." 4: 12-13.

"With their flocks and herds they shall go to seek the Lord, but they will not find him." 5:6.

"For I desire steadfast love and not sacrifice, the knowledge of God, rather than burnt offerings." 6:6.

"They love sacrifice; they sacrifice flesh and eat it; but the Lord has no delight in them." 8: 13.

"...and they shall not please him with their sacrifices." 9:4.

From Zechariah

"Thus said the Lord my God: "Become shepherd of the flock doomed to slaughter. Those who buy them slay them and go unpunished; and those who sell them say, 'Blessed be the Lord, I have become rich'; and their own shepherds have no pity on them." 11: 4-5.

And we can see the logic of Cain's militance towards the bad shepherd Abel in the following quotation.

Awake, O sword, against my shepherd, against the man who stands next to me," says the Lord of hosts. "Strike the shepherd, that the sheep may be scattered." 13: 7. My anger is hot against the shepherds...10: 3.

...and I will make an end of the pride of Philistia. I will take away its blood from its mouth, and its abominations from between its teeth; it too shall be a remnant for our God. 9: 6-7.

The attempt by Catholic editors and other Christian editors to describe Zechariah's scriptures denouncing animal sacrifices as an allegory to be interpreted symbolically is simply one more rather laughable and ridiculous attempt to belittle all scriptures that contradict the immoral and literally unhealthy notion that "all foods are clean." The above scriptures from Hosea and Zechariah conclusively support the original vegetarian covenant and not the bogus scriptures of Gen. 9: 2-3, nor the numerous false scriptures claiming that Abraham, Isaac and Jacob affirmed the legitimacy of animal sacrifices. The following scriptures from Esdras, which echo Isaias, also points to bloodshed as a sign of the Fall, and the final word, manslaughter, may be seen as a revision for slaughter in general, whether of animals or humans, for we have more than sufficient evidence that the original Book of Esdras affirmed vegetarianism.

Seeing ye have forsaken me, I will forsake you also; when ye desire me to be gracious unto you, I shall have no mercy upon you.

Whosoever ye shall call upon me, I will not hear you: for ye have defiled your hands with blood, and your feet are swift to commit manslaughter. 2 Esdras 1: 25-26

Compare with Isaiah:

...even though you make many prayers, I will not listen; your hands are full of blood. Wash yourselves, make yourselves clean; remove the evil of your doings from before my eyes; cease to do evil, learn to do good; seek justice, correct oppression... Isaiah I: 15-17.

If we mistakenly think that the references to blood in the above scriptures refer only to human blood, and that Esdras is not concerned with the animal sacrifices, we have only to see that Esdras' view of the Final Times is of an age without bloodshed, like the world of the Book of Enoch. So too was Esdras like John of Patmos was conscious of the morality of the Book of Enoch and its presentation of the Tree of Life, and Esdras presents a city made by God and not men which is similar to the "Revelations" city of New Jerusalem, made by God and not humans.

"Then I saw a new heaven and a new earth; for the first heaven and the first earth had passed away, and the sea was no more. And I saw the holy city, new Jerusalem, coming down out of heaven from God, prepared as a bride adorned for her husband; and I heard a loud voice from the throne saying "Behold, the dwelling of God is with men. He will dwell with them and they shall be his people, and God himself will be with them; he will wipe away every tear from their eyes, and death shall be no more; neither shall there be mourning nor crying nor pain any more, for the former things have passed away." Revelations 21:1-4.

"...because it is for you that paradise is opened, the tree of life is planted, the age to come is prepared, plenty is provided, a city is built, rest is appointed, goodness is established and wisdom perfected beforehand. The root of evil is sealed up from you, illness is banished from you, and death is hidden; hell has fled and corruption has been forgotten; sorrows have passed away, and in the end the treasure of immortality is made manifest." 2 Esdras 8: 52-54.

When Esdras says that a city is built, he means that it was built by divine, not human powers, who built it without exploiting other creatures, without excavating the earth and displacing, abusing, wounding and killing the creatures living there, whether insects or other small animals. In other words, the city is built within the context of the perfection of Paradise, a perfection wherein there is "no sorrow or death or mourning." This is unlike the cities built by humans, in which the earth, home of numerous creatures, is excavated, invaded, abusing, displacing and killing the creatures there.

Carnal Odor Versus "a pleasing odor"

The tree of a carnal odor, obviously the tree of animals who are sacrificed, and whose bodies, when burned, also caused an odor to ascend. Those who revised the scriptures called it a "pleasing odor," but the smoke rising from the burning flesh was looked at differently by early Christian Church Father Origen who attacked animal sacrifices as offerings to demons:

"Some do not consider the truth concerning daemons, namely that if they are to remain in this gross air near the earth they need food from sacrifices and so keep where there is always smoke and blood and incense. Accordingly, they hold it a light and indifferent matter to offer sacrifice. To this we would say that if those who provide food for thieves and murderers and barbarian enemies of the great king are punished as offenders against society, how much more would they be most justly punished who by sacrificing to the ministers of evil give them food which maintains them in the region near the earth..." Exhortation to Martyrdom

So too Tertullian earlier commented on the fumes of burnt animal sacrifices. In his Apology, chapter 22, f., Tertullian says:

"We Christians affirm the existence of certain spiritual beings. Nor is their name new. The philosophers recognize demons....the poets, too, recognize demons and even the ignorant masses use them often in their oaths. In fact, they appeal in their curses to Satan, the prince of this evil gang with a sort of instinctive knowledge of him in their very souls. Socrates himself waited on a demon's impulse.... Plato himself does not deny the existence of angels, and even

the magicians attest both kinds of spiritual beings. But it is our sacred scriptures which record how certain angels, who fell of their own free will, produced a still more fallen race of demons, who were condemned by God together with their progenitors and with that prince to whom we have already alluded. Here we cannot do more than merely describe their doings. The ruin of man was their sole aim. From the outset man's overthrow was essayed by these spirits in their wickedness. Accordingly they proceed to inflict diseases and evil accidents of all kinds on our bodies, while by means of violent assaults they produce sudden and extraordinary excesses of the soul. Both to soul and to body they have access by their subtle and extremely fine substance. Invisible and intangible, those spirits are not visible in the act; it is in their effects that they are frequently observed, as when, for example, some mysterious poison in the breeze blights the blossom of fruit trees and the grain, or nips them in the bud, or destroys the ripened fruit, the poisoned atmosphere exhaling, as it were, some noxious breath. With like obscurity, the breath of demons and of angels stirs up many a corruption in the soul by furious passions, vile excesses, or cruel lusts accompanied by varied errors, the worst of which is that these deities commend themselves to the ensnared and deluded souls of men, in order to get their favourite food of flesh-fumes and of blood offered up to the images and statues of the gods."

We may conjecture that those who revised the Torah, besides themselves manifesting their own addiction to burnt animal flesh and their pleasure at smelling it cooking, also were directly commenting on the morality and tone of the Book of Enoch by describing the odor of the burnt offerings as a "pleasing odor." For example, the persona of Moses, fabricated by those "inventing scriptures for gain" in "Exodus" says:

"Then you shall take one of the rams, and Aaron and his sons shall lay their hands upon the head of the ram, and you shall slaughter the ram, and shall take its blood and throw it against the altar round about. Then you shall cut the ram into pieces, and wash its entrails and its legs and put them with its pieces and its head, and burn the whole ram upon the altar; it is a burnt offering to the Lord; it is a pleasing odor..."Exodus" 29:15-18.

It is perhaps unnecessary to say that burning animal flesh is repulsive on many levels to all those who venerate the original vegetarian covenant and who consider all flesh as sacred.

THE MAIN THEME OF THE BOOK OF JOB IS NOT

"THE PATIENCE OF JOB,"

BUT THE BAD KARMA OF THE CATTLEMAN (THE ANIMAL SACRIFICER). 1.

The Name of Job has been a Mystery to Scholars like the Name of Abel.

The Significances of Job's Name Perfectly Describe His Negative Acts:

To hate, to be hostile, to be an enemy, adversary, to be hated.

These are definitions of Job's name that have been puzzled over and discussed by orthodox scholars for close to three millennia. Entry # 347 in the "Hebrew and Chaldee Dictionary" of James Strong's Exhaustive Concordance of the Old and New Testament is, says Strong, who is a recognized orthodox authority, from the root defined in Entry # 340 ayab, meaning to hate (as

one of a hostile tribe or party); to be hostile, to be an enemy. Entry 347 says ljob means hated, i.e. persecuted. Then under the same umbrella definition, completely disregarding the negative significances of Job's name, Strong, in an attempt to rescue the image of Job, states that ljob means hated and persecuted. Strong is merely doing what orthodox scholars have always done, reinterpreting data to favor a religion of animal sacrifices.

The "Patience of Job" is a Platitude
that is not Justified by the Events in the Book.

Strong repeats the platitude of the orthodox and says that ljob refers to Job, the patriarch known for his patience. Is Job really patient? Hardly. Not even close. In well over ninety per cent of the narrative, Job is just the opposite.

The Last Chapter of Job is a Classic Case of Revised Scripture. Only the False Ending of the Book of Job describes him as Positive.

The Main Body of the Book of Job describes him as impatient, as being in despair, as having no faith, as wishing he had not been born, and a number of times, as feeling as if he himself is being dismembered, like the animals Job has sacrificed and eaten.

All through my life I have heard of the "Patience of Job." It is enough to make me humorously conclude that perhaps all these scholars who say Job epitomizes patience have never actually carefully read "Job" except for the last chapter, which is patently phoney and obviously revised. The coda of the last chapter is like a very small caboose telling a very long line of boxcars and a very powerful locomotive that they have been going in the wrong direction. The coda is as obviously false a resolution as can be seen in the history of well-known literature. At least ninety percent of the narrative discusses the bad karma of Job. And that bad karma is described often using the imagery of butchery: Job feels that his limbs and organs are being separated from him, much as the limbs and organs are severed from the bodies of animals that are sacrificed and eaten. The final chapter of the Book of Job would be an ideal and easily discussed classic example of obviously revised literature in a literary criticism class.

Any close reading of the Book of Job discovers that throughout the main body of the dialogues Job is not at all patient, that he curses God's will, is cynical, and despairing, and rejects the counsel of Eliphaz, Bildad, Zophar, and the young Elihu, all of whom without exception tell Job that all suffering, including his own, is just.

Why Job's Name Means to be Hostile, to be an Enemy:
That is precisely the role that Job plays
towards the animals he has sacrificed.

Why does Job's name mean to hate, to be hostile, to be an enemy, hated, and persecuted? The answer, we shall clearly see, does not lie in the answers given by the Jewish Rabbinical orthodoxy, nor does it lie in the unsubstantiated platitudes uttered by orthodox scholars in the Judaeo-Christian-Islamic tradition. Job is given the perfect name, a name that is

redolent with the crimes of the orthodoxy, a name which allegorically shows the immorality of orthodox Judaism's brutal treatment of animal creatures. Job is an archetype of that immorality: as a sacrificer of God's creatures, he is a hostile enemy to them, as well as an enemy to those who defend the lives of God's creatures, the Sabeans.

2

Job is an Archetype of the Orthodox Jewish Cattleman The First and Second Chapters
Introduce the Contest between God and Satan,
Which Prepares us for the Contest between Good and Evil
in the Soul of Job.

Job is a Jew of the Pentateuch par excellence. He offers burnt offerings to God according to "Leviticus" ostensibly to expiate the sins of his children. "His sons used to hold a feast in the house of each on his day: and they would send and invite their three sisters to eat and drink with them. And when the days of the feast had run their course, Job would send and sanctify them, and he would rise early in the morning and offer burnt offering according to the number of them all; "It may be that my sons have sinned, and cursed God in their hearts." Thus Job did continually." Job: 1: 4-5.

The Sabeans and the Fire of God

Chapter 1 of the Book of Job immediately introduces Job as getting up early in the morning and sacrificing animals, one for each of his ten children. The family of Job would make a circuit of their festivity. His seven sons and three daughters were to take turns eating at the house of each son. It turns out that not only is the family of Job meeting together, so are the sons of God meeting before Jehovah. The naive narrator presents God as asking Satan if he has found anyone as virtuous as Job during his roaming of the earth. Satan challenges God and says Job is prosperous only because God protects him so closely. So God allows Satan to have his family members killed and their home destroyed, and allows the Sabeans to kill his servants and release the animals Job had "owned."

The fire from heaven which kills his animals and servants (1: 16) reminds the reader of the pillar of fire of the Old Testament out of which the Deity, Sabaoth, protecting and guiding Moses speaks, a pillar of fire which is seen in the the Lingam Purana, a work in which Shiva in a pillar of fire speaks to Brahma and Krishna. Moreover the name Sabaoth, Lord of Hosts, is given to the Deity in the pillar of fire that defeats the Egyptians pursuing the Israelites. The name Sabaoth itself is derived from Saba, a name of Shiva, who is also known as Pasupati, Lord of Creatures, and Protector of Cattle.

Job's Statement of his Resignation to the Divine Will is classic:

"Naked came I from the womb, and naked shall I return;
God has given and God has taken away.
Blessed be the name of God." (1: 21)

However, though Job is dispossessed of a number of his sons and daughters, their home, and his cattle, that is, his wealth, he still affirms his trust in God and God's justice in the universe in a statement that has become one of the most succinct and hallmark statements of trust in God's will: "Naked came I from the womb, and naked shall I return; God has given and God has taken away. Blessed be the name of God." (1: 21)

Therefore, in the contest between God and Satan for the soul of Job, God and Job have won round one. Points for Job. No points for Satan. But this, we see, is shortly to change radically in the dialogues that follow in which, beginning with Chapter Three, Job curses God and God's will with passion and eloquence and with a relentlessness that is anything but patient.

The dialogues between God and Satan occur in Chapters One and Two in order to effectively introduce the battle between God and Satan, the battle between Good and Evil, that manifests itself also as a battle between Vegetarianism and Carnivorism, and between Living Harmoniously With Creation and Sacrificing Animals that takes place throughout the Book of Job. The fact that Adversary means Enemy, which is one of the definitions of Job's name, is certainly not accidental. Job is an Enemy, an Adversary, to the creatures he sacrifices, that is, kills.

3

The Way of the Vegetarian Sabeans Versus Orthodox Judaism and its Animal Sacrifices

The Battle between Vegetarianism and Carnivorism
is brought up early in the Book.

It will be more than plain that the God of the main body of Book of Job is Shiva, God of the Sabeans. This God punishes Job for his brutal treatment of his creatures, that is, his sacrificing of them. Vegetarianism as a compassionate alternative to eating the animal sacrifices is brought up quite clearly early in the Book. The main body of Job is concerned with orthodox Job's cynicism, despair and complaints against this God who makes him suffer, or allows him to suffer, even though he has kept the word of the Torah, and the attempts of Bildad, Zophar, Eliphaz and Elihu to show Job that he has accepted a false and brutal paradigm of God and God's plan, namely that of orthodox Judaism, and that the rewards of living according to a more compassionate Way are received even in this life.

We shall see that the counsellors of Job, the Shuite Bildad, the Naamathite Zophar, the Temanite Eliphaz, and Elihu from the family of Rama, all come from Shaivite or Sabeian cultures; that Shuites and Shaivites are of the same ilk; that Naamathites, in spite of the shortcomings of orthodox ancient Hebrew dictionaries, are people of the Naama, the divine name as it is described by the Sabeans, that the Temanites are associates of the Sabeans; and that Ram is a deity worshipped by the Sabeans, a deity in the Hindu pantheon, and the hero of the Hindu epic, the Ramayana.

In the Book bearing his name, Job is "hated" and persecuted by God himself for his bloody slaughtering of creatures. Until the last and obviously revised last chapter of the Book of Job, this God is plainly not the orthodox God of Judaism, but instead, the God of the Sabeans, or Shiva, who was considered to be the Essence of All Living Beings, who was known as Lord of

the Creatures, Shiva Pasupati, and who is also known as the Protector of Cattle. The name of the God of the Sabeans, Saba, is discussed by James Hastings in his Dictionary of the Bible as Seba, Sheba, which are simply transliterations of Shiva, and Sheva with an E, in the Old Testament. Though the orthodox scholars of the Judaeo-Christian-Islamic tradition are not likely to make you aware of this fact, there is really no disputing the fact that Saba and Shiva are the same entity. Moreover, Saba is seen immediately in the first chapter of "Genesis," though not named in our current versions of the Torah, as Lord of the Sabbath, the seventh day of rest.

William Drummond in his Origenes points out that the origin of Judaism was in Tsabaism, or Sabaism, which in our times is known as Shaivism. More and more people are becoming familiar with this suppressed and extremely important fact of our collective history (see viewzone or matlock.com on the Internet). James Hastings in his Dictionary of the Bible tells us that the Sabeian Culture of the Ethiopians flourished for 14 centuries, from about the 8th century B.C. to the 7th century A.D. I regard Hastings estimate to be conservative, because I believe it is based on the time line in which Ethiopia became an imperial power, when in fact Ethiopia's history as a Sabeian culture goes much further back in antiquity, some Hindu scholars surmising that the aboriginal Shaivites of India may have come from Ethiopia. The Deity of the Ethiopic Books of Enoch and Noah, though simply called God in English, is clearly Shiva of the Shaivite and Vaishnava Hindu tradition. Seven mountains, seven rivers, seven heavens, an emphasis on trees, and tongues of fire, all these exist in these books and are recognizably connected with, or are attributes of Shiva, whose name means Seven.

Good Shepherd and Evil Shepherd:

The Sabeian Model is the Good Shepherd who is Creation-Oriented.

One of the scriptures of Sirach

applies to the Creation-Oriented Sabeans:

"The love of a man is for his neighbor,

but the love of the Lord is for all living beings." Sirach 13: 18. In Contrast, the Orthodox Jewish Model

is the Evil Shepherd described in the Torah.

In Genesis 9: 2-3 is a classic example of scriptures

used by the Judaeo-Christian-Islamic tradition to exploit God's Creatures.

Shiva (like Krishna in his incarnation as Govinda the cowherd) is the prototype for the Good Shepherd, an image that has been "humanized," that is, treated in a human-centered fashion, by the Judaeo-Christian-Islamic tradition which uses the image of the good shepherd not literally, but figuratively, as referring to a rabbi or priest who is benevolent to his human flock. The late Jewish prophets, however, most of whom were vegetarian, and Jesus, who constantly quoted them, used the image literally, meaning one who in actuality does care for other creatures and protect their lives. Jesus' cleansing of the temple, which involved not only overturning the money-changers' tables, but also chasing the animals to be sacrificed out of the temple, as well as the rationale for cleansing the temple, given in 10: 4-5 of "Epistle to the Hebrews," show a Jesus taking the Good Shepherd image so literally that it led to his death. Perhaps one of the best summaries of what comprises the Good Shepherd as opposed to what

comprises the Evil Shepherd is given by Zechariah who spoke words so truthful that they have been scrupulously ignored by all carnivorous orthodoxies for almost two millennia.

"Thus said the Lord my God: `Become shepherd of the flock doomed to slaughter. Those who buy them slay them and go unpunished; and those who sell them say, "Blessed be the Lord, I have become rich"; and their own shepherds have no pity on them." Zechariah 11: 4-5.

Job as an Archetype of the Evil Shepherd.

Orthodox Scholars base their interpretations of a Positive Job, who is the Archetype of the Patient Man are based solely on the last chapter, less than 5% of the narrative, not the body of the Book of Job.

In contrast to the Sabeian and Vaishnava vegetarian traditions which teach compassion towards all the creatures of Shiva or Krishna, both of whom have the designation of Lord of Creatures, Job is the archetype of the Evil Shepherd, not the Good Shepherd, and his sufferings and his misery occupy a very large part of the Book of Job and are not at all explained by the last chapter, which is as superficial a revision of a work of literature as can be imagined, which does not at all follow logically from the preceding narratives.

The dialogues of the narrative show, again and again, with a redundancy that is profound, that Job suffers because he causes suffering. His body and spirit feel butchered because he has butchered God's creatures. He feels as if he is being slain by God because he is in fact the slayer of God's creatures. In other words, in our Judaeo-Christian-Islamic history, Job is interpreted over and over again as a universal symbol of patience, because scholars from these carnivorous and animal-sacrificing traditions prefer to base their conclusions from a final chapter which occupies less than 5 % of the narrative, but which supports animal slaughter, instead of from the body of the work occupying over 95 % of the narrative, which relentlessly and profoundly affirms that God is totally just, that all creatures are to be cared for, and that if we do not care for them, there will be karmic repercussions, as there are in the life of Job, who suffers profoundly, who claims to believe in God's word (as presented by Jewish orthodoxy), who is cynical, who curses his fate, who claims God is unjust, and who is not at all resigned to the will of God as it manifests itself in his suffering body and spirit.

Karma as an ever present theme in Job:

"Think now, who that was innocent ever perished? Or where were the upright cut off? As I have seen, those who plow iniquity and sow trouble reap the same. By the breath of God they perish, and by the blast of his anger they are consumed." 4: 7-9

Sufferings Proceed from Thwarting God's Will

"For affliction does not come from the dust, nor does trouble sprout from the ground..." 5: 6.

Karma proceeds from the Will of a Benevolent Deity:

"He frustrates the devices of the crafty, so that their hands achieve no success. He takes the wise in their own craftiness; and the schemes of the wily are brought to a quick end....But he saves the fatherless...the needy from the hand of the mighty..." 5: 12-16.

The results of good karma, of being compassionate to other creatures, are totally in keeping with Sabeian values. The majority of Sabeans or Shaivites are vegetarian and compassion for all animal life is their attribute, not the exploitation of other creatures, which Judaeo-Christian and Sunni Muslims say is justified by Genesis 9: 2-3, the brutal covenant and Genesis 1: 26-28, which says humans have the right to subdue other creatures and have dominion over them. The God who creates creation in six days and rests on the Seventh Day, is Shiva, whose name in ancient Hebrew, Sheba, means Seven. Also known as Shiva Pasupati, Lord of Creatures. Shiva is a prototype, like Krishna, his comrade deity in the Hindu pantheon, of the Good Shepherd. It is the Sabeian model of an All-compassionate God, that is referred to by the Zophar, Bildad, Eliphaz, and Elihu, Job's questioners. And it is this same deity commanding compassion that is referred to by the late Jewish prophets, not the orthodox Jewish God sanctioning the brutal atrocities commanded in the Torah, and rationalized in "Leviticus" as atoning sacrifices. Shiva, or Saba, Lord of Creatures, is seen by inference throughout the Book of Job. For example, Eliphaz the Temanite reminds Job that he suffers because he deserves it:

"Think now, who that was innocent ever perished? Or where were the upright cut off? As I have seen, those who plow iniquity and sow trouble reap the same. By the breath of God they perish, and by the blast of his anger they are consumed." 4: 7-9

Eliphaz affirms that the Life of the Carnivore is a Life of Suffering.
Lions as a Symbol of Human Carnivorism.

And Eliphaz gets right to the point through the imagery of his language. Using lions as his easily perceived representative or symbol of the human carnivore, Eliphaz lets Job know that the way of the carnivore is a way of iniquity and trouble.

"The roar of the lion, the voice of the fierce lion, the teeth of the young lions, are broken. The strong lion perishes for lack of prey, and the whelps of the lioness are scattered." 4: 10-11.

Our Sufferings are Deserved.

The Results of Good Karma are Contrasted with the Bad Karma of Job.

Vegetarianism results in a Personal Sense of Security:

"At destruction and famine you shall laugh, and shall not fear the beasts of the earth. For you shall be in league with the stones of the field, and the beasts of the field shall be at peace with you. You shall know your tent is safe, and you shall inspect your fold and miss nothing." 5: 22-24

"Does God pervert justice? Or does the Almighty pervert the right?" 8: 3

"If your children have sinned against him, he has delivered them into the power of their transgression." 8: 4

"If you will seek God and make supplication to the Almighty, if you are pure and upright, surely then he will rouse himself for you and reward you with a rightful habitation. And though your beginning was small, your latter days will be very great." 8: 5-7

"If you set your heart aright....you will forget your misery...you will have confidence, because there is hope; you will be protected and take your rest in safety. You will lie down and none will make you afraid." 11: 13, 16, 18-19.

"Does not calamity befall the unrighteous, and disaster the workers of iniquity?" 31: 3

The notion of karma, that all of our suffering is deserved, is repeated over and over in the Book of Job. Moreover, the repeated and emphatic imagery of animal slaughter and exploitation, of hunting, snaring, trapping, of being shot with arrows, of fishing, as well the imagery of butchery, of the dismembering of body parts, make it abundantly clear (to all but the orthodox reader in denial) are a quite deliberate commentary on the karma of the life of the patriarch cattleman Job, who is an archetype, a universal symbol of the Orthodox Cattleman and the Meat Industry that is absurdly justified by orthodox. Zophar, Bildad, Eliphaz and Elihu make it quite plain to the reader that Job's suffering is the bad karma of the cattleman, in modern parlance, of those who profit by and eat the products of the meat industry, whose promotion of the brutality of animal slaughter and the unhealthy diet of corpse-eating, that is, the eating of meat, is condoned by the orthodoxies of Judaism, and its cultural offspring, Christianity and Islam, whose belief systems control or guide a large proportion of the earth's population.

Job doesn't like his vegetables.

Vegetation as a Symbol of the Spirit

Vegetarianism Versus Carnivorism

In order to live peacefully with the beasts of the earth, which is a Shaivite law governing the Sabeans, one by definition cannot kill them. The laws commanding sacrifices in the Torah is a total perversion of the Hindu Shaivite and Vaishnava traditions commanding vegetarianism. We even have a variation on the Righteous Bough or Righteous Branch imagery in that the righteous are seen to die as plants die, when they are ready to be harvested.

You shall know also that your descendants shall be many, and your offspring as the grass of the earth. You shall come to your grave in ripe old age, as a shock of grain comes up to the threshing floor in its season." 5: 22-27.

Job rebels, however, against the given lesson on how to achieve calm and longevity. He rebels against grass eating, and, like the carnivorous orthodoxies in the world, the addicts to flesh-eating, does not want to acknowledge that his misery comes from his cruelty towards the creatures with whom he could have lived in peace. Job doesn't like his vegetables. Vegetables, says Job, are not exciting foods. Here Job reveals himself as totally thoughtless regarding the feelings of other creatures. The fact that flesh tastes good to him is more important than the fact that other creatures are oppressed and killed for his meal.

"Does the wild ass bray when he has grass, or the ox low over his fodder? Can that which is tasteless be eaten without salt, or is there any taste in the slime of the purslane? My appetite refuses to touch them; they are as food that is loathsome to me." 6: 5-7.

Over and Over Again,
Job's Suffering is likened to the Suffering of Animals.
Much of Job is a Commentary on the False Covenant,
the Covenant of Carnivorism in Genesis 9: 2-3,
written by False Scribes, justifying fear and terror being inflicted on other creatures.

Here in Chapter 6 Job begins what will be an ongoing motif in the fabric of the Book of Job: he uses cruelty and brutality towards animals to describe the state of his own body/ spirit, without ostensibly seeing the correlation between his personal suffering and the suffering his has inflicted on other creatures when he sacrificed them. The terror that the false covenant of carnivorism of Gen. 9: 2-3 justifies, is in fact felt by Job himself.

"For the arrows of the Almighty are in me; my spirit drinks their poison; the terrors of God are arrayed against me." 6: 4

When reproaching Eliphaz for being indifferent to his pain, Job is talking about his own attitude as a slaughterer of animal, and as one who sells their flesh to others, and the cattleman's attitude towards the animals slaughtered.

"You would even cast lots over the fatherless, and bargain over your friend." (6: 27)

One may add: as humans at the meat market bargain over the price of murdered flesh, with no concern over the brutal atrocity committed upon another feeling flesh and blood creature.

The Karma of Job in Despair

"When I lie down I say, "When shall I arise?" But the night is long, and I am full of tossing till the dawn. My flesh is clothed with worms and dirt: my skin hardens, then breaks out afresh. My days are swifter than a weaver's shuttle, and come to their end without hope." 7: 4-6.

Bildad's reassertion of Divine Justice:
that Job does not suffer unjustly.

Bildad reasserts that all of Divinity's actions are for a reason and can be learned from by the attentive sufferer.

"Does God pervert justice? Or does the Almighty pervert the right?" 8: 3

This is in fact what Job has been complaining about, that he does not deserve to suffer at God's hands, because the (rewritten) Torah sanctions animal sacrifices. And by implication Job

is asserting that he is not meaningfully or significantly connected, is not organically connected, if you like, with the rest of the feeling flesh and blood beings in the world. Bildad concisely affirms that the children of Job have likewise experienced the karma of their actions: "If your children have sinned against him, he has delivered them into the power of their transgression." 8: 4 Bildad, though critical of Job's attempts to defend his feelings of despair and cynicism, still affirms to Job that there is hope for his future, if he will genuinely seek God and purify himself, that is, eliminate his cattleman's ways, and the eating of flesh. This interpretation is only too obvious a conclusion from the description of Job as the ineffectual carnivore-lion.

"If you will seek God and make supplication to the Almighty, if you are pure and upright, surely then he will rouse himself for you and reward you with a rightful habitation. And though your beginning was small, your latter days will be very great." 8: 5-7

The God of the Sabeans is not only Just but Loving

"If you will seek God and make supplication to the Almighty, if you are pure and upright, surely then he will rouse himself for you and reward you with a rightful habitation. And though your beginning was small, your latter days will be very great." 8: 5-7

Job is Not Patient

Job says that he is innocent, that God is Unjust
that God's Actions are Meaningless,
And that "the earth is given into the hand of the wicked."

"For he crushes me with a tempest, and multiplies my wounds without cause. 9: 17. "I am blameless...It is all one: therefore I say, he destroys both the blameless and the wicked. When disaster brings sudden death, he mocks at the calamity of the innocent. The earth is given into the hand of the wicked; he covers the faces of its judges--if it is not he, who then is it? 9: 21-24

Job as a Carnivore-Predator

Job ironically describes himself as a carnivorous eagle without apparently sensing his own limited vision. If he is a predator, does he not need to learn not to prey on other creatures, and instead live harmoniously with them?

"My days are swifter than a runner...like an eagle swooping on the prey." 9" 25-26

Indirection and Irony:

God Justice is to Job, What Job's Oppression is to Cattle.

One of the great joys of explicating the Book of Job has been experiencing the empathy of the author of the book, whoever that might be, and discovering the author's insistence on indirection, on letting the reader (or the listener) experience the moral of the Book through the

mouth of Job himself. Job ironically vies with his questioners as far as being his own best analyst. If Job were to listen to himself, and apply his own criticisms of God's unjust treatment of him to his unjust treatment of the animals he has oppressed, enslaved and sacrificed--all of these acts are corollaries to being a cattleman--then Job would have the means to reform himself, a rationale for reformation coming out of his own mouth. And here in the Book of Job, recognized as being one of the oldest books of the Old Testament, we experience a technique that we consider to be modern, and expect in modern writers who are apt to shy away from direct moralizing: a combination of irony and indirection. I would suggest to the reader that Moses used the same technique in Psalm 90.

The Hermetic Tradition: As above So below; As within So without
As we treat Creation, the Creator treats us.
As Job treats the Animals,
He is treated.

But make no mistake about it. The irony and indirection are used precisely to show how Job the cattleman's indifference to the feelings and lives of other creatures is parallel to Job's assertion that God is indifferent to the feelings of Job. This is constantly seen in Job, with plenty of illustrations in Chapter 10. Job says to God:

"Does it seem good to thee to oppress, to despise the work of thy hands and favor the designs of the wicked: Has thou eyes of flesh?....Thy hands fashioned and made me: and now thou dost turn about and destroy me." 10: 3-4, 8.

The Watchtower translation of the above is more in keeping with the relentless irony in the tone and theme of the book: Job says to God "Your own hands have shaped me...yet you would swallow me up." 10: 8. Just as, we must add, Job has swallowed up other creatures. Only the totally obtuse reader would not understand that the God Job refers to also created the animals Job raises and slays, that cattle are the work of God's hands as well, as the earliest verses of Genesis and the Vegetarian Covenant of Genesis point out, both of which are in harmony with the Sabeian background of the work. Cattle like men have eyes of flesh and it makes no sense indeed to create a being in order to slaughter it, since nothing good is gained either by the animal or by the eater of the sacrificed animals' corpse, certainly not optimal health, only the satisfaction of an addiction. As witnessed by our hospital beds full of sufferers of cholesterol-related diseases, there are no real winners in the game of carnivorism. The oppressed, enslaved and slaughtered animal loses its dignity and life, the carnivore loses her/his health. Only base appetites are satisfied: the egotistical desire to dominate another creature to the degree of killing it; and the satisfying of the addiction to eat corpses.

The Carnivore-Lion Imagery Once Again:
Job is treated as he treated animals.

Job is hunted by God in the likeness of a lion, because Job the carnivore has regarded other animals as they are regarded by predatory lions, as prey, and as food, not as sacred creatures to be respected.

"And if I lift myself up, thou dost hunt me like a lion, and again work wonders against me; thou dost renew thy witnesses against me, and increase thy vexation toward me; thou dost bring fresh hosts against me." 10:16-17

Diet: the Belly and the Burial Place
And Jesus' "Hidden Graves"

Job, who touts his own intelligence over and over, who reminds his questioners that they see nothing that Job himself does not see, nevertheless seemingly fails over and over again to see the irony and karma not only of his situation, but of his verbal description of his situation. Job has treated cattle as a predator, so God preys on Job.

And 10: 19 is as incisive a commentary on carnivorism as is Jesus' description of the carnivorous Pharisees as unseen graves. Though this interpretation is considered unorthodox by the orthodox, I must remind the reader that a growing number of people are now understanding the vegetarian implications of the cleansing of the temple and the mission of Jesus to abolish the animal sacrifices that is voiced in "Epistle to the Hebrews." Charles Vaclavic's *The Vegetarianism and Pacifism of Jesus*, and Keith Aker's *The Lost Mission of Jesus* both have much information on the vegetarianism of Jesus that is ignored by Christian orthodoxy. And even a mainstream T.V. Series, "From Jesus to Christ" omitted the word "men's" from Jesus' description of the Pharisees as whitened sepulchers full of dead bones," which is precisely the way in which Conybeare translates the phrase in his *The Origins of Christianity*. In other words, instead of describing the hypocrites as whitened sepulchers full of dead men's bones, making the symbol meaningful from a human perspective only, Conybeare and the editor of part one of "From Jesus to Christ" omit the word men's and make the image consonant with Jesus calling the Pharisees "hidden graves." Talking of his own birth, Job in a state of despair says

"From the belly to the burial place I should have been brought" (10: 19)

And how can the aware reader not understand that the belly of Job is in fact a burial place for sacrificed animals, that his body too is an unseen grave?

If nothing else, longevity statistics from time immemorial in the Rg Vedas to the present from our most advanced medical research labs verify this correlation: like it or not, vegetarians live longer and healthier lives. This is indisputable. When Job asks "Why didst thou bring me forth from they womb? (10: 18)," he asks the same question any of the creatures he has exploited and sacrificed could ask. In other words, the author, obviously sympathetic to the Sabeian view, the Shaivite concepts of regarding other creatures with compassion and protecting them, is saying that our suffering is not mysterious; it is caused by the fact that we cause suffering to other creatures.

We also see in the Book of Job an affirmation of Grace as well as Karma, in scriptures affirming that though Deity is Just, Deity is also Love, and that Love has its own indefinable transforming power, as Zophar the Na'amathite reminds us in Chapter 11.

God's Grace Exceeds God's Justice:

"Know then that God exacts of you less than your guilt deserves." 11: 6

The Refrain of the Later Jewish Prophets:

"And none will make you afraid."

Compassion versus angst, anxiety, depression, despair.

Zophar challenges Job's blindness towards other creatures.

Early in Chapter 11 Zophar counters Job's view of life as meaningless, and is relentless in affirming that God is not unjust: "If he passes through, and imprisons, and calls to judgment, who can hinder him? For he knows worthless men; when he sees iniquity, will he not consider it." 11: 10-11.

Zophar says to Job "you say `My doctrine is pure, and I am clean in God's eyes," but Zophar asserts to Job that his suffering, great as Job makes it out to be, is not at great as it could be: "Know then that God exacts of you less than your guilt deserves." 11: 6 Zophar tells Job that if he decides to have a change of heart--and that's what the entire story of Job is about, the value of turning away from oppressing other beings and living instead harmoniously with them--if he leaves behind his iniquity, his oppression and slaughter of cattle, and that if there is no wickedness in Job's tent--which we can infer as a reference to one of Job's storage tents in which he kept the dismembered flesh of the slaughtered cattle that he will sell--that Job can still have a good and happy life without dread of other beings.

Ancient and Modern Angst

The Institution of Psychiatry Attempts to Base all Human Suffering

On Human-Related Traumas, and Totally Ignores

Our relationships with the Rest of Creation.

And let me say that the Eastern Hindus, Jains and Buddhists have been infinitely wiser regarding how to eliminate depression and despair than the European and U.S. institutions of psychiatry (and psychology) which base themselves on investigating childhood traumas as the source of human angst, and which totally ignore the radically traumatic act of brutalizing other creatures and ingesting their adrenaline, cholesterol, and chemical infested corpses. One may conclude that the institution of psychiatry is defensively pervaded by a disproportionate number of Jews because the Jewish patriarchy, the rich Jewish ranchers of the present, like rich orthodox Christian and Muslim ranchers understand that it is useful to the cause of orthodoxies based on the exploitation of other creatures, on subduing them and having dominion over them, and these orthodoxies will do anything they can to turn human consciousness away from the evil and depressing effects of a carnivorous diet, and insist instead on the absurdity, the stupidity really, of saying all human traumas are human-based, and have nothing to do with our relationships with other creatures.

On the positive side, medical research on the effects of cholesterol is not so ironically helping to crumble the wobbly pillars of a human-centered science of psychiatry.

In Chapter 11 Zophar attempts both to console Job and to provide for Job a means of consolation. We are reminded of the passage in Chapter 5 stating that Job will be at peace with the animals. And the reader familiar with the traditional texts of Hinduism and Buddhism will understand that the Vaishnava Hindu worshipping Krishna and the Shaivite Hindu worshipping Shiva are both taught that a morbid fear of death is eliminated by compassionate vegetarian living and by chanting mantras reminding the chanter of the holy and compassionate actions of their deities.

And None Will Make You Afraid

"If you set your heart aright....you will forget your misery...you will have confidence, because there is hope; you will be protected and take your rest in safety. You will lie down and none will make you afraid." 11: 13, 16, 18-19.

"And none will make you afraid" is a chorus sung by the late Jewish vegetarian prophets, as well as being a Hindu, Jain and Buddhist truism on karma: the less fear caused, the less fear felt.

The Rationalizations of the Torah

Versus the Compassion and Truth of the Late Jewish Prophets

Zephaniah

Zephaniah, 3: 13, is practically identical: "You shall and lie down and none shall make you afraid." And Zephaniah's statements come immediately after his condemnation of Israel's officials as lions, i.e. carnivores. Zephaniah specifically mentions pure offerings as coming even from beyond Ethiopia. Students of history know that Saba, or Seba, i.e. Siva, was worshiped in Ethiopia and that untainted scriptures such as the indisputably vegetarian Ethiopic Book of Noah and the Book of Enoch were being preserved by Ethiopians while they were at the same time being destroyed by the patriarchs of orthodox Judaism. In the Ethiopic works Noah very specifically and unequivocally condemns the killing, and the eating of other animals. No wonder the Judaeo-Christian-Islamic orthodoxies have hidden these scriptures from you, presented you with abridged versions of them, and marginalized their importance. To present their congregations with these bodies of scripture would be to saw off the very thin limb on which the carnivorous orthodoxies of Judaism, Christianity, and Islam sit.

Isaiah

Isaiah, speaking of Damascus, where animals were sacrificed, says "Damascus will cease to be a city, and will become a heap of ruins. Her cities will be deserted forever; they will be for flocks, which will lie down, and none will make them afraid." 17: 1-2. And Isaiah in 18: 1 also refers to Ethiopia, the culture of the Sabaeans and Shiva and the preserver of purity. The Sabaeans of course are the animal rights militants, the Shaivites, who released Job's cattle and,

like Cain killing Abel, killed his servants, whom we may infer did Job's dirty work of slaughtering the cattle. "Just doing my job following orders" said the Nazis at the Nuremberg Trials after WWII.

Micah

Here too is an echo of the well known passage in Micah, who not only affirms that there should be no war against beasts, but that when the purity of Israel is restored, there shall be peace among humans as well: "...and they shall beat their swords into plowshares, and their spears into pruning hooks; nation shall not lift up sword against nation, neither shall they learn war any more; but they shall sit every man under his vine and under his fig tree, and none shall make them afraid..." 4: 3-4.

We can easily go one better than Micah and see a time when there is in fact no agricultural invasion of the creature-inhabited earth and its ecosystems. In some translations of the Gospel of Barnabas, which shows Jesus predicting the coming of Ahmed or Muhammad, Jesus also says that when the Deliverer comes he will plead for the lives of creeping things, such as insects as well. Where is there an earth uninhabited by creatures? The Brahmans and Jains, the devotees of the Ethiopic Book of Enoch, Rechabites, Arab Nabateans, and earliest Christians and Muslims, and the Mayans leaving Tollan all understood the ultimate sanctity of all creation as it is. Humans not only cannot, they are not to attempt to improve, creation.

Ezekiel

Ezekiel uses the same refrain in describing the restoration and of Israel to its vegetarian purity, a time when neither beast nor feed on one another: "They shall no more be a prey to the nations, nor shall the beasts of the land devour them; they shall dwell securely, and none shall make them afraid." 34: 28. Chapter 34 of Ezekiel is of course a classic description of the good shepherd and of vegetarian Judaism, much like Zechariah 11: 4-6.

Looking ahead to the study of Islam and the Quran we will see a repeated refrain in the Quran, which is a direct reference to the phrase "and none shall make them afraid." Over and over again, Muhammad, whose preferred diet, even according to Sunni Muslims, was vegetarian, channeled the following phrase in the Quran over and over again: "There shall no fear come upon them neither shall they grieve."

But if the wicked persist in their carnivorous ways, oppressing and killing animals (and still wish to feel joy), says Zophar in the last line of Chapter 11, lots of luck; death will be the escape from their despair: "the eyes of the wicked will fail; all way of escape will be lost to them, and their hope is to breathe their last." Those who chronically experience intense suffering or despair finally wish to die rather than live in a suffering state. Our personal experiences with loved ones are more than adequate proof that this is so.

The Sabeans play a pervasively important part in the Book of Job. They are partly responsible for the downfall of Job's family dynasty. The Sabeans kill Job's sons and servants, all of whom follow the ways of patriarchal orthodox Judaism, the culture which not only

sacrifices animals, but which claims that such sacrifices are necessary in order for humans to atone for their sins, as stated in "Leviticus." To the superficial reader, the Sabeans then disappear. But they don't disappear at all. In fact their Way permeates the Book of Job: the values of Eliphaz, Bildad and Zophar, Job's more elderly friends and questioners, and the values of Elihu, who believes his elders have been unsuccessful in confronting Job with the validity of his suffering as a manifestation of God's justice, and finally, the values of God, as presented previous to the final chapter, are all Sabeian values

Destroying Corrupt and Violent Worlds

How the Revisers of the Torah Corrupted the Original Jewish Ethic
as seen in the Ethiopic Book of Enoch.

The Justification for the Flood: Violence, Corruption, Animal Sacrifices.

How the Creation-Centered Religion Ethic of the Book of Enoch
Was Changed to the Human-Centered Ethic of the "Book of Genesis."

"Orthodox Scholar" is an Oxymoron.

One of the most enlightening scholars regarding the Book of Enoch is James C. Vanderkam of the University of Notre Dame. Although VanderKam's study of the Ethiopic Book of Enoch puts forth more questions than answers, the honesty of the questions themselves lets the reader know that the perspective of the (creation-centered) Book of Enoch was far different than the (human-centered) laws purportedly given to Moses on Mt. Sinai. VanderKam remarks quite accurately that the Decalogue and the laws given to Moses on Sinai have almost nothing to do with the morality of the Book of Enoch. It is indisputable that this is true. While the Decalogue focuses on humanity's relationship with the Divine and with other humans, the Book of Enoch, like the vegetarian covenant of Genesis 1: 28-29, is creation-centered, and refers to the ideal morality of all creatures, when it indisputably infers that all creatures are to be vegetarian.

Orthodox Jews cannot adequately interpret Genesis 1: 30, a scripture in which Deity commands all creatures to eat vegetation, because it was not a scripture born of what we call orthodox Judaism, but instead is a scripture that has a clear Hindu/Jain source, one which acknowledges, as Judaism does not, that other creatures have sentience and free will.

It is unthinkable for the orthodox Jew, for the orthodox Christian, and for the orthodox Sunni Muslim, to think that other creatures are sentient beings with free will.

And to every beast of the earth and to every bird of the air and to everything that creeps on the earth, everything that has the breath of life, I have given every green plant for food. Genesis 1: 30.

Instead of acknowledging the obvious, that Deity does not give commands to those who have no free will to obey or disobey them, orthodox religions relegate other creatures to the status of instinctual automatons, as the scripture falsely attributed to Peter in Peter 2: 12, which regards ungodly humans to be "like irrational animals, creatures of instinct, born to be caught and killed." But this is not at all the attitude of 1: 30, for Genesis 1: 30 itself confirms that other creatures of flesh do make choices, and Genesis 6: 12 confirms that it was because "all flesh" had become corrupt and violent, that the Deluge was sent to purge the earth of its evil.

"the earth was corrupt, for all flesh had corrupted its ways upon the earth" Genesis 6:12

And in the first chapter of the Book of Enoch Deity similarly announces His intention "to convict all flesh" and to correct the errors which humans have attributed to the Divine. In other words, there is also to be a correcting of human errors regarding the Divine Word of scriptures.

9 And behold! He cometh with ten thousands of His holy ones
To execute judgement upon all,
And to destroy all the ungodly:
And to convict all flesh
Of all the works of their ungodliness which they have ungodly committed,
And of all the hard things which ungodly sinners have spoken against Him.

Thus, both "Genesis" and the Book of Enoch have this notion in common, that all flesh has consciousness and will, that all flesh can choose to be corrupt and violent, and that Deity will punish or destroy all flesh that has chosen to be violent and corrupt.

Giants and Fallen Angels who Marry the Daughters of Men

Furthermore, both 'Genesis' and the Book of Enoch relate the evil, the violence and corruption of all flesh, with the giants who were on earth, who married the daughters of men, giants who have become corrupt. The giants in Enoch are described as the Fallen Angels who married the daughters of men, and likewise were corrupt, teaching their evil ways to humans as well.

The "Book of Genesis" is Vague regarding
what the specific acts of violence and corruption are.
The Ethiopic Book of Enoch, on the other hand, is Specific.

The rewriter of "Genesis" however, for obvious reasons, refuses to specify the violence and corruption that have caused God to desire to destroy the world. The Book of Enoch, however is quite specific: all bloodshed, whether of other creatures or humans, mining, making weaponry, cosmetics, writing, are all evil. In other words, creation is to be let alone, not exploited. Humans are to live and be satisfied with the plants of creation. Numerous passages in Enoch describe trees, vegetation in general, and their uses, as food, spice, shade. In other words, industrial civilization is not acceptable. Humans are simply an equal part of the landscape of creation, not favored creatures who may subdue, have dominion over, or eat other creatures, or have other creatures be in the state of dread and terror. Those are the marks of Fallen Humanity, the result of humans accepting the evil teachings of demons, or as put in the Book of Enoch, the "sorceries of fallen angels."

Deity destroys those who have sacrificed animals.

In Isaias' classic denunciation of animal sacrifices, Isaias links the animal sacrifices with Sodom and Gomorrah. Deity destroys those cultures which destroy the creatures of the Creator. And according to Origen, who was obviously well read in the Book of Enoch, those who kill the creatures of the Creator are apostates, traitors against the will of the Creator, and their actions, in particular their animal sacrifices, move Deity to cause natural disasters in order to destroy those who have become violent.

VanderKam also asks the very poignant question: "Was Enoch chosen to make a wider appeal than Moses who lived after the nation of Israel had begun?" In asking such a question he is taking it for granted that the scriptures regarding Moses are true scriptures, but narrow perhaps in their focus, whereas the scriptures of Enoch quite obviously embrace the behavior of all creatures. In fact it is the ethic of the Book of Enoch that is in harmony with the vegetarian covenant of Genesis 1: 29-30, and not the Decalogue, which is obviously a fabrication by one of the writers revising the Torah.

Just as Edgar Allan Poe wrote an aesthetic that focussed on rhythm, one of Poe's strongest aspects in his poetry, so too VanderKam, like most carnivorous scholars, embraces a philosophy or religious view which justifies the animal sacrifices and carnivorism. VanderKam, however, asks honest questions which can lead the true scholar to new discoveries. Most orthodox scholars don't bother doing so. This view is seen to its extreme, for example, in works by scholars which actually insist that Isaias was not against animal sacrifices, whether in the Temple or not, in spite of the overwhelming evidence in his scriptures that he regarded cultures practicing animal sacrifices as worthy of destruction, like Sodom and Gomorrah, and in spite of Isaias' eschatological view that in the Final Times all creatures would live peacefully together.

The term Orthodox Scholar is an Oxymoron
For Orthodox Scholars have Orthodox Axes to Grind.

It is because of such absurdities that it has been posited that the term "orthodox scholar" is an oxymoron, because orthodoxy by definition does not go beyond the acceptable boundaries of the status quo, whereas the true scholar accepts no boundaries, and accepts no a priori theories, when investigating the truth. The absurdity of the orthodox position was evidenced by the attitude of virtually all orthodox scholars who said that the Dead Sea Scrolls did not at all challenge orthodox Christianity or orthodox Judaism. To the contrary, the Essenes' denunciations of animal sacrifices and their acceptance of the family of creation showed they were creation-centered, and not human centered, adherents of the original and only real dietary covenant between God and creation that is seen in Gen. 1: 29-30. As Sirach said: "The love of a man is for his neighbor, but the love of God is for all living beings." The Book of Enoch and the Essenes, as well as the late Jewish prophets, and the only true Jesus, the Ebionite Jesus, and his associates, were likewise adherents to that covenant.

Finally, however, even the orthodox scholar must admit that the Book of Enoch and "Genesis" agree on:

1. The Vegetarian Covenant,
2. That All Flesh has Consciousness and Free Will (for you do not give a command to those who have no freedom to execute or disobey it.)
3. That the Giants or Fallen Angels were involved with the corruption and violence.
4. And that Deity came "to convict all flesh," not just humans.

Evasive Orthodoxies Call Disagreeable Scriptures Allegorical or Symbolic.

One of the evasive ploys of the orthodox scholar, however, as seen in Catholic Editions of the "Book of Zechariah," is to call all attacks on orthodoxy's beliefs, such as Zechariah's denunciation of those who kill animals and sell their flesh for profit, as allegorical, that is, as not having literal value. In other words, the Catholic Editors of the Bible are saying "Whatever agrees with the Roman Catholic Church's views are true, and whatever disagrees must be seen as allegorical or symbolical, not literally true." This is the attribute of the escapist, the elitist, and the egotist, who does not want to consider even the possibility that his or her views need correcting. The orthodoxies of the world not only have God complexes, saying their evils are sanctioned by God, which is bad enough, but because they regard their own orthodox beliefs as given by God, they are beyond correction. They are Perfect. They mirror the Divine Mind. In so many words, that is what the rabbinical orthodoxy of Judaism, the fundamentalists of Christianity, and the Sunni Muslim tradition is saying: We already have the perfect tradition that needs no correcting.

Egotism and elitism are the attributes of all those who claim to have the perfect scriptures. And such egotism and elitism are part and parcel of the power struggle of those wishing, through revising the scriptures, to sanction their profit-making through the meat and animal foods industries, and to also sanction oligarchies or plutocracies, the rule of the rich, that is, governments of the rich enslaving those beneath them, as do all the industrial governments of the world. Justify the slaughtering and eating of animals, justify economic slavery through the scriptures, and we have an accurate scenario of our times.

The Sabeian or Shaivite Background of the Book of Job:

Job recognizes the Purity of the Rechabites.

Job's family is destroyed by the God of Storm,

One of the Attributes of Shiva. Rahab

Jonadab and the Rechabites

A recognition of the tradition of extreme purity,

of being compassionate towards all animals. Job Recognizes the Tradition of Purity of the Tradition of Rahab, or the Rechabites,

who did not even cultivate the ground,

so that they would not offend the creatures of the earth living there,

and says that even they fear God's will. In other words Job is saying that

"It doesn't matter if you're pure. God treats you the same."

"He is the maker of the Bear and the Orion, the Pleiades and the constellations of the south. He performs wonders that cannot be fathomed, miracles that cannot be counted. When he passes me, I cannot perceive him. If he snatches away, who can stop him? Who can say to him "what are you doing?" God does not restrain his anger; Meven the cohorts of Rahab cowered at his feet." 9: 9-13.

Rahab and Rechab may be seen as identical.

Jonadab the Rechabite.

The prefix of his name, Jona, comes from the Jana Tradition.
The Rechabites represented the pure remnant of original Judaism.

As is elaborated on in the chapter on the Etymology of Genesis, the prefix of Jonadab's name, Jona, like the name of Jonah, comes from the Jana (Gana) or Jain tradition. The Jain tradition of vegetarian renunciates (who refused even to till the soil because it is the home of living creatures) lived on in Judaism, no doubt from the very beginnings of Judaism. Though those beginnings have been obscured by the rewriting of the Torah in order to favor animal sacrifices, the Rechabite tradition existed into the days of early Christianity. It is a Rechabite who pleads for the life of James the brother of Jesus when he is being beaten. (Ecclesiastical History by Eusebius).

Orthodox writers have not discussed the Rechabites at length, because they represent a purity that threatens the status quo of the animal sacrifices. This is also why the Essenes were so succinctly dealt with by orthodox scholars, most of whom predictably claimed that the discovery of Essene writings, the Dead Sea Scrolls, did not threaten orthodox Judaism and Christianity.

Now excellent web sites exist on the net dealing with the Essene, Nazarene, and Mandeian vegetarian traditions, showing, to the discerning reader, how they constitute the true remnant of vegetarian purity associated with original Judaism.

God Wounds Job as Job had wounded and killed Animals.
In Job's mind God is not Just.

"Though I were innocent, I could not answer him: I could only plead with my Judge for mercy. Even if I summoned him and he responded, I do not believe he would give me a hearing. He would crush me with a storm and multiply my wounds for no reason. He would not let me regain my breath but would overwhelm me with misery."

We have seen that a storm killed Job's children. Job recognizes Deity as God of the Storm, as Shiva was recognized by Sabeans as the God of Thunder and Storm, God of the Whirlwind. Among the Jews, this aspect of Deity is seen as the Sabaoth, the Lord of Hosts, who is in the cloud of fire that protects the Israelites from the Egyptians pursuing them, and who guides him in their pilgrimage to Canaan, land of milk and honey, named after Kannan, a name of Vishnu or Krishna among Tamil Hindus.

How animal flesh clogs not only the heart but the brain.
Carnivorism is the diet of those who subdue the earth.
How the scriptures have been rewritten to sanction evil.

It is not our words--words which claim we are just--
But our actions, all of them, which define us,
Actions as simple as the meals we take,
The offerings to the temple that is our body:

We are what we eat, and what is that?:
The flowers, fruit, seeds, vegetation of the field,
Or the products of enslaved creatures,
And the bodies of murdered animals?
More than cocaine, and more than heroin,
the meat on the plate is the most addictive of drugs,
for it puts you in the frequency, not of harmony with,
but of power over, the animals,
the once living beasts, some of them so much bigger than you,
now dead, and you with spear or an ax,
a gun, a bulldozer, a sledge hammer,
or a knife or fork hovering over it...
Your increased heartbeat reiterating,
inwardly pounding your chest,
asserting you are a superior species,
or is it more intelligent?,
all of these rationalizations for being simply more brutal
than the once living being lying under you,
on your plate day after day.
Your plate of food is a mandala,
a symbol of your spirit,
and oppression and death are at the center.
Oh no, you respond: you have a God-given right!
Jehovah, God the father, Allah, you claim,
said that the animals are meant to be killed and eaten,
and this god, you say, in juxtaposition,
is nonetheless a god of infinite love and compassion,
and there is, you say, in fact, you insist, no contradiction.
So you exploit other creatures
as you repeat to yourselves
your scriptures of rationalization:
You deserve to dominate, to subdue the earth.
to cause terror and dread.
And how do you know
you deserve such a position,
to be the master of terror among the creatures?
You tell me, and you're absolutely serious
when you say: "The bible told me so."
Isn't it at least suspicious,
That even your medical researchers contradict your god.
that your all-healthy god commands you to eat
the food of unhealthiness and death?,
And that your all-compassionate god
commands oppression, slavery, brutality, and slaughter?

Your moral discernment is gone,
Suppressed in your brain by walls of animal fat.
The victors write history. The victors write scriptures.
They're doing it at this moment on the media on TV:
The U.S., that has killed millions of people in Korea, Vietnam, the Persian Gulf, Iraq, Panama,
Bosnia, is painted as innocent by the mainstream U.S. media.
The 300,000 people killed in the bombing of Baghdad are forgotten.
The 800,000 people killed, many of them children,
through U.S. sanctions, are forgotten.
But the 3,000 killed at the Trade Center are remembered.
Such a convenient state of historical amnesia for the thoughtless patriot,
and for the rich, who are always in jubilation during war,
for their profits increase as they never do in times of peace.
And so it went also in our past history,
For it was oh so easy
for an emperor like Constantine,
With the military by his side
to send into exile the teachers of compassion,
the teachers of vegetarianism and egalitarianism,
to pour lead down their throats, to kill them,
to confiscate their homes, to burn their original scriptures,
and to gather instead bogus bishops claiming to believe
what in fact the empire of Rome believed:
That slavery was valid, and sexism towards women,
and bigotry towards homosexuals,
and the disease-promoting diet of carnivorousism.
And so the New Testament was born,
with its jewels of compassion:
"Love your neighbor as your self"
"You cannot serve both God and Mammon"
and "I have come to free the captive"
(and the captive was the slave)
smothered in the dung of the morals of the Roman Empire,
come from the mouth of St. Paul:
"Obey all authority as coming from God,"
"Slaves obey your masters."
"Eat whatever is in the marketplace."
Those who are calm and detached
And removed from the demonic frequency know:
That those who are evil are shrewd:
They know how to perpetuate their empires of evil,
such as the orthodoxies of Judaism, Christianity and Islam,
and the industrialist governments of the world,
polluting our earth, our water, and our air,

and saying it is progress,
and saying that an omniscient god desires such evil.
Except in the ultimate sense,
those who are evil are not without cunning:
in the way they twist the past and tell lies about it
to make it fit and feed their greed,
like the patriarchs who overthrew the vegetarian Asherah gods
in the ancient days of Judaism.
The Asherah of Judaism were the Asura of India.
Asura is a name for almighty god in Hinduism;
Ausar is the name of Osiris in ancient Egypt.
Assyria was named after the Asura.
Once the world was united in the belief
That all humans were equal
And that we were to be compassionate to all creatures.
But such beliefs do not fuel the fires of the greedy,
for the rich are those who desire more than the rest,
the rich are those who by definition
do not love their neighbors as themselves.
So ancient patriarchs overthrew the Asherah
and the vegetarian covenant of Genesis,
and rewrote the nonsense that claims to be from God
in the account of Noah and the Ark:
that the all compassionate God desired
that other creatures live in fear and dread of man.
So too, Paul and Constantine twisted the teachings of Jesus,
concocting scriptures, for example,
that say women are inferior and to be disciplined,
and as a result of such an attitude,
Many women are battered and beaten.
So the bishops of Costantine wrote scriptures that showed hate
toward whatever they did not understand,
that are bigoted towards homosexuals,
inciting vicious, violent, bloody hate crimes against them.
Do you comply with the brain-dead,
the spiritual zombies of orthodoxy,
who are neither logical nor loving?
Do you, like the orthodoxies of Judaism, Christianity and Sunni Islam
all the while persist in preaching the insanity
that your way is somehow true,
that you are really following a god of infinite compassion
at the same time that you are oppressing, enslaving,
and killing the creatures that the all-compassionate one created?
And it was not hard for the followers of Muhammad

To gather all the copies of the Koran,
to remove most of the surahs
advocating compassion to all creatures,
and to put in their place sanctions
for killing animals and eating them,
thus continuing the deceptions of the Hebrews overthrowing the Asherah,
and the deceptions Constantine subverting the Ebionite tradition,
and continuing a tradition of lies.
Even the Sunnis admit Muhammad's preferred diet was vegetarianism.
Isn't the life of Allah's faithful prophet the great Sunnah,
The great example to be followed?
Have you no humility? Have you no shame?
Where is your mental discernment?
How have you tested the Spirit?
But the brain, history proves,
the discerning power of the immortal spirit,
can be trained to believe such contradictions
By day after day, mingling with mortality,
Eating the flesh of the dead,
Obscuring the immortal light
immanent in our body/spirit with mortality,
implanting plaque in the blood system
and in the organ of the brain,
And so, in such brains plagued by death,
and plagued by the catechisms and manuals
of those preaching dominion,
for literally thousands of years
all sorts of nonsense about God
have been taught as truth:
That Deity desires some people to live in the miserable state of slavery,
that Deity desires resentment-breeding societies of rich and poor.
that Deity desires the brutality
and the disease-promoting diet of carnivorousism,
Yes, that an all-loving God, a God of infinite love,
desires that you eat the corpses of brutally murdered creatures,
desires that you make death a part of your body,
so that you become a walking grave
nourished by the corpses of murdered creatures.
Hidden graves. Walking graves. Whitened sepulchres.
Inflictors of pain claiming to be good.
In an abnormal, evil-breeding society,
comprised of those in power of orthodox religions
who kill animals,
and who sell their corpses to eat,

and their fur to be worn,
those who free the animals
are described (by the immoral) as criminals.
So, the lovers of creation,
those interested in loving the creatures of the Creator,
such as those destroying vivisection labs,
releasing animals from farms where they will be killed,
(cleansing the temple of the animals to be sacrificed)
are regarded as criminals,
while those who are demonic, who kill animals regularly,
and feed them to you, though the meal is unhealthy,
thrive.
It will not be so for long.
You who live in the way of the demon
and call it the way of God:
you who say you are above the other animals,
and who insist that you are better
than the rest of nature around you,
Please take notice, that wherever you go,
you leave the stain of oil, gas fumes,
and the stench of your factories and incinerators.
You don't want equality. You want superiority.
So you say you deserve to dominate, to subdue the earth:
you choose the scriptures of the fallen.
And so, in your world, you compete.
But in real societies we harmonize,
we treat all people, all beings, as equals,
and all creatures, all beings, as sacred.
The domineering mentality that guides you,
that supports killing the animals,
drives you not to be one among the happy many,
but to be the best above the rest.
And so, in your fantasies,
you want to be the best baseball football basketball
soccer player in the world;
You are the world's most powerful boxer, wrestler,
the champion bullfighter,
the most powerful general,
with the most destructive weapons, and the largest air force,
overseeing the destruction of the masses,
You are the richest industrialist,
The one controlling the greatest number of wage slaves.
You are the greatest of the behindthescenes rich men
Controlling the masses of the world.

You kill more than any other, you cause the most misery...
You are the president, the emperor of evil!
You are the strongest, the handsomest, the richest,
And therefore your reward:
Perfumed bodies, smooth legs spread...ahhh.
But it doesn't work that way:
For your potency fails as you age,
while the bodies of your gentler brothers and sisters
Persist longer in their health and strength,
Your muscles and hardons and other organs diminish in power,
rapidly, compared to theirs,
Though your bad moods anxiety despair are erect
And at attention.
Searching for moral straws,
the plagued minds of your superficial theologians say:
Look, there is no justice for them!
The slaughterer of cows isn't trampled by bovine hooves,
And those who cut the pig in half aren't gored
By the tusks of the boar.
But look further...
and let the simple truth make you free,
for as your mouths chew murdered flesh,
And the flesh is broken down in little pieces
and the digestive juices break down the bits
into smaller globules moving in the blood,
the circulation of the blood takes the little bits of murder
throughout the body, for the circulation of justice is as smooth
as the movement of blood through the body...
Observe:
Conspiring, your lungs breathe in together the tiny toxins of death...
the brain absorbs miniscule pollutants,
like pinpoints of murderous thought,
and gradually, by degrees, the poisons accumulate,
and the body commits its oh so slow but inevitable suicide:
little lumps of cholesterol goo and matter
from the enslaved and slaughtered and dismembered ones
now impersonally called beef and chicken and pork and fish, dairy, eggs,
move slowly and oh so subtly in the bloodstream,
clogging and poisoning a kidney here, a liver there,
a gall bladder in another...
in those who would not filter right from wrong,
the heart in another
who would not extend compassion to the other animals...
arthritis in that one who would not let the animals move freely,

cataracts in those who refuse to look at the atrocities...
and deafness in those who would not hear
the moans and horror of the slaughterhouse...
And as the little globules of cholesterol
Intrude and settle here and there in your body,
And your health prematurely declines,
Now Death stands over you,
As you stood over other animals--
Perhaps the spear of death this lifetime is cancer
or heart trouble, diabetes, kidney failure--
Death speaks to you and says: you chose me:
The temple of your body digested death,
Made death a part of your bloodstream,
Feeding every cell in your brain and heart and soul.
So now, tell me, as you watch the animals
Bellowing against the prod,
Goaded down chutes to the slaughterhouses,
As you watch their throats being slit,
Their bodies cut in half, their necks twisted,
Or their mangled and murdered bodies in the killing fields of industry,
Tell me, tell me again, that your god is all-compassionate and loving,
Tell me that you or those you listen to,
Have not corrupted the original words of purity
Of Moses and Jesus and Mohammed,
All of whom frequently retreated into the wilderness
To fast and be with the Presence;
And as you mouth rationalizes
why you daily put the corpse of a murdered animal
Into the temple of your body...
Listen carefully to yourself,
As you explain that your god is infinite love
(doesn't infinite love love all beings, since infinite means all?)
Listen to your lies as you explain that
you are not devoted to a demon
Who desires pain and death for other creatures.
And remember...
that the universe is just.
You say judge not that you may not be judged;
Well then I ask you why:
You judge that other animals
Should suffer for you and die?
For those who do not listen to love,
Death is as inevitable as birth,
As inevitable as having to come again and again,

To face the adversary, your self,
In a world like the earth,
A world of terror and deceit.
Until the lesson is learned.
A well-organized hand pays the butcher;
The butcher pays the deliveryman;
The delivery man pays the meatpackers;
The meatpackers, with plastic and boxes work
With the slaughterers and dismemberers themselves.
And look at the creatures,
So many of them subdued, had dominion over,
by people who hunt them, trap them, kill them,
lord it over them like false gods,
like the fallen angels they are.
So now, tell me, dying ones,
as you observe chickens stuffed in cages
debeaked and frustrated from having no room,
like pigs in their cages,
cage literally upon cage upon cage,
the upper animals defecating on those below,
Tell me,
as you watch the many mangled and murdered bodies,
grasshoppers and ladybugs, and their insect kindred,
the larger and small animals trapped in the excavated land
or in the cut down trees in the killing fields of industry,
Tell me, tell me again,
that your god is all-compassionate and loving,
Tell me that you or those you listen to,
Have not corrupted the original words of purity
Of Moses and Jesus and Mohammed,
Who fasted to be with the Presence,
And as you explain why you daily put the corpse of a murdered animal
Into the temple of your body,
Listen carefully to yourself
As you explain to us how you are not devoted to a demon
Who desires pain and death for other creatures,
Instead of the true God of infinite compassion,
And as time goes on,
your decaying, pain-wracked body will remind you
that the universe is just.
Some state they wish that karma would be more obvious
And disappointedly to them,
Wall street has not been flooded
By the earth's envelope of heat melting the ice caps and

raising the waters of the coast...
At least not yet,
though the waters are still rising.
And those who support the slavery
of the poor to the rich throughout the world,
Are not enslaved and killed by the poor
Over whom they tyrannize,
At least not yet,
Though information is spreading more rapidly
And their lies are fading in the sun of truth,
And yet the time approaches,
the time is coming,
closer and closer,
a time when the millionth human,
like the hundredth monkey,
concludes that it is better to die
opposing and killing evil,
than it is to live as a slave under it.
Just as the rich on a regular basis
sacrifice the poor on the altars of war,
so the time is coming when the rich will be sacrificed.
The rich wives and rich mothers who claimed to be women,
but lacked the compassion and tenderness of their kind,
who chose instead to profit from
the sweat and the blood of the poor;
And the rich fathers and rich sons who claimed to be men,
but reasoned that they should be masters
and other men slaves,
that they should own much
and others own little;
the time is coming closer
when the mountains will shake and some fall,
when the water of the ice caps,
melted by the fires of industry,
will drown Wall St. and the Bourse,
when the rich will be overthrown,
their buildings demolished,
their fortresses gone,
and their own soldiers, their own police,
understanding the truth,
turn against them,
fragging those who commanded injustice,
Killing the rich, the mass murderers,
until governments and industrialists

become just a bad dream
and the rich will no longer exist,
nor those who oppress the creatures of the earth,
So that finally the time of peace will come.
This is merely the inherent and necessary logic
of a sick earth, divinely guided,
shaking off its parasites.

The Name God is Not the Generic Name of Deity The Name God
was Adopted by the Hebrews to Hide

The Original Hebrew Names of Deity, such as:

Sabaoth, El Shadai, El Chanan, Jah and Hu,

Which have a Clear Sanskrit Hindu Source. The Name God is not the Generic Name of Deity,
but the name Gath, deity of Fortune among Hindus and Persians. Because the original names
of Deity in Judaism, El Shadai, El Chanan, Jah, Jehovah, Sheba (Seba, Saba), showed that the
Jews worshipped Hindu Deities,

The Lineage of the Cattleman Cult that Destroyed the Vegetarian Asherah Shrines

Made the Name of God (aka Gad aka Gath), Who was a God of Fortune,

the Generic Name for Deity in the Judeo-Christian Culture. The Tribe of Gad (or God) me came,
as

did most of the other names for deity among the original Jews, from the Hindus.

1. El Kana or El Chanan came from Kanna, a Tamil Hindu name for Krishna.

2. El Shaddai from Sada, also a name for Shiva as the Immutable One.

3. Sabaoth, the Lord of Hosts, comes from Saba, also designated as Seba or Sheba, all of
these signifying Shiva. So too the Deity of "Genesis," who creates for six days and rests on the
seventh, is the Lord of the Sabbath, also named after Saba, or Shiva.

4. The Name Jah has A Sanskrit Origin. Jah is a name of both Shiva and Krishna among
ancient Hindus, as is evident by going to the Sanskrit. The word Jah, meaning God in Hebrew,
and a word which is used in innumerable names in the Old Testament, in Sanskrit refers to
Sthana, an attribute of God in general, meaning everlasting, or continued existence. It is an
attribute of Shiva in particular, who is also known as Sthenu. All the nations having Stan as a
suffix, such as Pakistan, Turkistan and Afghanistan, were originally named after Shiva. Jah also
refers to Simha, an incarnation of Krishna. (See the Cologne Digital Lexicon: Sanskrit and
English, on the web.) Just as they are now, Shiva and Krishna were the two main male
deities worshipped by mainstream Hindus at the time Judaism was being formed.

Related to Jah is the word Hu. Hu in Sanskrit refers to Jehovah. The word Hu in ancient
Hebrew, part of the word Jehu, meaning Jehovah, in Sanskrit refers to Jehovah, Jehudi,
Sarasvati and Jihvati (Cologne Digital Lexicon). Jehudi means Jew in Ancient Hebrew,
Sarasvati is the source of the name of Sarah, the wife of Abraham, and Jihvati contains the

root of Geba or Gevah, a city in Palestine. The Sanskrit Hu refers to Jehovah in several different forms.

5. And all the Jehovah, and Jahweh or Yahweh names are a combination using the originally Hindu Jah designation.

6. Adoni, is a variation of Aton, and Leviathan, which was not always negative in its designation, but was a positive term in the earliest days of Judaism, signifying the Sea Serpent Deity, who existed both in the history of Egypt, as well as being the manifestation of Vishnu in Hinduism. Leviathan = Levi aton. The Levites, the Jewish priests, were originally the priests of Aton.

7. Asherah was Asura of the Hindus. Assyria was named after Asura, as well as a number of people in Jewish history whose names contained the Ashur root. Asura later came to mean demon in Hindu history, but in earlier days meant Almighty God. Since the name was also associated with happiness originally, it signified the Deity of Bliss.

8. We've also seen how the Hindu term namah, meaning Deity's name, was prevalent among the ancient Hebrews, who named locations and children after the name.

9. Uma or Umma, consort of Shiva, or Sheba, Lord of the Sabbath, meant the Jewish nation, people, congregation.

10. So too Kali is the source of Kal Israel, meaning All Israel. Kali is the consort of Shiva.

Gad, or Gath, who became the name "God" is also a Hindu deity that was later shared by the Persians as well. Gad was a Persian God of Fortune.

The single name "God" was deliberately chosen by the Hebrews heretical to the vegetarian covenant to replace the many original names of Deity, shown above. Why? Because the earliest Deities of Judaism were quite obviously Hindu Deities who commanded vegetarianism. The cattleman cult had overthrown the vegetarian centers, the Asherah shrines, in order to promote their own sect which slaughtered animals and sold them for profit, i.e. for fortune. So we are left with the question of whether they were in fact devotees of the real Gath, or deity of Fortune.

The final acceptance of Gad's name as the generic name of deity occurred very likely during the Jews' period of the Persian Captivity, between the 4th and 3rd centuries b.c.e., when it replaced the many Hindu names of Deity

Although we're thought to think that the name God represents the One and Only God, the Creator, the Supreme Deity, the Almighty, the All-Knowing and All-Loving One, the fact is the name God is itself only one name among the literally thousands of names that exist for Deity in the history of the cultures of the earth. The vast majority of indigenous or aboriginal cultures have numerous deities and numerous names for Deity.

Gad is the name of one of the twelve tribes of Israel. Gad, or Gath, who became the name "God" is also a Hindu deity that was later shared by the Persians as well. Gad was a Persian God of Fortune. The single name "God" was deliberately chosen to replace the many vegetarian deities of the first

Jews. The cattleman cult had overthrown the vegetarian centers, the Asherah shrines in order to promote their own sect which slaughtered animals and sold them for profit, i.e. for fortune.

Thus we are left with the eye-opening scenario: the God of Fortune is now invoked as the Generic Name of God by the industrial religious cultures of the earth, all of which believe in exploiting the earth, contrary to the original Deity of the Jews, who was also the Deity of the Hindus, who is also the Deity of the Ethiopic Book of Enoch. The Ethiopians we know were Sabeans for most of their history.

In the Span of the Known History of the Earth's Religions,
It is Monotheism which is Uncommon, Not Polytheism. Carnivorous Religions Breed
Imperialistic Cultures Seeking Fortune.

Judaism, Christianity and Islam all have scriptures

Justifying holy war, or Jihad. And though the Hindus do too,

The Carnivorous Nations are the Chief Imperialist Nations of the world,

That is, Nations Aspiring to Gain Fortune or Money,

While the Vegetarian Hindu and Buddhist Cultures only War to Defend Themselves.

Monotheism: Elitism in Theology

Monotheistic Religions are more Conducive to Teaching Propaganda

That is Conformable to Tyrannical Governments,

Which are always Allied with Industrialists Seeking to Increase their Fortunes.

For only one point of view is correct among Monotheistic Cultures.

Dissident views, religions, are rejected.

The industrial cultures of Judaism, Christianity, and Islam are unique in focusing on monotheism, meaning the notion that there is only one god. Monotheism is nothing but elitism promoted into theology. Cultures which claim to have the one and only true deity are by their nature lying and hypocritical business or industrial cultures. The cultures of orthodox Judaism, Christianity and Islam are such cultures. Their attributes are: they sacrifice animals, and subdue and dominate them and kill them, as sanctioned by Gen. 1:26-28. They subdue and dominate other humans as well: all three cultures accept slavery as a divinely sanctioned institution. They subdue other sexes. The position of females is subordinate to that of males. They subdue homosexuals. The battered woman and hate crimes of which we are all only too aware, exemplify an attitude that is condoned in the Old and New Testament and Koran.

In other words, instead of staying true to their vegetarianism, and to their original Hindu deities commanding vegetarianism, as advocated by Jeremiah, the cattleman cult founded a new orthodoxy and rewrote the scriptures to fit their new God of Fortune who demanded animal sacrifices, and through the rewriting of scriptures, the insertion of Genesis 1: 26-28, and the command of God to Noah after the Deluge, for example, they fabricated a divine right to

subdue the earth and have dominion over other creatures, thereby allowing the Jewish patriarchs a means to gain their fortune through the meat industry and industry in general.

Both of these are expressly forbidden by the Ethiopic Book of Enoch, which condemned killing of any kind, carnivorousness, cannibalism, mining, cosmetics. The material in the Book of Enoch was referred to as early as the Book of Job and spoke of the Fallen Angels, the lineage of Noah, the Deluge and the days to come. The reason the Ethiopic Book of Enoch is seldom referred to by the orthodox is because the Book undermines the orthodoxies of the orthodoxies of Judaism, Christianity, and Islam.

The Jews who emerged from the Persian Captivity were released only because the Persians thought they were now sufficiently subdued and not regarded as a source of trouble. The Hebrews were aided in restoring the temple by the Persians. This is indicated by the writings of both Esdras and Nehemiah. This explains the fact that the Persians who had the Jews in captivity returned Jewish items of gold to be used in the temple. It was very probably part of the deal enacted between the Jewish patriarchs and the Persians. "Sacrifice animals like us, and don't preach vegetarianism, and you'll be just like us, so you can be free." That there was an ideological conflict in Judaism between vegetarianism and carnivorousness at the time is amply demonstrated in the conflicts we see in Esdras, who seemingly affirms the animal sacrifices, yet very definitely sees vegetarian purity as the only way in which the angel Uriel will infuse him with inspiration.

Jesus statement, "You cannot serve both God and Mammon"

should read: "You cannot Serve both Deity and Money."

For since God refers to the Gath the Deity of Fortune,

It would be redundant to say

"You cannot serve both the God of Fortune and Money."

That is, the God of Fortune and Mammon are one and the same.

Hindu Deities, Worship and Iconography in 2 Kings

Isaiah condemns Hezekiah who destroyed Moses' copper serpent, symbol of the Kundalini power of Shiva, Lord of Yoga, and a part of Hindu iconography. It shows the Jews worshipping the Hindu pantheon, including Baal, a name of both Shiva and his consort. It shows the persistent worship of the calf in Samaria, showing that a pure remnant there still recognized the cow as sacred as do Hindus.

The Books of Kings have much in them condemning what was once original Judaism, including the original names of the Jewish Deities, and the erections of pillars and poles. In certain passages Kings seems to condemn what is in fact quite contemptible, the sacrificing of humans, for example. But the condemnation of the sacrificing of humans is much like the episode in which we read that God told Abraham to sacrifice Isaac: it is a set-up scenario in which we choose what many humans regard as the lesser of two evils, animal sacrifice over human sacrifice, and regard it as therefore justified, when in fact neither evil, the sacrifice or humans, or animals, is justified.

2 Kings is notable in a number of ways:

1. It shows that the original Shaivite form of Judaism, represented by the bronze or copper serpent Moses had made, still existed, though orthodox kings would repeatedly try to stamp it out. The serpent was a symbol of the healing Kundalini power of Shiva, Lord of Yoga. It was and still is a part of Hindu iconography.

2. It shows the Jews worshipping the Hindu pantheon including Baal, another version of Bala, the name both of Shiva and his consort Bala. Balarama is another name of Shiva. OM VISNUBALLABHAYA NAMAH is a common chant to Shiva.

3. It shows the persistent worship of the calf in Samaria. The calf is a sacred animal to the Hindu Vaishnavas and Shaivites. The Samaria of the Old Testament was named after an area in India called Samarya. Samaria means beautiful in ancient Hebrew.

3. It shows the vegetarian Isaiah (who represented the rights of other creatures) condemning Hezekiah, the very king who destroyed the bronze serpent of Moses.

4. It shows Isaiah pitting the virgin Zion (wearing the Hindu bindi as a jewel on her forehead) against the Judaism of Harlotry, the animal sacrificing Judaism, just as Ezekiel did in chapter 16.

5. This in turn shows the relevance of the original name of Jerusalem, namely Jebus, which means God's threshing place.

6. The sacred pole and/or pillar are both attributes of Asherah and Shiva worship. This form of worship carried over into the so-called New World, referred to as Patala by the Hindus, where the natives of Turtle Island used totem poles. Shiva was worshipped in the Yucatan as Sui va, or Zuiva, Itzas (Isa), Itzamna (Isana), Ix (Ish), and Oc (Oseo) and in the southwest of Turtle Island (the United States) by the name Yahya as well.

7. The worship in the high places is described as sacrifice and sacrificial smoke, but not as burnt offerings of flesh. This is typical of most Shaivites and all Vaishnavas who sacrifice, fruit, grain or flowers, and offer incense. Those who sacrifice in the high places are also said to consult mediums and to watch for omens, both of which are characteristic of many Hindus.

In the twenty-third year of Jehoash the son of Ahaziah the king of Judah, Jehoahaz the son of Jehu became king over Israel in Samaria for seventeen years. And he continued to do what was bad in Jehovah's eyes and went wading in the pursuit of the sin of Jeroboam the son of Nebat, with which he caused Israel to sin. 2 Kings 13: 1-4

Regarding the Nabateans: they did not slaughter; they did not even cultivate; they foraged, in the strict tradition of renunciate Jains and renunciate Brahmins, just as the Israelites did in Sinai. They lived in caves and upon rock. They did not excavate and exploit creatures to build homes.

Samaria as a center for Asherah and Shiva:

The Revisers of 2 Kings Left in the description of The Sacred Pole

Only they did not depart from the sin of the house of Jeroboam with which he caused the house of Israel to sin. In it he walked; and even the sacred pole itself stood in Samaria. 2 Kings 13: 6.

Notice that the writer even describes the pole as sacred instead of denouncing it as an idolatrous object. This is much like the obviously vegetarian account of the Israelites desiring to eat flesh and being given the plague ridden quail to eat, which became a parable showing the karmic pitfalls of eating flesh. Just as the quail episode is a remnant of scriptures that were not sufficiently revised by the rewriters of the Old Testament, so too is the description of the pole as sacred.

Samaria was well-known as a center where the calf (or bull) was worshipped, and therefore where a form of the original vegetarian Judaism still had some life, and animal creation was still regarded as sacred by some Samaritans.

And in 2 Kings 14: 4 we have sacrificial smoke, but no burnt offerings, typical of the Hindu practice of burning incense, but not sacrificing creatures of flesh.

2 Kings 14: 7

Changing the Name of Sela to Jokthe-el.

Sela, like the Selah of the Psalms, and like the name Shelah, are derived from the Hindu Chela, meaning disciple, who is one who petitions the spiritual teacher for more knowledge. Therefore the Hebrew definition of Selah as petition.

He himself struck down the Edomites in the Valley of Salt, ten thousand men, seized Sela in the war, and changed its name, calling it Joktheel, the name it has to this day. 2 Kings 14: 7.

One of the reasons for the name change may well have been an attempt to erase the memory of the Hindu lineage that is obvious in the name Sela or Chela.

A MYSTERY OF THE BIBLE SOLVED: THE MEANING OF SELAH

Selah is the Ancient Hebrew equivalent of the Sanskrit Chelah.

The word Selah means disciple or suppliant.

It is not a musical signature denoting suspension.

Enoch Consciously Named His Son Methuselah, Man of the Selah,
Thus Definitively Linking Enoch and the Book of Enoch
with the Hindu Tradition.

In Hinduism a disciple is called a chelah or, as it exists in ancient Hebrew, selah. It is recognized that s and ch are interchangeable according to the principles of alliteration. So the word after, and within, many of the psalms, Selah, is not really a musical signature denoting

suspension. It is a notation signifying that either that the music was composed by a disciple or supplicant of Deity, or that it was time for the selah, or disciple, to sing or chant. One person intones a chant and others repeat it, or one person intones a chant and others add to it. It is a practice that exists universally in the cultures of the world.

We must recognize that David, who scrupulously shared the booty of his conquests with his fellow warriors, is shown to be egalitarian in the sharing of property. As in times of conquest, so too in times of celebration, when David and his followers relaxed and sang songs, it was not only the songs of the leader that were honored, but also the songs of other members of the tribe, each of whom were known, as Hindus were known individually, as a selah, or, in Sanskrit, chelah. Another word for chela, selah, disciple or supplicant, that is, one who petitions, is devotee.

The Function of the Word Selah in the Psalms

1. It signified the anonymous authorship of the song.
2. It marked a time when the disciple or selah was to chant or sing.

So the word in the middle of and after many of the psalms, Selah, is not an instrumental musical signature denoting suspension, but a notice for the selah or disciple to respond to, or recite, a passage of the psalm. As such the selah might simply repeat what was already sung or chanted, as is done among many groups, including Hindu and Buddhist groups, or the selah might sing or chant a recognized response.

In the tribe of David any disciple or selah could write and compose a song about or to Deity. To a Deity who cares infinitely all sincerity is welcome. So the selahs, the disciples of Deity, made up their songs. One of the things that is accomplished by understanding the meaning of Selah or Chelah, is that the appearance of the term Selah occurs 71 times, and each time it appears it shows that the disciple's songs were equally regarded, much as is done in Rainbow gatherings, anarchistic groups, and, of course, in gatherings of Hindus and Buddhists in which songs composed by the devotee are welcome.

And the signature selah at the end of a psalm was simply a humble and nameless admission that the words of the psalm were composed by the chelah or selah, whose humility made him or her nameless. In short, the selah or chela in 71 of the psalms is a statement that the song or the psalm is from a devotee of Deity. It is a humble admission of one's devotion to the original deities of the Jews who were also the deities of the Hindus.

We shall see below that the various attributes of a Selah or Chelah are defined in ancient Hebrew. The Selah is a supplicant, one who petitions Deity and his spiritual teacher for more knowledge, wisdom and piety, one who prays, consults. The word appropriately also means a rock or stronghold of the faith. All of these appropriately fit in with the notion of the Chelah in Hinduism.

Jesus referred to the term rock or Petros when addressing Peter as the foundation of his church in a manner that was consistent with the meaning of the city Selah as named and renamed by the Nabateans and the Greeks.

And Muhammad used the term in Arabic, Salat, to denote prayer.

Chalah, Celah, and Selah
are all variants of the Sanskrit Chelah Selah as supplicant

Strong's Hebrew Dictionary in his Concordance to the Old and New Testament makes the connection between a supplicant, one praying to Deity.

2470 chalah, pray, make prayer, to make suit, to make a supplication. The above Hebrew word chalah is of course virtually identical to the Sanskrit chelah, as is the word celah immediately following, which in ancient Hebrew is identical to Selah. The following definitions contains the typical misconception of the meaning of Selah.

5542: celah, from 5541, suspension (of music), to pause. Selah.

5537 cala, to suspend in a balance.

The disciple or supplicant
is one who petitions or prays to Deity.

7596 Shelah a petition, loan, request.

7596: from 7592, a question (at law), a petition; , a loan, a petition, a request.

7592 shaal, or shael, to inquire, to consult, to desire, pray, salute.

7593 sheel ask, demand, require.

Sala, Selah, and Shelah

The word and name was pervasive in the earliest days of Judaism.

4527 Sala, of Hebrew origin, i.e. Shelach, a patriarch:--Sala

In the entree above, Sala, we see the patriarch was named as a disciple of God, of Shiva or Vishnu. And below, in the name Shelah, we have a demonstration that the postdiluvian patriarch was a devotee or supplicant of the Hindu deities that orthodox Judaism has suppressed. A supplicant or Chelah is one who requests a closer union with Deity.

7956 Shelah, shay-law, the same as

7596, request; Shelah, the name of a postdiluvian patriarch and of an Israelite.

The Selah as a Rock, a Stronghold of Belief

Selah is from a similar root of the following words indicating exaltation, which a true disciple sometimes feels when relating to divinity, and the term suspension may also be seen as relevant, but not as a suspension in the musical time, but as the state of a disciple before being fully initiated. The following definitions all relate to this central concept.

5553 cela, an unused root meaning to be lofty, a stronghold. The cela is a lofty stronghold of his faith or religion.

5559 celiq, a primitive root meaning to ascend, come up. The selah through his faith ascends closer to divinity.

5539 calad, to leap with joy

5540 Celed, from 5539, exultation, Seled, an Israelite

7596: from 7592, a question (at law), a petition; , a loan, a petition, a request.

7592 shaal, or shael, to inquire, to consult, to desire, pray, salute.

7593 sheel ask, demand, require.

5542: celah, from 5541, suspension (of music), to pause. Selah.

2470 chalah, pray, make prayer, to make suit, to make a supplication.

Moreover, the psalms are obviously prayers in the form of psalms, and many of them are indeed petitions to God

Even The KJV Old Testament Hebrew Lexicon admits that the orthodox definition of Selah as a musical suspension is uncertain. In so doing however it presents Selah as Celah, bringing it even closer to the Hindu Chelah. Moreover, Selah is accepted as meaning Rock.

Selah, The Disciple as a Rock,
Peter in the eyes of Jesus and the Place Named Selah or Petros.

A historical irony occurred when the city of Selah was occupied by the Nabatu or Nabateans, who were known for not excavating the earth. When the Nabateans occupied Selah they called it rock or rekem. The Greeks later called the city Petra meaning Rock, as it was known in the time of Jesus. The fact that a place named Selah, disciple, was renamed Rock by the pure Nabateans, and that it was renamed Petra, meaning Rock, by the Greeks, was not lost on Jesus. The Nabateans who named Selah Rock were like the renunciate Jains and Brahmins of India and the Jewish Rechabites, none of which groups believed in excavating the earth and disturbing the animal populations there. The Nabateans thus represented a basic purity that

existed in the earliest days of Judaism when the morality of the Book of Enoch and the vegetarian covenant of Genesis 1: 29 still dominated. Jesus said he came to reinstate the true original laws of Judaism. Thus, when Jesus said to Peter, "Thou art Cephas, or Rock, and upon this Rock I build my church," he did so in the historical context of these facts, Jesus' mind combining the different aspects of purity that were evoked by Selah. So Jesus was saying in his statement to Peter that the true disciple or Selah (Chelah) is in fact a stronghold, a rock, a fortress of the faith.

The character of Peter should not be seen as accurately portrayed in the New Testament in which "Acts," the epistles attributed to him and Paul's discussion of Peter's actions paint him as a backslider who supposedly had a vision portraying all foods as clean, who regarded animals as creatures of instinct meant to be killed and eaten, and who ate the food of the Gentiles. In fact, more reliable information about Peter is seen in the Clementine literature, in which Peter is staunchly vegetarian.

Enoch, his Son Methuselah, Methu-Selah,
and the Book of Enoch The Obvious Link Between Enoch and the Hindu Chelah or Selah Tradition
Enoch Was A Supreme Example of the Selah
For his Purity was Such that He was Worthy Not To Die and to Walk with God.
What was the Morality of the Man, the Selah,
Whom Deity Deemed Worthy Not to Die Physically?
It is plainly revealed in the Ethiopic Book of Enoch.

A person who was unquestionably a Rock or Fortress of the faith was Enoch, who was so pure that he did not die and he walked with God. Do we know the morality of Enoch? No thanks to the Jewish orthodoxy who relegated the Ethiopic Book of Enoch to the realm of Apocrypha, and thus to the realm of that which is unexamined and unstudied by the orthodoxy, we nonetheless are able to see the utter simplicity and purity of the morality of Enoch, who was chosen by Deity to write the book. The Book of Enoch absolutely condemns all bloodshed, whether of other animals or humans, seeing all bloodshed as demonic in origin, as did the original Quran, and condemns all actions which alter creation as it is, such as mining and cosmetics. Enoch was certainly a Chelah or Selah of the pure Hindu renunciate religion, and he in fact names his son Methuselah, Methu-Selah.

Methuselah=Methu-Selah
Methuselah is the Son of Enoch.
Methuselah is defined as Man of Selah and Man of the Dart.
Enoch was the Scribe of the Ethiopic Book of Enoch,
which is in the pure renunciate tradition of the Jains and Hindu Brahmins,
Enoch is the Selah of Renunciate Ahimsa Par Excellence.

Son of Enoch and the father of Lamech, the father of Noah, Methuselah is the grandfather of Noah, and he has come to represent an epitome of longevity. What the orthodox don't say, however, is that Methuselah was a vegetarian. For there is utterly no reason to

doubt that Enoch, Methuselah, Lamech, and Noah represent the pure vegetarian lineage of original Judaism. One of the definitions of Methuselah's name is that he was a man of the dart, or, let us say, one whose dart hits the target, that is, a man of truth. Another definition of his name, which is perfectly fitting, is that Methuselah means the man of Selah, which was certainly true since Methuselah was the son of Enoch, and the Book of Enoch is unarguably one of the most pure books of Scripture in the world, for it justifies no type of oppression whatsoever, no sacrifice of other animals nor of humans, and no disturbance of creation as it is. And in the process of naming his son Methu-selah, Enoch himself confirms that he is of the Hindu tradition of Chelahs, or Selahs.

The "Book of Revelations" Makes Excellent Sense

To those who share its Vegetarian Morality.

The Peaceful Alpha and the Peaceful Omega

The curse against other creatures in Gen. 3 and Gen. 9

Is abolished in Revelations 21 and 22.

The Upside Morality of Hal Lindsay The Ancient of Days,

said by the orthodox to be Jesus, says:

"I AM THE ALPHA AND THE OMEGA" The Peaceful Alpha and the Peaceful Omega

The peaceful origin of Judaism, when both humans and animals obeyed the vegetarian covenant, and no creature preyed on any other, described in "Genesis" 1: 29-30, should be juxtaposed and seen as parallel to the final times, in which there is no sorrow or crying or death for any creature, "Revelations" 21: 1-4.

"Behold, I have given you every plant yielding seed which is upon the face of all the earth, and every tree with seed in its fruit; you shall have them for food. And to every beast of the earth, and to every bird of the air, and to everything that creeps on the earth, everything that has the breath of life, I have given every green plant for food." Gen: 1: 29-30. Then I saw a new heaven and a new earth; for the first heaven and the first earth had passed away, and the sea was no more. And I saw the holy city, new Jerusalem, coming down out of heaven from God, prepared as a bride adorned for her husband; and I heard a loud voice from the throne saying "Behold, the dwelling of God is with men. He will dwell with them and they shall be his people, and God himself will be with them; he will wipe away every tear from their eyes, and death shall be no more; neither shall there be mourning nor crying nor pain any more, for the former things have passed away.

Revelations 21:1-4.

But what has existed since the Fall?

Animal sacrifices, slavery, bigotry, sexism, economic elitism,

All of which are taught as divinely sanctioned

By the orthodoxies of Judaism, Christianity and Sunni Islam.

The Curse Against Other Creatures

that exists in Genesis 3 and Genesis 9 is Lifted in the Final Times

When there is no sorrow or death for any creature.

In order to understand John of Patmos' narration of the events and prophecies of "Revelations," or "The Apocalypse," that is, the final times, it is necessary to see them in context of the Fall away from the vegetarian covenant in Genesis,, and in context of the portrayal of God in the presence of Noah as cursing all creatures to live in fear and dread of humanity after the Deluge.

Indeed, the final times described in "Revelations" lift the curse which is portrayed as being inflicted on creatures by God in Genesis 3:14:

Here we have a three level curse for all animals: the serpent is most cursed as a symbol of the entrance of evil into the lives of our original parents, the cattle are next, for they would be enslaved, sacrificed, and eaten, and wild animals next for they would be exploited, enslaved, displaced, killed, definitely treated in an evil fashion by those involved in hunting or industry. The Book of Enoch, which was known and accepted as part of the original Vegetarian Torah, totally condemns all oppression of other beings. So too we see an elaboration of this curse against other creatures in the ninth chapter of Genesis, in the covenant of carnivorousism that was obviously rewritten into the scriptures.

"The fear and the dread of you shall be upon all the beasts of the earth and upon all the birds of the sky--everything with which the earth is astir--and upon all the fish of the sea; they are given into your hand. Every creature that lives shall be yours to eat; as with the green grasses, I give you all these." Genesis 9: 2-3.

Both of these above scriptures are quite obviously the creation of Fallen Humans. In the Book of Enoch such actions are seen as having been taught by demons, not sanctioned by Deity.

The Lifting of the Curse Against Other Creatures.

The Vegetarianism of "Revelations"

eliminates the curses against other creatures that exist in Genesis 3: 14.

In Genesis 1:29-30 we have a very legitimate Lord of the Sabbath who came from the Shaivite Hindus. Saba, or Sheba, Lord of the Sabbath or Shabbath, is known as Lord of Creatures and Protector of Cattle. To Him the scriptures of Gen. 1: 26-28 and Gen. 9: 2-3 would have been anathema, forbidden. The image of God presented by most of the scriptures of the Torah, is, from one point of view, a logical advertisement for the slaughterhouse temples of Jerusalem and Samaria, as well as for the butcher shops and eating places which radiated from these carnivorous hubs. Animals were sacrificed everywhere, of course, but the center of sacrifice for the Jews was the temple in Jerusalem where the sacrifices were conducted according to the prescriptions of the Pentateuch.

The Final Times, The Perfect World

A World of No Pain or Sorrow or Death for Any Creature.

Notice that the holy city, the New Jerusalem is constructed in heaven, not on earth, where cities are the tombstones of the creatures who have been oppressed, displaced and killed in order to construct a city.

Let us quote Revelations 21:1-4 again:

Then I saw a new heaven and a new earth; for the first heaven and the first earth had passed away, and the sea was no more. And I saw the holy city, new Jerusalem, coming down out of heaven from God, prepared as a bride adorned for her husband; and I heard a loud voice from the throne saying "Behold, the dwelling of God is with men. He will dwell with them and they shall be his people, and God himself will be with them; he will wipe away every tear from their eyes, and death shall be no more; neither shall there be mourning nor crying nor pain any more, for the former things have passed away.

So too Revelations 22 very specifically eliminates the curse against other Creatures and a return to the tree of life:

"the tree of life with its twelve kinds of fruit, yielding its fruit each month; and the leaves of the tree were for the healing of the nations. There shall no more be anything accursed..."
Revelations 22:2-3

This tree of life reminds us of the vegetation in Genesis 1: 29-30, a tree which was to feed not only humans, but all animal creation. Here we have the original and true Deity, before the scriptures were revised to make it appear that God sanctioned animal sacrifices, and before the scriptures became an advertisement for the butcher shops of Jewish cattlemen. In other words, before the cattle raisers revised the scriptures in order to sanction the profit-making institution of animal sacrifices, that is, the meat industry, we have the Lord of the Sabbath, Saba, or Shiva, known as Pasupati, Lord of Creatures, as well as Protector of Cattle, being truly all-loving, not wishing oppression or death for any of the creatures, as is seen in the Vegetarian Covenant quoted above, which even rabbinical Judaism admits was the original dietary covenant between humanity and humans (as well as other creatures).

A More Logical Interpretation of the Beast Spirit:

You are what you eat:

Carnivorous Cultures, those who eat beasts

become like (the orthodox description of) beasts. The Evil Beast Spirit is in actuality comprised of carnivorous religions

and cultures which are comprised of Fallen Humanity breaking the Vegetarian Covenant of Genesis 1.

Orthodox Judaism, Orthodox Christianity, and Orthodox Sunni Islam

are the most notable of such carnivorous religions. The Inverted Insight and Upside Down Morality of Hal Lindsay

Warning the World of the Beast Spirit and Antichrist.

The works of Hal Lindsay warning the world of the Antichrist who will come to control the earth have their own type of legitimate inverted insight, if we examine his evidence clearly.

Lindsay says that the Antichrist will come to topple the orthodoxies of Judaism, Christianity and Islam. What do these three immensely influential world religions have in common? They believe in the legitimacy of the state, in the institution of slavery, in economic elitism, in the rich ruling the poor, in sexism, in the superiority of men over women, in bigotry towards homosexuals, in the brutality involved in animal sacrifices, and in the disease breeding diet of carnivorism.

No wonder the "Book of Revelations" warns of the Beast Spirit. Whatever we suppress or repress WILL come back to haunt us, and the vast majority of people in the orthodox Judaeo-Christian-Islamic traditions have been involved with the suppression of the Beasts, with subduing beasts, and with having dominion over them, as sanctioned by the false scriptures of Genesis 1: 26-28, which were inserted quite deliberately before the vegetarian covenant that immediately follows, in an attempt to modify the condemnation of all flesh eating that followed. False scriptures are seen as well in the extremely brutal scriptures of Genesis 9: 2-3, quoted above, sanctioning carnivorism and the instilling of terror and dread among the other creatures of earth, as well as by abundant scriptures in the Quran, which were revised in the Caliphate of Abu Bakr, who denied that the vegetarian Ali was the legitimate heir of Muhammad, whose preferred diet, even Sunni Muslims admit, was also vegetarian.

All except the most hardened of carnivores committed unthinkingly to orthodoxy admit that these scriptures sanctioning dread and terror in Gen. 9: 2-3 are extreme. (So too in my conversations with people claiming to be orthodox, very seldom have I met one who totally affirmed the institution of slavery.) Thus, there is a lag, or gap, between orthodox scriptures and orthodox believers. We see it for example also in the fact that millions of Roman Catholics practice birth control and accept homosexuals as equals, in the fact that the Missouri Synod of the Baptist Church has condemned its own past affiliation with slavery and racism. In order for the healing to continue among these orthodox people they must simply honestly reexamine the history of their own religions. A Catch 22 exists in the fact that monotheistic orthodoxies condemn polytheistic religions as unworthy of examination. Thus, as Jesus said:

"the stone which the builders rejected shall become the cornerstone."

THE DENUNCIATION OF INDUSTRIAL CIVILIZATION

The Book of Enoch and "Revelations"

both contain this core teaching of original Christianity

The Fall of Babylon is the Fall of Industry in General.

All industry is denounced in the Book of Enoch.

The Lake of Fire in "Revelations"

is the valley of fire in the Book of Enoch.

The Beast of "Revelations" is Azazel of the Book of Enoch. The Reviser of "Genesis" consciously eliminated

the morality of the Book of Enoch by introducing

not only animal sacrifices but mining as well. Industrialism is condemned as Demonic by Enoch and by John of Patmos.

The History of Industry Fully Confirms that their denunciations are valid,
For Industry creates far more diseases that it cures,
and has polluted the ground, air and water of earth as well as its natural foods. John of Patmos shared and expressed the morality of the Book of Enoch,
in which animal sacrifices, industry, and all altering creation are all condemned.
The destruction of the city of Babylon is in sync with the morality of the Book of Enoch.
The Beast who "once was, now is not, yet will come"
Are the Fallen Angels of the Ethiopic Book of Enoch Chief among whom is Azazel.
The valley of fire in which the damned are punished in the Book of Enoch
Is the "lake of fire" in "Revelations." The Demon Azazel is seen as responsible for animal sacrifices, mining and industry,
in the Ethiopic Book of Enoch which affirms the Vegetarian Covenant.
The Book of Enoch has whole chapters on trees and vegetation
that are to feed creatures, such as humans and other animals,
and give them shade and spice, and all the healing benefits of herbs. The Rationale behind the Laws of "Leviticus" and "Exodus"
Justifying Animal Sacrifices is utterly destroyed by the Book of Enoch.
That is Why Orthodox Judaism, Christianity, and Sunni Islam
Have Studiously Avoided Exposing the Work to its Followers.
The Ethiopic Book of Enoch Absolutely Condemns
All Animal Sacrifices and All Bloodshed.
The Book also Condemns the Notion that Humans may
subdue the earth and have dominion over other creatures,
As stated in the obviously false scriptures of Genesis 1: 26-28.

The Demonic Sources of Animal Sacrifices in Orthodox Judaism: Azazel and Mastema
As we have seen in other pages of this study, orthodox carnivorous Judaism with its animal sacrifices is a heresy against the vegetarian covenant of Genesis 1: 29, a covenant which even rabbis of the Jewish orthodoxy readily admit was the initial dietary covenant for humans to follow. And "Revelations" acknowledges a time when the morality of Genesis 1: 30 is likewise accepted, for the Final Days are a time when there is no sorrow, death or mourning, in fact a time when no creature oppresses another.
The Demon Azazel Teaches Mining to Humans in the Book of Enoch.
Mining is Casually Sanctioned in Genesis 2: 10-12.
In these scriptures the reviser of scripture deliberately echoes the
"And God saw that it was good" refrain of the first chapter of "Genesis."
"Revelations" in tune with Enoch condemns Industrialism.

The place of worship of orthodox Jews is described as a "synagogue of Satan," because Judaism in fact manifests the religion of the demon Azazel, who in the Ethiopic Book of Enoch taught humans how to make weapons and sacrifice animals. Azazel is the fallen angel condemned in that Book. After the verses establishing the original vegetarian dietary covenant

between Deity and all creatures in the first chapter of Genesis, in the second chapter we have a description of the tree of life and the tree of the knowledge of good and evil. Then, in verses 10 through 12, we have mining not only introduced, but sanctioned. And there is a conscious echo of the "And God saw that it was good" refrain that was notable in the first chapter:

And there was a river coming out of Eden to water the garden, forming four different rivers. The name of the first is Pishon: It circles the entire land of Havilah, where gold exists. And the gold there is good. There also is the bdellium gum and the onyx. Genesis 2: 10-12.

In the Ethiopic Book of Enoch because Azazel has introduced mining, weapon making and bloodshed on earth, as well as other ways in which to alter creation, the fallen angel is seen as the source of all sin, including animal sacrifices.

1 And Azazel taught men to make swords, and knives, and shields, and breastplates, and made known to them the metals of the earth and the art of working them... Chapter 8, Book of Enoch, R. H. Charles

The Book of Enoch is not only against the shedding of blood, but against any alteration of creation. As is evident from the quotations below, Azazel was a chief instigator among the fallen angels.

"And the whole earth has been corrupted through the works that were taught by Azazel: to him ascribe all sin."

The fact that all bloodshed is condemned in Chapter 19 of the Book of Enoch demonstrates that the term "sacrificing to demons as gods" does not mean that sacrifices to the correct god, as supposedly prescribed in "Leviticus" and "Exodus," are valid. This is the argument of orthodox Judaism, Christianity and Sunni Islam. In the Ethiopic Book of Enoch not only are all acts of bloodshed evil, whether of other creatures or of humans, but all alterations of creation whatsoever, such as mining or using cosmetics, are also regarded as evil. The morality of the book is plain: leave creation as it is; all alterations of God's creation are forbidden.

And Uriel said to me: 'Here shall stand the angels who have connected themselves with women, and their spirits assuming many different forms are defiling mankind and shall lead them astray into sacrificing to demons as gods...' Chapter 19: 1, Ethiopic Book of Enoch, ed. by R. H. Charles. And they began to sin against birds, and beasts, and reptiles, and 6 fish, and to devour one another's flesh, and drink the blood. Then the earth laid accusation against the lawless ones. 7: 5

1 And Azazel taught men to make swords, and knives, and shields, and breastplates, and made known to them the metals of the earth and the art of working them... Chapter 8, Book of Enoch, R. H. Charles

Thou seest what Azazel hath done, who hath taught all unrighteousness on earth and revealed the eternal secrets which were (preserved) in heaven... 9: 6

The judgment of God on Azazel is seen in these scriptures:

'Bind Azazel hand and foot, and cast him into the darkness: and make an opening 5 in the desert, which is in Dudael, and cast him therein. And place upon him rough and jagged rocks, and cover him with darkness, and let him abide there for ever, and cover his face that he may 6,7 not see light. And on the day of the great judgement he shall be cast into the fire. And heal the earth which the angels have corrupted, and proclaim the healing of the earth, that they may heal the plague, and that all the children of men may not perish through all the secret things that the 8 Watchers have disclosed and have taught their sons. Chapter 10, Book of Enoch. 1 And Enoch went and said: 'Azazel, thou shalt have no peace: a severe sentence has gone forth 2 against thee to put thee in bonds: And thou shalt not have toleration nor request granted to thee, because of the unrighteousness which thou hast taught, and because of all the works of godlessness 3 and unrighteousness and sin which thou hast shown to men.' Then I went and spoke to them all 4 together, and they were all afraid, and fear and trembling seized them. And they besought me to draw up a petition for them that they might find forgiveness, and to read their petition in the presence 5 of the Lord of heaven. For from thenceforward they could not speak (with Him) nor lift up their 6 eyes to heaven for shame of their sins for which they had been condemned. Then I wrote out their petition, and the prayer in regard to their spirits and their deeds individually and in regard to their 7 requests that they should have forgiveness and length. Chapter 13.

The Lake of Fire in "Revelations" has its source in "Enoch"

The valley filled with fire is the lake of fire.

The Fallen Angels who have introduced the evils of industry such as mining to humanity are ironically chained with implements of metal, which they had introduced to humanity.

1 And I looked and turned to another part of the earth, and saw there a deep valley with burning 2 fire. And they brought the kings and the mighty, and began to cast them into this deep valley. 3 And there mine eyes saw how they made these their instruments, iron chains of immeasurable weight. 4 And I asked the angel of peace who went with me, saying: ' For whom are these chains being prepared ? ' And he said unto me: ' These are being prepared for the hosts of Azazel, so that they may take them and cast them into the abyss of complete condemnation, and they shall cover their jaws with rough stones as the Lord of Spirits commanded. 6 And Michael, and Gabriel, and Raphael, and Phanuel shall take hold of them on that great day, and cast them on that day into the burning furnace, that the Lord of Spirits may take vengeance on them for their unrighteousness in becoming subject to Satan and leading astray those who dwell on the earth.' Chapter 54

Babylon,
the Center of Industrialism and Mammon, Money,
Is Destroyed in "Revelations."

The Condemnation of Babylon in "Revelations"

is similar to the Condemnation of Jerusalem by the Late Jewish Prophets.

There is no doubt whatsoever that the morality of the Book of Enoch is also the morality of John of Patmos and "Revelations." Only the orthodox Jew, the orthodox Christian, and the orthodox Sunni Muslim would deny that it is true, and that is because these orthodoxies clearly manifest the same demonic influence on the face of the earth. For one thing, they all sacrifice animals, which is a lose/lose situation, the act of killing the animal being a brutal bloody atrocity for the animal, and the act of eating the animal being detrimental to the carnivore. Secondly, all of these cultures promote industry, which pollutes the air, land and water of earth, as well as wounding, displacing, enslaving and killing the creatures of earth. Thirdly, all these cultures have scriptures which promote slavery or economic elitism. These are the same demonic spirits that infest Babylon, the symbolic center of industrialism, in the "Book of Revelations."

THE BEAST THAT ONCE WAS, NOW IS NOT, YET WILL COME.

John's language lets us know that the Beast of "Revelations" is the Archetypal Evil Spirit of the Fallen Angels led by Azazel and Semyase in the Ethiopic Book of Enoch.

This evil spirit "once was, now is not, yet will come."

We see the spirit of evil that "once was, now is not, yet will come" in the Book of Enoch. Some of the fallen angels, who represent the Beast Spirit, were allowed to remain on earth until the judgement. John of Patmos was well aware of the Book of Enoch.

1 From the days of the slaughter and destruction and death of the giants, from the souls of whose flesh the spirits, having gone forth, shall destroy without incurring judgement -thus shall they destroy until the day of the consummation, the great judgement in which the age shall be 2 consummated, over the Watchers and the godless, yea, shall be wholly consummated."

Chapter 16]

Azazel's life also has a withdrawal and return planned by the Deity of the Book of Enoch.

'Bind Azazel hand and foot, and cast him into the darkness: and make an opening 5 in the desert, which is in Dudaël, and cast him therein. And place upon him rough and jagged rocks, and cover him with darkness, and let him abide there for ever, and cover his face that he may 6,7 not see light. And on the day of the great judgement he shall be cast into the fire. Chapter 10, Book of Enoch.

Thus, Azazel also "once was" when he taught humans the rudiments of what we call civilization, mining for metals, using the metals to make weapons, sacrificing animals, described in one translation of the Book of Enoch as "the sorceries of fallen angels." Azazel "now is not" because he is covered with rocks in Dudaël, and he "will come" forth for the day of final judgment when he will be cast into the fire. So too the spirit of the accursed in general:

1 Then said I: 'For what object is this blessed land, which is entirely filled with trees, and this 2 accursed valley between?' Then Uriel, one of the holy angels who was with me, answered and said: 'This accursed valley is for those who are accursed for ever: Here shall all the accursed be gathered together who utter with their lips against the Lord unseemly words and of His glory speak hard things. Here shall they be gathered together, and here 3 shall be their place of judgement. In the last days there shall be upon them the spectacle of righteous judgement in the presence of the righteous for ever: here shall the merciful bless the Lord of glory, the Eternal King. 4 In the days of judgement over the former, they shall bless Him for the mercy in accordance with 5 which He has assigned them (their lot).' Then I blessed the Lord of Glory and set forth His glory and lauded Him gloriously. [Chapter 27]

The Beast Spirit is obviously aligned with Satan and the Fallen Angels, and the notion that the Beast once was, now is not, yet shall come, is a summary of the fact that the powers of evil once reigned freely, as they did in the days before the Deluge, that most of them were halted and imprisoned, but that their power is still evident in John's day, and that they will be judged at the Final Judgment.

The Whore of Babylon is

"The Great City Which Rules over the Kings of the Earth,"

The Beast coming out of the Abyss, which is the Valley (or Lake) of Fire

where the Fallen Angels of the Book of Enoch were thrown,

and scourged with the very metal instruments they taught humans to make.

Chapter 17, verse 5 of "Revelations" describes the Whore of Babylon, making her a representation of all that is evil on earth. Her title is written on her forehead: Mystery, Babylon the Great, The Mother of Prostitutes and of the Abominations of the Earth." This chapter also describes the beast, which "once was, now is not, and will come up out of the Abyss and go to his destruction." This clearly is a reference to the condemnation of Azazel, which will be complete during the days of the final judgment.

Chapter 18 of "Revelations:"

The Complete Denunciation of Industrialists and Merchants

"You cannot serve both God and Mammon."

Few books of literature contain such an unqualified denunciation of industry as exists in the Ethiopic Book of Enoch, and "Revelations," except for the majority of verses in the Institutes of Vishnu, and the Laws of Manu. Though these two have short sections which are compromised, allowing for animal sacrifices, they are for the most part affirmations of ahimsa or non-violence towards not only all creatures, but all plant life as well, like the Jain Sutras, and like the uncompromised teachings of the Buddha, in which all creatures deserve the respect of the human, and none are to be sacrificed, or, for that matter, in any way oppressed or enslaved. The fact that only Jain and Buddhist and Hindu renunciates fully practice this morality does not negate the fact that this respect has spilled over into Buddhist and Hindu cultures far more than

it has into the orthodox cultures of Judaism, Christianity and Sunni Islam, although this study has shown that the origins of all three of these religions were pure.

Chapter 18 of "Revelations" needs to be studied in juxtaposition with the Ethiopic Book of Enoch in order to understand fully how the original Christians, like the original Jews and Muslims, followed the core teachings of Hinduism and Jainism, which were later rejuvenated by the reforms of the Buddha, namely, compassion for all being, which entails the realization that all beings are to be respected, and none dominated or subdued, as is sanctioned in the false scriptures of Gen. 1: 26-28, and Genesis 9. Chapter 18 in "Revelations" unqualifiedly denounces industrial civilization just as the Book of Enoch does.

After this I saw another angel coming down from heaven. He had great authority, and the earth was illuminated by his splendor. With a mighty voice he shouted: "Fallen! Fallen is Babylon the Great! She has become a home for demons and a haunt for every evil spirit, a haunt for every unclean and detestable bird. For all the nations have drunk the maddening wine of her adulteries. The kings of the earth committed adultery with her, and the merchants of the earth grew rich from her excessive luxuries.

In other words, instead of following a spiritual Sophia, the wisdom of non-violence that marks the beginning of all true spiritual traditions, the kings of the earth, and merchants oppressed the earth and its creatures in order to make profits. Moreover, in the following scriptures the sanctioning of mining for gold, bdellium and onyx in the second chapter of "Genesis" is seen as the fraudulent scripture that it is, undermining the pure morality that existed in the original Torah, which was in harmony with the morality of Enoch.

Woe! Woe, O great city, O Babylon, city of power! In one hour your doom has come! The merchants of the earth will weep and mourn over her because no one buys their cargoes any more--cargoes of gold, silver, precious stones and pearls; fine linen, purple silk and scarlet cloth; every sort of citron wood, and articles of every kind made of ivory, costly wood, bronze, iron and marble; cargoes of cinnamon and spice, of incense, myrrh and frankincense, of wine and olive oil, of fine flours and wheat; cattle and sheep, horses and carriages; and bodies and souls of men. 18: 10-13

The last phrase of the above quote, "and bodies and souls of men," could be elaborated on fully in order to show how the kings and top merchants of industrial civilization have since the inception of industry been involved in enslaving the working classes below. In this regard, the scriptures of Paul, who called himself an apostle, but was not one, are notable, for Paul promoted in the name of Jesus Christ the elitist economic societies of orthodox Judaism and Rome in his epistles. The Book of Enoch is the prototypical scripture and moral source for the Torah, the New Testament and Koran. Let us continue quoting from Chapter 18:

All your riches and splendor have vanished, never to be recovered. The merchants who sold these things and gained their wealth from her will stand far off, terrified at her torment. They will weep and mourn and cry out: "Woe! Woe, O great city, dressed in fine linen, purple and

scarlet, and glittering with gold, precious stones and pearls! In one hour such great wealth has been brought to ruin!"

In Keeping with the Morality of the Book of Enoch,
Babylon, the Center of Industrial Civilization, Deserves to be Destroyed.

In John's day Rome was obviously considered as Babylon. In our day, New York, London, Los Angeles, and every large industrial city has been likened by its dissidents to Babylon, though New York is the city most often referred to as Babylon. The destruction of the towers of the World Trade Center, seen also in the writings of Nostradamus, further heightened this perception of New York, center of the Stock Exchange, and the largest port city in the U.S., as Babylon. Notice that in "Revelations" the destruction of Babylon, or industrial civilization, is regarded as a joyous occasion, for now there will no longer be the routine industrial oppression and killing of creatures, whether through industry's earth movers or excavators or through animal sacrifices, and now there will no longer be the enslavement of the poorer classes of humans by the rich mercantile classes, as has always been the case in industrial civilization

Every sea captain and all who travel by ship, the sailors, and all who earn their living from the sea, will stand far off. When they see the smoke of her burning, they will exclaim, "Was there ever a city like this great city?" They will throw dust on their heads, and with weeping and mourning cry out: "Woe! Woe, O great city, where all who had ships on the sea became rich through her wealth! In one hour she has been brought to ruin! Rejoice over her, O heaven! Rejoice saints and apostles and prophets! God has judged her for the way she treated you."

In other words, God judged the predatory ways of industrial society, which routinely oppresses and kills the creatures of the earth and the environment, and which routinely established the enslavement of the poor to the rich.

As Taught by the Fallen Angels, the Merchants of Industrial Civilization,
the Representatives of Mammon, are Responsible for the Bloodshed on Earth.

Your merchants were the world's great men. By your magic spell all the nations were led astray. In her was found the blood of prophets and of the saints, and of all who have been killed on the earth. 18: 23-24.

The above passage should not be seen as an example of hyperbole, which is the predictable interpretation of the orthodox. The prophets like Isaiah were killed because they denounced the industrial culture that existed in Judaism, and because he denounced the animal sacrifices. The final phrase quoted above, "all who have been killed on the earth" is a reference to all creatures, not simply humans. As is seen also in the lifting of the curse against the animals, and in the fact that all the animals of the earth praise Jesus (or Kalki) for bringing once again the peace among all creatures that originally existed in the world. We have already seen in other sections of this study how cities were denounced as the centers of evil by the prophets. John of Patmos shared this vision.

Prefallen Eden is the Alpha.

The Final Days of "Revelation" are the Omega.

The Reestablishment of the Vegetarian Covenant

And Peace for All Creatures.

The Righteous Bough Imagery of the Torah

is rooted in the Book of Enoch.

Destroy all wrong from the face of the earth and let every evil work come to an end: and let the plant of righteousness and truth appear: and it shall prove a blessing; the works of righteousness and truth' shall be planted in truth and joy for evermore. Chapter 10, Book of Enoch

The Book of Enoch is Against Industry

because All Industry Exploits Creation. "Let Vegetarianism Prevail"

is the Clear Message

of Chapter 10 of the Book of Enoch which was

No doubt a main source

of the Righteous Branch or Righteous Bough Imagery

seen in numerous passages in the Old Testament.

There is no questioning the fact that the Ethiopic Book of Enoch promotes vegetarianism and is in harmony with the vegetarian covenant of Genesis 1: 29-30. The Book of Enoch has numerous scriptures, and some entire chapters dedicated solely to describing the vegetation that God has created for the benefit of creatures. In the following scriptures from Chapter 10, we see the destruction of those who have mined the earth, made weapons, sacrificed animals, shed blood in general; in short, the destruction of all those who, in the words of Genesis 1: 26-28, chose to subdue the earth and have dominion over other creatures. The only alteration which humans may be involved with is "righteous tilling," meaning, in the spirit of ahimsa that pervades the Book of Enoch, that the soil may be tilled if it does not involve the oppression of other creatures, for oppression of any sort is forbidden.

And destroy all the spirits of the reprobate and the children of the Watchers, because 16 they have wronged mankind. Destroy all wrong from the face of the earth and let every evil work come to an end: and let the plant of righteousness and truth appear: and it shall prove a blessing; the works of righteousness and truth' shall be planted in truth and joy for evermore. 17 And then shall all the righteous escape,
And shall live till they beget thousands of children,
And all the days of their youth and their old age
Shall they complete in peace.

18 And then shall the whole earth be tilled in righteousness, and shall all be planted with trees and be full of blessing. And all desirable trees shall be planted on it, and they shall plant vines on it: and the vine which they plant thereon shall yield wine in abundance, and as for all the seed which is sown thereon each measure (of it) shall bear a thousand, and each measure of

olives shall yield 20 ten presses of oil. And cleanse thou the earth from all oppression, and from all unrighteousness, and from all sin, and from all godlessness: and all the uncleanness that is wrought upon the earth 21 destroy from off the earth. And all the children of men shall become righteous, and all nations 22 shall offer adoration and shall praise Me, and all shall worship Me. And the earth shall be cleansed from all defilement, and from all sin, and from all punishment, and from all torment, and I will never again send (them) upon it from generation to generation and for ever

The Demon Mastema

How Actions and Attributes of Mastema

Became Attributes of the Orthodox Jewish God.

The demon Mastema is seen in "Jubilees" and in "Fragments of a Zadokite Document." Mastema teaches humans to make idols and to shed blood, that is, to sacrifice animals. It was Mastema who urged God to test Abraham by asking him to sacrifice Isaac. And Mastema, not God, is also said to be responsible for the Passover slayings, and it was Mastema who opposed Moses in Egypt. In "Genesis" it is God who initiates the so-called testing of Abraham, and it is God who initiates the Passover slayings.

Why the Hidden Slaughterhouse Replaced the Public Temple:
If Animals were Daily Slaughtered
In Jewish Synagogues, Catholic and Protestant Churches,
or Islamic Mosques, as they were in the Jewish Temple,
these Sects would most certainly be Regarded
as Public Demon Cults. The Carnivorous Sunni Muslim Tradition Ignores the Sunnah of
Muhammad's Life,
For the preferred diet of Muhammad was vegetarian
and he warned Muslims about the health dangers of eating meat.
Ali too was vegetarian.

In a sense, though the Jews sacrificing animals in the temple in Old Testament times were committing bloody atrocities against Deity's creatures, at least they were out in the open and honest about it. Imagine your children witnessing the activities described in the bloody scriptures of "Leviticus" and "Exodus" and you will find it easy to understand why carnivore cults such as orthodox Judaism and orthodox Christianity no longer sacrifice openly on their altars in their temples for people to see, but now kill the animals out of sight in their non public slaughterhouses. For were they to coerce and kill animals in the presence of their offspring, the innocence in their children would respond and see the act for what it is, a demonic act causing misery and death for creatures that Deity created.

In this respect the Sunni Muslims who live in Muslim countries are far more honest: they slaughter the animals in the open with sharp knives so the animals suffer minimally. I have however met one former Muslim woman who told me her horror at seeing blood everywhere in Cairo on the day of collective slaughtering. She is now a vegetarian, as were Muhammad and Ali.

Proportionally, there are far more vegetarian Muslims than there are vegetarian Jews or Christians. The vegetarian Shiites or Shias are in the majority in both Iran and Iraq, though Sunni Muslims were the political and military leaders in Iraq and punished the Shias in Iraq as well as in Iran. Shias affirm that the true lineage of Muhammad's authority was to pass to the vegetarian Ali and not to the carnivorous line of Bakr, Omar and Uzman. The fact that the preferred diet of Muhammad was vegetarian, and that he warned Muslims is no doubt one of the main reasons why vegetarianism is considered to be the lawful sunnah, or correct tradition, by the Shias. Muhammad was, after all, vegetarian in his preferred diet. The fact that the Sunni tradition differs from its prophet on so great a matter of diet is not of little importance. Muhammad's life itself is to be regarded as the correct tradition, yet though the preferred diet of Muhammad was vegetarian, and though Sunnis admit that Muhammad warned Muslims about how hard it was for the body to deal with the eating of

Remember that if the orthodoxies of Judaism, Christianity and Sunni Islam truly followed ancient Judaism's example, the animals would be killed on the altar in the synagogues, churches and mosques. But the orthodoxies can see how this form of honesty would show people that they are in fact demon cults, and how this public commitment to brutality would lead to their children leaving their sects, and so they now do their slaughtering outside of their places of worship.

Being Kind to Animals? When you say your God wants you to have dominion,
Your notion of God needs revision.

A God of perfect love wants every creature to be happy and free;

The Devil wants slaughter and oppression.

Ask any sane person if the acts they are witnessing are good or evil?

Humans are forcing an animal through a chute, prodding the animal, pulling it with a tether--the animal is moaning in pain--the humans persist, employing more force, and the animal screams louder. The cow's head is shot with a bolt or bullet and its throat is slit.

The chicken's head is chopped off, or the chicken is thrown into a bag and suffocated.

The pig is cut in half while alive!

Sea life is hooked, netted, suffocated.

These are evil acts to the animals, and no prayer or ritual can make it otherwise.

Love creates harmony. There is no harmony here. Evil creates conflict; there is tremendous conflict here. Deity loves, creates. Demons oppress and destroy.

Animal Farms are Horrendous Centers of Immense Cruelty

Go to animal farms. Witness thousands of hogs and thousands of chickens, in cages, one atop the other, in animal farms. The chickens and hogs never see the light of day unless they're fortunate enough to be caged by a window. The animals on the top defecate and urinate and it falls on their species in the cages below them. It is about as degraded a scene that one can imagine. And all the eaters of chicken and pork products contribute to this brutality. The Hindu/Buddhist scenario of former animal oppressors reincarnating as animals that they formerly oppressed and slaughtered comes to mind.

Hints of the Truth in the Orthodox Scriptures

"Thou shalt not kill."

"You eat flesh with the blood"

"You must not, however, eat flesh with its life-blood in it." Genesis 9: 4

"Thus says the Lord God: You eat flesh with the blood, and lift up your eyes to your idols, and shed blood; shall you then possess the land? You resort to the sword, you commit abominations..." Ezekiel 33: 25-26.

There are a sufficient number of scriptures in the "Book of Ezekiel" to confirm that Ezekiel was vegetarian. He denounced the animal sacrifices, and he saw the elect as wearing the bindi, the mark on the forehead worn by vegetarian Hindus. He denounced the bloodshed and violence committed by the orthodoxy, and his vision of the bones of the dead rising again is happening in our very times, when vegetarians throughout the world are resurrecting the memories of those who in the past denounced the brutality of animal sacrifices.

The law against eating blood originally meant eating anything derived from bloodshed. This is seen clearly in the Ethiopic Book of Enoch, a work which parallels "Genesis" in that both works describe the Deluge as a punishment against the corruption and violence committed by "all flesh" and not just humans. The Book of Enoch is the key unlocking the original morality of the vegetarian Bible that preceded the Old Testament or Torah.

Jews in favor of animal sacrifices attempted to create a legal loophole allowing the eating of flesh if the blood was drained from it. It is physically impossible to remove all traces of blood from flesh, because blood, whether in a liquid or dried state, is an essential, inseparable part of flesh. Flesh is by definition comprised of blood. That is the nature of flesh. Therefore all eaters of flesh are eaters of blood as well.

If you eat the driest of dried flesh, you are still eating dried blood in that flesh. So while some Jews object to the eating of flesh with blood, they were still biologically assimilating blood to some degree.

Those who argue that eating flesh is fine as long as the blood is drained away are nothing by sophists attempting to justify their eating addictions, or their addiction to profiting off animal sacrifices. Clive Backster's experiments in *The Secret Life of Plants* demonstrated that even separated from its source blood still responds to emotional stimuli. Therefore, the fact that all flesh retains some blood matter, whether dried or in liquid form, suggests that eaters of flesh not only cannot help but take in blood, but they also are effected by that blood. Blood is simply a by product of the crime, the horrendous atrocity committed on the the animal.

The evil of flesh eating comes from the fact that a creature
created by the Creator has been killed
for the purposes of self-gratification by another creature,
Not from the fact that the blood
has not been sufficiently drained from the flesh.

The carnivore's preferences regarding the degree of blood residue in the corpse is secondary to the fact that a violent crime has been committed against another sentient creature, and nothing criminal occurs without payback in the universe of a just Deity.

The Original Morality of Judaism is seen in the Book of Enoch.

The Violence and Corruption of All Flesh

Was the Stated Reason for the Deluge in the Old Testament.

Deity comes to convict "all flesh" in the Book of Enoch.

All flesh is Punished because

the Vegetarian Covenant of Genesis 1: 29-30

Was a Covenant with All Creatures, All Flesh, Not Just Humans.

The Consciousness of other Creatures is Affirmed in "Revelations." John describes the Jewish place of worship as "the synagogue of Satan" justifiably,

For animal sacrifices and subduing others are the characteristics of those

Who follow the way of the fallen angels or demons. The White Garments of the Elect Symbolize their Purity;

That is, neither their garments, nor their spirits,

Are stained with blood, as are the garments and spirits
of the Levites and others Sacrificing Animals.

In the Ethiopic Book of Enoch it is precisely because humans and other animals have not been living in peace with one another, and instead have been killing each other, that God decides to cleanse the earth of its killers. All bloodshed is forbidden, whether of other animals or other humans. And because the creatures created by God have broken the vegetarian covenant of Gen. 1: 29-30, a covenant made with all animals, not just with human flesh, they were deemed by God to deserve to be destroyed. Isaiah 1 connects the animal sacrifices, and not homosexuality, with the destruction of Sodom and Gomorrah. Plato recapitulating Solon's information on Atlantis said they fell because they "mingled with mortality," which is not really a euphemism, but an accurate description of eating death, that is, the corpses of murdered animals.

Moreover, to the vegetarian Ezekiel, the above distinctions claiming one could eat flesh drained of its blood are the straining of gnats while swallowing a camel. While carnivores no doubt sought ways in which to lessen their anxiety, depression and despair, hoping that they would not have to relinquish their addiction to eating flesh, the history of the Jewish people in the Old Testament is for the most part extremely morbid and depressing. When happiness does occur in the Torah, it is very short-lived.

The Abandoning of the Vegetarian Covenant of Genesis 1: 29-30
Was itself the Manifestation of a Fallen Spirit.

Orthodox rabbis admit that the original dietary covenant written in Genesis 1: 29-30 was vegetarian, yet then without justification assert that shortly thereafter Abel's sacrificed cattle were accepted by God, as was the covenant God described to Noah sanctioning the infliction of dread and terror upon other creatures.

Anyone having taken a class in logic, or with common sense, for that matter, sees that it's not logical to command vegetarianism, and then immediately to accept sacrificed animals from Abel and reject Cain's offerings of vegetation.

Obviously the scriptures were rewritten, and most cultures like that of the Jews leave rather glaring evidence that they have been revised, as has been demonstrated on this site.

Originally Abel, the first well-documented killer in the Bible, was seen as evil and his name itself represented evil and is in fact the root of our word evil. See on this web site:

A MYSTERY OF THE BIBLE SOLVED:

Why Abel's Name means Vain, Illusory, Unsatisfactory,
and is associated with Lamentation and Mourning.
Abel's Name is the Source of the Word Evil.

One of the places where the fallen angels are imprisoned is called Abelsjail in the Ethiopic Book of Enoch. The angels were condemned to suffer in fire because they introduced animal sacrifices, bloodshed in general, weaponry, mining, cosmetics, writing.

Thus the Logic of describing the Jewish Place of Worship
as the Synagogue of Satan:

So, John of Patmos analyzed the fact that orthodox Judaism brought immense agony to the sphere of the earth because of its animal sacrifices, and because it accepted the obviously fabricated covenant of carnivorism with Noah, instead of the immense joy that was brought to the creatures of the world by the Lord of the Sabbath affirming vegetarianism in Gen. 1: 29-30, and therefore affirming peace for all creatures in creation and the divine law. As a number of Old Testament prophets state of the peaceful time to come, when no creature feels sorrow, death or mourning, when animal sacrifices are abolished in all its forms, such as hunting and fishing, then finally "none shall make them afraid."

Some Dead Sea Scrolls

See Moses and Joshua as Vegetarian.

The publishing of the Dead Sea Scrolls finally helps resolve many of the mysteries of the Old Testament. For those of us who found it hard to believe that the very same Moses who fasted from all animal foods for forty days later initiated animal sacrifices, we have the delight of discovering fragments such as "The Words of Moses" and the "Prayer of Joshua" describing Moses and Joshua respectively as vegetarian. What Amos and Jeremiah affirmed, that false prophets and scribes had changed the scriptures, is being documented in our very time, and the Dead Sea Scrolls clarify and help elaborate on the deceptions promoted by the revisers of scripture.

In a sense, it is no small wonder that cattle raiser industrialists like the patriarchs who no doubt governed the rewriting of the scriptures left any affirmations of vegetarianism in the scriptures at all, yet they did. But the majority of Jews, after they had fallen from vegetarianism and egalitarianism didn't hearken to the positive transformation of either vegetarianism or egalitarianism. A minority would periodically be influenced by a prophetic voice hearkening them back to purity. This is what occurred during the emergence of each of the later prophets, and during the gathering together of groups during the time of Jesus, James, Peter, Matthew, groups affirming vegetarianism, and unanimously advocating egalitarianism towards humans.

Purity: White Garments in Scripture

The washing of the robes is symbolic of cleansing ourselves of animal foods,
as did Moses, Jesus, Muhammad and many others.

In the Old Testament and New, flesh is described as the garment of spirit. Certainly the garments of the Old Testament priests are not sparkling white as are the garments of those who share in the purity of heaven on earth in the "Book of Revelations:"

Jeremiah describes the Butcher's Robes of the Levites and Others Sacrificing Animals

The Lord gave full vent to his wrath, he poured out his hot anger; and he kindled a fire in Zion, which consumed its foundations. 4: 11. This was for the sins of her prophets and the iniquities of her priests, who shed in the midst of her the blood of the righteous. 4: 13.

They wandered, blind, through the streets, so defiled with blood that none could touch their garments. 4: 14.

It is in this context that we should examine the white robes of the righteous in "Revelations:"

"Blessed are those who wash their robes, that they may have the right to the tree of life and that they may enter the city by the gates. Outside...are murderers and idolaters and everyone who loves and practices falsehood." Rev. 22:14-15.

Earlier the elders are described:

"Round the throne were twenty-four thrones, and seated on the thrones were twenty-four elders, clad in white garments, with golden crowns upon their heads." 4:4.

Later the elect are similarly clad and have palm branches, an emblem of peace, in their hands:

"After this I looked, and behold, a great multitude which no man could number, from every nation, from all tribes and peoples and tongues, standing before the throne and before the Lamb, clothed in white robes, with palm branches in their hands." 7:9.

And in describing the garment of the Bride of God we have the commentary:

"and his Bride has made herself ready; it was granted her to be clothed with fine linen, bright and pure"--for fine linen is the righteous deed of the saints.'

We must remember that the priests conducting sacrifices in the Temple in Jerusalem had the blood of animals smeared on their garments, much as anyone involved in butchering animals today. So the imagery is literal as well as symbolic. New Testament writers regard the following scriptures of Isaiah as prophesying the coming of Jesus. The passage is quoted widely and sung in Handel's "Messiah:" "For unto us a child is born, etc."

However, the scripture praising and prophesying the abolition of animal sacrifices immediately preceding it is purposely deleted.

"...and every garment rolled in blood will be burned as fuel for the fire," Isaiah, 9: 5

The passage is referring not simply to the bloodstained garments of the priests slaughtering the animals, but also to the custom of carrying meat in the folds of one's garment, as is described in the "Book of Haggai" for example:

'If one carries holy flesh in the skirt of his garment, and touches with his skirt bread, or pottage, or wine, or oil, or any kind of food, does it become holy?" The priests answered, "No."

John the author of "Revelations" is quite consciously using a reality-based symbology which directly connects the necessary purity of heaven on earth with the actual garments unstained by bloodshed worn by the vegetarian Essenes and Ebionites, the poor of Israel. Wearing white robes was common as well among Jain Buddhists and by the tlamatine in the Yucatan, who were, it appears, of the Maya, and fled into the wilderness to live during the time of the conquistadors.

John's scriptures make it clear
Why the character of James the brother of Jesus
has not been examined in detail
by the orthodoxies of Judaism, Christianity and Islam. James was a strict vegan who ate no animal food and wore linen not leather.

James, brother of Jesus Christ, was the head or bishop of the religious community in Jerusalem after the death of Jesus. He is virtually unheard of and unresearched in orthodox religious history for a very good reason: he was a vegan, an Essene in his values, a proponent of no sacrifice, one who respected all creatures, and perhaps the very Teacher of Righteousness which the writings of the Essenes extol. James ate no animal food, Eusebius tells us in his Ecclesiastical History, and wore linen, as a strict vegan would, and as many contemporary vegans do, that is wear cotton, from which linen is made, instead of animal skins. From Eusebius' Ecclesiastical History:

"Control of the Church passed to the apostles, together with the Lord's brother James, whom everyone from the Lord's time till our own has called the Righteous, for there were many Jameses, but this one was holy from his birth; he drank no wine or intoxicating liquor and ate no animal food (emphasis mine); no razor came near his head; he did not smear himself with oil, and took no [public] baths. He alone was permitted to enter the Holy Place, for his garments were not of wool, but of linen.

Eusebius continues to extol the person of James:

He used to enter the Sanctuary alone....Because of his unsurpassable righteousness he was called the Righteous...Bulwark of the People." Pp. 99-100. Ecclesiastical History II. xxiii, Eusebius. Translated by G.A. Williamson. Augsburg Publishing House, Minneapolis, Minnesota, 1953.

The "Book of Revelations" affirms the very things that Isaiah, Daniel, Zechariah, Hosea, Micah and Nahum affirmed, that all creation, all creatures, are to be at peace at the final time.

Purity, Symbolized by the White Garments,
Exists when One Honors All Creatures
The Consciousness of other Creatures is Affirmed in "Revelations."

We see purity honored in "Revelations" when John venerates creation, and acknowledges that Deity loves all creatures.

"Worthy art thou, our Lord and God, to receive glory and honor and power, for thou didst create all things, and by thy will they existed and were created." 4: 11.

Here we have John expressing his awe of creation, an awe felt by every creature. Here we see the consciousness and will of other creatures as a fact, just as it is seen as a fact in Genesis 1: 30 wherein God commands all creatures to be vegetarian. Commands are not given to those who are not conscious and free.

"And I heard every creature in heaven and on earth and under the earth and in the sea, and all therein, saying, "To him who sits upon the throne and to the Lamb be blessing and honor and glory and might for ever and ever!"

"Revelations" is the Omega to the Alpha, the vision of total peace, contained in early Genesis, as well as in Daniel, Hosea, Isaiah, Jeremiah, Ezekiel, and other late prophets. Revelations speaks of perfection for all creation, when

"death shall be no more, neither shall there be mourning nor crying nor pain any more, for the former things have passed away." 21:4.

John is visualizing the Restoration of Israel, the return to the vegetarian Tree of Life. In any case in the description of the New Jerusalem in Revelations 22:2-3 we have the abolition of the curse against animal creation, and a return to the vegetarian covenant of Genesis, and to the true tree of life, which is also seen in the Ethiopic Book of Enoch, a tree which was to feed not only humans, but all animal creation:

"the tree of life with its twelve kinds of fruit, yielding its fruit each month; and the leaves of the tree were for the healing of the nations. There shall no more be anything accursed..."

No more, the cursing of the serpent, the cattle, or wild animals as in "Genesis." Finally, all creation is at peace.

Who had cursed the creatures
to subjection and dominion under humans?

Not God, but the fallen angel Azazel, the Demon Mastema,
and evil humans revising the scriptures so that
the Torah, the Gospels, and later the Quran,
would claim that God, the Father, or Allah
had animals subjected to the will of humanity.
Moses knew better and was faithful to the vegetarian covenant,
as were Jesus and Muhammad. Who are the Demonic in our World Today?

Those who wish to subdue the earth and to have dominion over others, such as industrialists.

Those who eat Beasts are those who are of the Beast spirit in "Revelations."

In the final times they are to be destroyed. Revelations is a touchstone showing the falseness of orthodox Christianity. If we are all to be vegetarians in the Final Days, as the Christian "Book of Revelations" says,

Why aren't Christians Vegetarians Now? The answers:

Some are cholesterol junkies addicted to eating flesh, who are in denial of their addiction.

Some continue to support whatever Mammon supports, that is, whoever pays their paycheck, who is someone in industry or government.

Some wish to promote the false notions in order to profit from the evils of the meat and animal foods industries.

And remember, if you believe a god of love wants bloodshed, you will certainly be inclined to believe the parallel absurdities that industry, which oppresses, enslaves, and kills animals, and pollutes our earth, air, and water, is involved with progress, in spite of the fact that industry has created far more diseases than it has cured; that governments, that subdue others rather than living with them in an egalitarian manner, care for you, in spite of the fact that the rich are the governors of the poor in all industrial cultures. The rich are those who by definition do not love their neighbors as themselves. If all are not equal, then all are not loving. And the power of those who are the greatest of those not loving others as equals, namely the rich, must be eliminated if there is to be peace on earth. And there will be no peace on earth for humans if there is not peace on earth for all creatures. For those who are imperialistic towards other creatures are by their nature imperialistic towards their own kind.

The Argument that Vegetarians are not Tolerant of Carnivores

To those who argue that the vegetarian way is intolerant towards carnivorism, the reply is this: it is the carnivore who is intolerant of the life of God's creatures. Those who desire anything less than peace for all creatures, desire the demonic. The divine is infinite in its love. The demonic is not; it was the demonic that said humans are the favorite creature of Deity and other creatures are meant to be used by humans. This very attitude exemplifies the Fall, which is equivalent to the same elitism of the Fallen Angels. And all humans who believe that other animals are here to please the will of humans follow the way of the Demons, the Fallen Angels.

Carnivorism has nothing to do with true religion acknowledging a creator of infinite love, but, as all know who have studied demonology, everything to do with the demonic. Causing suffering for an animal by slavery, coercing an animal, hurting it, killing it, bloodily rending apart its body, are all actions calculated to attract the most negative vibrations in the universe--our religious heritage calls those entities devils. If everyday you eat the flesh of slain beasts, almost all of whom have been enslaved in factory farms which are the equivalent of the medieval torture chambers, your body, comprised of the slaughtered flesh of numerous beings, is

certainly a temple to Deity but to Demons, and no appeal to whatever authority can make that wrong right.

Much of the World has "Gone to the Devil:"

Carnivorous sects such as Orthodox Judaism, Christianity and Sunni Islam claim their bloody atrocities are sanctioned by an all loving God.

The original Vegetarian Scriptures of Judaism, Christianity and Islam were revised by those seeking to invent lies for a gain.